# Scale transformation of place brands: A visual study of

# Xinhepu, Guangzhou

Abstract: Place branding has become a popular strategy to promote place visibility. However, the role of geographical scale in branding has been overlooked. The scale transformation of place brands enables branding strategies to work not only locally but also to rise to a larger scale of cities or regions. Taking Xinhepu in Guangzhou, China, as a case study, we use self-directed photography, photo evaluation, eye-tracking experiments, and interviews to clarify the scale transformation performance of place brands from the perspective of embodied experience. We have found that both residents and nonresidents do not limit their perception of the Xinhepu brand to a small-scale area but point to the city of Guangzhou. We argue that place branding needs to be understood and governed from a multiscale perspective, with a particular focus on the role of individual embodied experiences and everyday practices in the scale transformation of place branding.

Key words: place brand, city brand, scale transformation, visual research, Guangzhou

**1** 

#### 1 Introduction

A place brand is the associative information network based on the vision, language and other types of behavioral expression of a place in people's minds, and it is reflected through the strategic objectives, communication, culture, and values of place stakeholders and the overall spatial design of the place (Braun & Zenker, 2011). In recent years, the study of place brands is no longer considered a topic limited to marketing and communication, but its "geographical dimension" is being emphasized (Kavaratzis & Hatch, 2013). Given that the objects and processes of place branding cannot escape their sociospatial context and historical imprint and are inevitably influenced by spatial interconnections (Andersson, 2015; Pike, 2009), the geographic perspective has contributed to this research by highlighting the contribution of inter- and intraregional interactions to the branding process (Pasquinelli, 2013).

Place brands as understood from a geographic perspective no longer exist in a single space, and much attention has been given to the spatial relationships in which place brands are embedded, particularly the impact of multilevel geographic spaces on branding. The number of studies in the field of place brands in cities has grown exponentially over the last four decades, with numerous scholars compiling and summarizing research in the field (Oguztimur & Akturan, 2016), nation brands (Wu, 2017), regional brands (Lu & De Jong, 2019), and rural brands (De San Eugenio-Vela & Barniol-Carcasona, 2015), among other multiscale branding studies, also emerging. The study of brand and branding is increasingly linked to geographic space, as reflected in the application of place brands at the spatial level at different scales, which continues to gain increasing attention.

Understanding the various geographical dimensions of place branding is important (Boisen et al., 2011), but studies of place brands tend to focus independently on one or more specific spatial levels (Syssner, 2010), ignoring small-scale or marginal places (Andersson,

2015) and lacking even more a relational perspective on place brands at different scales. Indeed, place branding is an ongoing process that links the various scales and spatial relationships involved in place (Kavaratzis, 2009; Kavaratzis & Kalandides, 2015). Far from consisting of explicit processes that occur within prefixed scale boundaries, urban, regional, and national brands converge in an intertwined mechanism of practices (Giovanardi, 2015). For example, the idea of multilevel governance in place brands highlights how relationships between places at different scales influence place branding (Syssner, 2010; Audouin, 2022).

However, interactions between different geographical scales are not only present in governance structures but are also reflected in bottom-up processes based on local culture and embodied experiences (Sang, 2021). The profound influence of culture on local development determines its role as an effective tool for place branding (Anholt, 2016) and in branding at different scales (Dastgerdi & De Luca, 2019; Kolotouchkina & Seisdedos, 2016; Porter, 2020; Zhao. 2015). Place branding is built on people's understanding, identification and construction of the meaning of place culture (Kavaratzis & Hatch, 2013), and people's perception of place culture can be cross-scale (Lewicka, 2010). With the recent emphasis of human geographers on the body and the prevalence of nonrepresentational theories, local culture is considered formed in "body-space" interactions (Anderson, 2020). The meaning of place is not fixed but cocreated through embodied practices (Kavaratzis and Hatch, 2021). At the bodily scale, human sensory expressions can directly convey the subject's feelings and mental states, and visual responses in particular are often the first capture of geographic space for people (Rose, 2014). However, the relationship between the body and place brand is underexplored in current research (Macpherson, 2010).

We selected the Xinhepu historic district in Guangzhou, China, as a case study and used a visual research methodology centered on an eye-tracking experiment to explore how the place brand undergoes a scale shift from the perspective of audience embodiment perception. Specifically, we focus on answering two questions: First, does the embodied perception of Xinhepu by subjects with different identities reflect the scale shift of the place brand? Second, what role do specific perceptions play in the scale shift of place brands? The main contributions of the article are as follows: first, to provide a new explanation for the construction of place branding at multiple geographical scales by applying the theory of "scale shift" in cultural geography and to explore the multiscale characteristics of place brands and their scale shift from a bottom-up perspective instead of governance; second, to focus on the relationship between the body and the meaning construction of place brands based on the visual research method and to explore the relationship between the body and the meaning of place brands. constructs, exploring how the body's unconscious, nonrepresentational rhythms play a role in place brand scale transformation.

The visual research process of Xinhepu strings together the structure of this paper, in which we collect people's evaluations of Xinhepu's brand attributes through photo ratings; use eye-movement experiments to obtain eye-tracking data of subjects with different identities to analyze how people's perceptions of Xinhepu are reflected in the larger-scale urban culture level; and further explore how Xinhepu's place brand is transformed into a city brand through place. Through the interviews of the research subjects in the previous process, we further explore how the local brand of Xinhepu is transformed into a city brand through local culture creation, thus providing a reference for city brand management and

small regional culture construction.

#### 2 Literature review

### 2.1 Scale transformation of place brands

Brands are abstract characteristics, images, and mental images that consumers recall when they associate with a specific symbol, product, service, organization, or place (Kavaratzis & Ashworth, 2005; Simeon, 2006), embodying associated physical and psychosocial attributes and beliefs (Claudia & Sally, 2001). A place brand is developed from the concept of a brand, which involves numerous stakeholders internally (Hospers, 2010) and has more complex dimensions and uncontrollability than the product (Nagaynay & Lee, 2020). Sense of place theory (Nogué & de San-Eugenio-Vela, 2018), spatial production theory (Kavaratzis & Hatch, 2021), and structuration theory (Pedeliento & Kavaratzis, 2019) are increasingly used in brand research to reveal the characteristics of different places. Hankinson (2004) identifies four meanings of place branding based on his observations and assessments in different fields such as urban planning, marketing, and tourism: place branding as a form of communication; place branding as a perceived entity; place branding as an enhancement of place value; and place branding as an expansion of relational networks. These meanings provide insights into some relatively general directions of place branding and contain reflections on locality and relationality.

In terms of locality, place brands are rooted in geography, and brands and branding themselves are geographically distinct and uneven in terms of their spatiotemporal representation, visibility, fixity and mobility (Pike, 2009). "Scale" is a central concept in geography (Howitt, 1998) and is a framework for delineating different spatial scales, both horizontally (size of territory) and vertically (level of hierarchy) (Taylor, 1982; Swyngedouw, 1997). Using the spatial scale of geography to understand place brands, the implementation of branding processes usually adheres to a large extent to the regulation of certain scales, such as the city level, the regional level and the national level, resulting in labels such as city brand, regional brand and national brand (Giovanardi, 2015).

