

# Leverage based sampling for classification

Julian Kopka Larsen and Jesper Løve Hinrich .  
*Supervisor* Lars Kai Hansen

DTU Compute · Technical University of Denmark  
Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark Copenhagen N, Denmark


## Abstract

We validate the results of leverage based sampling for LS-regression, shown by Ma et al. [1]. We explore the possibility of using the leverage based sampling distribution from LS-regression on 2 class classification, and introduce a new approach for sampling from an leverage distribution (important points).

## Motivation

The importance of sampling methods is initiated by very large datasets where it is not feasible to use all of the available data. This is illustrated by the rise in online access to video data. These data contain many frames that are basically the same and therefore redundant.


## Concept



## Research Questions

- Will the regression based sampling distribution improve our performance in classification?
- Can leverage based sampling be generalized to classification?

## Datasets



These datasets are drawn from distributions defined in Ma et al. [1] and have:


- GA: Nearly uniform leverage-scores

## Leveraging in for least squares regression

For any leveraging we want to find for each datapoint a leverage-score representing the importance of the point. This we can normalize into a distribution to sample from.

## Validation of the results Ma et al.

We have empirically tested and validated the results shown by Ma et al. [1].



Figur 2: Lal di la

## LS-based Distribution

We seek to find the effect that a datapoint's class has on the predicted class for that datapoint.

$$\frac{\delta \hat{y}_n}{\delta y_n} \quad (1)$$

There is a closed form solution which is linear in  $y$


$$\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = \left( X^T X \right)^{-1} X^T y \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{y}_n = X_n * \hat{\beta}$$

Therefore the leverage-score (1) is the coefficient

$$\frac{\delta \hat{y}_n}{\delta y_n} = X \left( X^T X \right)^{-1} X^T$$

## Test Results

We compared logistic regression when sampling from a LS-distribution (blue) vs. a uniform-distribution (red). The mean, 25th and 75th quantile are plotted.



Figur 3: Lal di la

## Sensitivity Based Distribution

It clearly shows that a LS-distribution sample scheme, does not outperform a uniform-distribution for classification. The results shown are for dimension  $p = 10$  and  $N = 1000$  datapoints, but it is consistent when varying  $p$  and  $N$ .

Vi generalisere til noget andet, og derfor kan WLS ikke bruges, sra vi laver bare LS. The target is again (1)

$$\hat{y}_n = p(y|\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \quad \bar{w} \text{ s.t. } \frac{\delta L}{\delta \bar{w}} = 0$$

Since depends both directly and indirectly on  $y$  we see that


$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\delta}{\delta y} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \bar{w}} = 0 \\ \Downarrow \\ & \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta y \delta \bar{w}} + \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^T} \frac{\delta \bar{w}}{\delta y} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and from this we can get our leverage-score (1)

$$\frac{\delta \hat{y}_n}{\delta y_n} = \frac{\delta p(y|\bar{x}_n, \bar{w})}{\delta \bar{w}^T} \frac{\delta \bar{w}}{\delta y} = - \frac{\delta p(y|\bar{x}_n, \bar{w})}{\delta \bar{w}^T} \left[ \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^T} \right]^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta y \delta \bar{w}}$$

When evaluating this model, weights trained on a small training-set is used. This is expected to be better than LS-based sampling since it introduces dependence on class information.

## Test results



Figur 4: Det virker ikke, øv :(

## Conclusion

The LS-based leverage sampling gives no advantage over uniform sampling and generally performs worse. LS-distribution is based on what is important for linear regression, it does not have an advantage in finding important points for classification.

## References

## Litteratur

- [1] Ma et al. A statistical perspective on algorithmic leveraging. *arXiv:1306.5362v1 [stat.ME]*, June 2013.