

Technical Report of DeltaBoost: Gradient Boosting Decision Trees with Efficient Machine Unlearning

ZHAOMIN WU, National University of Singapore, Singapore

JUNHUI ZHU, National University of Singapore, Singapore

QINBIN LI, National University of Singapore, Singapore

BINGSHENG HE, National University of Singapore, Singapore

ACM Reference Format:

Zhaomin Wu, Junhui Zhu, Qinbin Li, and Bingsheng He. 2023. Technical Report of DeltaBoost: Gradient Boosting Decision Trees with Efficient Machine Unlearning. *Proc. ACM Manag. Data* 1, 2, Article 168 (June 2023), 8 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3589313>

1 PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

For convenience, we restate Theorem 3.1 in the main paper here as Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.1. Suppose $\lambda \ll \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i$, $\lambda \ll \sum_{j=k-1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i$, and $n_d \ll n$. For $\forall s_k (k \in [1, m])$,

$$\arg \max_k \phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_m; s_k) \approx \arg \max_k \phi_0(s_k)$$

if $\zeta n_d \ll n$, where $\zeta = \frac{a_r c_l^2 + a_l c_r^2}{(b_r c_l - b_l c_r)^2}$,

$$a_l = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i^2, \quad b_l = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i, \quad c_l = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i$$

$$a_r = \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i^2, \quad b_r = \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i, \quad c_r = \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i$$

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we first study the following problem, which is helpful for analyzing gain.

Sum of deleted values in a single bin: Given a list of values $\{v_i\}_{i \in B_j}$ in bin B_j , if we randomly choose n_d values from the list with replacement, what is the distribution of the sum of the chosen values that fall into the j -th bin, i.e., $\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i$?

Note that v_i may refer to either gradient g_i or Hessian h_i in GBDT. Choosing with replacement implies that each choice is independent; these chosen values are denoted as Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k . We define X_k as follows,

$$X_k = \begin{cases} Y_k, & \text{chosen value is in } B_j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Apparently, $\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n_d} X_k$. We can therefore calculate $\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i \right]$ by summing up $\mathbb{E}[X_k]$ according to the linearity of expectation.

Authors' addresses: Zhaomin Wu, zhaomin@comp.nus.edu.sg, National University of Singapore, Singapore; Junhui Zhu, junhui.zhu@comp.nus.edu.sg, National University of Singapore, Singapore; Qinbin Li, liqinbin1998@gmail.com, National University of Singapore, Singapore; Bingsheng He, hebs@comp.nus.edu.sg, National University of Singapore, Singapore.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

2836-6573/2023/6-ART168

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3589313>

PROPOSITION 1.1. $\forall j \in [1, m] \cup \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i \right] = \frac{n_d}{n} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i$.

PROOF. For the independence of Y_k , it holds $\forall i \in [1, n], \forall k \in [1, n_d]$,

$$\Pr[Y_k = v_i] = \frac{1}{n},$$

Therefore, $\forall k \in [1, n_d], \mathbb{E}[X_k]$ can be easily calculated by

$$\mathbb{E}[X_k] = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i \in B_j} v_i + \sum_{i \notin B_j} 0 \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i. \quad (1)$$

Due to the linearity of expectation, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n_d} X_k \right] = \sum_{k=1}^{n_d} \mathbb{E}[X_k] = \frac{n_d}{n} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i. \quad (2)$$

□

Proposition 1.1 implies that the expected value of $\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i$ is simply the summation of all the values in the bin B_j multiplied by a constant. Meanwhile, a similar conclusion holds for multiple bins since the proof of Proposition 1.1 has no requirement on the set B_j .

