

JAVA Programming

Generics

Overview

- What are Generics
- What generics do for us
- Generic classes and interfaces
- Wild cards
- Generic Methods
- Arrays of parameterized types
- Generic examples in J2SE Framework
- Under the surface
- Generics and legacy code



- What are generics
 - Generics allow a type or a method to operate on various types but remain typesafe at compiletime.

A generic type uses one or more type parameters
 You specify the actual types when you invoke it.

 A generic method may have one or more type parameters for its arguments. The actual type is implied when you call the method.



- What generics do
 - Make your code typesafe
 - Reduce the amount of code
 - Make your code more reusable
- Beware of
 - New syntax
 - Developing a generic class requires a higher abstraction level
 - Using the pre-built generic types is not so difficult,
 building your own is more difficult.



What generics do

– Design level:

- You can define classes, interfaces and methods with generic types.
- You can specify the actual type when using the classes and interfaces. When calling a generic method, the type is implied.

– Code level:

Write type-safe code

In Java, a program is type-safe if it compiles without errors and does not encounter ClassCastExceptions at runtime.

No need for casting,
 type information is passed via type arguments.



Generic classes and interfaces

 A generic interface or class takes one or more formal type parameters (between brackets).

```
public class Foo<type param 1, type param 2>
{
    ...use type params here ...
}
```

- A type with formal type parameters is called a parameterized type.
- A parameterized type defines a collection of different (though related) types.



Generic classes and interfaces

 Meaning of type parameter should be documented, e.g.:

interface List<E> type of contained objects

interface Map<K,V> type of keys and values

interface Comparable<T> type to compare with

class Class<T> type of represented object

 Generics enable compile-time type checking so your code is type safe.



Generic classes and interfaces

Invocations

- An invocation is a usage of a parameterized type (a declaration or instantiation).
- Provide the actual types as arguments.
- The actual type must be a reference type.
- The compiler will perform type-checking wherever the type is used.



Generic classes and interfaces

Simple *instructive* example:

– Parameterized type Data:

```
public class Data<E>
{
    public E info;
}
```

– Invocations of Data:

```
Data<Integer> di = new Data<Integer>();
di.info = new Integer(10);

Data<String> ds = new Data<String>();
ds.info = "Some usefull string";
```



Example: Interface List<E>

```
// List that contains (sub types of) Integer
List<Integer> li = new ArrayList<Integer>();
li.add(10);

// List that contains (sub types of) String
List<String> ls = new ArrayList<String>();
ls.add("Some string");
```



Should this be allowed:

```
List<Integer> li = new ArrayList<Integer>();
List<Object> lo = li;
```

No because this would be possible:

```
lo.add("Some string"); // li would no contain a String
```

Note the difference with arrays, this compiles with no errors:

```
Integer[] numbers = new Integer[5];
Object[] object = numbers;
object[0] = "Some string";
```

Runtime Exception!



So: does this compile?

- Data<Number> dn = new Data<Number>();
- Data<String> ds = dn;
- Data<Integer> di = dn;
- Data<Object> do = dn;

Conclusion:

The argument used for declaration and instantiation must be 'exactly' the same. However, you may want to relax this a bit, and you can by using wild cards



Wild cards (?)

- To use a parameterized type with an unknown (arbitrary) type argument.
- Type is not known: you may use Object methods
- Type is not known: you may not assign to it.

– Example:

```
public void printList(List<?> 1)
{
   for(int i=0; i<1.size(); i++)
   {
      System.out.println(l.get(i).toString());
   }
   l.add(new Object());  // not allowed!
}</pre>
```



- Wild cards can be made more specific (bounded)
- Example:

Write a method that serializes all objects in a List. The objects must be Serializable.

Is this solution adequate:

```
public void serializeObjects(List<Serializable> list)
{
   for(Serializable obj : list)
   {
      //Serialize obj
   }
}
```

Should use a wildcard with upper bound:

```
public void serializeObjects(List<? extends Serializable> list)
...
```



- Wild cards can also have a lower bound
- Example:

Write a method that adds a Car to any List that may contain Cars

```
public void addCar(List<? super Car> list, Car car)
{
   list.add(car);
}
```

```
List<Object> objects = new ArrayList<Object>();
List<Vehicle> vehicles = new ArrayList<Vehicle>();
util.addCar(objects, new Car());
util.addCar(vehicles, new Car());
```



In summary:

Bounded wild cards

- Upper bound:
 - Denoted as <? extends T>
 - Actual type parameter must be a subtype of T
- Lower bound:
 - Denoted as <? super T>
 - Actual type parameter must be a supertype of T



Generic Methods

Methods with one or more type parameters.

```
private <T> void foo(T t)
{
    "use T and t here "
}
```

Actual type is determined by compiler (implied)
 (you do not specify it in calling code).



