

# Index

Note: Page numbers followed by *f* indicate figures *t* indicate tables and *b* indicate box.

## A

- A priori coding, 304, 309
- A/B testing, 290, 414–416, 477
- Activity-logging software
  - interaction loggers, 340–344, 342*f*
  - interaction recording tools, 339, 345–346
  - keystroke and, 344–345
  - software tools, 339
  - user actions, 340*f*
  - web proxies, 339–344, 342*f*
- Advanced Notice of Proposed Rule-Making (ANPRM), 471–472
- Again-Again scale, 208–210
- Alternative hypothesis, 28–29
- Analog-to-digital converter, 388, 388*f*
- Analysis of variance (ANOVA), 76–77
  - factorial, 82, 82–83*t*
  - one-way, 80–82, 81*t*
  - repeated measures, 83–85, 84–85*t*
  - for split-plot design, 85–87, 86*t*
- Aphasia, 497, 502–503
- Apple Watch, 377–378
- Application Programming Interfaces (APIs), 416–417, 419
- Artifact contributions, 2
- Assistive technologies, 507
- Assumption-free tests, 94
- Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), 379
- Audience content, 11–13, 301
- Augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) device, 502
- Autism spectrum disorders, 494, 511–512
- Automated data collection methods, 329–330
  - activity-logging software, 339
  - interaction recording tools, 345–346
  - keystroke and activity loggers, 344–345
  - user actions, 340*f*
  - web proxies and interaction loggers, 340–344, 342*f*
- automated interface evaluation, 358
- computerized data collection systems, 330*f*, 358–361
- custom software, 346
- instrumented software, 346–349, 347*b*
- research software, 349–353, 349*b*
- data management and analysis
  - handling stored data, 354–355
  - log files, 355–356, 357*f*
- existing tools, 330
  - stored application data, 338–339
  - web logs, 330–338
- hybrid data collection methods, 353–354
- Automated software, advantages, 358
- Automated usability inspection, 358
  - standalone applications, 270–271
  - in statistics, 270–271
  - summary report, 270

## B

- Behavioral research, 26–27
- Belmont report, 476
- Between-group design, 49–52, 49*f*, 76
  - advantages and disadvantages, 50–51, 52*t*
  - choosing, 52–54
  - within-group design vs., 52
- Biases, 60–65
  - environmental factors, 64–65
  - experimental procedures, 61–63
  - experimenter behavior, 63–64
  - measurement instruments, 61
  - participants, 63

Big data approaches, 5  
 Blind users, 497–499, 506, 509–510, 513–514  
     IRB form, 514–516, 515*f*  
     task performance, 426*b*, 462*b*  
 Blog, 135, 144  
 Blood-volume pressure (BVP), 383–384  
 Body-mounted sensors, 387

## C

Captioning, 494  
 Cardiovascular signals, 383–384  
 Case study  
     analysis and interpretation, 176–178  
     challenges, 153  
     cherry-picking, 168  
     choosing cases, 172–174  
     critical cases, 173  
     data collecting, 175–176  
     data sources and questions, 174–175  
     definition, 153, 156  
     demonstration, 160, 164–165  
     description, 160, 162  
     documenting, 178  
     edge cases, 160  
     embedded/holistic, 169–170  
     ethnography vs., 232  
     explanation, 159–160  
     exploration, 159–160  
     goals, 159–165  
     hypotheses, 170–172  
     in-depth investigation, 156–157  
     informal case studies, 180–182  
     intrinsic/instrumental, 165  
     lab-based usability studies, 157  
     multiple data sources, 157–159  
     presentation of data and analysis, 178  
     qualitative data and analysis, 159  
     research questions, 170–172  
     single/multiple, 165–169  
     story-telling, 178  
     writing up, 178–179  
 Causality, 5  
 Central tendency, 74–75