LEMMA 1.2. For $\forall S \subseteq [1, m] \cup \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in d_j} v_i \right] = \frac{n_d}{n} \sum_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i$$

PROOF. Since Proposition 1.1 has no requirement on the set B_j , this corollary can be similarly derived by following the proof of Lemma 1.1 and substituting the set B_j to a larger set $\{B_j | j \in S\}$. □

Besides the expected value, we can calculate the second raw moment of $\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i$, i.e., $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i \right)^2 \right]$, which is very helpful for estimating the expected value of $\phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_m; s_k)$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. $\forall j \in [1, m] \cup \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i \right)^2 \right] = \frac{n_d}{n} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i^2 + \frac{n_d^2 - n_d}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i \in B_j} v_i \right)^2$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i \in d_j} v_i \right)^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_d} X_k \right)^2 \right] \\
 &= \text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_d} X_k \right) + \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n_d} X_k \right]^2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_d} \text{Var}(X_k) + \frac{n_d^2}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i \in B_j} v_i \right)^2 \quad (\text{independence of } X_k) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_d} (\mathbb{E}[X_k^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_k]^2) + \frac{n_d^2}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i \in B_j} v_i \right)^2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_d} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i \right)^2 \right) + \frac{n_d^2}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i \in B_j} v_i \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{n_d}{n} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i^2 - \frac{n_d}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i \in B_j} v_i \right)^2 + \frac{n_d^2}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i \in B_j} v_i \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{n_d}{n} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i^2 + \frac{n_d^2 - n_d}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i \in B_j} v_i \right)^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

□

LEMMA 1.3. $\forall S \subseteq [1, m] \cup \mathbf{N}$, $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in d_j} v_i \right)^2 \right] =$

$$\frac{n_d}{n} \sum_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i^2 + \frac{n_d^2 - n_d}{n^2} \left(\sum_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in B_j} v_i \right)^2$$

PROOF. Since Proposition 1.2 has no requirement on the set B_j , this corollary can be similarly derived by following the proof of Proposition 1.2 and substituting the set B_j to a larger set $\{B_j | j \in S\}$. □

With Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, we can estimate the expected value of gain according to Theorem 1.4.

THEOREM 1.4. *The expected value of the gain after removal on split value s_k can be estimated by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[\phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_j; s_k)] &= \frac{a_l n_d + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} b_l^2}{(n-n_d)c_l + n\lambda} + \frac{a_r n_d + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} b_r^2}{(n-n_d)c_r + n\lambda} \\
 &\quad - \frac{(a_l + a_r)n_d + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} (b_l + b_r)^2}{(n-n_d)(c_l + c_r) + n\lambda} \\
 &\quad + O \left(\frac{\text{Var}(S_1)\mathbb{E}[R_1^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_1]^3} - \frac{\text{Cov}(R_1^2, S_1)}{\mathbb{E}[S_1]^2} + \frac{\text{Var}(S_2)\mathbb{E}[R_2^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_2]^3} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\text{Cov}(R_2^2, S_2)}{\mathbb{E}[S_2]^2} - \frac{\text{Var}(S_3)\mathbb{E}[R_3^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_3]^3} + \frac{\text{Cov}(R_3^2, S_3)}{\mathbb{E}[S_3]^2} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_l &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i^2, \quad b_l = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i, \quad c_l = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i \\
a_r &= \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i^2, \quad b_r = \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i, \quad c_r = \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i \\
R_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \\
S_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda \\
R_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \\
S_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda \\
R_3 &= \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \\
S_3 &= \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The gain shifting can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_j; s_k)] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \right)^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda} \right] + \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \right)^2}{\sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda} \right] - \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \right)^2}{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda} \right]. \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

The estimation of the three expected values is similar; we take the third expected value as an example. For simplicity, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \\
S_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

According to Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[R_1^2] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \right)^2 \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \right)^2 \right] - 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i \right) \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \right] \right) \\
 &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{n_d}{n} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i^2 + \frac{n_d^2 - n_d}{n^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i \right)^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{2n_d}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{n_d}{n} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i^2 + \frac{(n - n_d)^2 - n_d}{n^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i \right)^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

According to Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[S_1] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda \right] = \left(1 - \frac{n_d}{n} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i + \lambda. \tag{7}$$

