## The domain specificity of intertemporal choice in pinyon jays

Jeffrey R. Stevens\*, Bryce A. Kennedy, Dina Morales, and Marianna Burks October 27, 2015

## **Supplementary Materials**

Table S1: Mean Responses for Experiment 1: Correlating Caching and Operant Delay Choice

0.1.	T 1:0°	T. 1 1
Subject	Indifference point	Items cached
417	4.0	0.0
512	5.8	5.3
514	6.8	0.2
515	4.2	3.7
516	6.8	4.2
761	25.4	4.8
763	2.0	1.1
768	17.2	6.2
781	7.0	8.8
786	7.0	4.8
788	6.8	3.7
791	3.0	1.0

Table S2: Mean Responses for Experiment 2: Manipulating Caching Effects on Operant Delay Choice

Subject	Condition	Percent LL	Items cached
417	Long day	78.9	0.1
512	Short day	53.9	17.5
514	Long day	36.2	4.6
515	Long day	76.9	14.2
516	Short day	63.8	15.8
761	Long day	4.4	6.6
763	Long day	95.0	0.7
768	Short day	56.9	14.0
781	Short day	21.2	7.4
786	Long day	90.8	7.2
788	Short day	55.1	13.8
791	Short day	98.4	8.6

Table S3: Mean Responses for Experiment 3: Caching Pine Nuts vs. Pellets

Subject	Pine nuts cached	Pellets cached
417	0.0	0.0
512	0.0	0.0
514	0.0	0.2
515	0.0	0.0
516	0.0	0.0
761	0.0	0.0
763	8.4	0.0
768	15.6	1.2
781	22.4	0.4
786	0.0	0.0
788	0.0	0.0
791	7.6	0.4

Table S4: Mean Responses for Experiment 4: Caching When Pre-fed vs. Not Pre-fed

Subject	Pre-fed cached	Not pre-fed cached
411	0.0	0.0
413	0.0	0.0
512	0.0	0.0
514	2.8	7.4
515	0.6	0.0
516	5.0	13.8
517	0.6	0.6
763	2.4	2.2
772	0.0	0.0
781	5.6	6.6
786	0.0	0.2
791	2.0	5.0
-		




Figure S1: Operant delay choice titration data in Experiment 1. Lines represent the delay to the large reward for each session for each subject. The lines stop when subjects met the stability criteria (see Methods for criteria). We calculated the indifference points as the mean delay to large reward for the final five sessions.