Student Name: Jeremiah Hsing

Student ID: u7439274



## COMP4300 Parallel Systems

Assignment 1

#### 1. Task 1 Deadlock issues

# (a) What value of N does it deadlock?

The deadlock happens when N >= 32768.

Methodology I used to get this N: binary search

We can first give a guess to N's upper and lower boundary.

- i.  $N_{lower}$ : lower boundary of N.
- ii.  $N_{upper}$ : upper boundary of N.

After I run the code with N = 10000 in which dead lock doesn't happen and N = 100000 where dead lock happens; so we can say that  $N_{lower} = 10000$  and  $N_{upper} = 100000$ .

```
//binary search to get N pesudo code
N_lower = 10000;
N_upper = 100000;
while (N_upper - N_lower > 1) {
    N = (N_upper + N_lower) / 2;
    if (deadlock happens) {
        N_upper = N;
    } else {
        N_lower = N;
    }
}
```

After this binary search, I find that N >= 32768 where deadlock happens on Gadi login node.

#### (b) Explaination of deadlock:

In this given code, it uses MPI\_Send() and MPI\_Recv() to send and receive the halo data. The MPI\_Send() and MPI\_Recv() have blocking semantics.

This means that the when the message size is large enough (that it cannot take the advantage of inner buffer anymore) MPI\_Send() will not return until the message data has been copied by the receiving process,

When the Q = 1 and P = np, as the N becoming bigger, the size of halo messages that need passed and communicate between processes will become larger and larger.

We can have a look at the original code snips that update the boundary (when P > 1) in the **updateBoundar()** function.

It's more easy that we can use a simple example to figure out the reason of deadlock:

Assume that we have 3 processes, they are  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ , and  $P_2$ .

When the N become large enough that cannot use the inner buffer for message passing  $(N = N_{large})$ 

```
The P_0 sends message to P_1;
and P_1 sends message to P_2;
and P_2 sends message to P_0
```

Then due to large N and the blocking semantics as I mentioned above, all the processes are halted and waiting for the message to be received.

```
The P_0 waits for P_1 (P_1 is halted to wait for P_2);
and P_1 waits for P_2 (P_2 is halted to wait for P_0);
and P_2 waits for P_0 (P_0 is halted to wait for P_1)
So we can see that the processes are in a deadlock state.
```

And actually, when N is large enough, if there is more than one process (P > 1) the give code will cause the dead waiting-loop that every process is halted to wait other one moving first step first (which never happens)

## (c) fix the halo-exchange code in **parAdvect.c**:

### Methodology:

We can use the rank of each process to divide two groups: rank is odd and rank is even; and we let 2 groups perform message passing communication operations in a different order to make sure everyone won't be blocked at same time.

For the even rank processes (rank%2 == 0) they send message to the **topProc**, receive message from **botProc**; send message to the **botProc** then receive message from **topProc**; For the odd rank processes (rank%2 == 1) they receive message from **topProc**, send message to **botProc**; receive message from **botProc** then send message to **topProc**;

Here is the code of new **updateBoundary()** function:

```
static void updateBoundary(double *u, int ldu) {
 int i, j;
 //top and bottom halo
  //note: we get the left/right neighbour's corner elements from each end
  if (P == 1) {
   for (j = 1; j < N_loc+1; j++) {
     V(u, 0, j) = V(u, M_{loc}, j);
     V(u, M_{loc+1}, j) = V(u, 1, j);
   }
  } else {
   int topProc = (rank + 1) % nprocs, botProc = (rank - 1 + nprocs) % nprocs;
   //>>>> there I replaced the original code snips <<<<<<
   if (rank % 2 == 0){
   MPI_Send(&V(u, M_loc, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, topProc, HALO_TAG, comm);
   MPI_Recv(&V(u, 0, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, botProc, HALO_TAG, comm,
     MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
   MPI_Send(&V(u, 1, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, botProc, HALO_TAG, comm);
   MPI_Recv(&V(u, M_loc+1, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, topProc, HALO_TAG,
      comm, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
   } else {
     MPI_Recv(&V(u, 0, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, botProc, HALO_TAG, comm,
        MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
     MPI_Send(&V(u, M_loc, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, topProc, HALO_TAG, comm);
     MPI_Recv(&V(u, M_loc+1, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, topProc, HALO_TAG,
        comm, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
     MPI_Send(&V(u, 1, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, botProc, HALO_TAG, comm);
   }
    //>>>>> replacement end <<<<<<
  // left and right sides of halo
  if (Q == 1) {
   for (i = 0; i < M_loc+2; i++) {</pre>
     V(u, i, 0) = V(u, i, N_{loc});
     V(u, i, N_{loc+1}) = V(u, i, 1);
   }
 } else {
} //updateBoundary()
```

