# Day 8: Random Forests & Ensemble **Methods**

# Objective

To understand how ensemble learning, particularly Random Forests, enhances model performance by combining multiple decision trees.

## What is a Random Forest?

### A Random Forest is:

- An ensemble of many Decision Trees
- Each tree makes a prediction; the majority vote (classification) or average (regression) is the final output
- It uses random subsets of features and data to build each tree

💡 It's like asking a group of friends for their opinions and taking a vote—better than trusting just one!

# Why Use Ensemble Methods?

- Weak Learners → Strong Learner
  - A single tree may **overfit** or perform poorly
  - Many trees, when combined, generalize better

### Reduces Variance

 Since each tree is trained on a different subset, the final model is more stable and less sensitive to noise

## Handles High-Dimensional Data

• Random selection of features makes it robust to irrelevant variables

## How Random Forests Work

- 1. Bootstrap Sampling (Bagging):
  - Each tree is trained on a random subset of training data with replacement
- 2. Random Feature Selection:
  - o At each node, a random subset of features is considered to split
- 3. Prediction Aggregation:
  - Classification: Most common class across trees
  - Regression: Average of all outputs

# Step-by-Step Implementation

# Step 1: Load & Preprocess the Dataset

We use the Breast Cancer Wisconsin dataset:

## 🌳 Step 2: Train a Random Forest Classifier

from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier from sklearn.metrics import accuracy\_score, classification\_report

rf model = RandomForestClassifier(n estimators=100, max depth=6, random state=42) rf model.fit(X train scaled, y train)

y pred = rf model.predict(X test scaled) print("Accuracy:", accuracy\_score(y\_test, y\_pred)) print(classification\_report(y\_test, y\_pred, target\_names=data.target\_names))

- n\_estimators=100: 100 trees in the forest
- max\_depth=6: Controls tree complexity to reduce overfitting

## ■ Step 3: Feature Importance

This shows which features were most helpful in making decisions:

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
importances = rf model.feature_importances_
indices = np.argsort(importances)[::-1]
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
plt.title('Feature Importances')
plt.bar(range(X.shape[1]), importances[indices])
plt.xticks(range(X.shape[1]), df.columns[indices], rotation=90)
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```

## Step 4: Experiment with Hyperparameters

You can try different combinations of trees, depth, and criteria:

```
rf_model_alt = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=50, max_depth=3, random_state=42)
rf model alt.fit(X train scaled, y train)
alt_pred = rf_model_alt.predict(X_test_scaled)
```

# 📋 Comparison Table

Model **Overfitting Risk** Interpretability Accuracy **Stability Decision Tree** Moderate High Easy Low Random Low Medium High High Forest

# Summary

- Random Forests are ensemble models that improve accuracy and reduce overfitting.
- They aggregate predictions from multiple trees.
- **V** Important to tune n\_estimators, max\_depth, and max\_features.
- V Feature importance gives insights into key variables.

# Day 9: Cross-Validation & Hyperparameter Tuning

# **©** Goal

To make your machine learning models more robust and accurate by:

- Evaluating them more reliably using Cross-Validation
- Optimizing their configuration using Hyperparameter Tuning

# What is Cross-Validation?

## Problem with Train-Test Split:

When we use only one train/test split, the performance might:

- Depend too much on how the data was split
- Give misleading results due to randomness or bias

## Cross-Validation Solution:

K-Fold Cross-Validation splits data into K parts (folds):

- Model trains on K-1 parts and tests on the 1 remaining part
- This repeats K times, with each part used as a test set once
- The final score is the average performance across all K runs

```
Example (K=5):

Fold 1 \rightarrow test, Fold 2-5 \rightarrow train

Fold 2 \rightarrow test, Fold 1,3-5 \rightarrow train

... repeat 5 times
```

# What is Hyperparameter Tuning?

## What are Hyperparameters?

- Parameters not learned from data but set manually
- Examples for Random Forest:
  - n\_estimators (number of trees)
  - max\_depth (depth of tree)
  - criterion (gini/entropy)

## **©** Goal of Tuning:

To find the **best combination of hyperparameters** that gives the highest cross-validation score.

