# Numerical Analysis homework 1

Jerry Xu 3230101329<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Applied Mathematics (Strengthening Basic Science Program), class 2301, Zhejiang University <sup>1</sup>3230101329@zju.edu.cn

Due time: September 25, 2025

#### Abstract

The abstract is not necessary for the theoretical homework, but for the programming project, you are encouraged to write one.

## I. The content of the homework

# question 1.8.1 I - VIII, Theoretical Questions, on page 7

I.

Consider the bisection method starting with the initial interval [a, b] = [1.5, 3.5]. In the following questions "the interval" refers to the bisection interval whose width changes across different loops.

- What is the width of the interval at the *n*th step?
- What is the supremum of the distance between the root r and the midpoint of the interval?

## Solution.

Let the initial interval be [a, b] = [1.5, 3.5], so b - a = 2.

Each bisection halves the interval width. If we measure the step count so that after n bisections the interval has been halved n times, the width at the n-th step is

$$w_n = \frac{b-a}{2^n}.$$

With b - a = 2 this becomes

$$w_n = \frac{2}{2^n} = 2^{1-n} \, .$$

Let  $m_n$  be the midpoint of the interval at the *n*-th step. For any root r that is in the current interval, the distance  $|r - m_n|$  is at most half the interval width (because the midpoint splits the interval). Thus

$$|r - m_n| \le \frac{w_n}{2} = \frac{b - a}{2^{n+1}}.$$

Therefore the supremum (in all possible locations of r within the interval) is

$$\sup |r - m_n| = \frac{b - a}{2^{n+1}}.$$

With b - a = 2 this simplifies to

$$\sup |r - m_n| = \frac{2}{2^{n+1}} = 2^{-n}.$$

#### II.

In using the bisection algorithm with its initial interval as  $[a_0, b_0]$  with  $a_0 > 0$ , we want to determine the root with its relative error no greater than  $\epsilon$ . Prove that this goal of convergence is achieved with the following choice of the number of steps,

$$n \ge \frac{\log(b_0 - a_0) - \log \epsilon - \log a_0}{\log 2} - 1.$$

#### Solution.

We use the same notation as before. Let r be the root contained in the initial interval  $[a_0, b_0]$  with  $a_0 > 0$ , and let  $m_n$  denote the midpoint after n bisection steps. From the bisection property we have the midpoint error bound

$$|r - m_n| \le \frac{b_0 - a_0}{2^{n+1}}.$$

We require the relative error to satisfy

$$\frac{|r - m_n|}{|r|} \le \epsilon.$$

Since  $r \ge a_0 > 0$ , a sufficient condition is

$$\frac{|r - m_n|}{a_0} \le \epsilon,$$

and using precvious inequation of midpoint error bound this becomes

$$\frac{b_0 - a_0}{2^{n+1}a_0} \le \epsilon.$$

then we have:

$$2^{n+1} \ge \frac{b_0 - a_0}{a_0 \epsilon}.$$

Taking logarithms (base 2) gives

$$n+1 \ge \log_2\left(\frac{b_0 - a_0}{a_0\epsilon}\right) = \frac{\log(b_0 - a_0) - \log a_0 - \log \epsilon}{\log 2}.$$

Hence

$$n \ge \frac{\log(b_0 - a_0) - \log a_0 - \log \epsilon}{\log 2} - 1,$$

which is the claimed bound.

#### III.

Perform four iterations of Newton's method for the polynomial equation  $p(x) = 4x^3 - 2x^2 + 3 = 0$  with the starting point  $x_0 = -1$ . Use a hand calculator and organize results of the iterations in a table.