Scale is not a fixed hierarchy but a socially constructed dimension (Delaney & Leitner, 1997). With the "scale turn" in Western human geography, there has been a shift from a realist to a constructivist understanding of scale, where scale is seen as a product of broader social, political, economic, and cultural processes (MacKinnon, 2011), implying that there is interaction between different levels of scale (Paasi. 2004). With hot topics such as Assemblage Theory, Complexity Theory, and Actor-networks Theory reconfiguring relationships (Müller, 2015; Thrift, 1999), scales are also viewed in terms of fluid and changing relationships. Understanding place brands through the relational lens of scale is influenced not only by the same level of space but also by higher and lower levels of place; spatial levels can also work in reverse when a particular place defines an entire region (Aharon & Alfasi, 2022). Studies have been conducted to extend the discussion of the relational nature of place brand scales by considering the spatial interaction of brands as joining a network of associations (Giovanardi, 2015; Zenker & Jacobsen, 2015).

In the cultural dimension, place brands are influenced by the culture of the upper and lower levels, and scale transformation is an important aspect of this relational nature, which is understood as the transformation of cultural meanings between small and large regions,

including descending and ascending scales (Zhou & Zhang, 2015). Downscaling refers to the decomposition of the cultural or cultural goals of a large region into those of a small region; upscaling is the integration of the cultural or cultural goals of a small region into those of a higher region (Zhou & Cheng, 2013). At the same time, place brands at different spatial levels interact with each other and are closely related to the multilevel governance of regions (Ye & Björner, 2018). The practice of place branding is complex, as there are close links between countries, regions, cities, and smaller areas involving multiple levels of governance (Wu, 2000). The multilevel governance perspective provides a way for local governments to navigate between higher-level goals and actual development needs at the regional level (Lu et al., 2017) and is a way to understand the dynamic interrelationships within and between different levels of governance and government (Peters & Pierre, 2001).

There has been a focus on multiple scales in current research, and a fixed macro-mesomicro set or other scaling network framework has been generally developed (Jessop et al., 2008). However, most of these studies only summarize the corresponding patterns of each research scale, and the research scales are fragmented, lacking cross-scale interactions and excavation of cultural meanings of the phenomena (Giovanardi, 2015). Scale boundaries are understood as given, predetermined, and immutable, with brands at different spatial levels involving multiple independent sets of procedures and mechanisms and implemented by different actors (Dinnie, 2007; Peirce & Ritchie, 2007). This local and simplified understanding treats scale boundaries as hierarchies of nested locations. What is important for place brands is not the subscale, but how scales are organically related and in what ways smaller scales are nested under larger scales, completing the decomposition of larger-scale cultural function goals with more finely tuned cultural function goals at their own scales. By clarifying the logic of the scale transformation of place branding, it is easy for us to provide regional scale transformation relationships that are conducive to urban cultural development and thus provide mechanisms for the multilevel governance of place brands from a cultural perspective.

#### 2.2 Visual analysis method of place brand perception

Local people are not the passive beneficiaries of place brands; rather, they actively interpret the meaning of place brands (Rebelo et al., 2020), and the scale transformation of place brands also needs to be realized through the human perception of place culture. Understanding the changes in local people's emotions, memory and identity will enable us to expand the narrow perspective of marketing a city as a commodity and replace it with a new brand governance philosophy. Moreover, the meaning of a place is not fixed; rather, it is jointly created through concrete practice (Kavaratzis & Hatch, 2021). As a subjective and perceptual phenomenon, the place brand image is formed by the audience through the emotional interpretation of the brand (Dobni, 1990). Emotion is understood as a key factor in guiding human beings to experience a place (Wood & Smith, 2004), which means that place branding works through the subconscious to some extent (Eshuis & Edwards, 2012). The main purpose of brand activities is to maximize the emotional relationship between the self and the place, awaken people's feelings for the place (Nogué & de San-Eugenio-Vela, 2018), and establish a deeper and more lasting relationship with people (Lafferty, 2001).

However, research on place brands rarely starts from the emotional concept of the geospatial experience of the body (Dinnie, 2009; Govers & Go, 2016). As the smallest scale

at which place brands can be perceived, the body is the result of cultural and cognitive habits, which requires us to shift to a more complex process of understanding the body (MacPherson, 2010). The generation of a spatial emotional imprint often requires forms of representation, such as text, image and discourse (Datta, 2012), to be translated by the brain. Emotions interact with various social and natural environmental factors, especially landscapes with visual advantages, which can directly connect with emotions in the brain. Therefore, visual expression can often better convey a subject's feelings, emotions, senses and various emotional psychological states (Rose, 2014). Under the influence of the "cultural turn" (Barnett, 1998), spatial research not only focuses on the production and representation of spatial visual discourse but also interprets and analyzes the emotional experience of space through various visual methods (Datta, 2012; Rose, 2014). The cultural meaning of everyday life is constructed through vision. Therefore, the methods used to study visual symbols, including content analysis, the symbolic image method, psychoanalysis and discourse analysis (Rose, 2002), can be applied to the cultural dimension of brand research to provide more theoretical and practical insights for place branding.

On the one hand, using visual methods of image stimulation to extract information and relevant data from respondents, such as self-directed photography and photo evaluation, can better reflect the respondents' perception of space and analyze the experience of the research subject from the perspective of explicit attitudes. Many scientific studies have helped to explore the different perceptions and experiences of people in different places based on the different informational characteristics reflected by self-directed photographic imaging materials (Aitken & Wingate, 1993; Lombard, 2013). In emotion measurement, photo evaluation can provide a good practical spatial simulation (Russell et al., 1981), and it has also been applied in many studies (Wang et al., 2020). On the other hand, with the rise of the "neural turn" (Korf, 2008; Pykett, 2018) in human geography research, more mature neuroscience methods, such as eye-tracking experiments, can intuitively and accurately reflect the visual key points of experimental participants. Additionally, they can objectively quantify their emotional and psychological activities and perceptual process based on the changes in their physical visual sense (Dupont et al., 2016) to better capture the implicit attitudes of the respondents. An eye tracker can record physiological data such as the pupil response, fixation point and fixation time, show the dynamic changes in subjects' emotion and cognition, and more truly reflect the state of subjects during the experiment (Roberts et al., 2016). The eye-tracking method is also widely used in discussions of the spatial level (Wang & Sparks, 2016; Yuan et al., 2022).

These visual research methods are seen as effective tools for accurate perceptual analysis and can be particularly advantageous when mixing methods that span both qualitative and quantitative approaches. Taking different measures together provides the opportunity to cross-check the results of individual datasets, both for contextualization and to deepen the understanding of what is being studied (Osborne & Jones, 2017). Qualitative methods provide us with the most basic information, while quantitative techniques can capture what cannot be captured on the surface, thus creating a reinforcing effect and giving a different perspective on the research topic (Creswell & Clark, 2007). Applying visual methods to place brand research is still a gap, and based on this, we hope to develop visual research through a combination of self-directed photography, photo evaluation, and eye-tracking

experiments to dissect whether there are scale-transforming associations embedded in place brand perception.

