Performance Task Title: "My Vote, My Future: An Affirmation of Wise Voting"

GOAL:

Students will create a **2-3 minute video** delivering a speech or affirmation on the importance of voting wisely in the May 2025 election. Their message should reflect their understanding of the preamble of the 1987 Philippine Constitution and its relevance to responsible citizenship. Before creating their video, **students must submit a hard copy of their words of affirmation for approval**. Once finalized, they will post their video on their social media account to spread awareness and encourage responsible voting. **ROLE:**

Each student will take on the role of a responsible citizen advocating for informed and conscientious voting. **AUDIENCE**:

The primary audience includes fellow students, teachers, and social media followers, who will be encouraged to reflect on their voting decisions.

SITUATION:

With the upcoming May 2025 elections, voters face the challenge of selecting leaders who uphold the principles enshrined in the Philippine Constitution. Students must use their voices to inspire others to exercise their right to vote based on wisdom, integrity, and the democratic values outlined in the preamble.

PRODUCT/PERFORMANCE:

□ Hard Copy Submission – Students must first write and submit their words of affirmation for approval before proceeding with the video.

NOTE: Enclose your work in a **long, white folder with plastic cover** for organization and presentation. Your submission should include three (3) sheets of long bond paper, arranged as follows:

1. First Sheet:

- -Contains your Personal Information (Full Name, Grade & Section, Subject, Teacher, & Date of Submission).
- -Must include any creative design to make it visually appealing and personalized.

2. Second Sheet:

- -Contains your Words of Affirmation with the appropriate title of the performance task at the top, "My Vote, My Future: An Affirmation of Wise Voting"
- -Ensure that the content adheres to the given guidelines and follows the required structure.

3. Third Sheet:

-A printed copy of the Rubrics and Criteria for assessment. (please see at the last page)

Criteria	Excellent	Proficient	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Incomplete
Content (20%)	Clearly expresses a deep understanding of the preamble and its connection to voting wisely. The message is insightful and well-developed.	Shows a good understanding of the preamble and connects it to voting. Message is clear but could be more developed.	Demonstrates a basic understanding of the preamble, but the connection to voting wisely is somewhat vague.	Limited understanding of the preamble and voting connection. Ideas are unclear or lack depth.	Lacks a clear message or connection to the preamble and voting.
Clarity & Organization (20%)	Well-structured with a logical flow. Uses strong transitions and clear sentence structure.	Mostly well- organized but may have minor issues with transitions or clarity.	Ideas are present but not fully organized. Some sentences may be unclear.	Lacks clear organization, making it difficult to follow. Frequent awkward phrasing.	Disorganized and difficult to understand.
Originality & Al- Free Work (20%)	Entirely original, showing deep personal reflection and creativity. No signs of Al- generated content.	Mostly original with minimal generic phrasing. Clearly studentwritten.	Some parts feel generic but still mostly original. May lack personal voice.	Shows noticeable Al influence or generic phrasing with little originality.	Largely Algenerated or copied work.
Persuasiveness & Impact (20%)	Strong, compelling, and emotionally engaging. Effectively encourages voters to make wise choices.	Convincing and engaging, though could be more impactful.	Somewhat persuasive but lacks emotional or logical depth.	Weak persuasive elements; message does not strongly inspire action.	Not persuasive or impactful.
Creativity & Cleanliness (10%)	Highly creative in expression. The paper is neat, well-formatted, and visually appealing.	Some creativity is evident. The paper is mostly neat with only	Shows minimal creativity. Some formatting or cleanliness issues.	Lacks creativity. Paper is messy or poorly formatted.	No effort in creativity or cleanliness.

		minor formatting issues.			
Timeliness of Submission (10%)	Submitted on or before the deadline.	Submitted one day late.	Submitted two days late.	Submitted three days late.	More than three days late or not submitted.

■ Video Creation & Posting – Once approved, students will record a 2-3 minute video delivering their affirmation and post it on their ANY social media accounts to spread awareness and encourage responsible voting.
Each committee will submit one (1) video as a group. While you may incorporate individual insights from your speech, the video should be informative and well-structured. It is not required for every member to deliver a speech in the video, but all members must be visibly present and actively participate in some way.

Note: Send the link of post to your UCSP teacher.

CRITERIA:

Content (40%) – Clearly explains the importance of voting wisely and connects it to the preamble.

Clarity & Organization (20%) – The speech is well-structured and easy to understand.

Persuasiveness & Impact (20%) – The message is compelling and encourages others to vote wisely.

Delivery & Presentation (10%) – The student uses appropriate tone, voice modulation, and confidence.