### Solution.

Consider

$$p(x) = 4x^3 - 2x^2 + 3,$$
  $p'(x) = 12x^2 - 4x.$ 

Newton's iteration is

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{p(x_n)}{p'(x_n)}.$$

We perform four iterations starting from  $x_0 = -1$ . Below each step shows the values of  $p(x_n)$ ,  $p'(x_n)$  and the next iterate.

$$x_0 = -1,$$

$$p(x_0) = 4(-1)^3 - 2(-1)^2 + 3 = -4 - 2 + 3 = -3,$$

$$p'(x_0) = 12(-1)^2 - 4(-1) = 16,$$

$$x_1 = x_0 - \frac{p(x_0)}{p'(x_0)} = -1 - \frac{-3}{16} = -1 + \frac{3}{16} = -\frac{13}{16} = -0.8125.$$

$$x_1 \approx -0.812500000000,$$

$$p(x_1) \approx -0.465820312500,$$

$$p'(x_1) \approx 11.171875000000,$$

$$x_2 \approx x_1 - \frac{p(x_1)}{p'(x_1)} \approx -0.770804195804.$$

$$x_2 \approx -0.770804195804,$$

$$p(x_2) \approx -0.020137886720,$$

$$p'(x_2) \approx 10.212886082449,$$

$$x_3 \approx x_2 - \frac{p(x_2)}{p'(x_2)} \approx -0.768832384256.$$

$$x_3 \approx -0.768832384256,$$

$$p(x_3) \approx -0.000043708433,$$

$$p'(x_3) \approx 10.168568357988,$$

$$x_4 \approx x_3 - \frac{p(x_3)}{p'(x_3)} \approx -0.768828085870.$$

$$x_4 \approx -0.768828085870,$$

$$p(x_4) \approx -2.0741 \times 10^{-10},$$

$$p'(x_4) \approx 10.168471850942.$$

For clarity, the iteration data (rounded for a hand calculator) are tabulated below:

n	$x_n$	$p(x_n)$	$p'(x_n)$	$x_{n+1}$
0	-1.0000000000000	-3.0000000000000	16.0000000000000	-0.812500000000
1	-0.812500000000	-0.465820312500	11.171875000000	-0.770804195804
2	-0.770804195804	-0.020137886720	10.212886082449	-0.768832384256
3	-0.768832384256	-0.000043708433	10.168568357988	-0.768828085870
4	-0.768828085870	$-2.0741 \times 10^{-10}$	10.168471850942	

After four Newton iterations we obtain

$$x_4 \approx -0.768828085870$$

with residual  $p(x_4) \approx -2.07 \times 10^{-10}$ , indicating good convergence.

#### IV.

Consider a variation of Newton's method in which only the derivative at  $x_0$  is used,

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_0)}.$$

Find C and s such that

$$e_{n+1} = Ce_n^s,$$

where  $e_n$  is the error of Newton's method at step n, s is a constant, and C may depend on  $x_n$ , the true solution  $\alpha$ , and the derivative of the function f.

## Solution.

Let  $\alpha$  be the true root, and denote the error by  $e_n = x_n - \alpha$ . We use Taylor expansion of f about  $\alpha$ :

$$f(x_n) = f(\alpha + e_n) = f'(\alpha)e_n + \frac{1}{2}f''(\alpha)e_n^2 + O(e_n^3).$$

Also write the denominator (the fixed derivative at  $x_0$ ) as

$$f'(x_0) = f'(\alpha) + (f'(x_0) - f'(\alpha)).$$

For brevity set

$$\Delta := f'(x_0) - f'(\alpha).$$

The iteration is

$$e_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - \alpha = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_0)} - \alpha = e_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_0)}.$$

Substitute the Taylor series for  $f(x_n)$ :

$$e_{n+1} = e_n - \frac{f'(\alpha)e_n + \frac{1}{2}f''(\alpha)e_n^2 + O(e_n^3)}{f'(\alpha) + \Delta}.$$

Factor out  $e_n$  and simplify the leading terms:

$$e_{n+1} = e_n \left( 1 - \frac{f'(\alpha)}{f'(\alpha) + \Delta} \right) - \frac{\frac{1}{2}f''(\alpha)e_n^2}{f'(\alpha) + \Delta} + O(e_n^3)$$
$$= e_n \cdot \frac{\Delta}{f'(\alpha) + \Delta} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{f''(\alpha)}{f'(\alpha) + \Delta}e_n^2 + O(e_n^3).$$