Generic Methods

Exercise:

Look at the following code, define copyInfo:

Solution:

```
private <T> void copyInfo(T s, Data<T> d)
{
    d.info = s;
}
```



Generic Methods

- Type variables may also be bounded
 - E.g. an array of type T may also contain objects instantiated from derived types of T.

```
private <T, D extends T> void copy(D[] src, T[] dest)
{
    for(int i=0; i<src.length; i++)
        {
        dest[i] = src[i];
     }
}</pre>
```



Wild Card or generic method?

Use Wild Card:

When type parameter is used only once and type itself is not required in method, you should use a wild card. So use:

```
private void print(Data<?> d)
{
    System.out.println(d.info.toString());
}
```

and not (although legal):

```
private <T> void print(Data<T> d)
{
    System.out.println(d.info.toString());
}
```



Wild Card or generic method?

Use generic method:

– If parameter types are dependent:

```
private <T> void copyInfo(T s, Data<T> d)
{
    d.info = s;
}
```

– If parameter type needs to be bounded:

```
private <T, D extends T> void copy(D[] src, T[] dest)
{
    for(int i=0; i<src.length; i++)
        {
        dest[i] = src[i];
     }
}</pre>
```



Arrays of parameterized types

Arrays contain type information of contained type
 Runtime check if inserted values are valid

Parameterized types are erased by compiler

Runtime the parameter type is not known

E.g. *Data<Integer>* and *Data<String>* are both erased to *Data* (containing an *Object*)

```
Data<Integer>[] list = new Data<Integer>[3];
Object[] object = list;
object[0] = new Data<String>(); // would succeed runtime
```



Arrays of parameterized types

You can only instantiate an array of a parameterized type with an unbound wildcard as a parameter.

```
Data<?>[] arr = new Data<?>[2]; // can contain all kinds of Data
Object[] objs = arr;
objs[0] = new Data<String>(); // you have allowed this
objs[1] = new Data<Integer>();
```

```
private void test(Data<?>[] arr )
{ code }

private <T> void test(Data<T>[] arr )
{ code }
```



Generic examples in J2SE Framework

- Collections
- Class literal as factory object



Collections:

Part of List interface:

```
public interface List<E> extends Collection<E>
{
  void add(int index, E element);
  Iterator<E> iterator();
}
```

Iterator interface:

```
public interface Iterator<E>
{
  boolean hasNext();
  E next();
  void remove();
}
```



Collections:

TreeSet class (implements SortedSet).

Constructor with Comparator argument:

```
class TreeSet<E>
{
   public TreeSet(Comparator<E> comp) { ... }
}
```

But: comparator must be able to compare objects of type E *or super types of E*.

```
class TreeSet<E>
{
   public TreeSet(Comparator<? super E> comp) { ... }
}
```



Under the surface

Generics are implemented by type erasure

- Compiler erases all generic type information.
 Runtime only the raw type exists.
- Types are converted to their upper type (usually Object) and appropriately casted whenever the resulting code is not type-correct.

Advantage:

 Legacy code (with only raw types) and generic code can interoperate.

Disadvantage:

 Parameter type-information is not available at runtime.



Under the surface

- Compiler erases all generic type information.
 - Runtime type parameters do not exist.

You cannot cast to a specific parameterized type.
 Unchecked warning, may get ClassCastException



Generic version of *Collections.max*:

```
public static <T> T max(Collection<T> c)
// T must implement Comparable →
public static <T extends Comparable<T>> T max(Collection<T> c)
// T must be comparable only with one of it's super types \rightarrow
public static <T extends Comparable<? super T>> T max(Collection<T> c)
// after erasure method must return an Object type (old contract) →
public static <T extends Object & Comparable<? super T>>
   T max(Collection<T> c)
// Collection may also contain derived types of T \rightarrow
public static <T extends Object & Comparable<? super T>>
   T max(Collection<? extends T> c)
```



When to use:

- Invocate generic libraries with type parameters.
 Avoid using raw types.
- Consider making your own libraries generic if profitable.
- When upgrading old libraries, take care you do not change the contracts.

Note:

You must deploy your code on a 5.0 or more recent Virtual Machine



Exercises



Exercise:

Write a method *printList* that prints out all objects contained in a List.

Solution:

```
public static void printList(List<?> list)
{
    Iterator<?> iter = list.iterator();
    while( iter.hasNext() )
    {
        System.out.println(iter.next().toString());
    }
}
```



Exercise:

Write a copy method that copies all objects from one list to the other.

Solution:

```
public static <T> void copy(List<? extends T> src, List<? super T> dest)
{
   Iterator<? extends T> iter = src.iterator();
   while( iter.hasNext() )
   {
      dest.add(iter.next());
   }
}
```



Lab: Generics

