

# Existence and Regularity for Dirichlet Problems

Bokuan (Jerry) Li

December 3, 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The Energy Estimate</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Regularity of Solutions</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Existence of Solutions</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Results Used</b>	<b>12</b>

## Notations

Differential geometry is the study of properties that are invariant under change of notation. Here are the ones in this document that may require clarification:

$(\bar{\Omega}, g)$	$d$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold
$g^{mn}$	$g$ in local coordinates
$\Omega, \partial\Omega$	Interior and boundary of $\bar{\Omega}$
$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$	Inner product given by $g$
$L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)$	$L^2$ space of vector fields
$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}$	Inner product on $L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)$ given by $g$
$\Delta = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad}$	Laplace-Beltrami operator
$\mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$	Space of smooth vector fields
$(U, \varphi), \hat{U}, \hat{f}$	Coordinate chart, $\hat{U} = \varphi(U)$ , $\hat{f} = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$
$U \subset\subset V$	$\bar{U}$ is a compact subset of $V$
$H^s(\Omega)$	Sobolev space of order $s$ on $\Omega$
$H_{\text{loc}}^s(\Omega)$	Localised Sobolev space of order $s$ on $\Omega$
$H_0^s(\Omega)$	Closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $H^s(\Omega)$
$df$	Differential of $f$
$D_j$	$j$ -th partial derivative in local coordinates
$D_j^h$	$j$ -th difference quotient of size $h$ in local coordinates
$D^\alpha$	$\alpha$ -th partial derivative in local coordinates
$\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = C_c^\infty(\Omega)$	Test functions

Whenever an estimate  $\|u\|_E \leq C_{a,b,c,\dots} (\|u\|_F + \|u\|_G + \dots)$  is given, the constant depends only on  $a, b, c, \dots$ , which may change throughout a proof.

# 1 The Energy Estimate

Let  $(\bar{\Omega}, g)$  be a smooth, Riemannian manifold,  $\Delta = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad}$  be the Laplace-Beltrami operator,  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\bar{\Omega})$  be a smooth vector field,  $c \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  be a smooth function, and  $L$  be the differential operator defined by

$$Lu = -\Delta u + Xu + cu$$

then there exists a map

$$C^\infty(\Omega) \times \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (u, \phi) \mapsto \langle u, \phi \rangle_L := \langle Lu, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

known as the **sesquilinear form associated with  $L$** . By [Green's formulas](#),

$$\langle Lu, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle du, d\phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)} + \langle Xu, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle cu, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (1)$$

To evaluate  $\langle u, \phi \rangle_L$ , it is sufficient to differentiate both terms once. This yields an estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u, \phi \rangle_L| &\leq C_X \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)} \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + C_c \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_L \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

so  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L$  is a sesquilinear form on  $H^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ , which defines an operator

$$L : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega) \quad u \mapsto \langle \cdot, u \rangle_L$$

If  $L = -\Delta$  is simply the Laplacian, then for any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\langle u, u \rangle_L = \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}^2$  is the Dirichlet energy. In addition, if [Pointcaré's inequality](#) holds, then  $\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_{\Omega, L} \langle u, u \rangle_L$ . In the more general case,  $\langle u, u \rangle_L$  contains the Dirichlet energy, but also a number of lower order terms, which can be offset to produce a similar estimate:

**Lemma 1.1** (Energy Estimate, [3, Theorem 5.1.3], [2, Theorem 6.2.2]). Let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_\Omega (\operatorname{Re}(\langle u, u \rangle_L) + (C_L + C'_\Omega) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)$$

where

1. If  $X = 0$  and  $c \geq 0$ <sup>1</sup>, then  $C_L = 0$ .
2. If every connected component of  $\Omega$  has non-empty boundary, then  $C'_\Omega = 0$ .

