

This assignment does not count toward the final grade.

Bonus HW2

[Submit Assignment](#)

Due Mar 13 by 12pm **Points** 0 **Submitting** a file upload

50 bonus points at the Final Exam

Improve your recall and precision results for HW2 by additionally incorporating the geometry constraints in one-to-one matching of the query and dataset images. For this additional work, use the lecture slides 18-34 in CS537_12.pdf, and 19-25 in CS537_13.pdf.

For every pair (query, image):

- 1) Use the one-to-one matches of their interest points you computed for HW2, and construct the $N \times 9$ matrix W (slide 18 in CS537_12.pdf).
- 2) Implement the RANSAC algorithm to estimate their fundamental matrix. Note that direct estimation of the fundamental matrix without RANSAC would give a bad estimate of the fundamental matrix.
- 3) Re-match interest points between the query and image by using the one-to-one matching formulation given in the slide 25 in CS537_13.pdf. Note that this formulation weights equally the appearance and geometric costs in the objective function: **minimize** $[\text{Trace}(A^\top Y) + \text{Trace}(B^\top Y)]$. These two costs may need to be weighted differently for optimal results: **minimize** $[\text{Trace}(A^\top Y) + \lambda \cdot \text{Trace}(B^\top Y)]$, $\lambda > 0$. Experimentally find a good value for the weighting parameter λ .

Turn in:

- a) (20 points) The $35 \times 140 \times 3 \times 3$ tensor containing the estimated fundamental matrices for all 35×140 query-image pairs.
- b) (10 points) Your old 35×140 matrix of image similarities you computed for HW2 with one-to-one point matching. Your new 35×140 matrix of image similarities that you computed with the additional geometric constraints in one-to-one point matching.
- b) (20 points) PDF file with the plot of your old and new recall-precision curves for $K=1,2,3,4$ image retrieval based on the old and new image matching.

