

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281237691>

Modeling, Simulation and Position Control of 3DOF Articulated Manipulator

Article · October 2014

DOI: 10.11591/ijeei.v2i3.119

CITATIONS

6

READS

5,237

2 authors, including:



Hossein Sadegh

Imam Khomeini International University

6 PUBLICATIONS 10 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Modeling, Simulation and Position Control of 3DOF Articulated Manipulator

Hossein Sadegh Lafmejani¹, Hassan Zarabadipour²

Faculty of Technical and Engineering
Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran, Islamic Republic Of
Tel: +98 (281) 8371753
e-mail: h_sadegh@ikiu.ac.ir¹, hassan.zarabadipour@gmail.com²

Abstract

In this paper, the modeling, simulation and control of 3 degrees of freedom articulated robotic manipulator have been studied. First, we extracted kinematics and dynamics equations of the mentioned manipulator by using the Lagrange method. In order to validate the analytical model of the manipulator we compared the model simulated in the simulation environment of Matlab with the model was simulated with the SimMechanics toolbox. A sample path has been designed for analyzing the tracking subject. The system has been linearized with feedback linearization and then a PID controller was applied to track a reference trajectory. Finally, the control results have been compared with a nonlinear PID controller.

Key Words: Feedback linearization, kinematic, Manipulator, Robot, SimMechanics

1. Introduction

Industrial robots are widely used in various fields of application nowadays. So production of them is increasing rapidly. Manipulators are a kind of industrial robots which has been attracted so much attention of engineers, especially control and mechanics engineers. Control engineering concentrate on designing the controllers in order to have the manipulators operated with the best quality and less errors. Designing the controllers for manipulators has several approaches like tracking and force control.

The control methods can be classified into three types: the first type is traditional feedback control (PID and PD) [1-4]. The second type is adaptive control [5-11] and the third type is the iterative learning control (ILC) [12-17]. Some other control methods, including the robust control [18-20], inverse dynamics control [21-23], model based control, switching control, and sliding mode-control can be in one or another way reviewed either as specialization and/or combination of the three basic types, or are simply different names due to different emphasis when the basic types are examined.

In this paper, the 3DOF articulated manipulator has been studied. The direct and inverse kinematics has been obtained by geometrical calculates [21]. Then the dynamic model of the system has been extracted by Lagrange method that is very powerful in modeling the sophisticated mechanical systems. In this paper, a PID controller has been designed for 3DOF robotic manipulator which has been linearized by feedback linearization and the results have been compared with a nonlinear PID controller.

2. System Modeling

The first step of designing controllers for a system is modeling. In other word, we need the physical characteristics or the mathematical equations of the system in order to design a good controller. Modeling contains kinematics and dynamics. Kinematics is the motion science that studies the position, velocity, acceleration and derivatives of them without regarding the force and torque. Manipulator movement characteristics are studied in kinematics science for robots and contain two main parts: forward kinematics and inverse kinematics. In other hand, the relation between these movements and the force and torque is studied in dynamics science.




Figure 1. 3DOF articulated manipulator in Spherical coordinates for forward kinematic analysis

2.1. Direct Kinematics

If we state the end effector coordinates of manipulator based on the angles of the joints, it means the forward kinematics. In other word, in forward kinematics, the measures of the joint space are available and we want to determine the measures of coordinate space. In reality, forward kinematics analyzing is a mapping from joint space to the coordinate space. According to Figure 1 the forward kinematics of the 3DOF articulated manipulator has been determined as below:

$$P_x = (l_2 \cos \theta_2 + l_3 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3)) * \sin \theta_1 \quad (1)$$

$$P_y = (l_2 \cos \theta_2 + l_3 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3)) * \cos \theta_1 \quad (2)$$

$$P_z = l_2 \sin \theta_2 + l_3 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + l_1 \quad (3)$$

Where l_1 , l_2 and l_3 are the length of the links.

2.2. Inverse Kinematics

By inverting the forward kinematics definition we have inverse kinematics definition. By these equations we can find the appropriate angles for the desired end effector coordinates. According to the two definitions of kinematics, it is clear that the inverse kinematics is more sophisticated than the forward kinematics. According to the Figure 2 we have:

$$\theta_1 = \text{atan2}(P_y, P_x) \quad (4)$$

$$r = \pm \sqrt{P_x^2 + P_y^2} \quad (5)$$

$$D = \pm \sqrt{(P_z - l_1)^2 + r^2} \quad (6)$$

$$\theta_3 = \text{acos} \left(\frac{D^2 - l_3^2 - l_2^2}{2l_2l_3} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\theta_3 = \text{acos} \left(\frac{(P_z - l_1)^2 + P_x^2 + P_y^2 - l_3^2 - l_2^2}{2l_2l_3} \right) \quad (8)$$

$$\theta_2 = \text{atan2}(r, P_z - l_1) - \text{atan2}(l_2 + l_3 \cos \theta_3, l_3 \sin \theta_3) \quad (9)$$