*Proof.* By [Cauchy's inequality](#) with  $\varepsilon = 1/(2C_X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, u \rangle_L &= \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}^2 + \langle Xu, u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle cu, u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ \operatorname{Re}(\langle u, u \rangle_L) &\geq \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}^2 - C_X \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - C_c \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}^2 - C_X \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - C_c \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>These conditions may be relaxed to a bound on  $\|X\|_u$  and a lower bound on  $c$  if Pointcaré's inequality holds.

so

$$\|du\|_{L^2(\Omega;T\Omega)}^2 \leq 2(\langle u, u \rangle_L + C_L \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)$$

where  $C_L$  can be taken to be 0 if  $X = 0$  and  $c \geq 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C_\Omega (\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega;T\Omega)}^2) \\ &\leq C_\Omega (\operatorname{Re}(\langle u, u \rangle_L) + (C_L + C'_\Omega) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \end{aligned}$$

If every connected component of  $\Omega$  has non-empty boundary, then by [Pointcaré's inequality](#),

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_\Omega \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega;T\Omega)}^2 \leq C_\Omega (\operatorname{Re}(\langle u, u \rangle_L) + C_L \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)$$

and  $C'_\Omega$  can be taken to be 0.  $\square$

## 2 Regularity of Solutions

The estimate in [Lemma 1.1](#) suggests that the regularity of  $u$  can be inferred from the regularity of  $Lu$ . More specifically,

**Lemma 2.1** ([3, Theorem 5.1.3]). Let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|Lu\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)$$

*Proof.* By [Lemma 1.1](#),

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_\Omega (\operatorname{Re}(\langle u, u \rangle_L) + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)$$

Using [Cauchy's inequality](#) with  $\varepsilon = 1/2(C_\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C_\Omega (\|Lu\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + C'_\Omega (\|Lu\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \\ \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq 2C'_\Omega (\|Lu\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Assume for a moment that  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $L$  has constant coefficients, and  $Lu \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For any  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , the derivative  $D_j u$  can be computed using difference quotients. Thus for  $h \neq 0$  sufficiently small, by applying [Lemma 2.1](#) to  $D_j^h u$ ,

$$\|D_j^h u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\|L(D_j^h u)\|_{H^{-1}} + \|D_j^h u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}) \leq C'(\|Lu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)})$$

since  $L$  and  $D_j^h$  commute. Thus  $\|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\|D_j^h(Lu)\|_{H^{-1}} + \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)})$ . When the coefficients are not constant, the commutator can be bounded as follows.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded open set and

$$\widehat{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} a_\alpha D^\alpha u$$

be a differential operator of order  $n$  with  $a_\alpha \in C^\infty(\bar{U})$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ ,  $h \neq 0$ , and  $u \in H^{k+n}(U)$  with  $\text{supp}(u) \subset\subset U$ , then

$$\|(D_j^h \widehat{L} - \widehat{L} D_j^h)u\|_{H^k(U)} \leq C_L \|u\|_{H^{k+n}(U)}$$

for all  $h \neq 0$  sufficiently small.

*Proof.* By the product rule of difference quotients,

$$D_j^h \widehat{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} D_j^h(a_\alpha D^\alpha u) = \underbrace{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} a_\alpha D^\alpha(D_j^h u)}_{\widehat{L} D_j^h u} + \underbrace{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} (D_j^h a_\alpha) \tau_{-he_j}(D^\alpha u)}_{(D_j^h \widehat{L} - \widehat{L} D_j^h)u}$$

Since each  $a_\alpha \in C^\infty(\bar{U})$ , there exists  $C \geq 0$  and  $C' \geq 0$  such that

$$\|(D_j^h \widehat{L} - \widehat{L} D_j^h)u\|_{H^k(U)} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \|D^\alpha u\|_{H^k(U)} \leq C' \|u\|_{H^{k+n}(U)}$$

□

The two lemmas above combined are sufficient to establish regularity when  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is supported in a coordinate neighbourhood.

**Lemma 2.3** (Local Regularity, [3, Lemma 5.1.4]). Let  $k \geq 0$ ,  $u \in H^k(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and suppose that

1.  $Lu \in H^{k-1}(\Omega)$ .
2. There exists a chart  $(U, \varphi)$  such that  $\text{supp}(u) \subset\subset U$ .
3. Either  $U \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$ , or  $\varphi(U \cap \partial\Omega) \subset \{x_d = 0\}$ .

then

$$\|u\|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_{\Omega, L} (\|Lu\|_{H^{k-1}(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)}^2) \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\widehat{U} = \varphi(U)$  and  $\widehat{u} = u \circ \varphi^{-1}$ . Since  $u$  is supported in a coordinate patch,  $L$  has representation in local coordinates as

$$\widehat{L}u = \widehat{L}\widehat{u} = - \sum_{m,n=1}^d g^{mn} D_m D_n \widehat{u} + \sum_{n=1}^d Y^n D_n \widehat{u} + \widehat{c}\widehat{u} \quad (3)$$

with each coefficient in  $C^\infty(\bar{U})$ . In addition, Equation 2 is equivalent to

$$\|\widehat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\widehat{U})} \leq C_{\Omega, L} (\|\widehat{L}\widehat{u}\|_{H^{k-1}(\widehat{U})} + \|\widehat{u}\|_{H^k(\widehat{U})})$$

So all estimates can be computed in local coordinates. In particular, difference quotients become a viable method of estimating the  $H^{k+1}(\widehat{U})$  norm of  $u$ .

