Feedback — II. Linear regression with one variable

Help

You submitted this quiz on **Tue 18 Mar 2014 2:32 PM IST**. You got a score of **5.00** out of **5.00**.

Question 1

Consider the problem of predicting how well a student does in her second year of college/university, given how well they did in their first year. Specifically, let x be equal to the number of "A" grades (including A-. A and A+ grades) that a student receives in their first year of college (freshmen year). We would like to predict the value of y, which we define as the number of "A" grades they get in their second year (sophomore year).

Questions 1 through 4 will use the following training set of a small sample of different students' performances. Here each row is one training example. Recall that in linear regression, our hypothesis is $h_\theta(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$, and we use m to denote the number of training examples.

x	y
3	4
2	1
4	3
0	1

For the training set given above, what is the value of m? In the box below, please enter your answer (which should be a number between 0 and 10).

You entered:

4

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
4	✔	1.00	
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question Explanation

m is the number of training examples. In this example, we have m=4 examples.

Question 2

For this question, continue to assume that we are using the training set given above. Recall our definition of the cost function was $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$. What is $J(0, 1)$? In the box below, please enter your answer (use decimals instead of fractions if necessary, e.g., 1.5).

You entered:

0.5

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
0.5	✔	1.00	
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question Explanation

When $\theta_0 = 0$ and $\theta_1 = 1$, we have $h_\theta(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x = x$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(\theta_0, \theta_1) &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2 * 4} \left((1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{4}{8} \\
&= 0.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Question 3

Suppose we set $\theta_0 = 0, \theta_1 = 1.5$. What is $h_\theta(2)$?

You entered:

3

Your Answer	Score	Explanation

3	✔	1.00
Total		1.00 / 1.00

Question Explanation

Setting $x = 2$, we have $h_\theta(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x = 0 + 1.5 * 2 = 3$

Question 4

Let f be some function so that $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ outputs a number. For this problem, f is some arbitrary/unknown smooth function (not necessarily the cost function of linear regression, so f may have local optima). Suppose we use gradient descent to try to minimize $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ as a function of θ_0 and θ_1. Which of the following statements are true? (Check all that apply.)

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
☑ If the first few iterations of gradient descent cause $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ to **increase** rather than decrease, then the most likely cause is that we have set the learning rate α to too large a value.	✔	0.25	If alpha were small enough, then gradient descent should always successfully take a tiny small downhill and decrease f(\theta_0,\theta_1) at least a little bit. If gradient descent instead increases the objective value, that means alpha is too large (or you have a bug in your code!).
☐ Even if the learning rate α is very large, every iteration of gradient descent will decrease the value of $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$.	✔	0.25	If the learning rate α is too large, one step of gradient descent can actually vastly "overshoot", and actuall increase the value of $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$.
☑ If θ_0 and θ_1 are initialized at the global minimum, the one iteration will not change their values.	✔	0.25	At the global minimum, the derivative (gradient) is zero, so gradient descent will not change the parameters.

Setting the learning rate α to be very small is not harmful, and can only speed up the convergence of gradient descent.	✔	0.25	If the learning rate is small, gradient descent ends up taking an extremely small step on each iteration, so this would actually slow down (rather than speed up) the convergence of the algorithm.
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question 5

Suppose that for some linear regression problem (say, predicting housing prices as in the lecture), we have some training set, and for our training set we managed to find some θ_0, θ_1 such that $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$. Which of the statements below must then be true? (Check all that apply.)

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
Gradient descent is likely to get stuck at a local minimum and fail to find the global minimum.	✔	0.25	The cost function $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ for linear regression has no local optima (other than the global minimum), so gradient descent will not get stuck at a bad local minimum.
For this to be true, we must have $\theta_0 = 0$ and $\theta_1 = 0$ so that $h_\theta(x) = 0$	✔	0.25	If $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$, that means the line defined by the equation "$y = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$" perfectly fits all of our data. There's no particular reason to expect that the values of θ_0 and θ_1 that achieve this are both 0 (unless $y^{(i)} = 0$ for all of our training examples).
This is not possible: By the definition of $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$, it is not possible for there to exist θ_0 and θ_1 so that $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$	✔	0.25	If all of our training examples lie perfectly on a line, then $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$ is possible.
Our training set can be fit perfectly	✔	0.25	If $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$, that means the line defined by the equation "$y = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$" perfectly fits all of our data.

by a straight line, i.e., all of our training examples lie perfectly on some straight line.	
Total	1.00 / 1.00