Assessment Schedule – 2018

Scholarship Geography (93401)

Evidence Statement

Question	Score	Expectations
ONE		Critically evaluate the importance of different geographic processes that have led to issues related to quality of fresh water supplies.
		Critical evaluation and justification require the weighing-up of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements.
		To be successful, the candidate needs to evaluate throughout – their evaluation needs to be woven through and not just be dropped in as a conclusion.
	8	The candidate critically evaluates the importance of different geographic processes that have led to issues related to quality of fresh water supplies.
		Revolves around a "critical evaluation and justification" approach.
		Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond.
		Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated/used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals may be adapted or original. These must be referred to.
		Response demonstrates ALL of:
Outstanding		sophisticated integration and abstraction
Performance		perception and insight
		convincing communication.
	7	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements to be awarded a score of 8 as above, <u>but</u> :
		may be confusing in parts or lack clarity
		or
		does not revolve around evaluation and justification consistently
		Or
		visuals may not be effective but must be referred to. The state of the state
	6	The candidate critically evaluates the importance of different geographic processes that have led to issues related to quality of fresh water supplies.
		Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.
		Includes appropriate visuals. Visuals may be adapted or original. These must be referred to.
		Response demonstrates ALL of:
		analysis and critical thinking
Scholarship		integration and application of a range of ideas
		logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.
	5	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements to be awarded a score of 6 as above, but:
		may be confusing in parts or lack clarity
		or
		does not revolve around critical evaluation and justifications
		or
		• is lacking in visuals.
	4	The candidate critically evaluates the importance of different geographic processes that have led to issues related to quality of fresh water supplies.
		Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.
		Includes relevant visuals.

Scholarship Geography (93401) 2018 — page 2 of 6

Question One (cont'd)

3	The candidate critically evaluates the importance of different geographic processes that have led to issues related to quality of fresh water supplies. Very little depth. May include visuals.
2	Some evaluation and justification mentioned and explained. May not include visuals, or they may be copied, or not relevant.
1	Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.
0	Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.

Question	Score	Expectations
TWO		Apply a range of perspectives to critically analyse the impacts of freshwater issues on people.
		Presenting a range of information supported by specific content.
		There is a range of possible responses.
	8	The candidate critically analyses the impacts of fresh water issues on people, with reference to a wide range of perspectives.
		Clearly identifies a range of different perspectives, by providing specific examples and integrating them.
		Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond.
		Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated/used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals may be adapted or original. These must be referred to.
Outstanding Performance		Response demonstrates ALL of:
renomiance		sophisticated integration and abstraction
		perception and insight
		convincing communication.
	7	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements to be awarded a score of 8 as above, but:
		may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity
		or
		does not fully integrate all the perspectives.
	6	The candidate critically analyses the impacts of fresh water issues on people, with reference to a range of perspectives.
		Clearly identifies some perspectives.
		Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.
		Includes appropriate visuals. Visuals may be adapted or original.
		Response demonstrates ALL of:
Cabalamahim		 integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives (from provided materials or case study)
Scholarship		logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.
	5	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements to be awarded a score of 6 as above, but:
		may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity
		or
		perspectives have been identified
		or
		lacking in visuals.
	4	The candidate critically analyses the impacts of fresh water issues on people, with reference to a range of perspectives.
		Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.
		Includes relevant visuals.

Scholarship Geography (93401) 2018 — page 4 of 6

Question Two (cont'd)

	3	The candidate critically analyses the impacts of fresh water issues on people, with reference to a range of perspectives.
		Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.
		May include visuals.
	2	The candidate critically analyses the impacts of fresh water issues on people, with reference to a range of perspectives.
		May not include visuals, or they may be copied, or not relevant.
	1	Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.
	0	Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.

Question	Score	Expectations
THREE		Considering future global development, can we ensure fresh water sustainability? Discuss.
		The candidate discusses whether or not humans can ensure fresh water sustainability with future global development, by presenting a range of information supported by specific content that leads to a balanced and well-debated, or argued response.
		There is a wide range of possible responses.
	8	The candidate discusses, considering future global development, whether we can ensure fresh water sustainability.
		The candidate's opinion is backed up with evidence, which is clear and well argued.
		Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond.
Outstanding		Response demonstrates ALL of:
Performance		sophisticated integration and abstraction
		perception and insight
		convincing communication.
	7	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements to be awarded a score of 8 as above, but:
		may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity.
	6	The candidate discusses, considering future global development, whether we can ensure fresh water sustainability.
		The candidate's opinion is backed up with evidence.
		Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.
		Response demonstrates ALL of:
		analysis and critical thinking
		integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives
Scholarship		logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.
	5	Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements to be awarded a score of 6 as above, <u>but</u> :
		may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity
		or
		ideas are not well integrated
		or
		does not revolve around a discussion.
	4	The candidate discusses, considering future global development, whether we can ensure fresh water sustainability.
		Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.
	3	Some discussion of the importance of technological innovations towards ensuring a sustainable future for local and global citizens (mainly descriptive).
		Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence
	2	Some discussion on whether we can ensure fresh water sustainability (descriptive).
	1	Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.
	0	Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.

Scholarship Geography (93401) 2018 — page 6 of 6

Cut Scores

Scholarship	Outstanding Scholarship
14 – 19	20 – 24