## Assessment Schedule - 2023

# **Scholarship Classical Studies (93404)**

### **Evidence**

### Section A

Each of the two responses in Section A is marked against the performance descriptors defined below and awarded a score.

	Score	Performance descriptor	
Outstanding Scholarship	8	The candidate critically evaluates ideas and values of the classical world, referring to a wide range of primary, and where appropriate, secondary evidence  AND  demonstrates an ability to articulate a complex perspective, involving subtlety of understanding, an awareness of subtext, and historical empathy  AND  develops a structured and balanced argument, incorporating elements of independent reflection  AND  communicates ideas with precision, originality, and a degree of expressiveness that focuses the	
	7	reader's attention on salient points.  The candidate's response fulfils most of the requirements for descriptor 8, but: contains minor inaccuracies of fact or interpretation  OR lacks sustained reference to source evidence  OR has some minor failure of critical evaluation  OR lacks a degree of literary flair and originality.	
Scholarship	6	The candidate critically evaluates ideas and values of the classical world, referring to a range of primary, and where appropriate, secondary evidence  AND  develops a clear and logical argument, demonstrating awareness of the strengths and limitations of source evidence  AND  uses a range of evidence to explain links and interrelationships  AND  is fluent and coherent.	
	5	The candidate's response fulfils most of the requirements for descriptor 6, but: has some inadequacy of critical evaluation  OR deals inadequately with an important point  OR has some unsupported generalisations  OR communicates ideas clearly, but is not consistently convincing or precise.	

rship	4	The candidate evaluates ideas and values of the classical world, demonstrating some knowledge of primary and/or secondary sources
		AND
		discusses evidence methodically, drawing on knowledge of classical contexts
		AND
		develops a coherent argument, substantiated by some source evidence
		AND
		uses evidence to explain links
		AND
ola		communicates ideas coherently, but is not consistently focused or attentive to detail.
Below Scholarship	3	The candidate's response fulfils most of the requirements for descriptor 4, but:
		fails to make a critical evaluation or apply evidence accurately
		OR
		is incomplete
		OR
		does not consistently communicate ideas with clarity.
	2	The candidate's response shows limited understanding relevant to the question. Some evidence is included, but ideas are not analysed.
	1	The candidate's response contains a minimal amount of relevant evidence.
	0	Blank booklet or irrelevant response.

### Section B

The response in Section B is marked against the performance descriptors defined below and awarded a score.

	Score	Performance descriptor
Outstanding Scholarship	8	The candidate interprets the source material provided with insight and perception, drawing on in-depth understanding of the ideas and values of the classical world  AND  demonstrates an awareness of subtext and historical empathy  AND  presents a balanced viewpoint, incorporating elements of independent reflection  AND  communicates ideas with precision and originality.
	7	The candidate's response fulfils most of the requirements for descriptor 8, but: contains minor inaccuracies of fact or interpretation  OR  does not consistently demonstrate subtlety of understanding  OR  lacks a degree of literary flair and originality.
Scholarship	6	The candidate interprets the source material provided with a degree of perception, drawing on informed understanding of the ideas and values of the classical world  AND  demonstrates awareness of the strengths and limitations of source evidence  AND  uses a range of evidence to explain links and interrelationships  AND  is fluent and coherent.
	5	The candidate's response fulfils most of the requirements for descriptor 6, but: has some inadequacy of critical interpretation  OR deals inadequately with an important point  OR has some unsupported generalisations  OR communicates ideas clearly, but is not consistently convincing or precise.

### Scholarship Classical Studies (93404) 2023 — page 4 of 4

lip	4	The candidate interprets the source material provided methodically, drawing on general knowledge of the ideas and values of the classical world  AND  shows some understanding of significant themes and/or concepts, and an ability to identify a historical viewpoint  AND
		uses evidence to explain links
		AND
arsh		communicates ideas coherently, but is not consistently focused and/or attentive to detail.
Below Scholarship	3	The candidate's response fulfils most of the requirements for descriptor 4, but:
		interprets the source material provided simplistically or selectively  OR
		is incomplete
		OR
		does not consistently communicate ideas with clarity.
	2	The candidate's response shows limited understanding relevant to the question. Some of the source material provided is examined, but ideas are not analysed.
	1	The candidate's response contains a minimal amount of relevant evidence.
	0	Blank booklet or irrelevant response.

#### **Cut Scores**

Scholarship	Outstanding Scholarship
14 – 18	19 – 24