Children's Online Protection Act, 425  
 Chi-squared test, 94–96  
 Classical research methods, 266, 267*t*  
 Clickstream analysis, 265  
 Closed-ended questions, 120–121, 120*f*  
 Cloud-based services, 469  
 Coding  
     code structure, building, 309–311, 310*f*  
     definition, 303–304  
     emergent coding, 304–308  
     grounded theory, 304–308, 305*f*, 308*f*  
     priori coding, 304, 309  
     theoretical frameworks, 309  
 Cognitive disabilities, 493, 495  
     challenges, 512  
     participants, 511–512  
     visual Likert scale, 512, 512*f*  
 Cognitive walkthrough, 269  
 Completely Automated Public Turing  
     test to tell Computers and  
     Humans Apart (CAPTCHA),  
     426  
 Computerized data collection systems,  
     329, 330*f*  
 Computer-Supported Cooperative Work  
     (CSCW), 8  
 Conceptual mapping, 207  
 Confidentiality, 473  
 Construct/factorial validity, 315  
 Content analysis, 221  
     audience content, 301  
     categories of, 302*t*  
     coding schemes  
         code structure, building, 309–311,  
         310*f*  
         definition, 303–304  
         emergent coding, 304–308  
         grounded theory, 304–308, 305*f*,  
         308*f*  
         theoretical frameworks, 309  
     data set, 301–303  
     definition, 301  
     high-quality analysis, 314  
     reliability, 317–319, 318–319*t*

- subjective vs. objective coders, 320
  - validity, 314–316
- intracoder reliability, 317
- media content, 301
- text coding
  - ask questions about data, 312
  - comparisons of data, 313
  - iterating and refining, 314
  - look for key items, 311–312
  - record code, 313
- Contextual inquiry, 201*b*, 413–414
- Contextual interviews, 200–204
- Contingent question, 123–124, 123*f*
- Contribution types, HCI research, 2–3
- Correlation, 5, 88–90, 88–89*t*
- Counter-balancing, 34–35
- Criterion validity, 315
- Criterion variable, 91
- Critical-incident analysis, 223
- Crowdsourcing studies, 429
  - advantages, 434
  - disadvantages, 434–435
  - quality control measures for, 432–433, 433*t*
- Cultural sensitivity, 483–484
- Custom software, 346
  - instrumented software, 346–349, 347*b*
  - research software, 349–353, 349*b*
- D**
- DART. *See* Disruption and recovery tracker (DART)
- Data analysis, 171, 389–390
- Databases, 354–355
- Data-coding process, 314
- Data collection, 387–389
  - automation (*see* Automated data collection methods)
  - challenges, 387–389
  - logistics, 510–511
  - online data collection, 414–416
  - psychophysiology, 387–389
  - remote data collection, 505–506
- Data granularity, 390–391
- Data interpretation, 390–394
- Data management
  - handling stored data, 354–355
  - log files, 355–356, 357*f*
- Data minimization, 469
- Data mining, 356
- Data set
  - contributions, 3
  - sensitizing questions, 312
  - statements, 311, 312*t*
- Data storage, 469
- Data triangulation, 158
- Deceptive research, 478–480
- Default speed, 497–498
- Demographic data, 114–115, 115*b*
- Dependent variable, 30–32
- Descriptive research, 26–27, 27*t*
- Diary, 135
  - analysis of, 149–150
  - data collection, 145–146
  - elicitation diary, 143–145
  - entries, recording, 148*b*
  - feedback diary, 143–144
  - hybrid feedback, 145
  - naturalistic settings, 138
  - participants, 141–143, 148–149
  - recall, 138–139
  - strengths and weaknesses, 140
  - time diary, 135–136, 136*b*, 139–141, 144
  - types, 143–145
  - user-defined data, 140*t*
  - user frustration, 135–136, 136*b*
- Digital family portrait, 438–439, 438*f*
- Discourse analysis, 221
- Disruption and recovery tracker (DART), 344–345
- Distributed research, 505–506
- Documentation, 513–516
- Double-barreled question, 121
- Double experts, 459
- Dummy accounts. *See* Test accounts
- Dwell-time methods, 371

