

**Math 821 Problem Set #5****Posted: Friday 4/1/11****Due date: Wednesday 4/13/11**

**Problem #1** In class on Friday, I asserted that if  $G$  is a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $c$  connected components, and  $M$  is the signed vertex-edge incidence matrix of  $G$ , then  $\text{rank } M = n - c$ . Prove this statement (over any ground field).

**Solution:** Let  $v_e$  denote the column of  $M$  corresponding to an edge  $e$ .

We induct on the number of edges of  $G$ . If  $G$  has no edges, then  $n = c$  and  $\text{rank } M = 0$  (because  $M$  has no columns). Now, suppose that the statement is true for  $G$ . Let  $G'$  be the graph formed by adding an edge  $ij$  to  $G$ , and let  $M'$  be its signed vertex-edge incidence matrix (obtained from  $M$  by adding a single column).

— If  $G$  already has a path  $P$  from  $i$  to  $j$ , then  $v_{ij}$  is in the linear span of  $\{v_e \mid e \in P\}$  (for example, if all these edges are oriented consistently, then these columns sum to zero). In this case  $G$  and  $G'$  have the same number of connected components, and  $\text{rank } M' = \text{rank } M$ .

— If  $G$  has no path from  $i$  to  $j$ , then  $v_{ij}$  is linearly independent of the columns of  $M$ , for the following reason. Let  $H$  be the set of rows corresponding to the vertices of the connected component  $C$  of  $G$  containing  $i$ . Then for each column  $v_e$  of  $M$ , the entries in rows  $H$  are either all zero (if  $e \notin C$ ) or include one  $+1$  and one  $-1$  (if  $e \in C$ ). In either case, the sum of these entries is zero. That property does not hold for  $v_{ij}$ , which has a single nonzero entry in the rows  $H$  (specifically in row  $i$ ). Therefore,  $v_{ij}$  is not in the column span of  $M$ . It follows that  $\text{rank } M' = \text{rank } M + 1$ , and meanwhile  $G'$  has one fewer connected component than  $G$ .  $\square$

**Problem #2** Fix a ground field  $\mathbb{F}$  and a nonnegative integer  $n$ . Let  $V_k$  be the vector space with basis  $\{\sigma_A\}$ , where  $A$  ranges over all  $k$ -element subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Define a linear transformation  $\partial_k : V_k \rightarrow V_{k-1}$  as follows: if  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  with  $a_1 < \dots < a_k$ , then

$$\partial_k(\sigma_A) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{A \setminus \{a_i\}}.$$

(Having defined  $\partial_k$  on the basis elements, it extends uniquely to all of  $V_k$  by linearity.)

(#2a) Prove that  $\partial_k \circ \partial_{k+1} = 0$  for all  $k$ . (Note: I know this calculation is done explicitly in Hatcher, but it is so important that everyone should do it for themselves at least once!) Conclude that

$$\text{im } \partial_k \subseteq \ker \partial_{k+1}.$$

**Solution:** Let  $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_{k+1}\}$  be a basis element for  $V_{k+1}$ . Then  $\partial_k(\partial_{k+1}(\sigma_A))$  will be a sum of elements  $\sigma_B$ , where

$$B = \{a_1, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, \widehat{a_j}, \dots, a_k\}$$

with  $1 \leq i < j \leq k+1$ . This term arises twice:

- once from deleting  $a_j$  when applying  $\partial_{k+1}$  (with sign  $(-1)^{j+1}$ ) and from deleting  $a_i$  when applying  $\partial_k$  (with sign  $(-1)^{i+1}$ );
- once from deleting  $a_i$  when applying  $\partial_{k+1}$  (with sign  $(-1)^{i+1}$ ) and from deleting  $a_j$  when applying  $\partial_k$  (with sign  $(-1)^j$ , since  $a_j$  is now the  $(j-1)$ st smallest element)

Therefore, the coefficient with which  $\sigma_B$  appears is

$$(-1)^{j+1}(-1)^{i+1} + (-1)^{i+1}(-1)^j = 0$$

and this proves that  $\partial^2 = 0$ .

(#2b) For  $n = 3$ , write out the maps  $\partial_k$  as explicit matrices.

**Solution:**

$$\partial_3 = \begin{matrix} & 123 \\ \begin{matrix} 12 \\ 13 \\ 23 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}, \quad \partial_2 = \begin{matrix} & 12 & 13 & 23 \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}, \quad \partial_1 = \begin{matrix} & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \emptyset & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

(#2c) Prove that for every  $k$ , the set  $\{\partial_k(\sigma_A) : 1 \in A\}$  is a basis for the vector space  $\text{im } \partial_k$ .

**Solution:** To show linear independence: Let  $A_1, \dots, A_s$  denote the  $k$ -element subsets of  $[n]$  containing 1. Then for each  $i$ ,  $B_i := A_i \setminus \{1\}$  is a subset of no other  $A_j$ . Therefore, in the row corresponding to  $B_i$ , the column vector  $\partial_k(\sigma_{A_i})$  has a  $\pm 1$ , but every other  $\partial_k(\sigma_{A_j})$  has a zero. It follows that no  $\partial_k(\sigma_{A_i})$  is in the span of any other.

