# Introduction to Statistical Data Science

October 30, 2018

## 1 Lecture 1

#### 1.1 Normal Distribution

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} exp(-\frac{(x-u)^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

$$F(x) \equiv P(X \le x)$$

#### **Central Limit Theorem (for normal distribution)**

The more random variables we average over, the closer the resulting distribution will be to the Normal distribution

**Parameter:** u The mean is the location parameter.

**Parameter:**  $\sigma^2$  The variance is the scale parameter

### 1.2 Uniform Distribution

$$X \sim U[0, 1] \tag{1}$$

$$0 \le x \le 1 \tag{2}$$

$$p(x) = 1 \tag{3}$$

$$F(x) = P(X \le X) = x \tag{4}$$

(5)

Use uniform distribution to construct normal distribution

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{(1)} \\ X^{(2)} \\ \dots \\ X^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X^{(i)} \sim U[0, 1]$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X^{(i)}$$

$$(6)$$

subsampling X vector to construct Y. The distribution of  $Y_j \sim ?, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$  would close to normal distribution.

#### 1.3 Poisson distribution

$$P(X = k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}$$

$$E(X) = V(X) = \lambda$$

# 1.4 empirical CDF

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(x^{(i)} \le x)$$

quantile is simply the inverse of the CDF: -The 0.9 quantile is the value of x such that F(x) = 0.9 i.e.  $x = F^{-1}(0.9)$ 

# 2 Hypothesis

## null hypothesis

In inferential statistics, the null hypothesis is a general statement or default position that there is no relationship between two measured phenomena, or no association among groups.

## p-value

The probability of obtaining results as or more extreme than that observed, assuming  $H_0$  is true, is the p-value, under the assumption that the null hypothesis.

$$p \equiv P(X \le 15; H_0)$$

$$p = \sum_{x=0}^{15} {40 \choose x} 0.5^x (1 - 0.5)^{(40-x)}$$

The p-value is most certainly not the probability of  $H_0$  being true

When used in practice with a threshold of 0.05 this is an informal method of reasoning and can be easily criticized

### Statistical power

The power of a hypothesis test is the probability of avoiding a false negative

## **P-value distribution (CDF)**

$$P(F(X) \le z) = P(F^{-1}(F(x)) \le F^{-1}(z)) = P(X \le F^{-1}(z)) = F(F^{-1}(z)) = z$$

#### Level

The threshold probability of 0.05 was the level of the test.

The choice of a particular level may be guided by the need to trade off Type 1 and Type 2 errors.

#### Type 1 errors

Type 1 error occurs when we reject the null hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>, when it is true

#### Type 2 errors

Type 2 error occurs when we fail to reject  $H_0$  when it is false.

#### power of the test

The probability of avoiding a Type 2 error is the power of the test.

That is the probability that we reject  $H_0$  given that it is false.

The power of a test varies with sample size

The power of a test also varies with the level of the test.

The ways to increase the power of our test: collect more data, allow for a higher Type 1 error, use a better test statistic, make stronger assumption.

### **Testing procedure**

Specify a null and alternative hypothesis.

Specify the level of the test.

Specify a suitable test statistic.

#### critical region

The set of all test statistic values which would cause us to reject  $H_0$ .

#### t-test

For the small sample, and iid normal distribution:

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu_0)}{S_n} \sim \tau(n-1)$$

This is called a t-distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom

#### Wald test

Sample  $X^{(i)}$  can be from any distribution.

Sample sizes must be assumed to be 'big enough' that CLT applies.

Hence, the distribution of test statistic is N(0,1). That is the case of t-distribution which  $n \to \infty$ 

#### **Goodness-of-fit tests (chi-square test)**

still needs to be discussed.

#### Paired tests

#### **Bonferroni** correction

While testing n independent hypothesis in the same data set, the p value should be 1/n

### **Confidence Intervals**

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

 $ar{X}$  is the sample average. If  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ , then

$$\bar{X} \sim N$$
 
$$Var(\bar{X}) = \frac{(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}}{n} - \mu)^{2}}{1} = (\frac{\sum_{i} (x_{i} - \mu)}{n})^{2} = \sigma^{2}/n$$

Then

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

This a pivot, a function of parameter of interest which has a known distribution.

$$P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \le 1.5\right) = p(Z \le 1.5) = p\left(\mu \ge \bar{X} - \frac{1.5\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 0.93$$

## Coverage

The interval  $[\bar{X}-1.5\sigma/\sqrt{n},\infty)$  will contain  $\mu$  93% of the time

### two side interval

It is hard to calculate the confidence interval, therefore a CLT could help t solve it with large sample.

## **Bootstrap**

A foundation of bootstrap is sampling with replacement

- 1. Randomly select a data point.
- 2. Add it to the 're-sample'
- 3. Put it back in the box.

## Using Bootstrap to calculate SE

- 1. Draw  $X^{(1)}*, \ldots, X^{(n)}* \sim \hat{F}_n$
- 2. Compute  $\hat{X}_n^*$  by averaging  $X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*$
- 3. Repeat steps 1 and 2, B times, to get  $\bar{X}_{n,1}^*,\ldots,\bar{X}_{n,B}^*$
- 4. Let

$$s.e._{boot} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \left( \bar{X}_{n,b}^* - \frac{1}{B} \sum_{r=1}^{B} \bar{X}_{n,r}^* \right)^2}$$

## **Bootstrap pivotal interval**

Define 
$$H(r) = P(\hat{\theta} - \theta \le r)$$

Define quantiles such that we get coverage  $1 - \alpha$ :

$$P(a(\hat{\theta}_n) \le \theta \le b(\hat{\theta}_n)) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$a(\hat{\theta}_n) = \hat{\theta} - H^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)$$

$$b(\hat{\theta}_n) = \hat{\theta} - H^{-1}(\alpha/2)$$

# **Linear Regression**

Data points satisfies:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + e_i (i = 1, ..., n)$ 

Next, we are trying to find  $\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x} = 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) = 0$  The sum of squared prediction errors:  $RSS = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$ 

Then take the derivative of parameter  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  as 0, and get the result.

After substituting the result, it could be concluded that

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})(y_{i} - \bar{y}) \right] / \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} \right]$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{C_{xy}}{C_{xx}}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{0} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_{1}\bar{x}$$

where

$$C_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2) - n\bar{x}^2$$
and 
$$C_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i) - n\bar{x}\bar{y}$$

$$RSS = C_{yy} - \frac{C_{xy}^2}{C_{xx}}$$

#### **Error**

If  $x_i = x_j$ , usually  $y_i \neq y_j$ . Therefore treat  $y_i$  as realized value of random variable  $Y_i$ , whose distribution depends on  $x_i$ .

The model would be:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$  where  $\epsilon_i$  are independent random variables with  $E(\epsilon) = 0, Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2$