**E**

- Eastman Kodak Company, 273
- Electrocardiography (EKG), 384
- Electrodermal activity. *See* Galvanic skin response (GSR)
- Electroencephalography (EEG), 384–385, 385*f*, 396
- Electromyography (EMG), 384, 396
- Electronic medical records (EMRs), 374–375
- Elicitation diary, 143–145
- Email message, 461
- Email programs, 338, 346
- Embedded case study, 169–170
- Embodied virtuality, 437
- Emergent coding approach, 304.  
*See also* Grounded theory
- Emotional contagion, 476
- Empirical evaluation, 40*b*
- Empirical research, 2–3
- Ethnography, 230
  - in anthropological studies, 231
  - vs. case-study research, 232
  - complete observer, 239
  - complete participants, 238
  - contact, making, 242–243
  - deciding, 245
  - definition, 231
  - deviants, 242–243
  - educational settings, 250–251
  - face-to-face contact, 241
  - free-play activities, 250
  - healthcare settings, 249–250
  - home settings, 248–249
  - hospital information system, 234, 238
  - inductive focus, 231–232
  - informants, 243, 247
  - intensive-care information system, 236
  - interviewing, 243–247
  - iterative process, 246*f*
  - mobile and ubiquitous systems, 251–252
  - notes, taking, 245
  - observation techniques, 265
  - observing, 243–247
  - online dating, researching, 254*b*
  - participation, 231, 234–235, 237–241
  - participatory design, 266
  - physical therapy, 249–250
  - relationships, building, 241–242
  - repeating, 243–247
  - research, 235–248, 240*b*
  - researchers role, 240*f*
  - results, reporting, 247–248
  - site/group of interest, selecting, 236–237
  - situated action, 233
  - stranger-handlers, 242–243
  - theorizing, 243–247
  - timing, 245
  - triangulation, 232, 243–244
  - usability testing, 239
  - virtual ethnography, 252–256
  - work settings, 249–250
- European Commission's policies, 483
- Experimental design
  - between-group design, 49–52, 49*f*
  - characteristics, 45
  - choosing, 52–55
  - defining, 46*f*
  - dependent variables, 47
  - factorial design, 56–57, 56*t*, 58*b*
  - independent variables, 47
  - interaction effects, 58–59, 59*f*
  - issues, 46–47
  - procedures, 64–65
  - random errors, 59–60
  - single independent variable, 48–55
  - split-plot design, 57, 57*t*
  - structure, 47–48, 48*f*
  - systematic errors, 60–65
  - within-group design, 49–52, 50*f*
  - Wizard-of-Oz approach, 47
- Experimental research, 26–27, 27*t*
  - alternative hypothesis, 28–29
  - components of, 32–33
  - dependent and independent variables, 30
  - empirical evaluation, 40*b*

Hawthorne effect, 39–40  
 lab-based experiments, 39–40  
 limitations of, 39–40  
 null hypothesis, 28–29  
 randomization, 33–35, 33*t*  
 research hypotheses, 27–32  
 significance tests, 35–39  
   need of, 35–36  
   normal distribution curve, 36*f*  
   Type I and Type II errors, 36–39  
 theory vs. hypothesis, 28*b*  
 typical dependent variables, 31–32  
 typical independent variables, 30–31  
 Expert-based testing or evaluation, 268  
   cognitive walkthrough, 269  
   expert reviews, 268–270  
   guidelines review, 269–270  
   heuristics, 268–269  
   interface experts, 268  
   interface flaws, 268  
   Shneiderman's 8 golden rules, 268–269, 269*t*  
 Exploratory analysis, 127–128, 374  
 External validity, 172–173  
 Eye-gaze data, 371  
 Eye-tracking systems  
   applications, 371–376  
   dwell-time methods, 371  
   saccades, 370–371  
   smooth pursuits, 370–371  
   velocity-based methods, 371  
**F**  
 Face validity, 314–315  
 Factorial design, 56–57, 56*t*, 58*b*  
 Feedback diary, 143–144  
 FETCH system, 138  
 File systems, 338  
 Fitts' law, 28*b*  
 Focus groups, 187  
   and contextual interviews, 200–204  
   electronically mediated interviews and, 216–219  
   interviews vs., 204–206

