

lec3_q9

Jiansong Xu

January 24, 2019

```
library(dplyr)
```

```
##  
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
```

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':  
##  
## filter, lag
```

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':  
##  
## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
```

```
library(binom)
```

2.

```
alpha <- 0.05  
w2 <- 79  
n2 <- 80  
pi.hat2 <- w2/n2  
pi2.0 = 1
```

a.

```
print(binom.confint(x = w2, n = n2, conf.level = 1-alpha, methods = "asymptotic"))
```

```
##      method x  n  mean    lower    upper  
## 1 asymptotic 79 80 0.9875 0.9631541 1.011846
```

Wald CI: [0.963 - 1.011]. We expect 95% of similarly constructed intervals to contain the true probability of a randomly selected resident to oppose the tax.

b.

```
print(binom.confint(x = w2, n = n2, conf.level = 1-alpha, methods = "wilson"))
```

```
##      method x  n  mean    lower    upper  
## 1 wilson 79 80 0.9875 0.9325373 0.99779
```

Wilson CI: [0.933 - 0.998]. We expect 95% of similarly constructed intervals to contain the parameter π which represents the true probability of a randomly selected resident to oppose the tax.

c. We can testify this by performing Score test. $H_0: \pi = 1$, $H_a: \pi < 1$

```
(Z0 = (pi.hat2 - pi2.0)/sqrt(0.5*0.5/n2))
```

```
## [1] -0.2236068
```

```
(pv.score <- pnorm(Z0)) #lower tail p-value
```

```
## [1] 0.4115316
```

Base on p value we fail to reject H_0 , so it is possible for $\pi = 1$.

d. I prefer the Wilson CI, as it is constructed using the π from null hypothesis instead of sample, and the sample size is rather small in this case, so Wilson would perform better.