

Better Than Best Effort

Jeremy Wright

Arizona State University

jlwright1@asu.edu

Abstract—Vehicle's are quickly becoming distributed computing platforms on wheels, and the integration of these systems is essential for both the safety and comfort of the passengers. Over the last few decades there has been increasing integration of these systems to perform highly advanced functions, but never losing their core safety and reliability guarantees. This survey will look at the 4 most popular industrial networks in vehicles, how they differ from the ethernet based protocols we are used to in the internet domain, and how the physical layers and software layers interact to make a truly safe system.

Index Terms—CAN, LIN, MOST, FlexRay, safety, reliability

I. INTRODUCTION

Something flashes across the road. Instinctively you slam on the brakes. ABS kicks in to preserve tire contact with the road. Your body begins to fly forward, your head towards the steering wheel. Inertial sensors deploy a series of events collision management events. The fuel pump is shut down to reduce the risk of fire. Body dynamic sensors adjust suspension forces to keep the vehicle level, and in a safe driving position. The vehicle signals emergency responders of a crash event with the current GPS location. You are caught by the air bag. The crash is over. You are safe.

This is the environment of industrial networks. The hard real-time communication channels where failure means people die. Advanced made in this area have increased vehicle safety, reduced vehicle weight, and improved overall comfort of the system. Many of us are familiar with internet application network, such as TCP, UDP and Ethernet. These routing protocols were originally developed to reduce the ability of an attacking nation to take down the United States' communication infrastructure. Ethernet is fault tolerant and employs a concept called best effort routing, where packets are routed to a destination in the most likely path for success.

Packets have a time to live, and if they don't reach the destination in time, drop off the network. Consider since a "best effort" approach in a vehicle. If the music player is shipping data over the vehicle network, and the air bag deploy message cannot get any bandwidth catastrophe. Instead of best effort, industrial protocols take a different approach focused on safety, reliability, and guaranteed delivery. This focus usually is a trade-off for performance. You may not be able to ship a great deal of data, but what data can be sent is sent reliably since, when the air bag needs to deploy, being late is useless.

This survey will discuss the industrial physical layers commonly used in vehicles today: CAN, LIN and MOST, and the software protocols that run over them, ISO, FlexRay, and MOST. Vehicle network design takes an approach similar to a VoIP network than how one would design a network primarily for email, and internet. In these types of networks latency and jitter are key functions that translate to real physical requirements. This is typically dealt with in 2 methods Time-Triggered messages, and Priority Messages. Time Triggered messages are used when the physical layer does not support priority based voting, where as in the case of CAN, the physical open-collector function implements a primitive, yet very effective voting scheme for sending data. This survey will discuss how messages are segregated into time trigger, or priority networks. The 4 types of networks running in the vehicle: comfort, chassis, engine, and describe how these functions work together to provide an immersive infotainment experience, while keeping our vehicles safer than ever.

Vehicle functions are segregated into discrete Electronic Control Units (ECUs) distributed around the vehicle. These ECUs provide raw sensor data, engine statistics, trouble code information, and even dynamic suspension information, all in real-time.

Before the advent of CAN in 1986, these systems were completely segregated, and often implemented by different vendors e.g. the door lock system would be physically separated from the truck latch. Segregated there was no issue of contention since the system fully owned the communication channels it needed. However this also resulted in a great deal of redundancy, cost, and weight. Furthermore, many functions can have enhanced accuracy or precision by combining several different data sources in a scheme called sensor fusion. CAN was introduced to address these issues. CAN allowed ECUs to be linked together with a single twisted pair of copper wires, and included a novel priority scheme for routing higher priority messages ahead of lower priority ones. This was the advent of the industrial protocol in automotive vehicles.

One level of abstraction above the ECU are the system categories: powertrain, comfort, and chassis. Powertrain systems involve engine and transmission data, and have the very tight timing, and jitter specification since these systems are responsible for function such as variable valve timing, spark advance/delay and other critical engine functions. Comfort is the other end of the spectrum, and provides the environment controls such as AC, heating, and radio. Increasingly these comfort systems have grown to include cellular phone integration, and even hotspot functionality. This network category requires higher bandwidth, and higher speed but with a lower emphasis on safety, and reliability. Late message may disrupt the music, but it will not likely result in harm. Chassis systems are responsible for maintaining control of suspension, steering and braking. These are a high reliability network type. [1].

Industrial protocols allow networked ECUs to communicate in our vehicles, and airplanes. Protocols such as CAN, LIN, or AFDX, and their associated physical layers CAN, UART, Ethernet allow for reliable, scalable, and safe operation of electronic systems within our vehicles. But what is it that separates an industrial protocol from a non-industrial one. Namely, reliability, and safety. Industrial protocols can be evaluated in how they meet criteria related to confirmed delivery. Industrial protocols typically reduce the bandwidth and speed of the network to add extra reliability. For instance,

CAN uses an open collector signaling scheme which increases the power required to drive the network than the magnetic isolation technique of Ethernet, but this simple fact implements an OR operation. This OR operation is used by senders to verify that each bit they send was received by the rest of the network.

CAN was the first industrial protocol introduced by Bosch in 19XX. Software assisted vehicle functions were connected by point to point wiring. Once CAN allowed multiple ECUs to be reliably connected on a common pair of wires, vehicle manufacturers saw improved reliability and reduced vehicle weight. *17 pounds*. Industrial protocols also allow ECUs to work cooperatively merging sensor data from multiple end points to achieve advanced vehicle functions. Today, the X-by-wire systems are the epitome of this capable of detecting road obstructions and even stopping the vehicle if necessary.

So how is reliability defined? Reliability is an ECU knowing that a message sent was received by its intended receiver. Real-time. Vehicle buses must allow message to arrive in a deterministic amount of time. Since message could contain life critical message such as deploy air bags such highest priority message must get through. This is also related to safety, at the protocol level, message must get through, at the signal level vehicles are electrically very noisy. Noise can induce eddy currents or other anomalous bits in the digital networks. The physical layer must protect against these to achieve safe operation. This survey will look at 4 ground based vehicle industrial protocols, MOST, FlexRay, LIN, and CAN examine the network and physical layers to how they achieve safety, reliability, data integrity and some of the security implications of each. Vehicle functions are segregated into 4 function groups

II. CAN

CAN was the first industrial protocol for vehicles, and CAN is used for nearly all systems of a vehicle, except for the comfort system which require higher bandwidth than CAN provides. The novel concept introduced by CAN was the bit priority voting system built into the FlexRay. FlexRay did some stuff

that some people care about, do I care, probably not, but whatev.

Show
net-
work
packet
struc-
ture

III. LIN

Lin bus is a serial bus designed for ultra-low cost low data rate systems.

IV. MOST

Show
how
the
vot-
ing/priority
works

Media oriented service transport was introduced to provided higher data rates for the comfort systems of a vehicle. MOST is unique in that it optical fiber. Optical fiber provides physical safety by its very nature. Since the signals are light, they aren't susceptible to magnetic fields as copper wires. This alleviates a higher function handled by more complex systems on the previous networks.

REFERENCES

describe
now
the
in-
ter-
nal
hard-
ware
pro-
vides
and
ad-
di-
tional
level
of
pri-
or-
ity

[1] N. Navet, Y. Song, F. Simonot-Lion, and C. Wilwert, "Trends in automotive communication systems," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 93, no. 6, pp. 1204–1223, Jun. 2005, ISSN: 0018-9219. DOI: 10 . 1109 / JPROC . 2005 . 849725.

PAcket
struc-
ture

network
to-
pog-
ra-
phy

How