

Amphibian Presence Prediction

Jerry Ngo

Intro

- Based on satellite information on amphibian appearance, give prediction on the occurrence of amphibian based on the site's attribute.
- Applying model like decision tree, support vector machine, artificial neural network on the data set.

Data Set

Data Set Information

- Was prepared for the environmental impact assessment reports for two planned road in Poland.
- Gives the amphibian population with 189 occurrence sites along with 16 attributes of each site.
- 7 amphibian species


Data Set Preprocess

- Data is well collected and presented
- Apply min-max normalization
- Select attribute for training
- Concatenate label
- Split training and validation set

	SR	NR	TR	VR	SUR1	...	RR	BR	MR	CR	label
0	0.004352	0.000000	0.000000	1.00	0.384615	...	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
1	0.005222	0.000000	0.285714	0.25	0.692308	...	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	110010
2	0.000870	0.000000	0.285714	0.25	0.692308	...	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	110010
3	0.001741	0.000000	0.285714	0.00	0.384615	...	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10000
4	0.004352	0.166667	0.000000	1.00	0.692308	...	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	111011
...
119	0.008703	0.000000	0.285714	0.00	0.076923	...	0.5	0.1	0.0	1.0	0
120	0.020888	0.000000	0.000000	1.00	0.076923	...	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	1110110
121	0.002176	0.000000	0.000000	0.00	0.076923	...	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	1110000
122	0.003481	0.000000	0.000000	1.00	0.000000	...	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	1111010
123	0.001741	0.000000	0.785714	0.75	0.076923	...	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	110000

Data Set Analysis

Total Occurrence of Each Amphibian Species




```
1101010 3 0111011 2 1010010 2 1000010 2
1010100 1 0111111 2 0101000 1 0010100 1
1101000 2 0001101 1 0000000 6 0100000 23
0011100 1 0001000 1 1100110 1 1000100 1
1100000 7 1010000 5 0110100 7 0010000 4
0100100 1 1110110 6 1111010 7 1111110 12
1110010 3 0110010 3 1111100 7 1001000 2
1000000 7 0001100 1 1111000 3 1110111 3
0000100 4 1110011 1 1110000 12 1111111 10
0111000 2 1110100 9 0100010 1 1110101 1
0110000 19 0010101 1 1001100 1 [Finished
```


Distribution is not evenly distributed

Data Set Analysis

Correlation between Amphibian Occurance, Maintenance Status of the Reservoir and The Presence of Fishing



Correlation between Amphibian Occurance, Vegetation Growth and Use of Water Reservoirs



No attributes that can be used to easily predict the species occurrence

Machine Learning Model

Support Vector Machine

- Radial Basis Function kernel with the degree of 3
- Accuracy of binary label data set: 65% with validation set | 67.7% with LOOCV
- Accuracy of constructing 7 SVMs corresponding with each species: 65.27%

```
Green frog
[[23  1]
 [21 20]]

Brown frog
[[ 1 10]
 [ 5 49]]

Common toad
[[13  6]
 [23 23]]

Fire-bellied toad
[[36  4]
 [20  5]]

Tree frog
[[32  7]
 [22  4]]

Common newt
[[35  4]
 [22  4]]

Great crested newt
[[51  1]
 [13  0]]

Average accuracy: 0.6505494505494506
```

True Negative	False Positive
False Negative	True Positive

Artificial Neural Network

- 20 hidden layers with the size of 9
- RELU for activation
- Limited-memory BFGS solver for weight optimization
- Accuracy: 67% with validation set | 64% with LOOCV

```
Accuracy: Green frog  
[[16  8]  
 [13 28]]
```

```
Brown frog  
[[ 3  8]  
 [16 38]]
```

```
Common toad  
[[10  9]  
 [12 34]]
```

```
Fire-bellied toad  
[[32  8]  
 [13 12]]
```

```
Tree frog  
[[25 14]  
 [14 12]]
```

```
Common newt  
[[32  7]  
 [14 12]]
```

```
Great crested newt  
[[51  1]  
 [13  0]]
```

```
0.6703296703296703
```

Decision Tree

- Use gini for split criteria
- Max depth of 4
- Accuracy: 68.1% with validation set | 65.1% with LOOCV

```
Accuracy: Green frog
[[21  3]
 [25 16]]
Brown frog
[[ 1 10]
 [ 8 46]]
Common toad
[[11  8]
 [10 36]]
Fire-bellied toad
[[40  0]
 [19  6]]
Tree frog
[[35  4]
 [19  7]]
Common newt
[[36  3]
 [25  1]]
Great crested newt
[[52  0]
 [13  0]]
0.676923076923077
```

