

# Employee Attrition Risk Analysis

---

## INTRODUCTION

### Objective of the Analysis

Identify employees who are at risk of leaving the company.

### Approach Used

Random Forest, Decision Tree, and Logistic Regression.

The analysis was conducted on a sample consisting of 33 former employees and a population of 91 current employees.

Variables included: age, tenure in the company, department, and salary.

Note: The model was trained on the full dataset without a train/test split, as the goal was to identify employees at risk using all available data.

## COMMON FINDINGS ACROSS ALL THREE MODELS

1. Tenure is the most important risk factor across all three models:

- Random Forest: Most important feature (over 40%)
  - Decision Tree: Appears at the first split
  - Logistic Regression: Negative coefficient – longer tenure = lower risk
- \*Conclusion: Employees with shorter tenure are at higher risk of leaving.

2. Salary is also a highly significant variable:

- Random Forest: Second most influential (~25%)
  - Decision Tree: Appears in multiple branches
  - Logistic Regression: Negative coefficient – higher salary = lower risk
- \*Conclusion: Lower salary may indicate dissatisfaction and higher risk.

3. Age has moderate impact:

- Random Forest: Third in importance
  - Tree and logistic: Present but not dominant
- \*Conclusion: Younger employees may be less stable, but this is less important than tenure and salary.

4. Department plays a smaller, yet relevant role:

- Logistic Regression: Some departments have positive coefficients → higher risk
- Random Forest: Department is less important than numeric variables

- Decision Tree: Appears less frequently depending on encoding
- \*Conclusion: Department has some impact, but it's secondary to tenure and salary.

## STABLE EMPLOYEE PROFILE

An employee with very low predicted attrition risk across all three models:

- Long tenure: Strong indicator of stability
- Higher salary: Associated with greater satisfaction and loyalty
- Age: Often over 40, but less influential than tenure and salary
- Department: Departments such as Department 1 and 2 – often with negative coefficients
- Prediction: None of the models flags the employee as at-risk
- \*Conclusion: These are experienced, well-paid, older employees in stable departments. All models predict low attrition risk.

## AT-RISK EMPLOYEE PROFILE

An employee flagged as at-risk, especially by the Random Forest model:

- Short tenure (e.g., 0–5 years): Strongest risk indicator across all models
- Low salary (below average): Often a sign of dissatisfaction
- Younger (20–35): More likely to switch jobs
- Department 3 or 4: Have the highest positive coefficients in LR
- Prediction: Flagged by Random Forest and at least one other model
- \*Conclusion: These are newer, lower-paid, younger employees in more dynamic departments. They are ideal targets for proactive retention strategies.

## Risk Group Classification Criteria

Condition (Flagged as Risk)	Risk Level
✓ All 3 models	Very High Risk
✓ Random Forest + (Tree or LR)	High Risk
✓ Only Random Forest	Medium-High Risk
✓ Tree + LR (without RF)	Medium Risk
✓ Only one model (Tree or LR)	Low Risk
✗ No model	Stable