- 2. Task 2 The effect of non-blocking communication
  - (a) Update **updateBoundary()** with unblocking method:

```
static void updateBoundary(double *u, int ldu) {
  int i, j;
  //top and bottom halo
  //note: we get the left/right neighbour's corner elements from each end
  if (P == 1) {
    for (j = 1; j < N_loc+1; j++) {
       V(u, 0, j) = V(u, M_loc, j);
      V(u, M_loc+1, j) = V(u, 1, j);
    }</pre>
```

```
} else {
    int topProc = (rank + 1) % nprocs, botProc = (rank - 1 + nprocs) % nprocs;
    //Task_2 solution start
    MPI_Request req[4];
    MPI_Status stat[4];
    MPI_Isend(&V(u, M_loc, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, topProc,
      HALO_TAG, comm, &req[0]);
    MPI_Irecv(&V(u, 0, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, botProc,
      HALO_TAG, comm, &req[1]);
    MPI_Isend(&V(u, 1, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, botProc,
      HALO_TAG, comm, &req[2]);
    MPI_Irecv(&V(u, M_loc+1, 1), N_loc, MPI_DOUBLE, topProc,
      HALO_TAG, comm, &req[3]);
    MPI_Waitall(4, req, stat);
    //Task_2 solution end
 }
  // left and right sides of halo
  if (Q == 1) {
    for (i = 0; i < M_loc+2; i++) {</pre>
     V(u, i, 0) = V(u, i, N_{loc});
      V(u, i, N_{loc+1}) = V(u, i, 1);
    }
  } else {
  }
} //updateBoundary()
```

#### (b) Compare two methods:

Here is the running time (unit: seconds) two methods take for r = 100, M = 10000, N = 10000 with np as [1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 96, 192]

Table 1: Blocking and Unblocking Comparation

np	1	3	6	12	24	48	96	192
blocking unblocking								

We can say that the unblocking method is slightly better than blocking method.

- 3. Task 3 Performance modelling and calibration
- 4. Task 4 The effect of 2D process grids
  - (a) Update **updateBoundary()** with 2D process grids (Q >= 1):

Code has been updated in **updateBoundary()** in file **parAdvect.c**.

- (b)
- 5. Task 5 Overlapping communication with computation
  - (a) Update **parAdvectOverlap()** using overlapping communication

Code has been updated in **parAdvectOverlap()** in file **parAdvect.c**: Also add two helper functions in file **parAdvect.c**:

```
static void overlapUpdateBoundaryTB(double *u, int ldu, MPI_Request *req);
static void overlapUpdateBoundaryLR(double *u, int ldu);
```

(b)

- 6. Task 6 Wide halo transfers
  - (a) Update parAdvectWide() using wide halo

Code has been updated in **parAdvectWide()** in file **parAdvect.c**. Also add a helper function in file **parAdvect.c**:

```
static void wideUpdateBoundary(double *u, int ldu, int w);
```

(b)

- 7. Task 7 Literature Review (optimization techniques for stencil computations)
- 8. Task 8 Performance outcome via combination of optimization techniques
- 9. Task 9 Implement an optimization technique