Creativity & Engagement (10%) – The video is engaging, visually appealing (if applicable), and effectively conveys the message.

REMINDER: Guidelines for Speech and Video Content

To ensure that your words of affirmation uphold ethical standards and maintain a respectful and responsible discourse, please adhere to the following quidelines:

1. No Use of Bad Words or Offensive Language

- -Avoid any form of profanity, vulgar language, or disrespectful words in your speech or video.
- -Your message should promote positivity, responsibility, and civic engagement.

2. No Mentioning of Politicians' Names

- -Your affirmation should focus on the values and principles of wise voting, NOT on individual candidates or political parties.
- -Any reference to political figures, whether positive or negative, is strictly prohibited to maintain fairness and neutrality.

3. No Inappropriate Statements or Language About Politics

- -Avoid making misleading, biased, or inflammatory political remarks.
- -Your speech should be based on the democratic ideals stated in the Preamble of the 1987 Philippine Constitution, encouraging unity, justice, and integrity.

4. Promote Constructive and Educated Voting

- -Emphasize the significance of voting based on principles such as honesty, competence, and service to the people rather than popularity or personal gain.
- -Use informed, factual, and respectful statements that inspire voters to make decisions that benefit the nation.

5. Maintain Professionalism and Respect

- -Your delivery should be formal, clear, and inspiring while maintaining a respectful tone.
- -Ensure that your message is free from sarcasm, ridicule, or negativity that could incite division or misunderstanding.

6. Ensure Clarity and Appropriateness for Public Posting

- -Since your video will be shared on social media, it should reflect a well-thought-out, meaningful, and responsible message that positively influences your audience.
- -Be mindful of your choice of words, tone, and presentation, as your video represents your commitment to being a responsible citizen.

7. The Speech Must Be Encoded

- -Your Words of Affirmation must be typed and printed (not handwritten) to ensure clarity and professionalism.
- -Use a legible font (e.g., Times New Roman or Arial), font size 12, and double-spacing for easy readability.

DATE OF SUBMISSION:

Speech-March 11, 2025 Video Link- *still to be arranged*

Rubrics for Speech:

Criteria	Excellent	Proficient	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	RATING
Content (20%)	Clearly expresses a deep understanding of the preamble and its connection to voting wisely. The message is insightful and well-developed.	Shows a good understanding of the preamble and connects it to voting. Message is clear but could be more developed.	Demonstrates a basic understanding of the preamble, but the connection to voting wisely is somewhat vague.	Limited understanding of the preamble and voting connection. Ideas are unclear or lack depth.	Lacks a clear message or connection to the preamble and voting.	
Clarity & Organization (20%)	Well-structured with a logical flow. Uses strong transitions and clear sentence structure.	Mostly well- organized but may have minor issues with transitions or clarity.	Ideas are present but not fully organized. Some sentences may be unclear.	Lacks clear organization, making it difficult to follow. Frequent awkward phrasing.	Disorganized and difficult to understand.	
Originality & Al- Free Work (20%)	Entirely original, showing deep personal reflection and creativity. No signs of Al- generated content.	Mostly original with minimal generic phrasing. Clearly student-written.	Some parts feel generic but still mostly original. May lack personal voice.	Shows noticeable Al influence or generic phrasing with little originality.	Largely Algenerated or copied work.	
Persuasiveness & Impact (20%)	Strong, compelling, and emotionally engaging. Effectively encourages voters to make wise choices.	Convincing and engaging, though could be more impactful.	Somewhat persuasive but lacks emotional or logical depth.	Weak persuasive elements; message does not strongly inspire action.	Not persuasive or impactful.	
Creativity & Cleanliness (10%)	Highly creative in expression. The paper is neat, well-formatted, and visually appealing.	Some creativity is evident. The paper is mostly neat with only minor formatting issues.	Shows minimal creativity. Some formatting or cleanliness issues.	Lacks creativity. Paper is messy or poorly formatted.	No effort in creativity or cleanliness.	
Timeliness of Submission (10%)	Submitted on or before the deadline.	Submitted one day late.	Submitted two days late.	Submitted three days late.	More than three days late or not submitted.	

OVFRALL	. RATING:	

Criteria for Video and Posting:

Content (40%) – Clearly explains the importance of voting wisely and connects it to the preamble.

Clarity & Organization (20%) – The speech is well-structured and easy to understand.

Persuasiveness & Impact (20%) – The message is compelling and encourages others to vote wisely.

Delivery & Presentation (10%) – The student uses appropriate tone, voice modulation, and confidence.

Creativity & Engagement (10%) – The video is engaging, visually appealing (if applicable), and effectively conveys the message.

OVERALL	RATING:	
OVLIME	IVATINO.	