Formative testing, 271–273  
 Friedman's two-way ANOVA test, 98–99, 99*t*  
*F* test, 87–88  
 Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), 385–386  
 Functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS), 385  
 Fun Sorter, 208–210

## G

Galvanic skin response (GSR), 383, 383*f*, 394–395  
 Global-positioning systems (GPS), 251  
 Google  
   Analytics, 355  
   Flu, 419, 420*b*  
   Glass, 439  
   user research van, 284–285, 284*f*  
   UX, 283, 283*b*  
 Grounded theory  
   advantages, 307–308  
   concepts and categories, 306  
   disadvantages, 307–308  
   vs. experimental research, 305*f*  
   grouping concepts into categories, 306–307  
   open and axial codes, 308*f*  
   open coding stage, 306  
   qualitative research method, 304–305  
   reverse-engineered hypothesis, 304–305  
   theory formulation, 307  
 GUI desktops, 338

## H

Hawthorne effect, 39–40, 478  
 High-quality data analysis, 314  
   reliability, 314, 317–319, 318–319*t*  
   subjective vs. objective coders, 320  
   validity, 314–316  
 History of HCI research, 1–2  
 Holistic case study, 169–170

- Homogeneity of variance, 87
- Human computation
  - advantages, 434–435
  - disadvantages, 434–435
  - future of, 435–436
  - software infrastructure, 431–432
  - tasks and study design, 432–434, 433*t*
- Human intelligence tasks, 425–426
- Human subjects
  - controlled experiments, 459
  - cultural differences, 457
  - degrees of confidence, 459
  - ethnographic studies, 457
  - expertise, 457
  - gender differences, 457–458
  - interfaces, 457
  - personal attributes, 456
  - potential participants, 455–463
    - and public trust, 484–485
  - recruiting participants, 460–463
  - research participants, 463–484
    - informed consent, 472–476
    - institutional review boards, 469–472
    - international concerns, 483–484
    - longitudinal studies, 480–481
    - populations with specific concerns, 482–483
    - potentially deceptive research, 478–480
    - privacy protection, 468–469
    - respect, 476–478
    - risks and concerns, 464–468
    - working with children, 481–482
  - usability studies, 459
- Hybrid data collection methods, 353–354
- I**
  - Incentives, 461
  - Independent variable, 30–31
  - Information and Communication
    - Technology for Development (ICT4D), 483–484
  - Informed consent, 424–425, 467*b*, 472–476
    - document, 472
    - form, 474, 475*f*
  - Institutional review boards (IRBs), 464, 469–472, 512–513
    - ANPRM, 471–472
    - approvals, 470
    - form, 514–516, 515*f*
    - informed consent form, 474, 475*f*
    - policies, 470–471
  - Instrumental case study, 165
  - Instrumentation software, 343, 346–349, 347*b*
  - Intellectual disabilities, 493
  - Interaction effects, 58–59, 59*f*
  - Interaction recording tools, 339, 345–346, 353
  - Intercept sampling, 116
  - Interdisciplinary research, 9–11
  - Interface flaws, 264–266
  - International Children’s Digital Library (ICDL), 167*b*
  - Interpretation session, 288–289
  - Interrater reliability, 223
  - Interventions, 508
  - Interviews, 25, 187
    - adaptable and flexible, 214
    - affinity diagrams, 202–203, 202*f*
    - applications, 189–196
    - cherry picking, 220
    - clarity and continuity, 214
    - closed question, 207
    - conceptual mapping, 207
    - content analysis, 221
    - contextual inquiry, 201*b*
    - contextual interviews, 200–204
    - critical-incident analysis, 223
    - data analyzing, 219–224
    - debriefing, 216
    - desktop information systems, 191
    - digital artifacts, 193–194
    - discourse analysis, 221
    - discussion, promoting, 215–216