Figure 2. 3DOF articulated manipulator in Spherical coordinates for inverse kinematic analysis

2.3. Velocity Kinematics

In order to design a controller to track a path we need to have the relations between the velocity of the joint and the velocity of the end effector that named velocity kinematics. In this case, by differentiation of Eqs. (1) to (3) we have:

$$\dot{x} = (\cos \theta_1 * (l_3 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + l_2 \cos \theta_2)) * \dot{\theta}_1 - (\sin \theta_1 * (l_3 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + l_2 \sin \theta_2)) * \dot{\theta}_2 - (l_3 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) * \sin \theta_1) * \dot{\theta}_3 \quad (10)$$

$$\dot{y} = (-\sin \theta_1 * (l_3 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + l_2 \cos \theta_2)) * \dot{\theta}_1 - (\cos \theta_1 * (l_3 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + l_2 \sin \theta_2)) * \dot{\theta}_2 - (l_3 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) * \cos \theta_1) * \dot{\theta}_3 \quad (11)$$

$$\dot{z} = (l_3 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + l_2 \cos \theta_2) * \dot{\theta}_2 + (l_3 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3)) * \dot{\theta}_3 \quad (12)$$

Regarding the vectors $x = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ and $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix}$, then we obtain:

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} C_1(l_3 C_{23} + l_2 C_2) & -S_1(l_3 S_{23} + l_2 S_2) & -l_3 S_{23} S_1 \\ -S_1(l_3 C_{23} + l_2 C_2) & -C_1(l_3 S_{23} + l_2 S_2) & -l_3 S_{23} C_1 \\ 0 & l_3 C_{23} + l_2 C_2 & l_3 C_{23} \end{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} = J \dot{\theta} \quad (13)$$

Where S denotes to (Sin) and C denotes to (Cos) and J is the manipulator jacobian matrix and determination of that is a base issue for all manipulators. The determinant of the 3DOF manipulator is:

$$\det(J) = \frac{1}{2} l_2 l_3 (l_2 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) - l_3 \sin \theta_2 - l_2 \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_3) + l_3 \sin(\theta_2 + 2\theta_3)) \quad (14)$$

The roots of the above equation are the singular points of the manipulator. Singular points are those in which the manipulator can't move in a certain direction.




Figure 3. Analytical modeling of 3DOF manipulator in matlab Simulink

2.4. Dynamic Modeling

The dynamical analysis of the robot investigates a relation between the joint torques/forces applied by the actuators and the position, velocity and acceleration of the robot arm with respect to the time. Dynamics of the robot manipulators is complex and nonlinear that might make accurate control difficult. The dynamic equations of the robot manipulators are usually represented by the following coupled non-linear differential equations which have been derived from Lagrangians [21]:

$$Q = M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) \quad (15)$$

Where $M(q)$ is the inertia matrix, $C(q, \dot{q})$ is the coriolis/centripetal matrix, $G(q)$ is the gravity vector, and Q is the control input torque. The joint variable q is an n-vector containing the joint angles for revolute joints. The mentioned matrix of the 3DOF articulated manipulator can be computed by:

$$M(1,1) = \frac{1}{2}m_1R_1^2 + \frac{1}{3}m_2l_2^2 \cos^2 \theta_2 + \frac{1}{3}m_3l_3^2 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3)^2 + m_3l_2^2 \cos^2 \theta_2 + m_3l_2l_3 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3) \cos \theta_2 \quad (16-1)$$

$$M(1,2) = 0 \quad (16-2)$$

$$M(1,3) = 0 \quad (16-3)$$

$$M(2,1) = 0 \quad (16-4)$$

$$M(2,2) = \frac{1}{3}m_2l_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}m_3l_3^2 + m_3l_2^2 + m_3l_2l_3 \cos \theta_3 \quad (16-5)$$

$$M(2,3) = \frac{1}{3}m_3l_3^2 + m_3l_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}m_3l_2l_3 \cos \theta_3 \quad (16-6)$$

$$M(3,1) = 0 \quad (16-7)$$

$$M(3,2) = \frac{1}{3}m_3l_3^2 + m_3l_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}m_3l_2l_3 \cos \theta_3 \quad (16-8)$$

$$M(3,3) = \frac{1}{3}m_3l_3^2 \quad (16-9)$$




Figure 4. SimMechanics modeling of 3DOF manipulator in matlab simulink

$$C(1,1) = \left[-\frac{4}{3}m_2l_2^2 \sin 2\theta_2 - \frac{1}{3}m_3l_3^2 \sin 2(\theta_2 + \theta_3) - m_3l_2l_3 \sin(2\theta_2 + \theta_3) \right] \dot{\theta}_2 \dot{\theta}_1 + \left[-\frac{1}{3}m_3l_3^2 \sin 2(\theta_2 + \theta_3) - m_3l_2l_3 \cos \theta_2 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) \right] \dot{\theta}_3 \dot{\theta}_1 \quad (17-1)$$