# 

#### 3 Research design

#### 3.1 Case location: Xinhepu, Guangzhou

In 2000, Guangzhou announced the first batch of "historic and cultural districts", and the Xinhepu area in Yuexiu District (Figure 1) was listed among them. Throughout its long evolution, Xinhepu has occupied an important position in the preservation of Guangzhou's history, culture and heritage. As an important part of the old city of Guangzhou, the Xinhepu area is the birthplace and core of the old Guangzhou culture, and the streets and architectural landscape continue the local culture of the historical period. Once the home of overseas Chinese, wealthy merchants and dignitaries during the Republican era, Xinhepu is now home to one of the largest surviving clusters of low-rise courtyard-style modern historical buildings in Guangzhou, combining Chinese and Western styles. There are many historical buildings and cultural relics in Xinhepu, including Chunyuan, Kuiyuan, the site of the three major meetings of the Communist Party of China, Peizheng Primary and Secondary School and Dongshan Hall. Shuqian Road, Guigang Road and Miaoqianzhi Street also carry the memories of most Guangzhou people.

Historic districts reflect the historical development of the city and are established and promoted as city brands from the top down. However, at the bottom-up level of perception, whether the Xinhepu area, which is rich in cultural heritage and is one of the representative places of Guangzhou culture, becomes a city brand beyond the neighborhood scale becomes the main concern of this study.

#### 3.2 Methodology

This paper mainly uses a visual analysis method to explore the performance and mechanism of the scale transformation of the Xinhepu place brand. The research is mainly divided into four steps (Tab. 1), as described below.

Tab. 1 Research methods and objectives

|                         | Tuo. I Itesearen memeas ana coject  |                              |  |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| Research method         | Participants  | Research objective           |  |
| Self-directed           | Local residents   | To collect attributes of the |  |
| photography             |   | place brand                  |  |
| Photo evaluation        | People who have been to Xinhepu   | To evaluate the attributes   |  |
|                         |   | of the place brand           |  |
| Eye-tracking experiment | Local residents (experimental group) and nonlocal residents who have been | •                            |  |
|                         | to Xinhepu (control group)  | brand                        |  |
| Semistructured          | Subjects participating in the three                                       | To analyze the scale         |  |
| interviews              | stages above  | transformation mechanism     |  |
|                         |   | of place brand               |  |

#### 3.2.1 Self-directed photography

To obtain photographic materials of the case site, 10 residents with a deep knowledge of Xinhepu, i.e., long-term residents of the neighborhood, were asked to participate in self-help photography, and their information is shown in Table 2. A total of 418 photographic images were collected by asking them to take photographs according to the scope of

Xinhepu. To filter the 400 photos collected from the self-help photography, we looked for 251 five experts with urban and landscape planning backgrounds and some knowledge of 252 Xinhepu to select 40-50 photos that they thought were representative of Xinhepu and 253 screened out photos that were not the right size, blurred, had too many text elements, had 254 255 too many people or had people looking directly at the camera, etc., that might have an impact 256 on the perception of the landscape. The 22 photos with a repetition rate of more than 50% were selected as the base data for the next stage of photo evaluation and eye movement 257 experiment (Fig. 2). 258

#### 3.2.2 Photo evaluation

259

260

261262

263

264

265

266

267268

269270

271

272

273274

275

276

277

278

279280

281

282

283284

285

286

287

288

289

290291

292293

294

Place branding operates on the basis of place brand attributes (Jacobsen, 2012), where the brand core represents the identity of a place and is the blueprint for developing and communicating a place brand, which can be defined by three dimensions: functional, symbolic, and experiential attributes (Hankinson, 2004). Among them, functional attributes relate to the function of the space, building or facility; symbolic attributes satisfy the need for social recognition and personal expression; and experiential attributes are linked to the perception of the destination (Echtner & Ritchie, 1991; Keller, 1993; Sirgy & Su, 2000). The public's perception and evaluation of these three brand attributes can thus help to understand different subjects' perceptions of the core of place branding. We designed a photorated questionnaire based on the three main dimensions of brand attributes to analyze the perception of brand attributes in Xinhepu. The questionnaire was divided into two parts: (1) a five-point Likert scale (minimum 1 and maximum 5 points) was used to measure the evaluation of functional, symbolic, and experiential attributes of the pictured location by those who had visited Xinhepu; (2) demographic data such as gender, age, and income were collected, and their basic information is shown in Table 2. A total of 204 questionnaires for photo evaluation were collected for the study, of which a total of 203 were valid.

#### 3.2.3 Eye-tracking experiment

The eye-tracking experiment was carried out using an EyeLink Portable Duo eye-tracking system. The subjects look at 22 photos screened in the previous step. A total of 30 subjects who had been to Xinhepu (including 15 local residents in the experimental group and 15 nonlocal residents in the control group) were recruited for an eye movement experiment to explore the spatial perception of Xinhepu among different subjects to analyze how people's perception of Xinhepu is reflected in a larger scale of urban culture. All participants had normal or corrected-to-normal visual acuity and normal color vision, meeting the experimental requirements.

Before the experiment began, participants were informed of the task, which was to watch the photographs carefully. After eye movement correction was completed, the experiment began. The photos appear one by one in random order, and participants click on the space bar of the keyboard at the end of viewing a photo to move on to the next photo. There was a 1 second gap between each pair of photos, which helped to reduce the effect of the previous photo on the next. The indexes of the eye-tracking experiment include the hot spot map, average fixation duration and pupil change.

#### 3.2.4 Semistructured interviews

After the self-directed photography, photo evaluation and eye-tracking experiment were completed, semistructured interviews were conducted with the participants to further

Tab. 2 Basic information of survey subjects

| Research    | Information      | sic information of survey subject | Number   | Proportion |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------|
| Stage       | IIIIOIIIIatiOii  |                                   | Nullioei | Гюрогион   |
| Self-       | Gender           | Male                              | 2        | 22.2%      |
| directed    | Condor           | Female                            | 7        | 77.8%      |
| photography | Age              | 18-25                             | 9        | 100%       |
| photography | Education        | College, bachelor and above       | 9        | 100%       |
|             | Whether or not a | Yes                               | 9        | 100%       |
|             | local resident   |                                   |          | 10070      |
|             | Total            |                                   | 9        | 100%       |
| Photo       | Gender           | Male                              | 64       | 31.5%      |
| evaluation  |                  | Female                            | 139      | 68.5%      |
|             | Age              | <18                               | 1        | 0.5%       |
|             |                  | 18-25                             | 189      | 93.1%      |
|             |                  | 26-30                             | 5        | 2.5%       |
|             |                  | 31-40                             | 6        | 2.9%       |
|             |                  | 41-50                             | 1        | 0.5%       |
|             |                  | 51-60                             | 0        | 0%         |
|             |                  | >60                               | 1        | 0.5%       |
|             | Education        | Primary school and below          | 2        | 1.0%       |
|             |                  | Junior high school                | 1        | 0.5%       |
|             |                  | High school and junior college    | 6        | 2.9%       |
|             |                  | College, bachelor and above       | 194      | 95.6%      |
|             | Whether or not a | Yes                               | 68       | 33.5%      |
|             | local resident   | No                                | 135      | 66.5%      |
|             | Total            |                                   | 203      | 100%       |
| Eye-        | Gender           | Male                              | 14       | 46.7%      |
| tracking    |                  | Female                            | 16       | 53.3%      |
| experiment  | Age              | 18-25                             | 30       | 100%       |
|             | Education        | College, bachelor and above       | 30       | 100%       |
|             | Whether or not a | Yes                               | 15       | 50%        |
|             | local resident   | No                                | 15       | 50%        |
|             | Total            |                                   | 30       | 100%       |