AdaBoost

- Use the previous decision tree classifier for the base classifier
- Learning rate: 0.72
- Random state of 5
- Accuracy: 70.1% with validation set | 67.7% with LOOCV

Accuracy: Green frog

```
[[22  2]  
 [25 16]]
```

Brown frog

```
[[ 1 10]  
 [ 1 53]]
```

Common toad

```
[[12  7]  
 [20 26]]
```

Fire-bellied toad

```
[[38  2]  
 [15 10]]
```

Tree frog

```
[[36  3]  
 [18  8]]
```

Common newt

```
[[37  2]  
 [19  7]]
```

Great crested newt

```
[[52  0]  
 [12  1]]
```

0.701098901098901

Confusion Matrix of Classifier with Bit Data (1 Classifier)

```
Green frog
[[23  1]
 [21 20]]

Brown frog
[[ 1 10]
 [ 5 49]]

Common toad
[[13  6]
 [23 23]]

Fire-bellied toad
[[36  4]
 [20  5]]

Tree frog
[[32  7]
 [22  4]]

Common newt
[[35  4]
 [22  4]]

Great crested newt
[[51  1]
 [13  0]]

Average accuracy: 0.6505494505494506
```

SVM

```
Accuracy: Green frog
[[16  8]
 [13 28]]

Brown frog
[[ 3  8]
 [16 38]]

Common toad
[[10  9]
 [12 34]]

Fire-bellied toad
[[32  8]
 [13 12]]

Tree frog
[[25 14]
 [14 12]]

Common newt
[[32  7]
 [14 12]]

Great crested newt
[[51  1]
 [13  0]]

0.6703296703296703
```

ANN

```
Accuracy: Green frog
[[21  3]
 [25 16]]

Brown frog
[[ 1 10]
 [ 8 46]]

Common toad
[[11  8]
 [10 36]]

Fire-bellied toad
[[40  0]
 [19  6]]

Tree frog
[[35  4]
 [19  7]]

Common newt
[[36  3]
 [25  1]]

Great crested newt
[[52  0]
 [13  0]]

0.676923076923077
```

Decision Tree

Confusion Matrix of Binary Classifiers (7 Classifiers)

```
Green frog: 63.07692307692307
[[13 11]
 [13 28]]

Brown frog: 80.0
[[ 0 11]
 [ 2 52]]

Common toad: 56.92307692307692
[[ 9 10]
 [18 28]]

Fire-bellied toad: 56.92307692307692
[[36  4]
 [24  1]]

Tree frog: 56.92307692307692
[[33  6]
 [22  4]]

Common newt: 63.07692307692307
[[33  6]
 [18  8]]

Great crested newt: 80.0
[[52  0]
 [13  0]]

Average accuracy: 65.27472527472527
```

SVM

```
Green frog: 64.61538461538461
[[15  9]
 [14 27]]

Brown frog: 70.76923076923077
[[ 2  9]
 [10 44]]

Common toad: 60.0
[[ 9 10]
 [16 30]]

Fire-bellied toad: 70.76923076923077
[[36  4]
 [15 10]]

Tree frog: 58.46153846153847
[[30  9]
 [18  8]]

Common newt: 55.38461538461539
[[28 11]
 [18  8]]

Great crested newt: 84.61538461538461
[[52  0]
 [10  3]]

Average accuracy: 66.37362637362638
```

ANN

```
Green frog: 56.92307692307692
[[16  8]
 [20 21]]

Brown frog: 75.38461538461539
[[ 1 10]
 [ 6 48]]

Common toad: 55.38461538461539
[[ 4 15]
 [14 32]]

Fire-bellied toad: 63.07692307692307
[[37  3]
 [21  4]]

Tree frog: 55.38461538461539
[[26 13]
 [16 10]]

Common newt: 60.0
[[35  4]
 [22  4]]

Great crested newt: 81.53846153846153
[[52  0]
 [12  1]]

Average accuracy: 63.95604395604396
```

Decision Tree

Classifier	Validation Test	LOOCV
SVM	65%	67.7%
KNN	64.6%	64.1%
ANN	67%	64%
Decision Tree	67.7%	65.1%
AdaBoost	70.1%	67.7%

- Overall Adaboost based on the decision tree has the best performance of 70.1%
- Because of the uneven distribution and a really small instance, it is hard to get a better accuracy

Thanks!

Phuc Ngo, Beloit College
ngoph@beloit.com

