

SoLID π/e ratio and rejection

Zhiwen Zhao

2021/01/29

method

Code and log in https://github.com/JeffersonLab/solid_gemc/tree/master/analysis/pid


- pi/e ratio after pi rejection is obtained from
 - pi and e inclusive generator
 - pi inclusive generator, latest “evgen_bggen”
 - e inclusive generator, latest “evgen_inclusive_e” (eAll) without radiation correction
 - (under work) SoLID detector simulation (at least EC+LGC) for e detection and pi rejection
 - Online performance: trigger rate study shows general pi rejection factor $1e-2$ for EC (6+1 module) and additional $6e-3$ for LGC (2 pe in each of 2 PMT, $P < 4\text{GeV}$), total $\sim 6e-5$?
 - Offline performance: $5e-3$ for EC? Additional $1e-3$ for LGC? total $1e-5$ or $1e-6$?
 - (for now) use conservative simple factors to estimate offline performance
 - e detection factor 100%
 - pi rejection factor
 - $1e-4$ (FA $P < 4\text{GeV}$ EC+LGC) and $5e-3$ (FA $P > 4\text{GeV}$ EC) and $5e-3$ (LA EC), for SIDIS_He3 and JPsi_LH2
 - $1e-4$ (FA $P < 4\text{GeV}$ EC+LGC) and $5e-3$ (FA $P > 4\text{GeV}$ EC), for PVDIS_LD2
- pi/e ratio after pi rejection can be controlled below 1%
 - Except for JPsi_LH2, $P < 3\text{GeV}$ at LA, but invariant mass and kinematic fitting can help

LGC using N2 instead of CO2, could have rejection much higher than 4GeV?

the pion generator would take too much time to generate events at high P and large theta


SIDIS He3

π^-/e^- ratio (%) after π^- rejection




JPsi_LH2

π^-/e^- ratio (%) after π^- rejection






PVDIS_LD2

π^-/e^- ratio (%) after π^- rejection




backup

SIDIS_He3




JPsi_LH2


π^-/e^- ratio



e^- rate (kHz)



π^- rate (kHz)



PVDIS_LD2