From this expansion we see the following:

• Generic case: If  $\Delta \neq 0$  (i.e.  $f'(x_0) \neq f'(\alpha)$ ), the linear term is dominant. Thus

 $e_{n+1} = C e_n^1 + \text{(higher order terms)},$ 

with

$$s = 1,$$
  $C = \frac{\Delta}{f'(x_0)} = \frac{f'(x_0) - f'(\alpha)}{f'(x_0)}.$ 

(Here we used  $f'(x_0) = f'(\alpha) + \Delta$  to write C in this simple form.) So the method is generically linearly convergent with factor C.

**Special case:** If  $\Delta = 0$  (i.e.  $f'(x_0) = f'(\alpha)$ ), then the linear coefficient vanishes and the next term is quadratic. In this special case

$$e_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{f''(\alpha)}{f'(\alpha)} e_n^2 + O(e_n^3),$$

SO

$$s=2,$$
  $C=-rac{1}{2}rac{f''(lpha)}{f'(lpha)}.$ 

That is, if the fixed derivative at  $x_0$  happens to equal  $f'(\alpha)$ , then the method has quadratic leading behaviour (like usual Newton)

In short, generically s=1 with  $C=(f'(x_0)-f'(\alpha))/f'(x_0)$ . Only when  $f'(x_0)=f'(\alpha)$  the linear term disappears and we get s = 2 with  $C = -\frac{1}{2}f''(\alpha)/f'(\alpha)$ .

#### $\mathbf{v}$ .

Within  $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ , will the iteration  $x_{n+1} = \tan^{-1} x_n$  converge?

Consider the iteration  $x_{n+1} = \arctan x_n$  with  $x_0 \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ . Case 1:  $x_0 > 0$ . Since  $\tan y > y$  for  $y \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ , we have

 $\arctan x < x$  for all x > 0.

Hence  $x_1 = \arctan x_0 < x_0$ . By induction, if  $x_n > 0$  then  $x_{n+1} = \arctan x_n < x_n$ , so the sequence  $(x_n)$  is positive and monotone decreasing. Also each  $x_n \geq 0$  (clear from  $\arctan x \geq 0$ ) when  $x \geq 0$ ). A positive monotone decreasing sequence is bounded below and therefore converges.

Case 2:  $x_0 < 0$ . Note arctan is an odd function, so the same argument works: the sequence is negative and monotone increasing, hence it also converges.

Case 3:  $x_0 = 0$ . Then  $x_n \equiv 0$  and it converges.

In all cases the limit must satisfy  $L = \arctan L$ , so L = 0. Thus the iteration converges for any initial  $x_0 \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ , and the limit is 0.

#### VI.

Let p > 1. What is the value of the following continued fraction?

$$x = \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p + \dots}}}$$

Prove that the sequence of values converges. (Hint: this can be interpreted as  $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$ , where  $x_1 = \frac{1}{p}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p}}$ ,  $x_3 = \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p}}}$ , and so forth. Formulate x as a fixed point of some function.)

#### Solution.

Let p > 1 and define the finite-level values by

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{p}, \quad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{p+x_n} \quad (n \ge 1).$$

We show  $(x_n)$  converges by using monotone bounded subsequences.

1. Basic bounds.

Since p > 1 we have  $x_1 = 1/p > 0$ . For any  $x \ge 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{p+x} \le \frac{1}{p}$ . Thus every term satisfies

$$0 < x_n \le \frac{1}{p}$$
 for all  $n$ .

So the sequence is positive and bounded.

