

The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Department of Computer Science
and Engineering

CENG2400 Embedded System Design
Final Project - Laser Turret
Report

Project Demo Date: 13 Dec 2024

Project Report Deadline: 20 Dec 2024 23:59

1155193237 - Yu Ching Hei (chyu2@cse.cuhk.edu.hk)
1155223226 - Tam Yiu Hei (1155223226@link.cuhk.edu.hk)
1155194650 - Leung Chung Wang (1155194650@link.cuhk.edu.hk)

Source code and project history is available on GitHub:
https://github.com/Jellyfish227/CENG2400_Embedded_System_Design.git

Under the kind guidance of
Prof. Yang Ming Chang

Thank you for the help provided also by teaching assistants
Prof. Yang Ming Chang

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Division of Work	2
3	Challenges during implementation	2
3.1	Transmission of Data	2
3.1.1	First edition of data transmission formatting	3
3.1.2	Second edition of data transmission formatting	3
3.1.3	Slave end: data receiving error checking	3
3.1.4	Slave end: try using lock to make data receiving atomic	4
3.1.5	Slave end: use polling to receive data instead of interrupt	4
3.1.6	Slave end: data integrity checking	4
3.1.7	Final adjustment	5
3.2	MPU Connection	5
4	Conclusion	6

1 Introduction

The CENG2400 final project involved implementing a gyroscope-controlled laser turret that uses the MPU6050 to direct the laser. Our goal was to create a responsive turret for maximizing hits.

The workflow consists of two phases:

1. **Master End:** We collect and process gyro and accelerometer data from the MPU6050, then transmit the calculated angles via UART.
2. **Slave End:** The angles are received and converted into PWM signals to control the servo motors.

This report outlines our challenges faced and insights gained during implementation, aiming to deliver a functional motion-controlled laser turret.

2 Division of Work

Task	Person In Charge
Master End	Yu Ching Hei, Tam Yiu Hei
Slave End	Yu Ching Hei, Leung Chung Wang
Report	Yu Ching Hei, Tam Yiu Hei, Leung Chung Wang

3 Challenges during implementation

3.1 Transmission of Data

3.1.1 First edition of data transmission formatting

```
1 /* Commit hash: , main_mpu.c */
2 void sendData(float yawAngle, float pitchAngle) {
3     char data[22];
4     sprintf(data, "%.10f,%.10f", yawAngle, pitchAngle);
5     char* chp = data;
6     while (*chp)
7         UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, *chp++);
8 }
```

In this initial implementation, we formatted the yaw and pitch angles into a string using `sprintf`. While this allowed us to send the angles with high precision (10 decimal places), it led to challenges in data integrity when multiple messages were sent in quick succession. The function did not account for the timing of data transmission, resulting in potential overlaps or losses.

3.1.2 Second edition of data transmission formatting

```
1 /* Commit hash: , main_mpu.c */
2 void sendData(float yawAngle, float pitchAngle) {
3     /* Previous code */
4     while (*chp)
5         UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, *chp++);
6     UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, '\n'); // Send newline character to mark
    end of transmission
7 }
```

In this update, we added a newline character to indicate the end of the transmission. However, we still faced issues with the second set of data arriving before the first could be processed, leading to instability. Ensuring that data was transmitted and received in an orderly manner became a critical challenge.

3.1.3 Slave end: data receiving error checking

```
1 /* Commit hash: , main_servo.c */
2 while (true) {
3     degreeArr[0] = atoi(charYaw);
4     degreeArr[1] = atoi(charPitch);
5
6     if (degreeArr[0] != prevAngle[0] || degreeArr[1] != prevAngle[1]) {
7         yaw_duty_cycle = angleToPWMDutyCycle(degreeArr[0]);
8         PWMPulseWidthSet(PWM1_BASE, PWM_OUT_1, PWMGenPeriodGet(
PWM1_BASE, PWM_GEN_0) * yaw_duty_cycle);
9         pitch_duty_cycle = angleToPWMDutyCycle(degreeArr[1]);
10        PWMPulseWidthSet(PWM1_BASE, PWM_OUT_0, PWMGenPeriodGet(
PWM1_BASE, PWM_GEN_0) * pitch_duty_cycle);
11        prevAngle[0] = degreeArr[0];
12        prevAngle[1] = degreeArr[1];
13    }
14 }
```

Here, we attempted to integrate servo control with the received angle data. The logic was incomplete, and we needed to ensure that the correct angles were sent to the servo controller without data loss. The challenge was ensuring that data from the UART was

processed correctly before new data was received, especially when the system was under load.