To show that the given set spans: Suppose that  $C = \{a_1 < \dots < a_k\}$  does not contain 1. Then

$$\partial_{k+1}(\sigma_{\{1, a_1, \dots, a_k\}}) = \sigma_C + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \sigma_{\{1, a_1, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_k\}}$$

and hitting both sides with  $\partial_k$  gives

$$0 = \partial_k \sigma_C + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \partial_k \sigma_{\{1, a_1, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_k\}}$$

or

$$\partial_k \sigma_C = - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \partial_k \sigma_{\{1, a_1, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_k\}}$$

which verifies that  $\partial_k \sigma_C$  is in the span of  $\{\partial_k(\sigma_A) : 1 \in A\}$ .

(#2d) Use (2c) to prove that in fact  $\text{im } \partial_k = \ker \partial_{k-1}$ . (Hint: By (1), all you have to show is that these vector spaces have the same dimension.)

**Solution:** By (2c), we have

$$\dim \text{im } \partial_k = |\{\partial_k(\sigma_A) : 1 \in A\}| = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

and

$$\dim \ker \partial_{k-1} = \dim V_{k-1} - \text{rank } \partial_{k-1} = \binom{n}{k-1} - \binom{n-1}{k-2} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

as desired. (Note: This calculation amounts to proving that a simplex is acyclic.)

**Problem #3** Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Describe  $\text{coker } A$  (i) if  $A$  is regarded as a linear transformation over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; (ii) if  $A$  is regarded as a linear transformation over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; (iii) if  $A$  is regarded as a linear transformation over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (the finite field with  $q$  elements).

**Solution:** (i)  $\det A = -2$ , so  $A$  is invertible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore  $A$  defines an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^3$  and  $\text{coker } A = 0$ .

(ii) Over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\det A = -2$  means that  $\text{coker } A \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . In fact,  $\text{im } A$  consists exactly of those vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  whose entries sum to an even number. Therefore, any vector whose entries sum to an odd number can be taken as a generator for  $\text{coker } A$ .

(iii) If  $q$  is odd, then  $A$  is nonsingular and  $\text{coker } A = 0$ . If  $q$  is even, then  $A$  has rank 2 and  $\text{coker } A \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ . (Note: Recall that  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is not the only field with an even number of elements — in general, any power of a prime can be the order of a finite field. So the latter case,  $\text{coker } A \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ , holds whenever  $q$  is a power of 2.)

**Problem #4** Let  $R = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the ring of polynomials in  $n$  variables over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A *squarefree monomial* in  $R$  is a product of distinct indeterminates (e.g.,  $x_1x_4x_5$ , but not  $x_1x_5^2$ ). Let  $I$  be an ideal generated by squarefree monomials of degree  $\geq 2$ .

(#4a) Show that the set

$$\Delta = \{\sigma \subset [n] \mid \prod_{i \in \sigma} x_i \notin I\}$$

is an abstract simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. (This is called the *Stanley-Reisner complex* of  $I$  — or, alternately,  $I$  is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of  $\Delta$ .)

(Note: I chose not to assign points for this problem because I don't think it was clear that I was looking for a topological description in (b). I hope to come back to this topic later.)

**Solution:** Neither 1 nor any single variable belong to the ideal. Since every multiple of an element in  $I$  is an element of  $I$ , it follows that every divisor of a non-element of  $I$  is also not an element of  $I$  — which corresponds to the condition that every subset of an element of  $\Delta$  is also an element of  $\Delta$ .

(#4b) Describe  $\Delta$  in the case that  $I$  is (i) the zero ideal; (ii) generated by a single monomial of degree  $d$ ; (iii) generated by all monomials of degree  $d$  for some  $d \leq n$ ; (iv) (assuming  $n = 2m$  is even) generated by the degree-2 monomials  $x_1x_2, x_3x_4, \dots, x_{2m-1}x_{2m}$ .

(i)  $\Delta$  is a simplex with  $n$  vertices.

(ii) If  $d = n$ , then  $\Delta$  is the interior of a  $d$ -vertex simplex, hence homeomorphic to  $S^{n-2}$ . If  $d < n$ , then  $\Delta$  is an iterated  $(n - d)$ -fold cone over  $S^{n-2}$ , so homeomorphic to  $B^{n-2}$  (i.e., a closed  $(n - 2)$ -ball).

(iii) The maximal faces of  $\Delta$  are exactly the  $(d - 1)$ -element subsets of  $[n]$ . That is,  $\Delta$  is the  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional skeleton of the  $n$ -vertex simplex.

(iv)  $\Delta$  can be realized as the simplicial sphere whose vertices are  $\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \dots, \pm e_m$ , where  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  are the standard basis vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . (E.g., if  $m = 2$  then  $\Delta$  is a diamond; if  $m = 3$  then  $\Delta$  is an octahedron.) In general  $\Delta \cong S^{m-1}$ .