

Heuristic Analysis

In this project, we created an agent for playing a variant of the 7x7 isolation game where each move is time-limited and restricted to L-shaped movements like a knight in chess. We wrote three different scoring functions to test three different heuristics and compared them to a baseline to determine the best heuristic. Our agent played against seven different types of opponents that used different playing strategies. The following is my analysis of my three heuristic as compared to the baseline.

Heuristic 1

For the first heuristic, I used the heuristic presented in the lecture that two times the number of available opponent moves from the number of moves for the player. According to the lecture this heuristic will *“cause the computer player to chase after the opponent”*. This heuristic yielded the best result although not by a very large margin.

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	7	3	7	3
2	MM_Open	6	4	8	2
3	MM_Center	9	1	7	3
4	MM_Improved	6	4	6	4
5	AB_Open	7	3	7	3
6	AB_Center	4	6	7	3
7	AB_Improved	3	7	3	7

Win Rate:		60.0%		64.3%	

Heuristic 2

For the second heuristic, I chose a heuristic that favors moves closer to the center of the board. The premise is that there are more available moves when you play closer to the center. This heuristic did not perform any better than the baseline.

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom_2	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	7	3	8	2
2	MM_Open	6	4	8	2
3	MM_Center	9	1	5	5
4	MM_Improved	6	4	6	4
5	AB_Open	7	3	6	4
6	AB_Center	4	6	6	4
7	AB_Improved	3	7	3	7

Win Rate:		60.0%		60.0%	

Heuristic 3

For the third heuristic, I chose the most simplistic heuristic: number of my moves. This strategy was only marginally better than the baseline.

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	7	3	6	4
2	MM_Open	6	4	8	2
3	MM_Center	9	1	8	2
4	MM_Improved	6	4	7	3
5	AB_Open	7	3	4	6
6	AB_Center	4	6	5	5
7	AB_Improved	3	7	5	5

Win Rate:		60.0%		61.4%	