# Homework 13 Solutions

1. The Set Partition Problem takes as input a set S of numbers. The question is whether the numbers can be partitioned into two sets A and  $\overline{A} = S - A$  such that

$$\sum_{x \in A} x = \sum_{x \in \overline{A}} x.$$

Show that SET-PARTITION is NP-Complete. (Hint: Reduce SUBSET-SUM.)

**Answer:** To show that any problem A is NP-Complete, we need to show four things:

- (1) there is a non-deterministic polynomial-time algorithm that solves A,
- (2) any NP-Complete problem B can be reduced to A,
- (3) the reduction of B to A works in polynomial time,
- (4) the original problem A has a solution if and only if B has a solution.

We now show that SET-PARTITION is NP-Complete.

- (1) SET- $PARTITION \in NP$ : Guess the two partitions and verify that the two have equal sums.
- (2) Reduction of SUBSET-SUM to SET-PARTITION: Recall SUBSET-SUM is defined as follows: Given a set X of integers and a target number t, find a subset  $Y \subseteq X$  such that the members of Y add up to exactly t. Let s be the sum of members of X. Feed  $X' = X \cup \{s 2t\}$  into SET-PARTITION. Accept if and only if SET-PARTITION accepts.
- (3) This reduction clearly works in polynomial time.
- (4) We will prove that  $\langle X, t \rangle \in SUBSET\text{-}SUM$  iff  $\langle X' \rangle \in SET\text{-}PARTITION$ . Note that the sum of members of X' is 2s-2t.
- $\Rightarrow$ : If there exists a set of numbers in X that sum to t, then the remaining numbers in X sum to s-t. Therefore, there exists a partition of X' into two such that each partition sums to s-t.
- $\Leftarrow$ : Let's say that there exists a pair of partitions of X' such that the sum of in each partition is s-t. One of these partitions contains the number s-2t. Removing this number, we get a set of numbers whose sum is t, and all of these numbers are in X.

### 2. Let

DOUBLE-SAT = {  $\langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi$  is a Boolean formula with two satisfying assignments }.

Show that *DOUBLE-SAT* is NP-Complete. (Hint: Reduce 3SAT.)

#### Answer:

- (1) DOUBLE- $SAT \in NP$ : Simply guess two different assignments to all variables and verify that each clause is satisfied in both cases.
- (2) Reduction of 3SAT to DOUBLE-SAT: Given a 3cnf-function  $\psi$ , create a new Boolean function  $\psi'$  by adding a new clause  $(x \cup \overline{x})$  to  $\psi$ , where x is a new variable not in  $\psi$ . Then check if  $\langle \psi' \rangle \in DOUBLE$ -SAT.
- (3) This reduction clearly works in polynomial time.
- (4) We now prove that the original 3cnf-function  $\langle \psi \rangle \in 3SAT$  iff the new Boolean function  $\langle \psi' \rangle \in DOUBLE\text{-}SAT$ . If the original 3cnf-function  $\psi$  is unsatisfiable, then the new function  $\psi'$  is also unsatisfiable; i.e.,  $\langle \psi \rangle \not\in 3SAT$  implies  $\langle \psi' \rangle \not\in DOUBLE\text{-}SAT$ . If  $\langle \psi \rangle \in 3SAT$ , then use the same assignment of variables that are in  $\psi$ , and we also have both x = 0 and x = 1 are valid assignments. Thus, there are at least two satisfying assignments of the augmented 3cnf-formula  $\psi'$ , so  $\langle \psi' \rangle \in DOUBLE\text{-}SAT$ .
- 3. Let G represent an undirected graph. Also let

 $SPATH = \{ \langle G, a, b, k \rangle \mid G \text{ contains a simple path of length at most } k \text{ from } a \text{ to } b \}$  and

 $LPATH = \{ \langle G, a, b, k \rangle \mid G \text{ contains a simple path of length at least } k \text{ from } a \text{ to } b \}.$ 

(a) Show that  $SPATH \in P$ .

## Answer:

The marking algorithm for recognizing *PATH* can be modified to keep track of the length of the shortest paths discovered. Here is a detailed description of the algorithm.

"On input  $\langle G, a, b, k \rangle$  where m-node graph G has nodes a and b:

- 1. Place a mark "0" on node a.
- **2.** For each i from 0 to m:
- 3. If an edge (s, t) is found connecting s marked "i" to an unmarked node t, mark node t with "i + 1".
- **4.** If b is marked with a value of at most k, accept. Otherwise, reject.
- (b) Show that *LPATH* is NP-Complete. You may assume the NP-completeness of *UHAMPATH*, the Hamiltonian path problem for undirected graphs.

## Answer:

First,  $LPATH \in NP$  because we can guess a simple path of length at least k from a to b and verify it in polynomial time. Next  $UHAMPATH \leq_P LPATH$ , because the following TM F computes the reduction f.

F = "On input  $\langle G, a, b \rangle$  where graph G has nodes a and b:

- 1. Let k be the number of nodes of G.
- **2.** Output  $\langle G, a, b, k \rangle$ .

If  $\langle G, a, b \rangle \in UHAMPATH$ , then G contains a Hamiltonian path of length k from a to b, so  $\langle G, a, b, k \rangle \in LPATH$ . If  $\langle G, a, b, k \rangle \in LPATH$ , then G contains a simple path of length k from a to b. But G has only k nodes, so the path is Hamiltonian. Thus,  $\langle G, a, b \rangle \in UHAMPATH$ .