If  $k = 0$ , then the lemma is shown by [Lemma 2.1](#). Now suppose inductively that the lemma holds for  $k$ ,  $u \in H^{k+1}(\Omega)$ , and  $Lu \in H^k(\Omega)$ . Since  $\partial\Omega$  is given by  $\{x_d = 0\}$  (if  $U \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ ), the difference quotient  $D_j^h \hat{u}$  is defined for each  $1 \leq j \leq d-1$ . By the inductive hypothesis applied to  $D_j^h \hat{u}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_j^h \hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})} &\leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|\hat{L}(D_j^h \hat{u})\|_{H^{k-1}(\hat{U})} + \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}) \\ &\leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|D_j^h \hat{L}\hat{u}\|_{H^{k-1}(\hat{U})} + \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}) \\ &\quad + C_{\Omega,L} \|(D_j^h \hat{L} - \hat{L}D_j^h)\hat{u}\|_{H^{k-1}(\hat{U})} \end{aligned}$$

By [Lemma 2.2](#), the commutator can be estimated as

$$\|(D_j^h \hat{L} - \hat{L}D_j^h)\hat{u}\|_{H^{k-1}(\hat{U})} \leq C_L \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}$$

So for all  $h \neq 0$  sufficiently small,

$$\|D_j^h \hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2 \leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|D_j^h \hat{L}\hat{u}\|_{H^{k-1}(\hat{U})}^2 + \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2)$$

Sending  $h \rightarrow 0$  yields

$$\|D_j \hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2 \leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|D_j \hat{L}\hat{u}\|_{H^{k-1}(\hat{U})}^2 + \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2)$$

so

$$\|D_j \hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2 \leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|\hat{L}\hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})}^2 + \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2) \quad (4)$$

To deal with  $\|D_d u\|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)}$ , it is sufficient to estimate  $\|D_j D_d u\|_{H^k(\Omega)}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq d-1$ , since  $D_j D_d u = D_d D_j u$ , [Equation 4](#) gives

$$\|D_d D_j \hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})}^2 \leq \|D_j \hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2 \leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|\hat{L}\hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})}^2 + \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2) \quad (5)$$

Thus  $D_d D_d u$  is the only remaining derivative to estimate. Since the matrix  $(g^{mn})$  is positive definite,  $g^{dd} > 0$ . By compactness and [Equation 3](#),

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_d D_d \hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})} &\leq C_L \|\hat{L}\hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})} + C_L \sum_{m,n \neq (d,d)} \|D_m D_n \hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})} \\ &\quad + C_L \sum_{m=1}^d \|D_m \hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})} + C_L \|\hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})} \\ &\leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|\hat{L}\hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})} + \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}) \end{aligned}$$

the derivative  $D_d D_d u$  can be estimated in terms of every other second order term. Therefore [Equation 4](#) and [Equation 5](#) gives

$$\|D_d \hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2 \leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|\hat{L}\hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})}^2 + \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2) \quad (6)$$

Finally, by combining [Equation 4](#) and [Equation 6](#),

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+2}(\hat{U})}^2 \leq C_{\Omega,L} (\|\hat{L}\hat{u}\|_{H^k(\hat{U})}^2 + \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{k+1}(\hat{U})}^2)$$

□

To transfer this local bound fully to  $\Omega$ , it is sufficient to bound the commutator of  $L$  and multiplication by  $\eta \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\eta \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $u \in H^k \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then

$$\|L(\eta u) - \eta(Lu)\|_{H^{k-1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{L,\eta}(\|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)})$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} L(\eta u) &= -\eta \Delta u - 2 \langle d\eta, du \rangle_g - u \Delta \eta + \eta(Xu) + u(X\eta) \\ &= \eta(Lu) - 2 \langle d\eta, du \rangle_g - u \Delta \eta + u(X\eta) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\|L(\eta u) - \eta(Lu)\|_{H^{k-1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{d\eta} \|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)} + C_\eta \|u\|_{H^{k-1}(\Omega)} \leq C_\eta \|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)}$$