2. Monotonicity of even and odd subsequences.

The function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{p+x}$  is strictly decreasing on  $[0,\infty)$ . Hence the composition  $f \circ f$  is strictly increasing on  $[0,\infty)$ . But

$$x_{n+2} = f(f(x_n))$$
 for all  $n$ ,

so the subsequence  $(x_{2n})$  (even terms) is generated by iterating the increasing map  $f \circ f$ . In particular, one checks by induction that  $(x_{2n})$  is monotone increasing, and similarly  $(x_{2n+1})$  (odd terms) is monotone decreasing. More concretely:

$$x_2 = f(x_1) \le x_1, \qquad x_4 = f(f(x_2)) \ge x_2, \dots$$

so  $x_2 \le x_4 \le x_6 \le \cdots$  and  $x_1 \ge x_3 \ge x_5 \ge \cdots$ .

3. Convergence of subsequences and same limit.

Both subsequences are monotone and bounded (by step 1), so they converge: there exist limits  $L_{\text{even}}$  and  $L_{\text{odd}}$ . Passing to the limit in the relation  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  along even and odd indices shows these two limits must be fixed points of  $f \circ f$ . But any fixed point of  $f \circ f$  that lies in [0, 1/p] is also a fixed point of f itself (because if  $f \circ f$  then applying  $f \circ f$  to both sides gives f(f) = f(f(f)), and by uniqueness one gets  $f \circ f$  itself (because if  $f \circ f \circ f \circ f$  itself (because if  $f \circ f \circ f \circ f$  itself (because if  $f \circ f \circ f \circ f \circ f$  itself (because if  $f \circ f \circ f \circ f \circ f \circ f \circ f$  itself (because if  $f \circ f \circ f$  itself (because if  $f \circ f \circ f \circ f \circ f \circ f \circ$ 

4. Value of the limit.

Taking limit  $n \to \infty$  in  $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{p+x_n}$  gives

$$a = \frac{1}{p+a}.$$

Multiply both sides by p + a and rearrange:

$$a(p+a) = 1 \implies a^2 + pa - 1 = 0.$$

Solve the quadratic:

$$a = \frac{-p \pm \sqrt{p^2 + 4}}{2}.$$

Since a > 0, we take the positive root. Thus

$$a = \frac{-p + \sqrt{p^2 + 4}}{2}$$

is the value of the continued fraction. This completes the proof that the sequence converges and gives the limit.

#### VII.

What happens in problem II if  $a_0 < 0 < b_0$ ? Derive an inequality of the number of steps similar to that in II. In this case, is the relative error still an appropriate measure?

#### Solution

We keep the same notation as in problem II. Now suppose the initial interval satisfies  $a_0 < 0 < b_0$ . Let r be the root in  $[a_0, b_0]$ , and let  $m_n$  be the midpoint after n bisections. As before the width of the interval after n steps is

$$w_n = \frac{b_0 - a_0}{2^n},$$

and the worst-case distance from the midpoint to the root is

$$|r - m_n| \le \frac{w_n}{2} = \frac{b_0 - a_0}{2^{n+1}}.$$

1. Absolute-error requirement.

If we want the absolute error to be at most  $\eta > 0$ , i.e.

$$|r-m_n| \leq \eta,$$

then it is sufficient to require

$$\frac{b_0 - a_0}{2^{n+1}} \le \eta.$$

Rearranging gives

$$2^{n+1} \ge \frac{b_0 - a_0}{\eta} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad n \ge \log_2\left(\frac{b_0 - a_0}{\eta}\right) - 1.$$

Equivalently, in natural logarithms,

$$n \ge \frac{\log(b_0 - a_0) - \log \eta}{\log 2} - 1.$$

This inequality is the analogue of the bound in problem II but written for an absolute-error tolerance  $\eta$ .

2. Why relative error is problematic.

In problem II we used a relative-error criterion  $|r-m_n|/|r| \le \epsilon$ , and the derivation relied on a positive lower bound for |r| (there we used  $r \ge a_0 > 0$ ). When  $a_0 < 0 < b_0$  such a positive lower bound need not exist: the true root r could be very close to 0. If r is near zero, the relative error  $|r-m_n|/|r|$  can be arbitrarily large even when  $|r-m_n|$  is small. Thus, in general a relative-error requirement is not appropriate when the interval crosses zero.