electronically mediated interviews  
 and, 216–219  
 environmental concerns, 192*b*  
 evaluation and subjective reactions,  
 195–196  
 explicit understanding, 201  
 finding and reminding, 191*b*  
 focused interviews, 200–204  
 vs. focus groups, 204–206  
 fully structured interviews, 198  
 implicit practices, 201  
 informal analysis, 222  
 informant/nondirective interviews, 199  
 initial exploration, 189–193  
 interrater reliability, 223  
 interviews, 188  
 introduction, 213  
 key informants, 197  
 listening, 214–215  
 low-level questions, 195  
 navigation systems, 189  
 notes written, 220–221  
 novices, 222*b*  
 one-to-one setting, 204  
 online interviewing, 217–219  
 open-ended question, 207, 220  
 page-layout, 194  
 preparation, 210  
 pros and cons, 188–189  
 qualitative methods, 221  
 questionnaire tools, 209*f*  
 questions, types of, 206–210  
 rapport, 212–213  
 recording, responses, 211–212, 220  
 requirements gathering, 193–195  
 respondent interviews, 199  
 results, reporting, 223–224  
 secure web connection, 208*f*  
 semistructured interview, 198–200  
 software prototypes, 203  
 stakeholders, 196–198  
 structure, 198–200  
 telephone interviewing, 217  
 terminology, 214

unstructured interviews, 199–200  
 validity, 223  
 web-page-creation system, 194  
 Intracoder reliability, 317  
 Intrinsic case study, 165  
 In vivo code, 306  
 IRBs. *See* Institutional review boards  
 (IRBs)

## K

Key informants, 197  
 Key logging, 265, 288  
 Keystroke, 344–345, 355–356  
 Kinect sensors, 378  
 Kruskal-Wallis test, 98, 98*t*

## L

Lab-in-a-box, 391*b*, 393–394*f*  
 Latin Square Design, 34–35, 34*t*,  
 51–52, 54–55, 58  
 Life cycle, of HCI research, 14–15  
 Likert scale question, 93, 94*f*  
 Literal replication, 166  
 Log files, 354, 360  
 Logistics  
   communicating with potential  
     participants, 508–509  
   data collection, 510–511  
   documentation, 513–516  
   extra computer parts, 516–517  
   participants with cognitive  
     disabilities/intellectual  
     impairments, 511–513  
   payment, 517–518  
   pilot studies, 509–510  
 Longitudinal studies, 480–481, 506–507

## M

Machine learning technique, 356  
 Macro-HCI, xxi, 8  
 Mann-Whitney *U* test, 96–97, 96*t*  
 Mechanical Turk software toolkits,  
 431–432  
 Media content, 301, 321

Menu design, depth vs. breadth, [16b](#)  
 Measurement, of HCI research, [7–9](#)  
 Methodological considerations  
   distributed research, [505–506](#)  
   in-depth case studies, [506–507](#)  
   interventions, [508](#)  
   sample sizes, [504–505](#)  
   technical environment, [507–508](#)  
 Methodological contributions, [3](#)  
 Micro-diversions, [435](#)  
 Micro-HCI, [xxi](#), [8](#)  
 Milgram's experiment, [464b](#)  
 Mosaic down syndrome, [497](#)  
 Motion and position tracking  
   for large displays, [379](#)  
   muscular and skeletal position  
     sensing, [377–379](#)  
   for virtual environments, [379](#)  
 Motor disabilities, [493](#)  
 Motor impairments, [513–514](#)  
 Multidisciplinary community, [9–10](#)  
 Multimedia content analysis, [320](#), [322](#)  
   annotation approach, [321–322](#)  
   ChronoViz tool, [322](#)  
   human coders, [321](#)  
   machine learning, [321–322](#)  
   online search, [321](#)  
 Multiple-case study, [165–169](#)  
 Muscle tension, [384](#)  
 Muscular position sensing, [377–379](#)