□

**Theorem 2.5** (Interior Regularity, [3, Proposition 5.1.9]). Let  $k \geq 0$ ,  $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^k(\Omega) \cap H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ , and suppose that  $Lu \in H_{\text{loc}}^{k-1}(\Omega)$ , then for any open sets  $V \subset\subset U \subset\subset \Omega$ ,

$$\|u\|_{H^{k+1}(V)} \leq C_{\Omega,L,U,V}(\|Lu\|_{H^{k-1}(U)} + \|u\|_{H^k(U)})$$

Moreover, if  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then the above also holds for  $V \subset\subset U \subset\subset \bar{\Omega}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(U)$ , then  $\eta u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta u\|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)} &\leq C_{\Omega,L}(\|L(\eta u)\|_{H^{k-1}(\Omega)} + \|\eta u\|_{H^k(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq C_{\Omega,L}(\|\eta(Lu)\|_{H^{k-1}(\Omega)} + \|\eta(Lu) - L(\eta u)\|_{H^{k-1}(\Omega)} + \|\eta u\|_{H^k(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq C_{\Omega,L,\eta}(\|Lu\|_{H^{k-1}(U)} + \|u\|_{H^k(U)}) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{(U_j, \varphi_j)\}_1^n$  be a family of charts covering  $V$ , and  $\{\eta_j\}_1^n \subset C_c^\infty(U)$  be a partition of unity on  $V$  subordinate to  $\{U_j\}_1^n$ , then

$$\|u\|_{H^{k+1}(V)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|\eta_j u\|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega,L,U,V}(\|Lu\|_{H^{k-1}(U)} + \|u\|_{H^k(U)})$$

□

If  $\Omega$  is compact, then the local estimates translate directly into global estimates.

**Theorem 2.6** (Elliptic Regularity Theorem, [3, Theorem 5.1.3]). Suppose that  $\Omega$  is compact. Let  $k \geq 0$ ,  $u \in H^k(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $Lu \in H^{k-1}(\Omega)$ , then

$$\|u\|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_{\Omega,L}(\|Lu\|_{H^{k-1}(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)}^2)$$

**Corollary 2.7.** For any  $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$  such that  $Lu \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**Corollary 2.8** ([3, Corollary 5.1.5]). The eigenfunctions of  $L$  belong to  $C^\infty$ .

### 3 Existence of Solutions

To make use of the global regularity result, assume that  $\Omega$  is compact in this section. For sufficiently large  $\gamma \geq 0$ , the energy estimate shows that

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_\Omega (\operatorname{Re}(\langle u, u \rangle_L) + \gamma \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \leq C_\Omega \operatorname{Re}(\langle u, u \rangle_{L+\gamma}) \quad (7)$$

so the sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L+\gamma}$  is coercive, so  $(L + \gamma) : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$  is injective. Combining this with the identification  $H_0^1(\Omega) \cong H^{-1}(\Omega)$  shows that  $(L + \gamma)$  is in fact invertible.

**Lemma 3.1** ([3, Proposition 5.1.1]). There exists  $\gamma \geq 0$  such that

$$(L + \gamma) : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega) \quad u \mapsto \langle \cdot, u \rangle_{L+\gamma}$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* By Equation 7,  $\|Lu\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \geq C_{\Omega,L} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ , so  $(L + \gamma)$  is coercive, has closed image, and admits a bounded inverse.

Suppose that  $(L + \gamma)$  is not surjective, then there exists  $0 \neq u_0 \in \operatorname{Im}(L + \gamma)^\perp$ . By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists  $0 \neq u_0^* \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\langle u, u_0^* \rangle_{L+\gamma} = 0$  for all  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . In particular,

$$C_{\Omega,L} \|u_0^*\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \operatorname{Re}(\langle u_0^*, u_0^* \rangle_{L+\gamma}) = 0$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $(L + \gamma)$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

If  $\gamma$  can be taken to be 0, then  $L$  is an isomorphism. In other cases, the invertibility of  $L$  can be inferred from the Fredholm alternative:

**Theorem 3.2** (Existence of Weak Solutions, [3, Proposition 5.1.9]). The operator

$$L : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$$

is Fredholm of index zero. Thus it is an isomorphism if and only if  $L$  is injective.

