Test Summary

	BERTopic No Retweets	BERTopic With Retweets	LSA With Retweets
Model Coherence	58%	94%	42%
Tweet Volume	5,333 tweets	34,993 tweets	19,741 Took top 75% of tweets based on probability
Topics Selected based on tweet volume	BERTopic Labels: subpoena_committee_subpoenaed_trump 100% Coherent trumpcoupattempt_trump_day_trumpisgoingtojail 75% Coherence and_forthepeople_peopleoverpolitics_standwithukraine 67% Coherence rt_january6thcommitteehearings_watching_httpstcofcaejd pxxi 67% Coherence	BERTopic Labels:	Human Created Labels:
Tweet Volume By Topic	=> 140 tweets per topic	=> 1000 tweets per topic	
Takeaways	 Not high enough coherency for business confidence See if there are specific topics that are scoring high to determine if we can uncover different topics when retweets removed. 	High coherency. High confidence that this model is accurately identifying different topics.	 Low Coherency. Don't use this model for business findings. Lack of coherence reduces confidence in accuracy of human labeling

BERtopic vs KeyBERT

	BERTopic With Retweets	KeyBERT With Retweets
Human Coherence Score For Model	94%	97%
Tweet Volume	34,993 tweets	34,993 tweets
Topic Labels Top tweet volume	 both_they_backed_kevin rudy_hatch_giuliani_meadows demands_ja_deserves_unanimously creating_hamill_correct_overthrowing deploy_onthis_defense_sec 	 mcconnell_mccarthy_senate_senators democracy_january6thcomm_overthrowing_Hamill trumpscoupplaybook_trump_giuliani_coup subpoena_testify_oath_unanimously miller_defense_deploy_sec
Takeaways	Labels not intuitive	Labels not intuitive

Annotator Observations

Don't exhaust annotators

- It's always good to use people that haven't been exposed to the content to get a clean read
- However, they can become bored and frustrated with the process when you give them several models to review.
- They may also become familiar with the data and that might bias their results. Be mindful of how many rounds of revised models you give to them to keep it fresh.