INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT AND REVIEW (IPCR)

I,Marilyn F.Go, of the *Traffic Adjudication Service* commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period *July to December 2022*.

MARILY F. GO

Date
21 January 2022

Output	Success Indicator (Target + Measure)	Actual Assemplishment		Rati	ng		
Output	Success indicator (Target + Measure)	Actual Accomplishment	q	E	T	A	Remarks
Strategic Priority				1	i		
Apply Basic Customer Service Skills and	99.98% of customersatisfied with the outcome.	100% of customer satisfied with the outcome.					and the second
Ease of Doing Business and Efficient		1	4	4	4	4	
Government Service.							
You should apply yourself to your work as	99.98% of customer satisfied with the outcome.	100% of customer satisfied with the outcome.				4	
customer.			-	-	•	-	
Core Function							
Public concerned for verification of	99.95% of customer satisfied with the outcome.	99.99% of customer satisfied with the outcome.	- 1				
apprehension and alarm of TOP's and		1	5	4	4	4.33	
other concerned related to license and			٠ ١	-	,	7.30	
registration for settlement purposes.							
Receives all public concerned and	For about 100 TOPs with 99.95% received.	Around 100 TOP's with 100% received at the end of	- 1				
complaint for apprehension could be		the day.	4	4	4	4	
contested or admitted.							
Receives all public concerned related to	For about 10 Impounding Receipts with 99.95%	Around 10 Impounding Receipts with 100% received at					
impounded vehicle for settlement	received.	the end of the day.	4	4	4	4	
purposes.							
Support Function				-			
Issues queue number and assigns	For about 100 TOPs and 10 IRMV with 99.95%	Around 100 TOP's and 10 IRMV with 100% received at	4				
TOP/IRMV to Evaluator.	received.	the end of the day.	•	•	1		
Assists all Senior Citizen, Pregnant	For about 20 TOPs apprehension admitted with	Around 20 TOPs with 100% received at the end of the			1		1
Woman, and Person with Disability	99.98% received.	day.			١.		I
(PWD) for apprehension settlement			4,	1	1 *	1 *	1
purposes.							L
Final Average Rating		,	4.14	4	4	4.05	

Comments and Recommendations for Development Purposes		ises				, II.
	Discussed with			Assesed and Final Ranking		I Date
	Discussed with		I certify that I d	liscussed my assessment of the perfor		Date
Marilyn F.Go Employee				Atty. ESTEBAN M. BALTAZAR, JR Chief, Traffic Adjudication Ser	L CESO V	20 January 2023
egend lating	• Q - Quality 1 - Poor	E - Efficiency 2 - Unsatisfactory	T - Timeliness 3 - Satisfactory	A - Average 4 - Very Satisfactory	5 - Outstanding	