#### 4 Research findings

#### 4.1 Evaluation of the brand attributes of Xinhepu based on photo evaluation

The photos we gained from the self-directed photograph can present the key brand image of Xinhepu because the average score of each picture was higher than 3 in the evaluation of brand attributes. Table 3 shows that the top 5 photos with the highest scores represent the Peizheng secondary school, the Peizheng primary school, Dongshan Hall, Kuiyuan and the Xinhepu Historical and Cultural Museum, which are basically cultural relic protection units with high utilization rates. The participants had a high evaluation of the brand attributes of

306 307

308 309 310

311

317 318 319

320

321 322

331

332

333

334

335 336 historical public buildings in Xinhepu. These elements with higher scores show richer historical and cultural values and correspond to the representative buildings publicized by the government. The five pictures with the lowest scores feature private houses, all of which are general historical buildings.

Comparing the three brand attribute dimensions, we observe that the pictures with the highest functional attribute score feature the Peizheng primary school. As the first "highreputation education area" in Guangzhou, there are many basic educational schools and institutions in the area of Xinhepu, where many young students gather. The picture with the highest symbolic attribute score features Dongshan Hall. As one of the early historical buildings mixing Chinese with Western styles, it is representative of the cultural brand of Dongshan Exotic Houses. The picture with the highest experiential attribute score features the Kuiyuan Art Museum, which reflects the cultural diversity of the integration of the old and the new among many emerging art museums, exhibition halls and cafes in Xinhepu.

Tab. 3 Photo evaluation scores of Xinhepu's place brand attributes

| Category      | Photos | Functional attributes | Symbolic attributes | Experiential attributes | Mean  |
|---------------|--------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| The five      | E25    | 4.192                 | 3.911               | 3.768                   | 3.957 |
| highest rated | A05    | 4.291                 | 3.956               | 3.606                   | 3.951 |
| photos        | D44    | 3.852                 | 4.049               | 3.675                   | 3.859 |
|               | A28    | 3.759                 | 3.852               | 3.877                   | 3.823 |
|               | I26    | 3.882                 | 3.778               | 3.68                    | 3.78  |
| The five      | I40    | 3.443                 | 3.453               | 3.271                   | 3.389 |
| lowest rated  | H10    | 3.414                 | 3.36                | 3.251                   | 3.342 |
| photos        | H50    | 3.394                 | 3.369               | 3.256                   | 3.34  |
|               | I19    | 3.3                   | 3.31                | 3.187                   | 3.266 |
|               | I14    | 3.325                 | 3.034               | 3.034                   | 3.131 |

Note: Bold indicates the highest score of each dimension.

## 4.2 Results of eye-tracking experiment

#### 4.2.1 Visual focus of spatial elements

In the eye-tracking experiment, the hot spot map is used to analyze the participants' visual focus on spatial elements to identify the brand attributes to which they pay attention. The hot spot map obtained from an eve-tracking experiment can reflect the participants' attention to specific images and map the fixation duration and fixation times onto visual parameters (Holmqvist et al., 2011). If the color of an area in the hot spot map is redder, then the longer the subjects stare and the more concentrated the gaze area, the stronger their degree of interest. In our results, the fixation area of the experimental group was basically larger than that of the control group, but the main fixation hot spots were the same. Two examples are shown in Figure 3. The total number of fixation points of nonresidents is generally greater than that of residents due to nonresidents' lower familiarity with the elements in the figure and their longer fixation time. Combined with evidence from interviews, the main concerns of the two groups are similar, and they tend to pay attention to the doors, windows, balconies and words in the architectural photos because detailed designs, such as location signs, carved flowers and balcony columns, are concentrated in these places, which are symbols with historical and aesthetic value. At the same time, the participants think that these architectural elements and their architectural styles can reflect local characteristics to a certain extent, and the overall street atmosphere created by these buildings, landscapes and people is a symbol of old Guangzhou culture.

"Red brick, green tiles and antique doors and windows are the major features here. There is also some colored glass in the building. Artistic characters are widely used and have historical charm in writing. Its architecture gives me the feeling of being antique, I can feel the stronger flavor of old Guangzhou and have some associations with past memories". (Interviewee 2-12)

Overall, there are the same spatial elements that people are concerned with or without local experience. They all connect the cultural symbols of Xinhepu with the image of old Guangzhou on a larger scale.

#### 4.2.2 Spatial perception and emotional arousal

In data obtained from an eye-tracking experiment, the average fixation time, which is the ratio of fixation time to the total number of fixation points, can reflect subjects' cognitive processing of pictures. The change in pupil diameter can accurately reflect the ongoing thinking activities of the brain and is related to psychological activities such as emotion (Roberts et al., 2016). Therefore, this study used the average fixation time and pupil change value as the main indicators to compare the degree of emotional arousal of the experimental group and control group in the process of looking at pictures. The nonparametric test was used to study the differences in the total number of fixation points, fixation duration, average fixation time and pupil change between the two groups. Since there were only two groups, the Mann–Whitney test statistic was used for analysis. Table 4 shows that there is a significant difference in the total number of fixation points and fixation duration between the experimental group and the control group (p<0.01), which corresponds to the results of the hot spot map because residents are more familiar with the content of the pictures.

Tab. 4 Nonparametric test results of the eye-tracking data

|                        |            |          |           | 0                |         |
|------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------------|---------|
| Statistical indicators | Mean       |          | _         |                  |         |
|                        | Experience | Control  | U         | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | p       |
| Illuicators            | group      | group    |           |                  |         |
| Total number of        | 16.320     | 22.729   | 18755.500 | -4.758           | 0.000** |
| fixation points        | 10.320     | 22.129   | 18/33.300 | -4./36           | 0.000   |
| Fixation duration      | 4255.804   | 5756.244 | 21169.000 | -3.004           | 0.003** |
| Average fixation       | 260.476    | 254.389  | 23573.500 | -1.261           | 0.207   |
| duration               | 200.470    | 234.389  | 25575.500 | -1.201           | 0.207   |
| Pupil change value     | 252.089    | 267.209  | 23424.500 | -1.369           | 0.171   |

Note: \*\* p < 0.01.

