```
library("dplyr")
library("rcompanion")
library("car")
library("ggplot2")
library("IDPmisc")
# See if the number of people who cross differs by the way they cross
# Tes ng Assump ons
plotNormalHistogram(BorderCrossing$Value)
## That is not normally distributed at all - posi vely skewed
### Square root
BorderCrossing$ValueSQRT <- sqrt(BorderCrossing$Value)
plotNormalHistogram(BorderCrossing$ValueSQRT)
### Log
BorderCrossing$ValueLOG <- log(BorderCrossing$Value)
BorderCrossing2 <- NaRV.omit(BorderCrossing)
plotNormalHistogram(BorderCrossing2$ValueLOG)
#### Log looks great, go with that
## Bartle 's Test for homogeneity of variance
bartle .test(ValueLOG ~ Measure, data=BorderCrossing2)
### If it's significant, that's bad, you have violated homogeneity (like we have here)
## Sample size - we have 355,000 something rows, so good there
# Run the test, use the Welch's test because we violated homogeneity of variance
ANOVA <- Im(ValueLOG ~ Measure, data=BorderCrossing2)
Anova(ANOVA, Type="II", white.adjust=TRUE)
## It is significant, meaning that the number of people does vary based on their method of travel across the
border
# Post hocs
```

pairwise.t.test(BorderCrossing\$Value, BorderCrossing\$Measure, p.adjust="bonferroni", pool.sd = FALSE)

Every single group differs from every single other group. That is so not helpful!

Look at the means

crossingMeans <- BorderCrossing %>% group_by(Measure) %>% summarize(Mean = mean(Value)) %>% arrange(desc(Mean))

The fewest people come by trains, the most come by car. This needs more wrangling to get the best picture of all, though!