# Tuning Techniques

## 1. Q Grid Search (GridSearchCV)

- Tries every combination of values in your parameter grid
- Can be slow with many options

```
from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV

param_grid = {
    'n_estimators': [50, 100, 150],
    'max_depth': [3, 5, 7],
    'criterion': ['gini', 'entropy']
}

grid_search = GridSearchCV(RandomForestClassifier(), param_grid, cv=5)
```

## 2. Randomized Search (RandomizedSearchCV)

- Picks a random sample of combinations from a given range
- Faster and effective for large grids

```
from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV
from scipy.stats import randint

param_dist = {
    'n_estimators': randint(50, 200),
    'max_depth': randint(2, 10)
}

random_search = RandomizedSearchCV(RandomForestClassifier(), param_dist, n_iter=10, cv=5)
```

## w

## **How to Apply This in Practice**

- Step-by-step:
  - 1. Split and scale data

- 2. Define model + hyperparameter space
- 3. Use GridSearchCV or RandomizedSearchCV
- 4. Train on best model
- 5. Evaluate on test data

# Example Using Breast Cancer Dataset

```
from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, GridSearchCV
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
# Load and prepare data
data = load breast cancer()
X, y = data.data, data.target
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, stratify=y)
scaler = StandardScaler()
X train scaled = scaler.fit transform(X train)
X_test_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test)
# Grid Search
param grid = {
  'n_estimators': [50, 100, 150],
  'max depth': [3, 5, 7],
  'criterion': ['gini', 'entropy']
}
grid = GridSearchCV(RandomForestClassifier(), param_grid, cv=5)
grid.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
print("Best Params:", grid.best params )
# Evaluate
from sklearn.metrics import classification report
y_pred = grid.best_estimator_.predict(X_test_scaled)
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred))
```

# Summary Table

Concept	Description
Cross-Validation	Tests model on different data splits
K-Fold CV	Common CV strategy with k partitions
GridSearchCV	Tests all param combinations (exhaustive)
RandomizedSearchC V	Tests random param combinations (faster)
Best Practice	Always tune models with CV, not just 1 split

# 🇖 Intern Challenge

- \( \) Tune a DecisionTreeClassifier
- Compare performance with and without CV
- Try RandomizedSearchCV on Logistic Regression

# Day 10: Logistic Regression & ROC Curve

# **Objective**

### Learn how to:

- Build and evaluate a Logistic Regression model
- Understand its probabilistic nature
- Use ROC Curve and AUC Score for performance evaluation



Despite its name, Logistic Regression is a classification algorithm, not regression.

## Key Points:

- It models the **probability** that a given input belongs to a class.
- Uses the sigmoid function to squeeze output between 0 and 1.
- Ideal for binary classification problems like:
   "Spam or Not", "Tumor is Benign or Malignant", "Customer will Buy or Not".

## **Equation:**

P(y=1|X)=11+e-z, where  $z=wX+bP(y=1|X) = \frac{1}{1} + e^{-z}$ , \quad \text{where } z=wX+b

# Step-by-Step Implementation

## Step 1: Load and Preprocess Data

We'll use the Breast Cancer Wisconsin dataset.

from sklearn.datasets import load\_breast\_cancer from sklearn.model\_selection import train\_test\_split from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler import pandas as pd
# Load dataset

data = load\_breast\_cancer()
df = pd.DataFrame(data.data, columns=data.feature\_names)
df['target'] = data.target

# Split data
X = df.drop('target', axis=1)
y = df['target']
X\_train, X\_test, y\_train, y\_test = train\_test\_split(X, y, test\_size=0.2, stratify=y, random\_state=42)

# Scale data
scaler = StandardScaler()
X\_train\_scaled = scaler.fit\_transform(X\_train)
X\_test\_scaled = scaler.transform(X\_test)

## in Step 2: Train the Logistic Regression Model

from sklearn.linear\_model import LogisticRegression from sklearn.metrics import accuracy\_score, classification\_report

```
model = LogisticRegression()
model.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)

y_pred = model.predict(X_test_scaled)
print("Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred))
print(classification report(y test, y pred))
```

## Step 3: Evaluate with ROC Curve & AUC

- ROC Curve (Receiver Operating Characteristic):
  - Shows tradeoff between TPR (Recall) and FPR (False Positives)
  - More area under the curve = Better classifier

```
from sklearn.metrics import roc curve, roc auc score
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
# Predict probabilities
y_prob = model.predict_proba(X_test_scaled)[:, 1]
# Calculate ROC curve
fpr, tpr, thresholds = roc_curve(y_test, y_prob)
auc = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_prob)
# Plot
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
plt.plot(fpr, tpr, label=f"ROC Curve (AUC = {auc:.2f})")
plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], 'k--') # baseline
plt.xlabel("False Positive Rate")
plt.ylabel("True Positive Rate")
plt.title("ROC Curve")
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
```

# **Interpretation**

Metric Meaning

**Accuracy** % of correct predictions overall

**Precision** How many predicted positives were correct

**AUC** Overall quality of model's probability ranking

# 🔽 Summary

- Logistic Regression is a **probabilistic classifier** using the sigmoid function
- Predicts classes based on a **threshold** (default = 0.5)
- ROC Curve and AUC are crucial for evaluating probabilistic models