However, there was no significant difference in the average fixation time and pupil change value between different groups of samples (p>0.05). This result indicates that the experimental images produced visual stimulation with a similar level of intensity for individuals regardless of whether they were local residents, and it could be objectively determined that the elements in the pictures were able to arouse the interest of the subjects. Residents and nonresidents have the same degree of emotional response to Xinhepu's place brand. The interviews also show that the two groups have the same emotional interpretation

of Xinhepu. Xinhepu is regarded as the epitome of Guangzhou and has been transformed into a city brand through local construction.

"It is like a microcosm of Guangzhou. There's everything. You can not only find the elderly here leisurely enjoying their life in retirement but also see young people starting businesses here and struggling here and doing something they like to do. It is a diversified place". (Interviewees 1-13)

## 

#### 5 Scale transformation mechanism of Xinhepu's place brand

Xinhepu is considered a place where Guangzhou's past can be perceived and can map out the city's urban cultural characteristics, making its place brand perceived as Guangzhou's city brand. In the process of scale transformation, historical heritage and material landscape constitute the scale transformation of Xinhepu's place brand from both temporal and spatial dimensions. The physical landscape in space makes the place a brand, while the mapping of the place on the temporal scale to the historical culture of the city realizes the scale transformation of the place brand. Ultimately, the result of scale transformation is perceived by people.

#### **5.1** Continuation of the historical lineage

On the temporal scale, as a nonmaterial element, the particularity of the historical evolution of Xinhepu provides sufficient conditions for the scale transformation of Xinhepu's place brand. In the 20<sup>th</sup> century, after the revolution of 1911, overseas Chinese absorbed foreign design ideas and returned home to build real estate in Xinhepu. Therefore, the planar layout and facade modeling of buildings were influenced by foreign culture, mostly Western classical architectural style, and many military and political dignitaries also built villas and residences here. The flow of overseas Chinese brought new landscape forms and new directions for urban culture and development. This new force connects the past of Xinhepu and the present of Guangzhou. Xinhepu played the role of a "window of cultural exchange between China and foreign countries" in the early stage. It represents not only the modern historical memory of Guangzhou but also an attitude of innovation pursuit. This tolerance toward emerging culture is consistent with the current open and diversified urban image of Guangzhou.

From the past to the present, as fertile land for new things, Xinhepu has always injected new blood into the city and the country. Not only is today's Xinhepu not shackled by mainstream culture, but it also brings a living space to countless minority cultures. Emerging art galleries, trendy shops and cutting-edge design companies are located here. The collision of various cultures continues to inject new meanings into the local characteristics of Xinhepu. Cultural diversity has also attracted more young people to Xinhepu so that Dongshan's memory will not be forgotten over time. In the context of globalization, Guangzhou is becoming a place of multiculturalism. The blending of the old and the new is not only a current regional characteristic of Xinhepu but also the key cultural meaning of Guangzhou.

"Personally, I think there are two elements representing Xinhepu. One is the foreign-style buildings, which integrate Chinese and foreign styles, and the other is some novel literary cafes and art galleries in recent years". (Interviewee C)

The local culture of Xinhepu changes the local identity by implanting new meanings and

symbols, constituting an integral part of the local image and the core of the final place brand (Hatch & Schultz, 2002), and the corresponding relationship between local and urban construction and development promotes the local culture as a city brand. At the same time, local perceptions and identity formed over time have become the driving force of branding (Kavaratzis & Hatch, 2013), providing important conditions for scale transformation. The demolition plan for the Xinhepu area in 2020 triggered a heated discussion. In the end, due to public appeals, it was temporarily decided that some cultural buildings would not be demolished. Dongshan residents showed a strong sense of belonging and pride in this process, and their external communication affected the effectiveness of city branding (Braun et al., 2013). For them, preserving Xinhepu's landmarks is not only to protect local memory but also to perpetuate Dongshan culture. The respect for people's "Dongshan feelings" in the final decision is also the embodiment of Guangzhou's diversity and inclusiveness, establishing a new connection between old and new Guangzhou people.

"It was said that everything from the Yuexiu library to Guigang Street would be demolished, but a lot of old things are preserved here, and there are a lot of life memories here, so I do not want it to be demolished. Dongshan is still unique in Guangzhou, but if it is demolished, it will be gone". (Interviewee 1-11)

#### 5.2 Conservation of the physical landscape

Using an authentic local culture to promote a place's differences from other places is considered the key to improving the attractiveness of the place (Kalekin-Fishman, 2011). The most common situation is that culture appears as an integral part of local identity and is expressed in the place brand narrative (Jensen, 2007). On the spatial scale, place branding depends on the construction of landmark buildings (Evans, 2015). Thus, the protection and renewal of Xinhepu's material landscape promote the construction of its place brands and provide the possibility of the scale transformation of its place brand, which requires the joint efforts of stakeholders. In the pictures above with a high brand attribute evaluation, there are three main elements, i.e., Dongshan foreign-style houses, educational facilities and art exhibition halls, which are elements with strong brand symbolic, functional and experiential brand attributes. In the interviews with residents and nonresidents, these three types of landmark buildings were also unanimously recognized as the most representative elements of Xinhepu. They shape Xinhepu's local identity and logo through the presentation of visual symbols. The emotional experience of constructing space through cognitive translation can effectively promote the perception and recognition of local culture.

In the results of the eye-tracking experiment hot spot map, the detailed design of doors, windows, columns and balconies is considered to reflect certain local characteristics, which together constitute the landmark buildings of Xinhepu. With the protection and renovation of these building details by relevant departments and the owners and users of these buildings, local culture is expressed across scales through the "building details—building unit—building complex—block—area—city" path. In addition, characters play an important role in highlighting landmark buildings. Many respondents said that in the cultural interpretation of Xinhepu, the characters outside the buildings attract special attention. In recent years, the newly built signboards of "Xinhepu" and "Dongshan" have made more people understand this place more intuitively and improved the level of attention given to this place. On a smaller scale, the naming of single-family buildings and the installation of plaques that

provide a textual introduction also reflect the importance of historical buildings, highlighting the cultural value of Xinhepu.

"Many places have also been named and introduced with plaques and words. For example, the Dongshan Young Master Park here has made Dongshan history a billboard (photo C11). Before, there was only a small sign on the grass, but now, it is obvious. The sign in the photo is also new (photo G07). In fact, it also highlights these cultural things in the new era". (Interviewee 1-08)

#### 5.3 Perception of body scale

The scale transformation of place brands in Xinhepu is given by the perception, association and imagination of the recipients, rather than through top-down marketing and governance, which we demonstrate through an eye-movement experimental approach is not only thinking that exists at the level of consciousness but can also be reflected in the body's rhythms. As many current place branding studies emphasize, place brand value is created from the audience's perception, association and imagination of the brand (Kavaratzis & Kalandides, 2015), but it is rarely combined with embodied experience. People's memories of old Guangzhou and their urban imagination of Guangzhou's East-meets-West fusion can be projected onto bodily behavior, resulting in an automated, unconscious cognitive processing of the place brand of Xinhepu. The result of the processing does not point to the valuable elements emphasized in the preservation of Xinhepu itself or the historical and cultural district but to the city's image on a higher scale. This echoes the issue of multilayered governance of place branding, where the cross-scale nature of branding not only lies in the multilayered governance structure of the city or region but also in the fact that people's embodied experience is beyond the local scale.

