

Project 2: Responsive Website

[Submit Assignment](#)

Due 10 May by 20:00 **Points** 230 **Submitting** a website url or a file upload **File types** pdf and zip

Project 2: Responsive Website

Aim

Demonstrate that you can develop a professional website using semantic HTML, advanced CSS and responsive design techniques.

Task

In this project, you will build a functional responsive website using HTML5 and CSS. We will provide you with the brief (same as in project 1) and content to use in your website - we want you to focus on the code, not thinking about also having to create content.

You'll aim to build what you sketched in project 1 and you'll use the inspiration board as a starting point for your CSS.

At the end of the project, you'll submit a rationale that provides an insight into the development process. In this document you should include a reflection on your project (300-500 words); your original sketches; and a comparison of how the final site looks and a briefly annotated list of resources that you found helpful while creating the website. We'll be looking to see progression of your design and development process.

In the reflection you might describe the reasons for any design decisions you made, or discuss a description of the challenges you encountered and how you solved them. It is your chance to reflect on the project and consider what you learnt or what you would do differently.

Questions/Clarification

Make sure you read the [Project 2 FAQ discussion board](#) which might have any answers to questions/clarification you may need.

Requirements

- Must be responsive across common device sizes
- Valid W3C semantic HTML5
- Appropriate use of CSS selectors
- Needs to include comments in the code
- Must use content provided on Canvas
- Folder and filenames need to be the same as those identified on Canvas
- Semantic HTML5
- No use of inline styles
- Must include reset or normalize stylesheet
- Only 2 CSS files - one reset or normalize stylesheet and one containing your styles.

Submission

You have two options for submission: upload directly to Canvas, or, use GitHub.

Canvas submission

- Zip your site folder and upload it to Canvas
- Save the rationale as a pdf and upload to Canvas

GitHub submission

- Upload the code to GitHub and submit the working link to the repository on Canvas.
- Create a Markdown file in the GitHub repository with your rationale in it.
- [Read more detailed information about the GitHub submission](https://uc-design.github.io/11056-wit-2019/submission/) [\(https://uc-design.github.io/11056-wit-2019/submission/\)](https://uc-design.github.io/11056-wit-2019/submission/).

Assessment criteria

Website

- Adherence to brief and project requirements
- Site design - overall quality and professionalism of design and appropriateness for client
- Responsiveness - a design that utilises CSS techniques to adapt to mobile, tablet and desktop formats
- W3C valid HTML5
- Attention to detail - free from typos and errors
- Demonstrated understanding and use of semantic HTML
- Demonstrated understanding and use of CSS selectors

Reflection

- Demonstrates the evolution of the design and development process
- Provides insight into the development process process, including the challenges faced and solutions to those challenges
- Attention to detail - free from typos and errors

