

Capítulo 4

Problem 5

5. Let $\{X_t\}$ be an HMM on m states.

(a) Suppose the state-dependent distributions are binomial. More precisely, assume that

$$\Pr(X_t = x \mid C_t = j) = \binom{n_t}{x} p_j^x (1 - p_j)^{n_t - x}.$$

Find the value for p_j that will maximize the third term of equation (4.12). (This is needed in order to carry out the M step of EM for a binomial-HMM.)

(b) Now suppose instead that the state-dependent distributions are exponential, with means $1/\lambda_j$. Find the value for λ_j that will maximize the third term of equation (4.12).

Solución

(a) Ecuación (4.12): Complete-Data Log-Likelihood.

$$\log \Pr(\mathbf{x}^{(T)}, C^{(T)}) = \sum_{j=1}^m u_j(1) \log \delta_j + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{t=2}^T v_{jk}(t) \right) \log \gamma_{jk} + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) \log p_j(x_t)$$

Indicadores:

$$u_j(t) = 1 \iff C_t = j \quad (t = 1, 2, \dots, T), \quad v_{jk}(t) = 1 \iff C_{t-1} = j, C_t = k \quad (t = 2, \dots, T).$$

En el paso E del algoritmo EM se reemplaza $u_j(t)$ por $\hat{u}_j(t) = \Pr(C_t = j \mid \mathbf{x}^{(T)})$, es decir $\hat{u}_j(t) = \alpha_t(j)\beta_t(j)/L_T$

Para distribución **binomial** dependiente del estado:

$$p_j(x_t) = \binom{n_t}{x_t} p_j^{x_t} (1 - p_j)^{n_t - x_t}.$$

El tercer término (para el estado j) queda

$$\ell_j = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) \log (p_j(x_t)).$$

Maximizar (*para un j fijo*), sustituyendo $p_j(x_t)$:

$$\ell_j = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) \left[\log \binom{n_t}{x_t} + x_t \log p_j + (n_t - x_t) \log(1 - p_j) \right].$$

Notas: $\hat{u}_j(t)$ y $\log \binom{n_t}{x_t}$ son constantes en p_j .

Derivada:

$$\frac{\partial \ell_j}{\partial p_j} = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) \left(\frac{x_t}{p_j} - \frac{n_t - x_t}{1 - p_j} \right) = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) \frac{x_t - (n_t p_j)}{p_j(1 - p_j)} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) x_t = p_j \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) n_t.$$

Por lo tanto,

$$p_j = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) x_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) n_t}$$

Prueba de la segunda derivada:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell_j}{\partial p_j^2} = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) \left(-\frac{x_t}{p_j^2} - \frac{n_t - x_t}{(1 - p_j)^2} \right) = - \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) \left(\frac{x_t}{p_j^2} + \frac{n_t - x_t}{(1 - p_j)^2} \right) < 0,$$

\Rightarrow es un máximo.

(b) Caso exponencial: $p_j(x_t) = \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j x_t}$, $x_t \geq 0$.

Entonces

$$\ell_j = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) \log p_j(x_t) = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) (\log \lambda_j - \lambda_j x_t).$$

Derivada:

$$\frac{\partial \ell_j}{\partial \lambda_j} = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} - x_t \right) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) x_t.$$

$$\lambda_j = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t)}{\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_j(t) x_t}$$

Prueba de la segunda derivada:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell_j}{\partial \lambda_j^2} = - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\hat{u}_j(t)}{\lambda_j^2} < 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{es un máximo.}$$

Capítulo 5

Problema 5

5. (Bivariate forecast distributions for HMMs.)

- (a) Find the joint distribution of X_{T+1} and X_{T+2} , given $\mathbf{X}^{(T)}$, in as simple a form as you can.
- (b) For the earthquakes data, find $\Pr(X_{T+1} \leq 10, X_{T+2} \leq 10 | \mathbf{X}^{(T)})$.

Solución

$\Pr(X_{T+1} = x_{T+1}, X_{T+2} = x_{T+2} | \mathbf{X}^{(T)} = \mathbf{x}^{(T)})$. Usando la forma matricial de la verosimilitud y cancelando el denominador:

$$\Pr(X_{T+1}, X_{T+2} | \mathbf{X}^{(T)}) = \frac{\Pr(\mathbf{X}^{(T+2)})}{\Pr(\mathbf{X}^{(T)})} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{P}(x_1)\Gamma \cdots \Gamma \mathbf{P}(x_T) \Gamma \mathbf{P}(x_{T+1}) \Gamma \mathbf{P}(x_{T+2}) \mathbf{1}}{\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{P}(x_1)\Gamma \cdots \Gamma \mathbf{P}(x_T) \mathbf{1}}.$$

Denotemos

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_T = \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{P}(x_1)\Gamma \cdots \Gamma \mathbf{P}(x_T), \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}_T = \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_T}{\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_T \mathbf{1}}$$

Entonces la forma matricial queda

$$\boxed{\Pr(X_{T+1} = x_{T+1}, X_{T+2} = x_{T+2} | \mathbf{X}^{(T)} = \mathbf{x}^{(T)}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}_T \Gamma \mathbf{P}(x_{T+1}) \Gamma \mathbf{P}(x_{T+2}) \mathbf{1}}.$$

Notación: $\mathbf{P}(x) = \text{diag}(\rho_1(x), \dots, \rho_m(x))$ con $\rho_j(x) = \Pr(X = x | C = j)$.

Si además definimos

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}(1) = \boldsymbol{\phi}_T \Gamma \text{ (vector fila)},$$

podemos escribir la forma elemento a elemento como

$$\Pr(X_{T+1} = x_{T+1}, X_{T+2} = x_{T+2} | \mathbf{X}^{(T)} = \mathbf{x}^{(T)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \underbrace{\hat{E}_i(1)}_{(\boldsymbol{\phi}_T \Gamma)_i} \rho_i(x_{T+1}) \gamma_{ij} \rho_j(x_{T+2}).$$

(b) Para la distribución bivariada acumulada $\Pr(X_{T+1} \leq x, X_{T+2} \leq y | \mathbf{X}^{(T)})$, reemplazamos las matrices de emisión puntuales por acumuladas:

$$\mathbf{F}(x) = \text{diag}(F_1(x), \dots, F_m(x)), \quad F_j(x) = \Pr(X \leq x | C = j).$$

Por la misma cuenta matricial,

$$\Pr(X_{T+1} \leq x, X_{T+2} \leq y | \mathbf{X}^{(T)} = \mathbf{x}^{(T)}) = \phi_T \Gamma \mathbf{F}(x) \Gamma \mathbf{F}(y) \mathbf{1}$$

Para los datos de earthquakes, tenemos

$$\boxed{\Pr(X_{T+1} \leq 10, X_{T+2} \leq 10 | \mathbf{X}^{(T)}) = \phi_T \Gamma \mathbf{F}(10) \Gamma \mathbf{F}(10) \mathbf{1} = 0.0508059}.$$

Obtenido al calcular numéricamente en R.