In Xinhepu, the perception of its place brand has experienced a bottom-up transformation (Fig. 4). The visual symbols in the physical landscape are cognitively translated to form a spatial perception and emotional experience, stimulating the spatial associations of the audience. On the one hand, based on nonrepresentation theory (Thrift, 2008), the implicit emotion in the sensory exploration of specific places is transformed into the space of everyday life. On the other hand, spatial associations are processed to form spatial cognitions and reflections, forming individual or collective experiences, discourses or actions and, ultimately, forming a historical context, which is reflected in the image of the city's past and future, and constructing a place brand. Under the influence of the material landscape and historical context, the microlevel space of everyday life and macrolevel urban image change the audience's level of perception, making the place brands of small regions rise to the scale of the city. Specifically, not only is Xinhepu a micro place, but it also has a unique cultural significance on a larger temporal or spatial scale. It can also be used as a representative of Guangzhou and even Lingnan culture. The cultural significance of this landscape, space or place is recognized by more people, and its importance appears.

#### 5 Conclusion and discussion

The study used a combination of self-directed photography, photo evaluation, eyetracking experiments and semistructured interviews to discuss the performance and mechanisms of scale transformation of the place brand in Xinhepu, Guangzhou. The study found that, in terms of the external attitudes presented by the results of the photo evaluation, the aspects of the place brand attributes of Xinhepu that were rated higher reflected the connection with the city's culture, presenting the representative educational culture, historical architecture and emerging culture of old Guangzhou. From the implicit attitudes presented by the results of the eye-tracking experiment, the results of the embodied perception of Xinhepu by subjects with different identities are similar; reflected in the larger scale of the city brand, their spatial concerns and emotional interpretations of Xinhepu are focused on the key elements of the city's cultural lineage. The process of scale transformation of place brands is characterized by the interaction of temporal and spatial factors that provide possibilities for scale transformation. The physical landscape in space plays a role in place branding, while in time scale, Xinhepu connects Guangzhou's past and present and is a microcosm of the city's image and historical development; thus, in people's embodied perception, Xinhepu can be transformed into a city brand through place culture creation.

However, scale transformation is only an internal logic that needs to be combined with actual local governance through external means. In terms of brand governance, the main body of governance often has scale, and the governance of Xinhepu may pay more attention to performing work from the microlevel of this space. Guangzhou's urban brand governance is completed by the Guangzhou municipal government, and there is often a lack of interconnection between different levels of governance. Multilevel governance can usually be analyzed vertically (Liesbet & Gary, 2003), which essentially combines the top-down and bottom-up actions of interdependent governments at all levels. At this time, we should consider a multilevel governance mode that organically combines architectural protection, block governance and urban governance, put Xinhepu in the position of an urban brand, and depict the higher-scale urban cultural significance contained in Xinhepu on the micro material landscape with the discourse system and narrative mode of the urban brand. Similarly, governance at the micro level also needs to consider the objectives and guidelines at the urban level and transform them into objectives that are applicable to their own level to develop a place brand where the guidance provided by higher levels of government intersects with the local environment and preferences.

In terms of practical role and meaning, understanding the logic of transformation between small-scale regional brands and large-scale regional brands can position the cultural development of small-scale regions. Extending to other cases, for example, in many tourist places, tourists inevitably perceive a country or a city through small-scale space. Using the means of local culture has the opportunity to realize the upward push of the brand on the scale and to organically integrate small-scale cultural construction with the branding and management of the whole city. The development of a region needs to value cultural symbols with local characteristics in the physical landscape and seek to be recognized at a higher scale of value meaning, thus functioning in a larger region. The understanding of a place also needs to fit its brand attributes from a large-scale region, embedding it to be viewed at a higher scale, realizing the unification of the brand core of the historical period with the brand core of the present, and making a place function at a larger historical stage. In terms of disciplinary construction and theoretical significance, there are many studies on different scales of place brands, but fewer studies have explored the scale relationship of place brands, and it is hoped that this study will stimulate more thinking and discussion.

548 549 References Aharon KM, Alfasi N (2022) The geographical aspect of place branding: Interactions 550 between place brands in the spatial hierarchy. Applied Geography 139:102650. 551 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2022.102650 552 553 Aitken SC, Wingate J (1993) A preliminary study of the self - directed photography of middle - class, homeless, and mobility - impaired children. The Professional 554 Geographer 45(1):65-72. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0033-0124.1993.00065.x 555 Andersson I (2015) Geographies of Place Branding: Researching through small and 556 medium sized cities. Dissertation, Stockholm University. 557 Anderson B (2020) Cultural geography III: The concept of 'culture'. Progress in Human 558 559 Geography 44(3):608-617. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132519856264 560 Anholt S (2016) Places: Identity, image and reputation. Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-230-25128-1 561 Audouin C (2022) The role of multi-level governance in branding medium-sized cities: the 562 case of Nantes, France. Cities 123:103577. 563 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2022.103577 564 565 Barnett C (1998) The cultural turn: fashion or progress in human geography? Antipode 30(4):379-394. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8330.00085 566 567 Boisen M, Terlouw K, van Gorp B (2011) The selective nature of place branding and the layering of spatial identities. Journal of Place Management and 568 Development 4(2):135-147. https://doi.org/10.1108/17538331111153151 569 Braun E, Zenker S (2011) Towards an Integrated Approach for Place Brand Management. 570 571 In ERSA conference papers (No. ersa10p181), European Regional Science 572 Association. Braun E, Kavaratzis M, Zenker S (2013) My city-my brand: the different roles of residents 573 574 in place branding. Journal of Place Management and Development 6(1):18-28. https://doi.org/10.1108/17538331311306087 575 Claudia S, Sally D (2001) Rethinking the brand concept: new brand orientation. Corporate 576 Communications: An International Journal 6(4):217-224. 577 https://doi.org/10.1108/13563280110409854 578 Creswell JW, Clark VLP (2007) Designing and conducting mixed methods research. Sage 579 publications, London. 580 581 Dastgerdi AS, De Luca G (2019) Boosting city image for creation of a certain city brand. Geographica Pannonica 23(1). https://doi.org/10.5937/gp23-20141 582 583 Datta A (2012) 'Where is the global city?' Visual narratives of London among East 584 European migrants. Urban Studies 49(8):1725-1740. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098011417906 585 De San Eugenio-Vela J, Barniol-Carcasona M (2015) The relationship between rural 586 branding and local development. A case study in the Catalonia's countryside: 587 Territoris Serens (El Lluçanès). Journal of Rural Studies 37:108-119. 588 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2015.01.001 589 Delaney D, Leitner H (1997) The political construction of scale. Political 590 geography 16(2):93-97. 591

