Assessment Schedule – 2023 Scholarship French (93004)

Question One		
Performance not at Scholarship level	Scholarship Performance	Outstanding Performance
The candidate:	The candidate:	The candidate:
makes errors that may hinder communication	effectively communicates, in a natural way, and in a manner that is fluent and flexible	 effectively communicates, with sophistication and style, in a natural way, and in a manner that is sustained, fluent, and flexible
expresses some personal opinions, beliefs, viewpoints, or ideas	develops and integrates personal opinions, beliefs, viewpoints, or ideas	 develops and integrates sophisticated personal opinions, beliefs, viewpoints, or ideas that are perceptive and insightful
demonstrates some independent thinking	demonstrates aspects of high-level analysis and critical thinking	 demonstrates aspects of high-level analysis and critical thinking
uses a (limited) range of structures and vocabulary that are only sometimes integrated into the response	uses a wide variety of complex structures and vocabulary up to and including Curriculum Level 8 or equivalent that is well-integrated into a synthesised response	uses a very wide variety of complex structures and vocabulary up to and including Curriculum Level 8 or equivalent, that is well integrated into a high-level synthesised response
inconsistently and/or partially interprets the stimulus material and occasionally makes connections with their own ideas	interprets the stimulus material and makes connections with their own ideas that go beyond the given material	fully interprets the stimulus material and makes connections with their own ideas that go beyond the given material and that demonstrate independent reflection
assembles ideas that are limited or partially	engages the audience throughout the response	captivates the audience throughout the response
developed and that only sometimes go beyond the given stimulus material.	 demonstrates highly developed knowledge and skills in written language 	 demonstrates sophisticated knowledge and skills in written language
	expresses ideas with precision and clarity	expresses ideas with precision and clarity, in a
	 makes logical, clear, concise, and relevant use of written language. 	convincing way
		 makes logical, clear, concise, and relevant use of written language.
Score 1, 2, 3 or 4	Score 5 or 6	Score 7 or 8

Question One

Expliquez la différence de point de vue entre Thomas et Lina.

En réfléchissant à vos propres expériences, pensez-vous que votre choix d'école secondaire a limité ou a enrichi votre éducation ?

Possible evidence: Responses are not limited to these examples and should be judged holistically.

Scholarship Performance	Outstanding Performance
The candidate identifies most of the main points from the text and expands on them with: • clear examples from the text linked with other knowledge and personal opinion, e.g., boys play football; girls dance – stereotypes in society, Thomas's daughter's experience, Lina's son's experience; Lina believes a fundamental reason mixed schools are good is because they prepare you for life in society – why educate separately, especially at an age when identity is establishing? • personal reflection , giving illustration from own school experience	 Candidate summarises the text and: draws conclusions and goes beyond the text, offering differing viewpoints as contrast / alternatives, e.g., bullying can happen anywhere, students can thrive in both single-sex and mixed environments, may use examples of people known to them who illustrate their point opens up the debate that New Zealand has more single-sex schools than some countries – is this a dated idea in itself? Brings in that historically single-sex schools were the norm, possible private school advantages
 conclusions, e.g., there are positives and negatives in both school systems: lack of opportunities in single-sex or mixed schools, peer pressure to 'conform', bullying happens everywhere. evidence of critical thinking – explores other models of education, e.g., immersion. 	 uses concrete examples as a basis for discussion e.g., Thomas says, but Lina says, though I think might mention ethics, happiness, mental health of children, rich vs poor, equal education for all shows evidence of independent reflection, e.g., international school systems.

Question Two		
Performance not at Scholarship level	Scholarship Performance	Outstanding Performance
The candidate:	The candidate:	The candidate:
demonstrates superficial or limited understanding of the text	demonstrates understanding of the text and justifies their own argument(s) in a coherent way	demonstrates understanding of the text and inferences, and justifies their argument(s) in a sustained, convincing and coherent way
inconsistently and/or partially interprets the stimulus material and occasionally makes connections with their own ideas	interprets the stimulus material and makes connections with their own ideas that go beyond the given material	interprets and evaluates the stimulus material and makes connections with their own ideas that go beyond the given material and that demonstrate independent reflection and extrapolation
 assembles ideas that are limited or partially developed and that only sometimes go beyond the given stimulus material 	assembles ideas with precision and clarity in a logical manner, through a synthesised response to the question; arguments are supported by examples that are evaluated	assembles ideas with precision and clarity in a logical and seamless manner, through a deliberate, synthesised response to the question; arguments are supported by examples that are effectively evaluated; implications are drawn
 offers arguments that are unclear or are not supported by effective examples presents a descriptive, rather than analytical, response. 	 develops and integrates personal opinions, beliefs, viewpoints or ideas that acknowledge and explore different perspectives and that go beyond the given material. 	develops and integrates sophisticated personal opinions, beliefs, viewpoints, or ideas that are perceptive and insightful and that investigate and extensively explore different perspectives
		 demonstrates insight and independent reflection at the highest level.
Score 1, 2, 3 or 4	Score 5 or 6	Score 7 or 8

Question Two

Explain how social origin and school success are linked according to the text. In your opinion, should we talk about meritocracy in the school system?