11056 Project 2

Criteria	Ratings						Pts
Website: requirements Adherence to brief and project requirements	10.0 Pts Followed all of the submission requirements			5.0 Pts Followed some of the submission requirements			0.0 Pts None 10.0 pts
Website: Site design Overall quality and professionalism of design and appropriateness for client	20.0 to >18.0 Pts Exemplary	18.0 to >16.0 Pts Excellent Completed to an excellent standard above and beyond the requirements	16.0 to >14.0 Pts High Standard Completed to a high standard above the requirements	14.0 to >12.0 Pts Good Standard Completed to a good standard meeting the minimum requirements	12.0 to >8.0 Pts Satisfactory Completed to a satisfactory standard meeting the minimum requirements	8.0 to >0 Pts Still Developing Minimum requirements not met for this criteria	20.0 pts
Website: responsiveness A design that utilises CSS techniques to adapt to mobile, tablet and desktop formats	30.0 to >27.0 Pts Exemplary	27.0 to >24.0 Pts Excellent Completed to an excellent standard above and beyond the requirements	24.0 to >21.0 Pts High Standard Completed to a high standard above the requirements	21.0 to >18.0 Pts Good Standard Completed to a good standard meeting the minimum requirements	18.0 to >12.0 Pts Satisfactory Completed to a satisfactory standard meeting the minimum requirements	12.0 to >0 Pts Still Developing Minimum requirements not met for this criteria	30.0 pts
Website: valid W3C valid HTML5	20.0 to >18.0 Pts Exemplary	18.0 to >16.0 Pts Excellent Completed to an excellent standard above and beyond the requirements	16.0 to >14.0 Pts High Standard Completed to a high standard above the requirements	14.0 to >12.0 Pts Good Standard Completed to a good standard meeting the minimum requirements	12.0 to >8.0 Pts Satisfactory Completed to a satisfactory standard meeting the minimum requirements	8.0 to >0 Pts Still Developing Minimum requirements not met for this criteria	20.0 pts
Website: attention to detail Attention to detail - free from typos and errors	20.0 to >18.0 Pts Exemplary	18.0 to >16.0 Pts Excellent Completed to an excellent standard above and beyond the requirements	16.0 to >14.0 Pts High Standard Completed to a high standard above the requirements	14.0 to >12.0 Pts Good Standard Completed to a good standard meeting the minimum requirements	12.0 to >8.0 Pts Satisfactory Completed to a satisfactory standard meeting the minimum requirements	8.0 to >0 Pts Still Developing Minimum requirements not met for this criteria	20.0 pts
Website: semantic HTML Demonstrated understanding and use of semantic HTML	30.0 to >27.0 Pts Exemplary	27.0 to >24.0 Pts Excellent Completed to an excellent standard above and beyond the requirements	24.0 to >21.0 Pts High Standard Completed to a high standard above the requirements	21.0 to >18.0 Pts Good Standard Completed to a good standard meeting the minimum requirements	18.0 to >12.0 Pts Satisfactory Completed to a satisfactory standard meeting the minimum requirements	12.0 to >0 Pts Still Developing Minimum requirements not met for this criteria	30.0 pts

Criteria	Ratings						Pts
Website: CSS selectors Demonstrated understanding and use of CSS selectors	30.0 to >27.0 Pts Exemplary	27.0 to >24.0 Pts Excellent Completed to an excellent standard above and beyond the requirements	24.0 to >21.0 Pts High Standard Completed to a high standard above the requirements	21.0 to >18.0 Pts Good Standard Completed to a good standard meeting the minimum requirements	18.0 to >12.0 Pts Satisfactory Completed to a satisfactory standard meeting the minimum requirements	12.0 to >0 Pts Still Developing Minimum requirements not met for this criteria	30.0 pts
Reflection: development process Demonstrates the evolution of the design and development process	20.0 to >18.0 Pts Exemplary	18.0 to >16.0 Pts Excellent Completed to an excellent standard above and beyond the requirements	16.0 to >14.0 Pts High Standard Completed to a high standard above the requirements	14.0 to >12.0 Pts Good Standard Completed to a good standard meeting the minimum requirements	12.0 to >8.0 Pts Satisfactory Completed to a satisfactory standard meeting the minimum requirements	8.0 to >0 Pts Still Developing Minimum requirements not met for this criteria	20.0 pts
Reflection: insightful Provides insight into the development process, including the challenges faced and solutions to those challenges	20.0 to >18.0 Pts Exemplary	18.0 to >16.0 Pts Excellent Completed to an excellent standard above and beyond the requirements	16.0 to >14.0 Pts High Standard Completed to a high standard above the requirements	14.0 to >12.0 Pts Good Standard Completed to a good standard meeting the minimum requirements	12.0 to >8.0 Pts Satisfactory Completed to a satisfactory standard meeting the minimum requirements	8.0 to >0 Pts Still Developing Minimum requirements not met for this criteria	20.0 pts
Reflection: Attention to detail Attention to detail - free from typos and errors	30.0 to >27.0 Pts Exemplary	27.0 to >24.0 Pts Excellent Completed to an excellent standard above and beyond the requirements	24.0 to >21.0 Pts High Standard Completed to a high standard above the requirements	21.0 to >18.0 Pts Good Standard Completed to a good standard meeting the minimum requirements	18.0 to >12.0 Pts Satisfactory Completed to a satisfactory standard meeting the minimum requirements	12.0 to >0 Pts Still Developing Minimum requirements not met for this criteria	30.0 pts
Total points: 230.0							