Combining Equation 6 and 7, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \right)^2}{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda} \right] \\
 &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_1^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_1]} + O \left(\frac{\text{Var}(S_1) \mathbb{E}[R_1^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_1]^3} - \frac{\text{Cov}(R_1^2, S_1)}{\mathbb{E}[S_1]^2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{n_d \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i^2 + \frac{(n - n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i \right)^2}{(n - n_d) \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i + n\lambda} \\
 &\quad + O \left(\frac{\text{Var}(S_1) \mathbb{E}[R_1^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_1]^3} - \frac{\text{Cov}(R_1^2, S_1)}{\mathbb{E}[S_1]^2} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We can similarly derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \right)^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda} \right] \\
&= \frac{n_d \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i^2 + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i \right)^2}{(n-n_d) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i + n\lambda} \\
&\quad + O \left(\frac{\text{Var}(S_2) \mathbb{E}[R_2^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_2]^3} - \frac{\text{Cov}(R_2^2, S_2)}{\mathbb{E}[S_2]^2} \right) \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i - \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} g_i \right)^2}{\sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i - \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in d_j} h_i + \lambda} \right] \\
&= \frac{n_d \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i^2 + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} \left(\sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} g_i \right)^2}{(n-n_d) \sum_{j=k}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i + n\lambda} \\
&\quad + O \left(\frac{\text{Var}(S_3) \mathbb{E}[R_3^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_3]^3} - \frac{\text{Cov}(R_3^2, S_3)}{\mathbb{E}[S_3]^2} \right). \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

From Equation 4, 8, and 9, with the definitions of $a_l, a_r, b_l, b_r, c_l, c_r$ in Theorem 1.4, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_j; s_k)] &= \frac{a_l n_d + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} b_l^2}{(n-n_d)c_l + n\lambda} + \frac{a_r n_d + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} b_r^2}{(n-n_d)c_r + n\lambda} \\
&\quad - \frac{(a_l + a_r)n_d + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} (b_l + b_r)^2}{(n-n_d)(c_l + c_r) + n\lambda} \\
&\quad + O \left(\frac{\text{Var}(S_1) \mathbb{E}[R_1^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_1]^3} - \frac{\text{Cov}(R_1^2, S_1)}{\mathbb{E}[S_1]^2} + \frac{\text{Var}(S_2) \mathbb{E}[R_2^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_2]^3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\text{Cov}(R_2^2, S_2)}{\mathbb{E}[S_2]^2} - \frac{\text{Var}(S_3) \mathbb{E}[R_3^2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_3]^3} + \frac{\text{Cov}(R_3^2, S_3)}{\mathbb{E}[S_3]^2} \right), \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

□

We can further investigate how random removal affects the gain by estimating the expected value. Theorem 1.5 demonstrates that, when $n_d \ll n$, as n_d increases, the gain of each split candidate changes at an almost constant rate determined by histogram.

THEOREM 1.5. Suppose $\lambda \ll \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i$, $\lambda \ll \sum_{j=k-1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i$, and $n_d \ll n$. For $\forall s_k (k \in [1, m])$,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_j; s_k)]}{\partial n_d} \approx \alpha(s_k, \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{h_i\}_{i=1}^n).$$

where α is unrelated to n_d , which is determined by the histogram and s_k .

PROOF. From Theorem 1.4, we denote $f(n_d)$ as the approximation of $\mathbb{E}[\phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_j; s_k)]$.

$$f(n_d) = \frac{a_l n_d + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} b_l^2}{(n-n_d)c_l + n\lambda} + \frac{a_r n_d + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} b_r^2}{(n-n_d)c_r + n\lambda} - \frac{(a_l + a_r)n_d + \frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n} (b_l + b_r)^2}{(n-n_d)(c_l + c_r) + n\lambda} \quad (11)$$

Since $n_d^2 \ll n^2$, we also have $n_d \ll n^2$, therefore

$$\frac{(n-n_d)^2 - n_d}{n^2} = 1 - \frac{2n_d}{n} + \frac{n_d^2 - n_d}{n^2} = 1 - \frac{2n_d}{n} + O\left(\frac{n_d^2}{n^2}\right). \quad (12)$$