3.1.4 Slave end: try using lock to make data receiving atomic

```
1 /* Commit hash: , main_servo.c */
2 void UART5IntHandler(void) {
3     uint32_t ui32Status = UARTIntStatus(UART5_BASE, true);
4     UARTIntClear(UART5_BASE, ui32Status);
5     isFinished = 0; // Reset flag
6
7     uint32_t charCount = 0;
8     if (processLock) {
9         char b = UARTCharGet(UART5_BASE);
10        charCount++;
11    }
12    isFinished = 1;
13    processLock = 0; // Release lock
14 }
```

In this attempt, we introduced a locking mechanism to handle data reception more effectively. This approach aimed to prevent data loss by ensuring that the system only processed one set of data at a time. However, we still faced issues with data integrity, especially when the UART buffer was filled with new incoming data before the previous data was fully processed.

3.1.5 Slave end: use polling to receive data instead of interrupt

```
1 /* Commit hash: , main_mpu.c */ //FIXME: wrong code included
2 void sendData(int yawAngle, int pitchAngle) {
3     char data[8]; // Increased buffer size for safety
4     while (!UARTSpaceAvail(UART5_BASE)) {}
5     snprintf(data, sizeof(data), "%03.0f,%03.0f", roundf(yawAngle),
6     roundf(pitchAngle));
7     char *chp = data;
8     while (*chp) {
9         UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, *chp++);
10    }
11    UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, '/'); // End of data
12 }
```

We decided to use a polling mechanism instead of relying on interrupts to avoid data integrity issues. By sending the yaw and pitch angles as ASCII characters followed by a delimiter (/), we aimed to ensure that each data packet was correctly terminated. However, ensuring that no data was lost during transmission remained a challenge.

3.1.6 Slave end: data integrity checking

```
1 /* Commit hash: , main_servo.c */
2 while (true) {
3     while (UARTCharsAvail(UART5_BASE)) {
4         char b = UARTCharGet(UART5_BASE);
5         degreeArr[isPitch] = b;
6         isPitch = !isPitch;
7         idx++;
8         if (b == '/' && idx % 3 != 0) {
```

```
9         // Logic to ensure data is valid
10     }
11 }
12 }
```

In this code, we implemented checks to verify the integrity of received data. We ensured that only complete and correctly formatted data packets were processed by checking for start and end indicators. However, we still faced challenges in ensuring that the data received from the MPU6050 sensor was not corrupted by noise, often leading to missed or incorrect angle readings.

3.1.7 Final adjustment

```
1 /* Commit hash: , main_mpu.c */
2 char const START_INDICATOR = 254;
3 char const END_INDICATOR = 255;
4 void sendData(int yawAngle, int pitchAngle) {
5     while (!UARTSpaceAvail(UART5_BASE)) {}
6     UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, START_INDICATOR);
7     UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, (yawAngle >> 8) & 0xFF);
8     UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, yawAngle & 0xFF);
9     UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, (pitchAngle >> 8) & 0xFF);
10    UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, pitchAngle & 0xFF);
11    while (!UARTSpaceAvail(UART5_BASE)) {
12        UARTCharPut(UART5_BASE, END_INDICATOR);
13    }
14 }
```

In the final adjustments, we emphasized starting and ending indicators for each data transmission. By sending the yaw and pitch angles as separate bytes, we improved the clarity of the data being transmitted. This method aimed to reduce confusion and ensure that the receiving end could accurately reconstruct the angles. Through this iterative process, we learned the importance of robust error handling and effective communication strategies in embedded systems.

3.2 MPU Connection

In our project, we faced challenges due to noise interference in receiving data from the MPU6050 sensor. The flag `g_bMPU6050Done` is used to indicate when the sensor has been successfully initialized, but due to noise, this flag often failed to be set, causing the system to hang indefinitely.

```
1 /* Commit hash: , main_mpu.c */
2 g_bMPU6050Done = false;
3 MPU6050Init(&sMPU6050, &g_sI2CSimpleInst, 0x68, MPU6050Callback, &
4             sMPU6050);
5 while (!g_bMPU6050Done) {
6     // Waiting for initialization to complete
7 }
```

On the above code, the while loop waits for `g_bMPU6050Done` to turn true. However, due to noise, this flag might never be set, leading to a situation where the system appears unresponsive. To mitigate this issue, we implemented a delay of 500 ms. This was chosen through binary search. A value too low results in unresponsive behavior, while a value too high make the system too sensitive to noise. Ultimately, this approach ensures reliable

data reception from the MPU6050, balancing responsiveness and stability in the presence of noise.

4 Conclusion

To sum up, our last work was to create a working prototype of a laser turret that utilizes a gyroscopic system based off the MPU6050 sensor and the Tiva C LaunchPad. This achievement was possible due to the structured sequence of activities which included collecting, processing and transmitting data in such a way that the system was able to point a laser at any direction accordingly to the movement.

Even with problems arising with data consistency, the timing of communications and noise sources, we were still able to rectify problems by adopting a more pliable approach to problems during the iterations which then greatly increased system performances. The given project increased our level of development in embedded systems, while participating as well and working in a team. Overall, the hardware-software integration in mobile systems was a great experience for us, and, no doubt, will lay down a solid base for us in similar projects shortly.