- Dinnie K (2007) Competitive identity: The new brand management for nations, cities and regions. J Brand Manag 14:474–475. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.bm.2550086
- Dinnie K (2009) Destination branding for small cities: The essentials for successful place branding. J Brand Manag 17:159–161. https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2008.38
- Dobni D (1990) In Search of Brand Image: A Foundation Analysis. Advances in
   Consumer Research 17:110-119.
- 598 Dupont L, Ooms K, Antrop M et al. (2016) Comparing saliency maps and eye-tracking 599 focus maps: The potential use in visual impact assessment based on landscape 600 photographs. Landscape and urban planning 148:17-26. 601 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.12.007
- Echtner CM, Ritchie JB (1991) The meaning and measurement of destination image. Journal of tourism studies 2(2):2-12.
- Eshuis J, Edwards A (2012) Branding the city: The democratic legitimacy of a new mode of governance. Urban Studies 50(5):1066-1082.

  https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098012459581
- Evans G (2015) Rethinking Place Branding and Place Making Through Creative and Cultural Quarters. In: Kavaratzis M, Warnaby G, Ashworth G (eds) Rethinking Place Branding. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12424-7 10
- Giovanardi M (2015) A multi-scalar approach to place branding: The 150th anniversary of
   Italian unification in Turin. European Planning Studies 23(3):597-615.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2013.879851
- Govers R, Go F (2016) Place branding: Glocal, virtual and physical identities, constructed,
   imagined and experienced. Palgrave Macmillan, London.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-230-24702-4">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-230-24702-4</a>
- Hankinson G (2004) Relational network brands: Towards a conceptual model of place
   brands. Journal of vacation marketing 10(2):109-121.
   https://doi.org/10.1177/135676670401000202
- Hatch MJ, Schultz M (2002) The dynamics of organizational identity. Human relations 55(8):989-1018.
- Holmqvist K, Nyström M, Andersson R, et al. (2011) Eye tracking: A comprehensive guide to methods and measures. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Hospers GJ (2010) Making sense of place: from cold to warm city marketing. Journal of place management and development 3(3):182-193.
- 625 <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/17538331011083925</u>
- Howitt R (1998) Scale as relation: musical metaphors of geographical
   scale. Area 30(1):49-58. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4762.1998.tb00047.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4762.1998.tb00047.x</a>
- Jacobsen BP (2012) Place brand equity: a model for establishing the effectiveness of place brands. Journal of Place Management and Development 5(3):253.
- 630 <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/17538331211269657</u>
- Jensen OB (2007) Culture stories: Understanding cultural urban branding. Planning Theory 6(3):211-236. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095207082032">https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095207082032</a>
- Jessop B, Brenner N, Jones M (2008) Theorizing sociospatial relations. Environment and planning D: society and space 26(3):389-401. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1068/d9107">https://doi.org/10.1068/d9107</a>
- Kalekin-Fishman D (2011) Branding Cities: Cosmopolitanism, Parochialism, and Social

```
Change. Cultural Analysis 10 (135):159-160.
636
      Kavaratzis M (2009) Cities and their brands: Lessons from corporate branding. Place
637
           Brand Public Dipl 5:26-37. https://doi.org/10.1057/pb.2008.3
638
      Kavaratzis M, Ashworth GJ (2005) City branding: an effective assertion of identity or a
639
           transitory marketing trick? Tijdschrift voor economische en sociale
640
641
           geografie 96(5):506-514. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9663.2005.00482.x
      Kavaratzis M, Hatch MJ (2013) The dynamics of place brands: An identity-based
642
           approach to place branding theory. Marketing theory 13(1):69-86.
643
           https://doi.org/10.1177/1470593112467268
644
      Kavaratzis M, Hatch MJ (2021) The elusive destination brand and the ATLAS wheel of
645
           place brand management. Journal of travel research 60(1):3-15.
646
647
           https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287519892323
648
      Kavaratzis M, Kalandides A (2015) Rethinking the place brand: the interactive formation
           of place brands and the role of participatory place branding. Environment and
649
           Planning A 47(6):1368-1382. https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X15594918
650
      Keller KL (1993) Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand
651
           equity. Journal of marketing 57(1):1-22.
652
           https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299305700101
653
      Kolotouchkina O, Seisdedos G (2016) The urban cultural appeal matrix: Identifying key
654
           elements of the cultural city brand profile using the example of Madrid. Place
655
           Branding and Public Diplomacy 12(1):59-67. https://doi.org/10.1057/pb.2015.24
656
      Korf B (2008) A neural turn? On the ontology of the geographical subject. Environment
657
           and Planning A 40(3):715-732. https://doi.org/10.1068/a3938
658
659
      Lafferty BA (2001) Emotional branding: the new paradigm for connecting brands to
           people. Journal of Product & Brand Management 10(7):466-469.
660
           https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbm.2001.10.7.466.1
661
662
      Lewicka M (2010) What makes neighborhood different from home and city? Effects of
           place scale on place attachment. Journal of environmental psychology 30(1):35-51.
663
           https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2009.05.004
664
      Liesbet HOOGHE, Gary M (2003) Unraveling the central state, but how? Types of multi-
665
           level governance. American political science review 97(2):233-243.
666
      Lombard M (2013) Using auto-photography to understand place: reflections from research
667
           in urban informal settlements in Mexico. Area 45(1):23-32.
668
669
           https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4762.2012.01115.x
      Lu H, De Jong M (2019) Evolution in city branding practices in China's Pearl River Delta
670
671
           since the year 2000. Cities 89:154-166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.01.026
672
      Lu H, De Jong M, Chen Y (2017) Economic city branding in China: The multi-level
           governance of municipal self-promotion in the Greater Pearl River
673
           Delta. Sustainability 9(4):496. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9040496
674
      MacKinnon D (2011) Reconstructing scale: Towards a new scalar politics. Progress in
675
           human geography 35(1):21-36. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132510367841
676
      Macpherson H (2010) Non-representational approaches to body-landscape
677
           relations. Geography Compass 4(1):1-13. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-
678
           8198.2009.00276.x
679
```

- Müller M (2015) Assemblages and actor networks: Rethinking socio material power, politics and space. Geography compass 9(1):27-41.
- 682 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gec3.12192</u>
- Nagaynay C, Lee J (2020) Place branding and urban regeneration as dialectical processes in local development planning: A case study on the Western Visayas,
- 685 Philippines. Sustainability 12(1):369. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010369">https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010369</a>
- Nogué J, de San-Eugenio-Vela J (2018) Geographies of affect: In search of the emotional dimension of place branding. Communication & Society 31(4):27–44. https://doi.org/10.15581/003.31.4.27-42
- Oguztimur S, Akturan U (2016) Synthesis of city branding literature (1988–2014) as a
   research domain. International Journal of Tourism Research 18(4):357-372.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/jtr.2054
- Osborne T, Jones PI (2017) Biosensing and geography: A mixed methods approach. Applied Geography 87:160-169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2017.08.006
- Paasi A. (2004) Place and region: looking through the prism of scale. Progress in human geography 28(4):536-546. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1191/0309132504ph502pr">https://doi.org/10.1191/0309132504ph502pr</a>
- Pasquinelli C (2013) Competition, cooperation and co-opetition: Unfolding the process of inter-territorial branding. Urban Research & Practice 6(1):1-18.