Possible evidence: Responses are not limited to these examples and should be judged holistically.

Scholarship Performance

The candidate **justifies** and **supports** their statements, and **expands** on their opinions. The candidate may:

- comment on the PISA study, e.g., international benchmark for schools that tests
 every four years in reading, maths, and science, shows the link between
 privileged and disadvantaged backgrounds, and that the home situation impacts
 educational outcomes, important because it's used around the world as a
 benchmark
- comment on Ashan, e.g., year 10, lives with Mum (Moroccan with little French language who doesn't read well and works late as cleaner), home alone, plays video games, little homework done, never been to a museum, doesn't go to the library
- comment on Lucie, e.g., aged 15, lives with Mum (philosophy teacher) and Dad (engineer – both university educated), has to do homework before phone time, parents help if needed, introduced to sports
- discuss and challenge the concept of meritocracy they would be expected to mention some reasons for their thoughts. Candidates should include advantages and disadvantages of this system.

Outstanding Performance

The candidate **fully answers** the question. They are more **original** and **organised** in their thoughts and draw from a **broader range of examples** to illustrate their points. Their answer is synthesised with pros and cons blended with their personal opinion, thoughts, and ideas. In particular, the candidate may:

- raise questions on the international scale:, e.g., rich / poor countries, ethnic
 minorities, impact of multilingualism and multiculturalism, indigenous cultures
 rich in knowledge is this a westernised approach to education? Are there other
 ways to show knowledge?
- open the debate on meritocracy in school, e.g., current system is unfair, you shouldn't need a privileged background to thrive in education, or to have help from family contacts to get started; intelligent children around the world should be able to access high-level education regardless of their background or parental support (though rich parents can sometimes just throw money at a child and it doesn't help).

Question Three		
Performance not at Scholarship level	Scholarship Performance	Outstanding Performance
The candidate:	The candidate:	The candidate:
communicates with limited confidence in a manner that is hesitant	 effectively communicates in a manner that is natural, fluent, and flexible 	effectively communicates with sophistication and style, in a manner that is natural, fluent, and flexible
expresses ideas without a coherent or logical sequence; inconsistently engages the intended audience	expresses ideas with precision and clarity	expresses ideas with precision and clarity, in a convincing way
inconsistently or partially interprets the stimulus material and occasionally makes connections with their own ideas	interprets the stimulus material and makes connections with their own ideas that go beyond the given material	fully interprets the stimulus material and makes connections with their own ideas that go beyond the given material and that demonstrate independent reflection and extrapolation
uses a limited range of structures, vocabulary, and occasional (or little) use of idiomatic expressions	uses a wide variety of complex structures and vocabulary up to and including Curriculum Level 8 or equivalent, that is well-integrated into a synthesised response	uses a very wide variety of complex structures and vocabulary up to and including Curriculum Level 8 or equivalent, that is well-integrated into a high-level synthesised response
speaks with incorrect intonation; accent affects communication; fails to self-correct.	speaks clearly and concisely with correct intonation; accent has little effect on communication; self-corrects as necessary	speaks clearly and concisely with correct intonation; accent has no effect on communication; self-corrects as necessary
	 uses language appropriately, such as idiomatic expressions, fillers, and pauses that fit the context. 	uses language appropriately, such as idiomatic expressions, fillers, and pauses that fit the context.
Score 1, 2, 3 or 4	Score 5 or 6	Score 7 or 8

Question Three

« L'accès à l'éducation un droit pour tous.» – UNESCO

Pensez-vous être un(e) élève favorisé(e) ou défavorisé(e). Justifiez votre réponse.

Possible evidence: Responses are not limited to these examples and should be judged holistically.

Scholarship Performance	Outstanding Performance
The candidate:	The candidate:
 consistently uses examples from the reading and listening texts, linking to own ideas that go beyond the stimulus material 	will use similar information to other candidates, but will cite relevant examples showing their ability, and will consider possible scenarios
• starts to link examples from the texts and their own examples to their opinions, e.g., explaining their own school experience (single-sex / co-ed), explaining the	will demonstrate a high level of sophistication and a high level of fluency in their spoken response
family situation	might take a more balanced approach, weighing a range of facets / aspects
 attempts to define "un(e) élève favorisé(e) ou défavorisé(e)". 	 might articulate a passionate response with well-constructed arguments backed with examples
	might pose questions to open the debate and contrast the texts to their own experience in New Zealand.

Cut scores

Scholarship	Outstanding Scholarship
14 – 21	22 – 24