$$C(2,1) = \left[-m_3l_2l_3 \sin \theta_3 \right] \dot{\theta}_2 \dot{\theta}_3 + \left[-\frac{1}{2}m_3l_2l_3 \sin \theta_3 \right] \dot{\theta}_3^2 + \left[\frac{1}{6}m_2l_2^2 \sin 2\theta_2 + \frac{1}{6}m_3l_3^2 \sin 2(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + \frac{1}{2}m_3l_2^2 \sin 2\theta_2 + \frac{1}{2}m_3l_2l_3 \sin(2\theta_2 + \theta_3) \right] \dot{\theta}_1^2 \quad (17-2)$$

$$C(3,1) = \left[\frac{1}{2}m_3l_2l_3 \sin \theta_3 \right] \dot{\theta}_2^2 + \left[\frac{1}{6}m_3l_3^2 \sin 2(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + \frac{1}{2}m_3l_2l_3 \cos \theta_2 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) \right] \dot{\theta}_1^2 \quad (17-3)$$

$$G(1,1) = 0 \quad (18-1)$$

$$G(2,1) = \frac{1}{2}m_2gl_2 \cos \theta_2 + \frac{1}{2}m_3gl_3 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + m_3gl_2 \cos \theta_2 \quad (18-2)$$

$$G(3,1) = \frac{1}{2}m_3gl_3 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3) \quad (18-3)$$




Figure 5. Comparing graph of analytical model with SimMechanics model




Figure 6. modeling Error

3. SimMechanics Toolbox

SimMechanics is based on simulink, which is the research and analysis environment of the controller and the object system in a cross-cutting / interdisciplinary [24]. Multi-body dynamic mechanical systems can be analyzed and modeled by SimMechanics and all works such as control would be completed in the simulink environment. This toolbox provides a plenty number of corresponding real system components, such as: bodies, joints, constraints, coordinate systems, actuators and sensors. Complex mechanical system can be created by these modules in order to analyze the mechanical systems like manipulators. In this paper, the toolbox has been used to analyze the 3DOF articulated manipulator.

For a validation of modeling of the system, the 3DOF manipulator has been designed in SimMechanics and compared with the analytically modeled system. Figure 3 shows the simulink design of the manipulator and Figure 4 shows the SimMechanics modeling of it. For this system $l = 1\text{ m}$ and $m = 1\text{ kg}$ for all links.

As it was mentioned before, we used the SimMechanics toolbox for validation of analytical modeling. The results of this study are brought in Figures 5 and 6. According to Figure 5, the outputs of two simulations are completely similar to each other and Figure 6 shows the error between two simulations that is verified the accuracy of the analytical modeling.

4. Feedback Linearization and Control

In general condition, a manipulator with n links is stated as a nonlinear system with multi input and determining the feedback linearization conditions of them is more complex than the single input systems, but has a similar idea. For a manipulator with n degree of freedom we regard the Eq. (15) and replace \ddot{q} with a new variable v . So we have:

$$M(q)v + C(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) = Q \quad (19)$$




Figure 7. Inverse daynamic control block diagram

The $M(q)$ is a positive definite matrix, so $\det M(q) \neq 0$ and according to the Eqs. (15) and (19) we have:

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) = M(q)v + C(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) \Rightarrow \ddot{q} = v \quad (20)$$

Where v is a auxiliary control input that would be designed. Of course, it is essential to mention that, by this method the system could not be linear completely but also there is some nonlinearity in it. The following control law has been used for designing controller:

$$v = \ddot{q}_d(t) + k_D(\dot{q}_d(t) - \dot{q}) + k_P(q_d - q) + k_I \int_0^t (q_d(s) - q(s))ds \quad (21)$$

Therefore:

$$\ddot{e} + k_D\dot{e} + k_P e + k_I e = 0 \quad (22)$$

By choosing the k_D, k_P, k_I appropriately and using the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion, we have:

$$k_{D_j}, k_{P_j}, k_{I_j} > 0, k_{D_j}k_{P_j} > k_{I_j}, j = 0, 1, \dots, n \quad (23)$$

The block diagram of PID controller with feedback linearization named inverse dynamic control has been brought in Fig. 7. For studying the operation of the inverse dynamics controller, it has been compared with nonlinear PID controller [25]. For this goal, a circular reference path has been regarded and the controllers have been test. The results of these two controllers have been shown in Figs. 8 and 9. According to these figures, the inverse dynamics controller is better than the other one. But it is important to mention that if we want to use inverse dynamics controller, we'll need the all parameters of the manipulator accurately. It is clear that reaching the parameter accurately is not possible practically and always we have some uncertainty in system.