  https://doi.org/10.1080/17535069.2012.727579
- Pedeliento G, Kavaratzis M (2019) Bridging the gap between culture, identity and image:
  A structurationist conceptualization of place brands and place branding. Journal of
  Product & Brand Management 28(3):348-363. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-01-2018-1735">https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-01-2018-1735</a>
- Peirce S, Ritchie BW (2007) National capital branding: A comparative case study of Canberra, Australia and Wellington, New Zealand. Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing 22(3-4):67-78. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1300/J073v22n03">https://doi.org/10.1300/J073v22n03</a> 06
- Peters BG, Pierre J (2001) Developments in intergovernmental relations: towards multilevel governance. Policy and Politics 29(2):131-136. https://doi.org/10.1332/0305573012501251
- Pike A (2009) Geographies of brands and branding. Progress in Human
   Geography 33(5):619-645. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132508101601">https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132508101601</a>
- Porter N (2020) Strategic planning and place branding in a World Heritage cultural landscape: a case study of the English Lake District, UK. European Planning Studies 28(7):1291-1314. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2019.1701297">https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2019.1701297</a>
- Pykett J (2018) Geography and neuroscience: Critical engagements with geography's "neural turn". Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 43(2):154-169. https://doi.org/10.1111/tran.12213
- Rebelo C, Mehmood A, Marsden T (2020) Co-created visual narratives and inclusive place branding: A socially responsible approach to residents' participation and engagement. Sustainability Science 15(2):423-435. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-019-00760-2">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-019-00760-2</a>
- Roberts AC, Christopoulos GI, Car J, et al. (2016) Psycho-biological factors associated with underground spaces: What can the new era of cognitive neuroscience offer to

- their study? Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology 55:118-134. 724
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2015.12.016 725
- Rose G (2002) Visual methodologies: An introduction to researching with visual materials. 726 727 Sage, London.
- Rose G (2014) Visual culture, photography and the urban: An interpretive 728 729 framework. Space and Culture 2(3):4-13. https://doi.org/10.20896/saci.v2i3.92
- Russell JA, Ward LM, Pratt G (1981) Affective quality attributed to environments: A 730 factor analytic study. Environment and behavior 13(3):259-288. 731
- Sang S (2021) Reconstructing the place branding model from the perspective of Peircean 732 semiotics. Annals of Tourism Research 89:103209. 733 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2021.103209 734
- 735 Simeon R (2006) A conceptual model linking brand building strategies and Japanese 736 popular culture. Marketing Intelligence & Planning 24(5):463-476.
- Sirgy MJ, Su C (2000) Destination image, self-congruity, and travel behavior: Toward an 737 integrative model. Journal of Travel Research 38(4):340-352. 738 https://doi.org/10.1177/004728750003800402 739
- Swyngedouw E (1997) Neither global nor local: 'Glocalisation' and the politics of scale. 740 In Cox K (ed) Spaces of Globalization. New York, Guilford, pp137-166. 741
- Syssner J (2010) Place branding from a multi-level perspective. Place Brand Public 742 743 Dipl 6:36-48. https://doi.org/10.1057/pb.2010.1
- Taylor PJ (1982) A materialist framework for political geography. Transactions of the 744 745 Institute of British Geographers 7(1):15-34. https://doi.org/10.2307/621909
- Thrift N (1999) The place of complexity. Theory, Culture & Society 16(3):31-69. 746 747 https://doi.org/10.1177/02632769922050610
- 748 Thrift N (2008) Non-Representational Theory: Space, Politics, Affect. Routledge, New York. 749
- 750 Wang M, Zhao M, Lin M, et al. (2020) Seeking Lost Memories: Application of a new visual methodology for heritage protection. Geographical Review 110(4):556-574. 751 https://doi.org/10.1080/00167428.2020.1715800 752
- Wang Y, Sparks BA (2016) An eye-tracking study of tourism photo stimuli: Image 753 characteristics and ethnicity. Journal of Travel Research 55(5):588-602. 754 https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287514564598 755
- Wood N, Smith SJ (2004) Instrumental routes to emotional geographies. Social & Cultural 756 Geography 5(4):533-548. https://doi.org/10.1080/1464936042000317686 757
- Wu F (2000) Place promotion in Shanghai, PRC. Cities 17(5):349-361. 758
- 759 Wu L (2017) Relationship building in nation branding: The central role of nation brand 760 commitment. Place Branding and Public Diplomacy 13(1):65-80. https://doi.org/10.1057/pb.2015.16 761
- Ye L, Björner E (2018) Linking city branding to multi-level urban governance in Chinese 762 mega-cities: A case study of Guangzhou. Cities 80:29-37. 763 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2017.10.018 764
- Yuan G, Wang H, Wang M, et al. (2022) Visual attention and ethnic landscape perception: 765 A case of three cities in the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao greater bay 766
- area. Applied Geography 147:102781. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2022.102781 767

public-private partnerships in Bai architecture revitalization. Cities 49:106-112. 771 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.07.009 772 773 Zhou S, Cheng Z (2013) Research on the Relationship between Oianmen-Dashila Commercial District Culturein Beijing and the City Culture. In Beijing Studies 2013: 774 Culture-Industry-Space. Tongxin Press, Beijing, pp106-115. 775 Zhou S, Zhang S (2015) Contextualism and sustainability: a community renewal in old 776 city of Beijing. Sustainability 7(1):747-766. https://doi.org/10.3390/su7010747 777 778 779 **Figures** 780 Fig. 1 Location map of Xinhepu. This map gives the exact location of Xinhepu in Yuexiu district, Guangzhou, China. 781 Fig. 2 Photos for the photo evaluation and eye-tracking experiment. The 22 images 782 with serial numbers on display were obtained through self-directed photography and 783 expert screening and were used in the subsequent research process. 784 785 Fig. 3 Comparison of hot spot images and original images in the eye-tracking **experiment.** The leftmost column of images in the figure is the hotspot map of the 786 experimental group, the middle image is the original image, and the rightmost column of 787 images is the hotspot map of the control group. 788 Fig. 4 Mechanism of scale transformation of place brands. The block diagram gives an 789 indication of how the elements interact and influence the scale transformation of the place 790 791 brand. 792 793 **Acknowledgments** 794 795 **Competing interests** 796 The author(s) declare no competing interests. 797 Data availability The datasets generated during and analysed during the current study are not publicly 798 available but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. 799 800 **Ethical approval** 801 The study was conducted under the review and approval of the Ethics Committee of the (anonymized content). 802 803 **Informed consent** Informed consent was obtained for all research participants. 804

Zenker S, Jacobsen BP (2015) Inter-regional place branding. Springer, Cham.

Zhao Y (2015) 'China's leading historical and cultural city': Branding Dali City through

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15329-2

768

769

770

805