With Equation 12 and $\lambda \ll \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i$, $\lambda \ll \sum_{j=k-1}^m \sum_{i \in B_j} h_i$, Equation 11 can be approximated by

$$f(n_d) \approx \frac{a_l \frac{n_d}{n} + (1 - \frac{2n_d}{n}) b_l^2}{(1 - \frac{n_d}{n}) c_l} + \frac{a_r \frac{n_d}{n} + (1 - \frac{2n_d}{n}) b_r^2}{(1 - \frac{n_d}{n}) c_r} - \frac{(a_l + a_r) \frac{n_d}{n} + (1 - \frac{2n_d}{n}) (b_l + b_r)^2}{(1 - \frac{n_d}{n}) (c_l + c_r)}. \quad (13)$$

Let $t = \frac{n_d}{n}$. Consider

$$g(t) = \frac{a_l t + (1-2t)b_l^2}{(1-t)c_l} + \frac{a_r t + (1-2t)b_r^2}{(1-t)c_r} - \frac{(a_l + a_r)t + (1-2t)(b_l + b_r)^2}{(1-t)(c_l + c_r)} \quad (14)$$

The derivative of $g(t)$ is

$$g'(t) = \frac{a_r c_l^2 + a_l c_r^2 - (b_r c_l - b_l c_r)^2}{c_l c_r (c_l + c_r) (1-t)^2}. \quad (15)$$

Since $n_d \ll n$, we have $\frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \approx 1$. From Equation 15, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_j; s_k)]}{\partial n_d} &= g'(t) \cdot \frac{\partial t}{\partial n_d} = \frac{a_r c_l^2 + a_l c_r^2 - (b_r c_l - b_l c_r)^2}{n c_l c_r (c_l + c_r) (1-t)^2} \\ &\approx \frac{a_r c_l^2 + a_l c_r^2 - (b_r c_l - b_l c_r)^2}{n c_l c_r (c_l + c_r)}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

which is unrelated to n_d . Therefore, Theorem 1.5 holds with

$$\alpha(s_k, \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \frac{a_r c_l^2 + a_l c_r^2 - (b_r c_l - b_l c_r)^2}{n c_l c_r (c_l + c_r)}. \quad (17)$$

□

Then, our main observation (Theorem 1.1) can be naturally proved according to the constant gradient.

PROOF. Given $\zeta = \frac{a_r c_l^2 + a_l c_r^2}{(b_r c_l - b_l c_r)^2}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s_k, \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) &= \frac{\zeta - 1}{n} \cdot \frac{(b_r c_l - b_l c_r)^2}{c_l c_r (c_l + c_r)} \\ &= \frac{\zeta - 1}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{b_l^2}{c_l} + \frac{b_r^2}{c_r} - \frac{(b_l + b_r)^2}{c_l + c_r} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Note that if regularization term $\lambda \ll c_l, c_r$ (λ can also be set to 0 with only a small effect on the accuracy [26]), it holds

$$\phi_0(s_k) = \frac{b_l^2}{c_l + \lambda} + \frac{b_r^2}{c_r + \lambda} - \frac{(b_l + b_r)^2}{c_l + c_r + \lambda} \approx \frac{b_l^2}{c_l} + \frac{b_r^2}{c_r} - \frac{(b_l + b_r)^2}{c_l + c_r}, \quad (19)$$

where $\phi_0(s_k)$ is the gain before removal. Combining Equation 18 and 19, with a sufficiently small ζ , it holds

$$\alpha(s_k, \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \frac{\zeta - 1}{n} \phi_0(s_k) \quad (20)$$

If $\zeta n_d \ll n$, $\zeta n_d/n$ is negligible. Thus, $\phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_m; s_k)$ can be estimated by the first-order Taylor expansion as below.

$$\begin{aligned} &\arg \max_k \phi_1(d_1, \dots, d_m; s_k) \\ &\approx \arg \max_k (\phi_0(s_k) + n_d \alpha(s_k, \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{h_i\}_{i=1}^n)) \\ &= \arg \max_k \left(\phi_0(s_k) - \frac{n_d}{n} \phi_0(s_k) + \frac{\zeta n_d}{n} \right) \\ &\approx \arg \max_k \left(\phi_0(s_k) - \frac{n_d}{n} \phi_0(s_k) \right) \\ &= \arg \max_k \left(\left(1 - \frac{n_d}{n} \right) \phi_0(s_k) \right) \\ &= \arg \max_k \phi_0(s_k) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

□