## N

National Federation of the Blind (NFB),  
   [462](#)  
 NodeXL, [421](#)  
 Nomenclature, [309–310](#)  
 Nominal Group Technique (NGT), [206](#)  
 Nonprobabilistic sampling, [113–119](#)  
 Null hypothesis, [28–29](#)

## O

Observation techniques, [265](#)  
 One data-collection effort, [13–18](#)  
 Online activity, [418–421](#), [441–442](#)

Online analytical processing (OLAP),  
   [356](#)

Online research

  challenges  
     ethical concerns, [424–425](#)  
     recruiting, [422–423](#)  
     study design, [423–424](#)  
     topics, [422](#)  
   vs. lab-based studies, [415](#)  
   online communities, [416–417](#)  
   online data collection, [414–416](#)  
   online dating, [254b](#)  
   online interviewing, [217–219](#)

Online surveys, [124–126](#)

Open-ended questions, [119–120](#)

Opinion contribution, [3](#)

Oversampling, surveys, [115](#), [115b](#)

Over time, changes in, [3–7](#)

## P

Paper surveys, [124–126](#)

Participants

  ability, differing levels of, [497–499](#)  
   deaf/hard of hearing, [501–502](#)  
   direct interaction, [430](#)  
   inclusion criteria, [495–497](#), [496t](#)  
   moderate to severe speech  
     impairments, [502–503](#)  
   payment, [517–518](#)  
   people with disabilities, [493–522](#)  
   potential, [455–463](#)  
   proxy users, [503–504](#)  
   recruiting, [460–463](#)  
   research  
     informed consent, [472–476](#)  
     institutional review boards,  
       [469–472](#)  
     international concerns, [483–484](#)  
     longitudinal studies, [480–481](#)  
     populations with specific concerns,  
       [482–483](#)  
     potentially deceptive research,  
       [478–480](#)  
     privacy protection, [468–469](#)



- respect, 476–478
    - risks and concerns, 464–468
    - working with children, 481–482
  - rights, 474
  - Participatory design, 266
  - Pearson's *r* value, 88
  - Perceptual disabilities, 493
  - Phicons, 437
  - Physiological data
    - brain activity, 384–386, 385*f*
    - cardiovascular signals, 383–384
    - electrodermal activity, 383
    - muscle tension, 384
    - respiration, 384
  - Pilot study
    - logistics, 509–510
    - survey, 126–128
  - Policymaking, 13
  - Predictor variables, 91
  - Print disabilities, 513
  - Probabilistic sampling, 109–113, 116*b*
  - Proxy users, 503–504
  - Psychology-based HCI research, 11
  - Psychophysiology, 381
    - data analysis, 389–390
    - data collection, 387–389
    - data interpretation, 390–394
    - physiological data, 381–386
  - Public policy, 12–13
- Q**
- Qualitative data analysis
    - content analysis, 301–303, 302*t*
      - coding schemes, 303–311
      - high-quality analysis, 314–320
      - text coding, 311–314
    - goals of, 300
    - multimedia content analysis, 320–322
    - research design, 324*b*
    - stages of, 300
  - Questionnaire
    - closed-ended questions, 120–121, 120*f*
    - open-ended questions, 119–120
    - problems with, 121
  - Quinn-Bederson model, 432
- R**
- Random errors, 59–60
  - Randomization, 33–35, 33*t*
  - reCAPTCHA, 426, 427*f*, 428
  - Regression, 91–93, 92–93*t*
  - Relational research, 26–27, 27*t*
  - Reliability, 317–319, 318*t*
    - Cohen's Kappa, interpretation of, 319, 319*t*
    - stability and reproducibility, 317
  - Remote data collection, 505–506
  - Remote online usability, 414
  - Remote usability testing, 280, 281*t*
  - Replication, 13–14
  - Representative tasks, 268, 271
  - Representative users, 263
    - interface design, 268
    - user-based test, 268, 271
  - Researcher-denoted concepts, 306
  - Research hypotheses, 27–32
  - Research software, 349–353
    - children, reentry rates for, 352*f*
    - Fitts' Law, 349*b*
    - mouse control, 349*b*, 351*f*
  - Respecting participants
    - practical issues, 478
    - study design, 476–477
  - Respiration, 384
  - Retrospective session. *See* Interpretation session
- S**
- Saccades, 370–371
  - Sample sizes, 504–505
  - Screen-capture, 345
  - Screen reader user, 498
  - Semistructured interview, 198–200
  - Sensor(s), 436
  - Sensors and ubiquitous computing
    - history, 437–439
    - research methods, 439–441

