You submitted this quiz on **Mon 19 May 2014 10:06 AM IST**. You got a score of **4.00** out of **5.00**. You can attempt again in 10 minutes.

Question 1

Suppose you run a bookstore, and have ratings (1 to 5 stars) of books. Your collaborative filtering algorithm has learned a parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}$ for user j, and a feature vector $\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$ for each book. You would like to compute the "training error", meaning the average squared error of your system's predictions on all the ratings that you have gotten from your users. Which of these are correct ways of doing so (check all that apply)? For this problem, let m be the total number of ratings you have gotten from your users. (Another way of saying this is that $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n_m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} r(i,j)$). [Hint: Two of the four options below are correct.]

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
$rac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n_u}\sum_{i:r(i,j)=1}(\sum_{k=1}^n(heta^{(k)})_jx_i^{(k)}-y^{(i,j)})^2$	~	0.25	This incorrectly indexes into $\theta^{(j)}$ and $x^{(i)}$.
$lue{x_{m}} \sum_{(i,j): r(i,j)=1} ((heta^{(j)})^T x^{(i)} - r(i,j))^2$	~	0.25	This incorrectly used $r(i,j)$ as the actual rating.
$rac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n_m} \sum_{j:r(i,j)=1} (\sum_{k=1}^n (heta^{(j)})_k x_k^{(i)} - y^{(i,j)})^2$	~	0.25	This correctly sums over all ratings and computes the predicted rating with the explicit sum $\sum_{k=1}^n \theta^{(j)})_k x_k^{(i)} \cdot$
$rac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} \sum_{i:r(i,j)=1} (\sum_{k=1}^n (heta^{(j)})_k x_k^{(i)} - y^{(i,j)})^2$	*	0.25	This correctly sums over all ratings and computes the predicted rating with the explicit sum $\sum_{k=1}^n \theta^{(j)})_k x_k^{(i)} \cdot$
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question 2

In which of the following situations will a collaborative filtering system be the most appropriate learning algorithm (compared to linear or logistic regression)?

Your Answer Score **Explanation** You've written a piece 0.25 This system uses predetermined features and has only of software that has one user, so it is not a good application of downloaded news collaborative filtering. articles from many news websites. In your system, you also keep track of which articles you personally like vs. dislike, and the system also stores away features of these articles (e.g., word counts, name of author). Using this information, you want to build a system to try to find additional new articles that you personally will like. ✓ You own a clothing **✓** 0.25 By combining the reviews of all the different shoppers, store that sells many you can use collaborative filtering to find similar pairs styles and brands of that they might like. jeans. You have collected reviews of the different styles and brands from frequent shoppers, and you want to use these reviews to offer those shoppers discounts on the jeans you think they are most likely to purchase This is a good application of collaborative filtering, as ✓ You run an online 0.25 news aggregator, and for you can use the like / dislike as a rating to learn features for the articles and recommend articles similar every user, you know some subset of articles to those each user likes. that the user likes and some different subset that the user dislikes. You'd want to use this to find other articles that the user likes. ■You manage an online 0.25 This is a regression problem of predicting sales bookstore and you have volume from ratings data, so collaborative filtering is the book ratings from not applicable.

```
many users. You want to
learn to predict the
expected sales volume
(number of books sold)
as a function of the
average rating of a book.

Total

1.00 /
1.00
```

Question 3

Suppose you have two matrices A and B, where A is 5x3 and B is 3x5. Their product is C=AB, a 5x5 matrix. Furthermore, you have a 5x5 matrix R where every entry is 0 or 1. You want to find the sum of all elements C(i,j) for which the corresponding R(i,j) is 1, and ignore all elements C(i,j) where R(i,j)=0. One way to do so is the following code:

```
C = A * B;
total = 0;
for i = 1:5
  for j = 1:5
   if (R(i,j) == 1)
     total = total + C(i,j);
   end
  end
end
```

Which of the following pieces of Octave code will also correctly compute this total? Check all that apply.

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
total = sum(su m(A(R == 1) * B(R == 1));	×	0.00	You cannot use R to perform logical indexing into A and B, since R does not have the same dimension as those two matrices.
C = A * B; tota I = sum(sum(C(R == 1)));	×	0.00	This sums up all the elements in $C(R == 1)$, where the "logical indexing" expression selects only elements of C whose index matches an index in R for 1 elements.
total = sum(su m((A * B) * R));	•	0.25	Multiplying (A * B) * R will perform regular matrix multiplication and won't "mask out" entries.

total = sum(su m((A * B) .* R));	✔ 0.25	This sums up all elements of $(A * B) .* R$, where the .* operator performs element-wise multiplication, setting the elements of $A * B$ to zero that correspond to zero entries in R .
Total	0.50 / 1.00	

Question 4

You run a movie empire, and want to build a movie recommendation system based on collaborative filtering. There were three popular review websites (which we'll call A, B and C) which users to go to rate movies, and you have just acquired all three companies that run these websites. You'd like to merge the three companies' datasets together to build a single/unified system. On website A, users rank a movie as having 1 through 5 stars. On website B, users rank on a scale of 1 - 10, and decimal values (e.g., 7.5) are allowed. On website C, the ratings are from 1 to 100. You also have enough information to identify users/movies on one website with users/movies on a different website. Which of the following statements is true?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
You can combine all three training sets into one without any modification and expect high performance from a recommendation system.		
You can merge the three datasets into one, but you should first normalize each dataset's ratings (say rescale each dataset's ratings to a 0-1 range).	1 .00	By normalizing each dataset, you ensure that all ratings are on the same scale, so they are comparable during training.
You can combine all three training sets into one as long as your perform mean normalization and feature scaling after you merge the data.		
It is not possible to combine these websites' data. You must build three separate recommendation systems.		
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

Question 5

Which of the following are true of collaborative filtering systems? Check all that apply.

Your Answer		Score	Explana	tion
For collaborative filtering, the optimization algorithm you should use is gradient descent. In particular, you cannot use more advanced optimization algorithms (L-BFGS/conjugate gradient/etc.) for collaborative filtering, since you have to solve for both the $x^{(i)}$'s and $\theta^{(j)}$'s simultaneously.	~	0.25	You can compute cost fund and grad so any o advance optimizat algorithm also work	ction lient, f the d ion
When using gradient descent to train a collaborative filtering system, it is okay to initialize all the parameters $(x^{(i)}$ and $\theta^{(j)})$ to zero.	×	0.00	You need initialized to different values so that you different features parameter (i.e., per symmetry breaking)	thement o learr and ers form
Even if you each user has rated only a small fraction of all of your products so $r(i,j)=0$ for the vast majority of (i,j) pairs), you can still build a ecommender system by using collaborative filtering.	*	0.25	The collabora algorithm still lever the rating that are present to build a reasonal recomme system.	n can rage gs to
Recall that the cost function for the content-based recommendation system is $J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} \sum_{i:r(i,j)=1} \left((\theta^{(j)})^T x^{(i)} - y^{(i,j)} \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} \sum_{k=1}^n (\theta^{(j)})^T x^{(i)} - y^{(i,j)} $ Suppose there is only one user and he has rated every movie in the raining set. This implies that $n_u = 1$ and $r(i,j) = 1$ for every i,j . In this case, the cost function $J(\theta)$ is equivalent to the one used for regularized near regression.	×	0.00	In this ca the cost function just a sur squared difference between predictio	is m of es

	$ heta^T x$ and to vaue y ; this is exactly linear regression
Total	0.50 / 1.00