*Proof.* Denote  $T = L + \gamma$  and let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then  $Tu = Lu + \gamma u$ , so  $u = T^{-1}Lu + \gamma T^{-1}u$  and  $Lu = T(I - \gamma T^{-1})u$ . In view of Theorem 2.6,  $T^{-1}$  is a bounded linear operator from  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  to  $H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . By Rellich's theorem,  $\gamma T^{-1} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$  is compact, and  $L$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero.  $\square$

The existence theory can then be used to solve the homogeneous Dirichlet problem.

**Proposition 3.3** ([3, Proposition 1.7]). Let  $k \geq 0$  and suppose that  $L : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$  is an isomorphism, then there exists a unique bounded linear map

$$\operatorname{PI} : H^{k+1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(\Omega)$$

such that for any  $g \in H^{k+1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $L(\operatorname{PI}g) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{PI}g|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ .

*Proof.* Using an inverse of the trace operator  $\tau^{-1} : H^{k+1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(\Omega)$ , PI can be expressed as

$$\text{PI}(g) = L^{-1}L\tau^{-1}g + \tau^{-1}g$$

where  $L^{-1} : H^{k-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(\Omega)$  is bounded by [Theorem 2.6](#). Given that  $L$  is an isomorphism, this inverse is uniquely determined.  $\square$

Finally, combining the existence theory in both cases allows solving the non-homogeneous Dirichlet problem.

**Theorem 3.4** ([3, Equation 1.42]). Let  $k \geq 0$  and suppose that  $L : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$  is an isomorphism. For any  $f \in H^{k-1}(\Omega)$  and  $g \in H^{k+1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ , there exists a unique  $u \in H^{k+1}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f & \text{on } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where

$$\|u\|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{L,\Omega}(\|f\|_{H^{k-1}(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{k+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)})$$

In particular, if  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $g \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ , then  $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u = L^{-1}f + \text{PI}g$ , then the estimate is given by [Theorem 2.6](#) and [Proposition 3.3](#). Since  $L$  is an isomorphism, this inverse is also uniquely determined.  $\square$

The Laplacian itself is a semipositive, self-adjoint operator. Combining this and the regularity result yields the spectral decomposition of  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 3.5** ([3, Proposition 5.1.2]). Let  $L = -\Delta$ , then

1. There exists an orthonormal basis  $\{u_j\}_1^\infty \subset L^2(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$  of eigenfunctions of  $L$  for  $L^2(\Omega)$ .
2. The eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}_1^\infty$  of  $L$  are non-negative and accumulate at  $+\infty$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\phi, \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $L\phi, L\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$\langle L\phi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle d\phi, d\psi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)} = \langle \phi, L\psi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

By [Lemma 3.1](#),  $T = L + \gamma$  is an isomorphism for sufficiently large  $\gamma \geq 0$ . For any  $u, v \in L^2(\Omega)$ , there exists  $\phi, \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $u = T\phi$  and  $v = T\psi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^{-1}u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \langle T^{-1}T\phi, T\psi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle \phi, T\psi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \langle T\phi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle u, T^{-1}v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

Since the embedding  $H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is compact,

$$T^{-1}|_{L^2(\Omega)} : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$$

is a compact self-adjoint operator. Thus there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{u_j\}_1^\infty \subset L^2(\Omega)$  of eigenfunctions of  $T^{-1}$  for  $L^2(\Omega)$ , and the eigenvalues  $\{\mu_j\}_1^\infty$  of  $T^{-1}$  accumulate at 0. For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T^{-1}u_j = \mu_j u_j$  implies that

$$Lu_j = \lambda_j u_j \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_j = \frac{1}{\mu_j} - \gamma$$

By [Theorem 2.6](#),  $\{u_j\}_1^\infty \subset L^2(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ . In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tu_j, u_j \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \langle du_j, du_j \rangle_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)} + \gamma \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq \langle du_j, du_j \rangle_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)} = \langle Lu_j, u_j \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

so each  $u_j, \lambda_j \geq 0$ . Given that  $\{u_j\}_1^\infty$  accumulates at 0,  $\{\lambda_j\}_1^\infty$  accumulates at  $+\infty$ .  $\square$

The sesquilinear form allows expressing the eigenvalues as minimums over subspaces of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and the eigenfunctions as the minimisers. In addition, the smallest eigenvalue of  $L$  corresponds to a sharp estimate of the constant for [Pointcaré's inequality](#).