- Shneiderman's 8 golden rules of
  - interface design, 268–269, 269*t*
- Sign language, 501–502
- Simulated impairments, 500
- Simultaneous vs. sequential menus, 335, 336*b*, 336–337*f*
- Single case study, 165–169
- Single independent variable, 48–55
- Situated action, 233
- Skeletal position sensing, 377–379
- Smart Citizen Kit, 439
- Smartwatches, 377–378
- Smileyometer, 208–210
- Social media, 418
- Social networking, 142
  - tools, 338
- Sociology-based HCI research, 11
- Software-driven randomization, 34
- Software Psychology* (Shneiderman), 1–2
- Spinal cord injuries (SCI), 508–510
- Split-plot design, ANOVA for, 76, 85–87, 86*t*
- SPSS
  - data, organizing, 74
  - independent-samples *t* test, 78
  - one-way ANOVA, 80–82
  - paired-samples *t* test, 79
  - regression, 92
  - repeated measures ANOVA, 84
  - split-plot design, ANOVA for, 86–87
- Squid proxy server, 341
- Standard deviation, 75
- Stanford prison experiment, 466*b*
- Statistical analysis
  - ANOVA, 80–87
  - central tendency, measures, 74–75
  - correlation, 88–90, 88–89*t*
  - data
    - cleaning, 72–73
    - coding, 73–74
    - demographic data, 73*t*
    - organizing, 74
    - preparation for, 71–74
  - F* test, 87–88
  - means, comparing, 76–77, 77*t*
  - nonparametric statistical tests, 93–100
  - parametric tests, 76, 94
  - regression, 91–93, 92–93*t*
  - spread, measures, 75–76
  - t* test, 77–80, 87–88
  - type I and type II errors, 36–39
- Stored application data, 338–339
- Stratification, 111, 112*b*
- Subjective vs. objective coders, 320
- Summative testing, 273
- Survey(s)
  - approach, 25
  - benefits and drawbacks, 106–107
  - computer usage patterns, 118*b*
  - contingent question, 123–124, 123*f*
  - contributions, 3
  - data analysis, 129
  - defining the population frame, 108–109
  - definition, 105
  - demographic data, 114–115, 115*b*
  - do not call list, 108–109
  - errors, 113
  - existing, 124
  - goals, 108–109
  - Internet in public libraries, 111*b*
  - listing, 108–109
  - nonprobabilistic sampling, 113–119
  - online, 124–126
  - oversampling, 115, 115*b*
  - paper, 124–126
  - photo tagging and sharing behaviors, 107*b*
  - pilot study, 126–128
  - probabilistic sampling, 109–113, 116*b*
  - questionnaire, 106, 119–121
  - random sampling, 110, 110*b*, 116
  - response rate, 128–129
  - response size, 112–113
  - self-selected surveys, 116–117
  - stratification, 111, 112*b*

- structure, 122–124
- targeted users, 108–109
- tools, 124*t*
- uninvestigated populations, 117–119
- US census, 110*b*
- web-based survey, 122

Survey contributions, 3

Sweeps, 373

Systematic errors. *See* Biases

## T

Tangible Bits, 437

Task list, 286–288

Task performance, measurement of, 265, 288

Taxonomies. *See* Theoretical frameworks

Technical environment, 507–508

Telephone interviewing, 217

Test accounts, 286–287

Text coding
 

- ask questions about data, 312
- code recording, 313
- comparisons of data, 313
- iterating and refining, 314
- look for key items, 311–312