5. Conclusion

According to the paper the robot manipulator have complex nonlinear dynamic model that makes its control so difficult. Although using the classic controllers are good but uncertainties in manipulators is high. Thus, using the fuzzy controllers and intelligent method like neural network is proposed for controlling these kinds of complex systems.




Figure 8. Inverse dynamic tracking control




Figure 9. PID tracking control

References

- [1] Craig John J. Introduction to robotics: mechanics and control. Third Edition: Addison-Wesley. 1986.
- [2] Qu ZH. Global stability of trajectory tracking of robot under PD control. *Dynamics and Control*. 1994; 4(1): 59-71.
- [3] Kelly R. PD control with desired gravity compensation of robotic manipulators: a review. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*. 1997; 16(1): 660-672.
- [4] Chen Q, Chen H, Wang YJ, Woo PY. Global stability analysis for some trajectory tracking control schemes of robotic manipulators. *Journal of Robotic Systems*. 2001; 18(2): 69-75.
- [5] Craig John H. Adaptive control of mechanical manipulators: Addison-Wesley. 1988.
- [6] Choi JY, Lee JS. Adaptive iterative learning control of uncertain robotic systems. *IEE Proc. Control Theory Appl*. 2000; 147(2): 217-223.

- [7] Slotine JJ, Li W. On the adaptive control of robot manipulators. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*. 1987; 6(3): 49-59.
- [8] Li Q, Poo AN, Teo CL, Lim CM. Developing a neuro-compensator for the adaptive control of robots, *IEE Proc. Control Theory Appl.* 1996;142(6): 562-568.
- [9] Li Q, Poo AN, Teo CL. A multi-rate sampling structure for adaptive robot control using a neuro-compensator. *Artificial Intelligence in Engineering*. 1996; 10(1): 85-94.
- [10] Tomei P. Adaptive PD controller for robot manipulators. *IEEE Trans Robot Automation*. 1991; 7(4): 565-570.
- [11] Li Q, Tso SK, Zhang WJ. *Trajectory tracking control of robot manipulators using a neural-network-based torque-compensator*. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part I. Journal of Systems and Control Engineering. 1998; 212(5): 361-372.
- [12] Arimoto S, Kawamura S, Miyasaka F. Bettering operation of robots by learning. *Journal of Robotic Systems*. 1984; 1(2):123-140.
- [13] Kawamura S, Miyazaki F, Arimoto S. Realization of robot motion based on a learning method. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*. 1988; 18(1):123-6.
- [14] Tayebi A. *Adaptive iterative learning control for robot manipulators*. Proc. of the American control conference, Denver, CO, USA: June 2003; 5: 4518-23.
- [15] Kuc TY, Nam K, Lee JS. An iterative learning control of robot manipulators. *IEEE Trans Robot Automation*. 1991; 7(6): 835-842.
- [16] Chen YQ, Moore KL. *PI-type iterative learning control revisited*. Proc. of the American control conference, Anchorage, AK, USA: May 2002; 2138-43.
- [17] Yan XG, Chen IM, Lam J. D-type learning control for nonlinear time-varying systems with unknown initial states and inputs. *Trans Inst Measure Control*. 2001; 23(2): 69-82.
- [18] Cheung JWF, Hung YS, Robust learning control of a high precision planar parallel manipulator. *Mechatronics*. 2009; 19(1): 42-55.
- [19] Han J, Park J, Chung W. Robust coordinated motion control of an underwater vehicle-manipulator system with minimizing restoring moments. *Ocean Engineering*. 2011; 38(10): 1197-1206.
- [20] Li Z, Ge SS, Wang Z. Robust adaptive control of coordinated multiple mobile manipulators. *Mechatronics*. 2008; 18(5-6): 239-250.
- [21] Craig John J. *Introduction to Robotics: Mechanics and Control*, Third Edition: Prentice Hall, New York. 2005.
- [22] Craig JJ, Hsu P, Sastry SS. Adaptive control of mechanical manipulators. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*. 1987; 6(2): 10-20.
- [23] Spong MW, Ortega R. On adaptive inverse dynamics control of rigid robots. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 1990; 35(1): 92-95.
- [24] Zheng-wen LI, Guo-liang Zhang, Wei-ping Zhang, Bin JIN. *A Simulation Platform Design of Humanoid Robot Based on SimMechanics and VRML*. Procedia Engineering. 2011; 15: 215-219.
- [25] Cervantes I, Ramirez JA. On the PID tracking control of robot manipulators. *Systems & Control Letters*. 2001; 42(1): 37-46.