# 🇖 Intern Challenge

- Try using logistic regression on the Titanic dataset
- Adjust the threshold (e.g., 0.3, 0.7) and observe how precision/recall change
- Compare Logistic Regression vs Decision Tree on the same dataset

# Day 11: KNN & SVM (K-Nearest Neighbors and Support Vector Machines)

# **Objective**

By the end of this day, interns will:

- Understand the concepts behind KNN and SVM
- Learn to train, test, and evaluate both models

Compare their performance using ROC Curve and AUC Score

## 🧠 1. What is K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)?

## KNN is a lazy learning algorithm:

- It doesn't learn a model from the training data
- Instead, it stores the entire dataset and makes predictions only when asked

## How it works:

- 1. Choose a number K (e.g., 3, 5)
- 2. To predict a new point, find the K nearest points in the training set using Euclidean distance
- 3. Return the **majority class** among those neighbors

## **Pros**:

- Simple, intuitive, no training
- Good for small datasets

## Cons:

- Slow with large datasets
- Sensitive to feature scaling and irrelevant features

# 2. What is Support Vector Machine (SVM)?

SVM is a **supervised machine learning algorithm** that works by:

- Finding the best hyperplane that separates data into different classes
- The "best" means the one with the maximum margin

## Key Concepts:

- Support Vectors: Data points closest to the decision boundary
- **Kernel Trick**: SVM can project data into higher dimensions using kernels (linear, polynomial, RBF)

## Pros:

- Works well in high-dimensional spaces
- Robust to outliers
- Effective when number of features > number of samples

## ⚠ Cons:

- Can be slower with very large datasets
- Requires careful tuning of kernel and hyperparameters

# Step-by-Step Implementation

We use the **Breast Cancer dataset** (binary classification).

## Step 1: Load and Preprocess Data

from sklearn.datasets import load\_breast\_cancer

from sklearn.model\_selection import train\_test\_split

from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler

data = load\_breast\_cancer()

X, y = data.data, data.target

# Train-test split

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, stratify=y, random_state=42)

# Standardize
scaler = StandardScaler()

X_train_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)

X_test_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test)
```

## in Step 2: KNN Model

from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy\_score, classification\_report

```
knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=5)
knn.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)

y_pred_knn = knn.predict(X_test_scaled)
print("KNN Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_knn))
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_knn))
```

- n\_neighbors=5 means using the 5 nearest data points
- Accuracy & classification report gives precision, recall, f1-score

## Step 3: SVM Model

from sklearn.svm import SVC

```
svm = SVC(kernel='linear', probability=True)
svm.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)

y_pred_svm = svm.predict(X_test_scaled)
print("SVM Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_svm))
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_svm))
```

- kernel='linear' means we're using a simple linear decision boundary
- probability=True is required to generate ROC curve

## III Step 4: Compare with ROC Curve and AUC

from sklearn.metrics import roc\_curve, roc\_auc\_score import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

```
# Predict probabilities
y_proba_knn = knn.predict_proba(X_test_scaled)[:, 1]
y_proba_svm = svm.predict_proba(X_test_scaled)[:, 1]
# ROC data
fpr_knn, tpr_knn, _ = roc_curve(y_test, y_proba_knn)
fpr_svm, tpr_svm, _ = roc_curve(y_test, y_proba_svm)
auc_knn = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_proba_knn)
auc_svm = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_proba_svm)
```

# Plot ROC

```
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))

plt.plot(fpr_knn, tpr_knn, label=f'KNN (AUC = {auc_knn:.2f})')

plt.plot(fpr_svm, tpr_svm, label=f'SVM (AUC = {auc_svm:.2f})')

plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], 'k--')

plt.xlabel("False Positive Rate")

plt.ylabel("True Positive Rate")

plt.title("ROC Curve Comparison")

plt.legend()

plt.grid(True)

plt.show()
```

# 📋 Comparison Table

Model	Learning Type	Training Time	Accuracy	Strengths
KNN	Lazy	Fast	Good	Simple, interpretable
SVM	Eager	Slower	Better	Robust, handles high-dimensions

# Summary

- KNN classifies based on nearby points
- **SVM** finds the best boundary for classification
- Always evaluate using **AUC & ROC**, not just accuracy

• Scaling is important for both

# Intern Tasks

- 1. Try different values of  $n_neighbors$  in KNN and plot accuracy.
- 2. Switch SVM to kernel='rbf' and compare results.
- 3. Plot decision boundaries using 2 features (e.g., PCA).