Theoretical contributions, 3

Theoretical frameworks, 309

Theoretical replication, 166

Think-aloud studies, 272, 288–289, 291–292, 345

Time diary, 135–136, 136*b*, 139–141, 144

Time performance, measurement of, 265, 288

Trade-offs, 18–19

Transportation, 510
 

- Triangulation, 13–14
  - data triangulation, 158
  - ethnography, 232, 243–244
  - qualitative data, 316

*T* test
 

- assumptions, 87–88
- hypothesis of, 77

- independent-samples, 78, 78*t*
- interpretation, 79
- paired-samples, 78–79, 79*t*
- two-tailed and one-tailed, 80

Twitter, 419

Type I and Type II errors, 36–39

## U

Ubiquitous computing research, 436–441, 437*f*

Unit of analysis, 169

Universal design approach, 499

Unstructured interviews, 199–200

Usability engineering, 267, 293

Usability inspections. *See* Expert-based testing

Usability testing, 25, 239, 268, 271
 

- vs.* classical research methods, 267*t*
- definition, 263
- example of usability barrier, 264–265
  - at Fidelity Investments, 291*b*
- high-fidelity prototypes, 272
- interface flaw, 264–265
- interventions, 287–288
- Kodak website, 273, 273*b*
- Leescircus, 289*b*
- locations for, 276–286, 277–278*f*
- low-fidelity prototypes, 271–272
- making sense of data, 292–293
- measurement, 288–290
- moderators, 274, 287–288, 291–292
- multi-user usability lab, 278*f*
- observation techniques, 265
- paper prototypes, 271–272
- report, splitting, 293
- single-user usability lab, 278*f*
- stages of, 274–275, 274*t*
- summative test, 272–273
- task list, 286–288
- technology probes, 293–294
- testing session, 290–292
- traditional research, 265–267, 267*t*
- types, 267, 271–274
- usability flaws, 264*f*, 275–276

Usability testing (*Continued*)  
 usability laboratory, portable, 277*f*, 279  
 user populations, 272, 276  
 validation test, 272  
 variations on, 293–294  
 User-based test. *See* Usability testing  
 User Experience (UX), 283*b*  
 User research, 263, 265, 281, 284, 284*f*,  
 294–295  
 User satisfaction, 265, 288  
 UX Researchers and Accessibility,  
 281–282

## V

Validity, 314–316  
 construct/factorial validity, 315  
 criterion validity, 315  
 database construction, 315  
 face validity, 314–315  
 Virtual ethnography, 252–256

## W

Wald-Wolfowitz runs test, 96  
 Wall-sized display, 379, 380*f*  
 Web Accessibility Initiative, 269–270  
 Web access logs, 333  
 Web bookmarks, 338  
 Web browser  
 caches, 335

Firefox proxy configuration, 342*f*  
 log entries, 342*f*  
 Webcam video, 413  
 Web Content Accessibility Guidelines  
 (WCAG), 269–270  
 Web log analysis, 330–331, 415  
 empirical studies, 335–338  
 log contents, 331–333, 332*f*  
 simultaneous vs. sequential menus,  
 336*b*, 336–337*f*  
 web usability/design research,  
 333–335  
 Web log contents, 331–333, 332*f*  
 Web proxies, 339–344, 342*f*  
 WebQuilt visualizations, 357*f*  
 Web server logs, 334–335  
 Web usability/design research, 333–335  
 Wii remote, 377  
 Wilcoxon signed-rank test, 96–97, 97*t*  
 Within-group design, 49–52, 50*f*  
 advantages and disadvantages, 51–52,  
 52*t*  
 vs. between-group design, 52  
 choosing, 54–55  
 Wizard-of-Oz method, 47, 294  
 Workload, 375

## Y

Yahoo User Night, 281*b*