

### Corrélation LOC \* PNS

```
cor.test(df_participants$PNS, df_participants$LOC, exact = T, conf.level = 0.95)
```

Pearson's product-moment correlation

```
data: df_participants$PNS and df_participants$LOC
t = 3.0027, df = 190, p-value = 0.003036
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.07345525 0.34408660
sample estimates:
      cor
0.2128495
```

```
> cor.test(df_participants_cleaned$PNS, df_participants_cleaned$LOC, exact = T, conf.level = 0.95)
```

Pearson's product-moment correlation

```
data: df_participants_cleaned$PNS and df_participants_cleaned$LOC
t = 2.8369, df = 136, p-value = 0.005253
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.07210961 0.38814035
sample estimates:
      cor
0.2363663
```

### Régressions linéaires multiples

```
model.linear.outliers <- lm(FA_corr ~ loss_control+PNS+LOC+PNS*loss_control
+LOC*loss_control,
+                               data = df_participants)
>
> model.linear.cleaned <- lm(FA_corr ~ loss_control+PNS+LOC+PNS*loss_control
+LOC*loss_control,
+                               data = df_participants_cleaned)
>
> export_summs(model.linear.outliers, model.linear.cleaned, stars=c("*"=0.1
, "***" = 0.05, "****" = 0.01), digits= 3, robust = "HC2",
+               model.names = c("Modèle 1 - OLS avec outliers", "Modèle 2 -
OLS sans outliers"))
```

	Modèle 1 - OLS avec outliers	Modèle 2 - OLS sans outliers
(Intercept)	0.220 * (0.113)	0.055 (0.100)
loss_control	-0.094 (0.143)	0.051 (0.138)
PNS	-0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)
LOC	0.003 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)
loss_control:PNS	-0.000 (0.003)	-0.004 (0.003)
loss_control:LOC	0.004 (0.004)	0.004 (0.004)
N	192	138
R2	0.038	0.041

standard errors are heteroskedasticity robust. \*\*\* p < 0.01; \*\* p < 0.05; \* p < 0.1.

Column names: names, Modèle 1 - OLS avec outliers, Modèle 2 - OLS sans outliers

### Bêta régressions

```
model.beta.reg.outliers <- betareg(FA_corr ~ loss_control+PNS+LOC+PNS*loss_control+LOC*loss_control, data = df_participants)
```

```
> model.beta.reg <- betareg(FA_corr ~ loss_control+PNS+LOC+PNS*loss_control
+LOC*loss_control, data = df_participants_cleaned)
>
> export_summs(model.beta.reg.outliers, model.beta.reg, stars=c("*"=0.1, "*"
*" = 0.05, "****" = 0.01), digits= 3, robust = "HC2",
+ model.names = c("Modèle 1 - bêta rég avec outliers", "Modèle
2 - bêta reg sans outliers"))
```

	Modèle 1 - bêta rég avec outliers	Modèle 2 - bêta reg sans outliers
(Intercept)	-1.469 *** (0.528)	-2.187 *** (0.646)
loss_control	-0.270 (0.732)	0.251 (0.886)
PNS	0.000 (0.008)	0.013 (0.010)
LOC	0.013 (0.013)	0.014 (0.015)
loss_control:PNS	-0.003 (0.012)	-0.015 (0.015)
loss_control:LOC	0.014 (0.019)	0.014 (0.022)
(phi)	6.012 *** (0.585)	6.283 *** (0.728)
nobs	192	138
pseudo.r.squared	0.028	0.044
df.null	190.000	136.000
logLik	90.615	75.915
AIC	-167.229	-137.831
BIC	-144.427	-117.340
df.residual	185.000	131.000
nobs.1	192.000	138.000

Standard errors are heteroskedasticity robust. \*\*\* p < 0.01; \*\* p < 0.05; \* p < 0.1.

Column names: names, Modèle 1 - bêta rég avec outliers, Modèle 2 - bêta reg sans outliers

### Convert coefficients of bêta régression (R) to compare to linear regression

⇒ coefficient par coefficient (alpha = intercept ; beta = coeff to convert)

```
convert_coeff_beta <- function(alpha, beta) {
  p0 = 1/(1+exp(-(alpha + beta*3)))
  p1 = 1/(1+exp(-(alpha + beta*4)))
  coeff_simple <- p1 - p0
  return(coeff_simple)
}
```

### Théorie de la detection du signal

# to compute d' / c when Hits = 1 or FA = 0 (often when low nb of trials = high sampling variability), we use loglinear transformation

# which seems to work quite well (Hautus, 1995; Stanislaw & Todorov, 1999)

```
HIT_corr = (sum(signal == 1 & correct == 1) + 0.5)/ (nb_signal + 1),
FA_corr = (sum(signal == 0 & correct == 0 & response != "timeout") +
0.5) / (nb_noise + 1),
dprime = round(qnorm(HIT_corr) - qnorm(FA_corr),2),
c = round(-0.5 * (qnorm(HIT_corr) + qnorm(FA_corr)), 2)
```

### Tests t d' et c

d'

```
> t.test(dprime ~ loss_control, data = df_participants)
```

```
Welch Two Sample t-test
```

```
data: dprime by loss_control
t = 0.60783, df = 189.75, p-value = 0.544
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.1534243  0.2900910
sample estimates:
mean in group 0 mean in group 1
  1.169583      1.101250
```

```
> t.test(dprime ~ loss_control, data = df_participants_cleaned)
```

```
Welch Two Sample t-test
```

```
data: dprime by loss_control
t = -0.113, df = 130.92, p-value = 0.9102
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.2479260  0.2211331
sample estimates:
mean in group 0 mean in group 1
  1.290694      1.304091
```

c (decision criterion)

```
t.test(c ~ loss_control, data = df_participants)
```

```
Welch Two Sample t-test
```

```
data: c by loss_control
t = 0.32558, df = 189.39, p-value = 0.7451
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.1022250  0.1426416
sample estimates:
mean in group 0 mean in group 1
  0.1595833      0.1393750
```

```
> t.test(c ~ loss_control, data = df_participants_cleaned)
```

```
Welch Two Sample t-test
```

```
data: c by loss_control
t = 0.0055037, df = 129.78, p-value = 0.9956
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.1538898  0.1547484
sample estimates:
mean in group 0 mean in group 1
  0.1702778      0.1698485
```