#### VIII.

- (\*) Consider solving f(x) = 0 ( $f \in C^{k+1}$ ) by Newton's method with the starting point  $x_0$  being a root of multiplicity k. Note that  $\alpha$  is a zero of multiplicity k of the function f.
  - How can a multiple root be detected by examining the behavior of the points  $(x_n, f(x_n))$ ?
  - Prove that if r is a zero of multiplicity k of the function f, then quadratic convergence in Newton's iteration will be restored by making this modification:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - k \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

Solution.

(1) Detecting a multiple root from the points  $(x_n, f(x_n))$ . As we learned in class, when  $\alpha$  weren't a zero of multiplicity of the function f,we have the following equation to evaluate the convergence:

$$e_{n+1} = e_n^2 \frac{f''(\epsilon)}{2f'(x_n)}$$

Back to our situation, because  $\alpha$  is a zero of multiplicity k of the function f and f(x) = 0  $(f \in C^{k+1})$ , we can write f(x) into  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^k g(x), g \in C^1$ 

Similarly, we have:

$$e_{n+1} = e_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = e_n - \frac{e_n g(x_n)}{k g(x_n) + e_n g'(x_n)} = e_n \frac{(k-1)g(x_n) - e_n g'(x_n)}{k g(x_n) + e_n g'(x_n)} = e_n \frac{(k-1) - e_n \frac{g'(x_n)}{g(x_n)}}{k + e_n \frac{g'(x_n)}{g(x_n)}}$$

Thus, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n} \to \frac{k-1}{k} < 1,$$

so the convergence is only linear. Consequently, a root of multiplicity k>1 can be detected numerically by observing that the ratio  $\frac{|x_{n+1}-\alpha|}{|x_n-\alpha|}$  tends to the constant  $\frac{k-1}{k}$ , i.e. the error decreases slowly and at a constant rate.

## (2) Proof that the modified iteration restores quadratic convergence. Write f near r in the form

$$f(x) = (x - r)^k g(x),$$

with  $g \in C^1$  and  $g(r) \neq 0$ . Then

$$f'(x) = k(x-r)^{k-1}g(x) + (x-r)^k g'(x).$$

Let  $e_n := x_n - r$ . For the modified iteration

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - k \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)},$$

compute the new error  $e_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - r$  using the above factorization. We have

$$k \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = k \frac{e_n^k g(x_n)}{k e_n^{k-1} g(x_n) + e_n^k g'(x_n)}$$
$$= e_n \cdot \frac{k g(x_n)}{k g(x_n) + e_n g'(x_n)}.$$

Therefore

$$e_{n+1} = e_n - k \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = e_n \left( 1 - \frac{kg(x_n)}{kg(x_n) + e_n g'(x_n)} \right)$$
$$= e_n \cdot \frac{e_n g'(x_n)}{kg(x_n) + e_n g'(x_n)} = e_n^2 \cdot \frac{g'(x_n)}{kg(x_n) + e_n g'(x_n)}.$$

Since g is continuous and  $g(r) \neq 0$ , for  $x_n$  close to r the denominator  $kg(x_n) + e_n g'(x_n)$  stays close to  $kg(r) \neq 0$ . Thus there exists a neighbourhood of r where the factor

$$C_n := \frac{g'(x_n)}{kg(x_n) + e_n g'(x_n)}$$

is bounded and tends to the limit C := g'(r)/(kg(r)) as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence for n large we get the error law

$$e_{n+1} = C_n e_n^2,$$

with  $C_n \to C \neq 0$ . This shows the iteration is *quadratically* convergent (error is proportional to square of previous error) once the iterates are sufficiently close to the root.

Thus the modification  $x_{n+1} = x_n - k f(x_n) / f'(x_n)$  restores the usual quadratic convergence for a root of multiplicity k.