**Theorem 3.6** (Max-Min Theorem, [1, Section 1.5], [3, Exercise 5.1.2]). Let  $L = -\Delta$ ,  $\{\lambda_j\}_1^\infty$  be an increasing enumeration of its spectrum, repeated based on multiplicity, and  $\{u_j\}_1^\infty \subset L^2(\Omega)$  be the corresponding eigenfunctions, then for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\lambda_m = \min_{\substack{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \\ u \perp \{u_j\}_1^{m-1}}} \frac{\langle u, u \rangle_L}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}$$

where the minimum is achieved when  $u$  is an eigenfunction for  $\lambda_m$ . Moreover,

$$\lambda_m = \max_{\substack{N \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \\ \dim N < m}} \min_{\substack{u \perp N \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\langle u, u \rangle_L}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}$$

where the maximum is achieved when  $N = \text{span}(u_j : 1 \leq j \leq m-1)$ . In particular,

$$\lambda_1 = \min_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\langle u, u \rangle_L}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} = \min_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\langle du, du \rangle_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}$$

If every connected component of  $\Omega$  has non-empty boundary, then  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , and for any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}^2$$

with equality when  $u$  is an eigenfunction for  $\lambda_1$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , write  $u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j u_j$ . For each  $n \geq m$ , applying the bilinear form to  $(u - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j u_j)$  shows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle u, u \rangle_L - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \lambda_j \langle u, u_j \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_j a_k \lambda_j \langle u_j, u_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \langle u, u \rangle_L - \sum_{j=m}^n a_j \lambda_j \langle u, u_j \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\lambda_m \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j^2 \leq \langle u, u \rangle_L = \langle du, du \rangle_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}$$

where equality holds when  $u$  is an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_m$ .

Now, let  $N \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a  $(m-1)$ -dimensional subspace, and

$M = \text{span}(u_j : 1 \leq j \leq m)$ , then there exists  $v \in M$  with  $v \perp N$ . In this case,

$$\min_{\substack{u \perp N \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\langle du, du \rangle_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \leq \frac{\langle dv, dv \rangle_{L^2(\Omega; T\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \leq \lambda_m$$

If every connected component of  $\Omega$  has non-empty boundary, then

$\langle Lu, u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} > 0$  for all  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $u \neq 0$ , so  $\lambda_1 > 0$ .  $\square$

## A Results Used

**Lemma A.1** (Cauchy's Inequality). Let  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then

$$ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4\varepsilon}$$

**Theorem A.2** (Pointcaré's Inequality, [3, Proposition 4.5.2]). If every connected component of  $\Omega$  has non-empty boundary, then for any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_\Omega \|du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

**Theorem A.3** (Rellich's Theorem, [3, Proposition 4.3.4]). If  $\Omega$  is compact, then for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , the inclusion

$$\iota : H^{s+\sigma}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^s(\Omega)$$

is compact.

**Theorem A.4** (Green's Formulas). Let  $u, v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ , then

$$\langle u, -\Delta v \rangle_{L^p(\Omega)} = \langle du, dv \rangle_{L^p(\Omega; T\Omega)} - \langle u, \partial_\nu v \rangle_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} u \Delta v - v \Delta u dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} v \partial_\nu u - u \partial_\nu v dS$$

In particular, if  $u, v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ , then

$$\langle u, -\Delta v \rangle_{L^p(\Omega)} = \langle du, dv \rangle_{L^p(\Omega; T\Omega)}$$

**Proposition A.5** (Inverse of Trace, [3, Proposition 4.1.7]). Let  $s > 0$ , then there exists a bounded linear operator

$$\tau^{-1} : H^s(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{s+1/2}(\Omega)$$

such that for any  $u \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $\tau^{-1}u|_{\partial\Omega} = u$ .

## References

- [1] I. Chavel, B. Randol, and J. Dodziuk. *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, 1984.
- [2] L. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [3] M. Taylor. *Partial Differential Equations: Basic Theory*. Applied mathematical sciences. Springer, 1996.