

**Assessment Schedule – 2020****Scholarship Geography (93401)****Evidence****Question ONE**

Critically analyse and justify the most significant cultural process that contributes to climate change.

*(Critical analysis requires the careful examination of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements. To be successful, the candidate needs to be critical throughout – this should be woven through and not just dropped in as a conclusion.)*

Score		
Outstanding Scholarship	8	<p>Revolves around a critical analysis approach.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided and from beyond.</p> <p>Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated / used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals may be adapted or original. These must be referred to.</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• sophisticated integration and abstraction</li> <li>• perception and insight</li> <li>• convincing communication.</li> </ul>
	7	<p>Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements for 8 as above but:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• may be confusing in parts or lack clarity</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• does not revolve around critical analysis consistently</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• visuals may not be effective but must be referred to.</li> </ul>
Scholarship	6	<p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.</p> <p>Includes appropriate visuals. Visuals may be adapted or original. These must be referred to.</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• analysis and critical thinking</li> <li>• integration and application of a range of ideas</li> <li>• logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.</li> </ul>
	5	<p>Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements for 6 as above but:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• may be confusing in parts or lack clarity</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• does not revolve around critical analysis</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• is lacking in visuals.</li> </ul>
Below Scholarship	4	<p>Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.</p> <p>Includes relevant visuals.</p>
	3	<p>Very little depth.</p> <p>May include visuals.</p>
	2	<p>Some critical analysis mentioned and explained.</p> <p>May not include visuals, or they may be copied or not relevant.</p>
	1	<p>Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.</p>
	0	<p>Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.</p>

**Question TWO**

*Perspectives are bodies of thought, theories, or world views that shape people's values.*

With reference to different perspectives, have positive impacts on society from the use of fossil fuels outweighed the negative impacts? Discuss.

Score		
Outstanding Scholarship	8	Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided and from beyond. Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated / used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals may be adapted or original. These must be referred to. Response demonstrates ALL of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• sophisticated integration and abstraction</li> <li>• perception and insight</li> <li>• convincing communication.</li> </ul>
	7	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements for 8 as above, but may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity.
Scholarship	6	Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Includes appropriate visuals. Visuals may be adapted or original. Response demonstrates ALL of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• integration and application of a range of ideas through perspectives (from provided materials and case study)</li> <li>• logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.</li> </ul>
	5	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements for 6 as above, but may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity.
Below Scholarship	4	Discusses through perspectives, refers to specific evidence and incorporates some specific evidence. Includes relevant visuals.
	3	Some discussion. Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence. May include visuals.
	2	Little discussion. May not include visuals, or they may be copied or not relevant.
	1	Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.
	0	Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.

**Question THREE**

With reference to different perspectives, critically evaluate the predicted future impact of climate change on human societies across the globe. Who will be most affected?

*(The candidate critically evaluates by weighing up information, presenting a range of information supported by specific content that leads to a balanced and well-debated / well-argued response. Their argument should be shaped through perspectives.)*

Score		
Outstanding Scholarship	8	Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond. Response demonstrates ALL of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• sophisticated integration and abstraction</li> <li>• perception and insight</li> <li>• convincing communication.</li> </ul>
	7	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements for 8 as above, but may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity.
Scholarship	6	Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Response demonstrates ALL of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• critical evaluation and critical thinking through perspectives</li> <li>• integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives</li> <li>• logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.</li> </ul>
	5	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements for 6 as above, but: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity</li> </ul> OR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ideas are not well integrated</li> </ul> OR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• does not revolve around a critical evaluation through perspectives.</li> </ul>
Below Scholarship	4	Critical evaluation through perspectives. Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.
	3	Some critical evaluation (mainly descriptive). Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.
	2	Some evaluation (descriptive).
	1	Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.
	0	Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.

**Cut Scores**

Scholarship	Outstanding Scholarship
14 – 18	19 – 24