# Draft

## 7. maj 2014

## 1 The derivative of prediction or Sensitivity

We wish to find the effect that a datapoint's class has on the predicted class for that datapoint.

$$\frac{\delta \hat{Y}_n}{\delta Y_n} \tag{1.1}$$

Our prediction is

$$\hat{Y}_n = p(y|\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\bar{w}$  is subject to

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \bar{w}} = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

Which means that we have found a locally optimal solution.

We now assume that when we move y by a small amount  $\delta y$  then 1.3 still holds. (can we do this with a discrete y?)

Essentially assuming some smoothness around the optimum.

Using this and the fact that 1.3 depends both directly and indirectly on y we see that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta}{\delta y} \frac{\delta L}{\delta w} &= 0 \\ \Downarrow \\ \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta y \delta \bar{w}} + \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^T} \frac{\delta \bar{w}}{\delta y} &= 0 \end{split}$$

and from this we can isolate

$$\frac{\delta \bar{w}}{\delta y} = -\left[\frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^T}\right]^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta y \delta \bar{w}}$$
(1.4)

Rewriting 1.1 we get

$$\frac{\delta \hat{Y}_n}{\delta Y_n} = \frac{\delta p(y|\bar{x}, \bar{w})}{\delta Y_n} = \frac{\delta p(y|\bar{x}, \bar{w})}{\delta \bar{w}^T} \frac{\delta \bar{w}}{\delta y}$$
(1.5)

And inserting 1.4

$$\frac{\delta p(y|\bar{x},\bar{w})}{\delta \bar{w}^T} \frac{\delta \bar{w}}{\delta y} = -\frac{\delta p(y|\bar{x},\bar{w})}{\delta \bar{w}^T} \left[ \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^T} \right]^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta y \delta \bar{w}}$$
(1.6)

## 2 Randomised algorithm

Uncertainty based on asymptotic likelihood and  $\bar{w}$ -distribution Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$  be the log-likelihood function for a distribution, now let  $\mathcal{L}_N$  denote the log-likelihood function based on N observations from this distribution. Furthermore, let N be a large number, for which  $L_N \approx L_{\infty}$ .

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ell_n \qquad \bar{w} \, s.t. \, \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \bar{w}} = \bar{0}$$
 (2.1)

Where  $\ell_n$  is the log-likelihood of the  $n^{th}$  observation. And  $\bar{w}$  is the optimal **(true?)** weights for the distribution, then we combine the expressions from (2.1), such that for the optimal weights the following must be fulfilled:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\delta \ell_n}{\delta \bar{w}} = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

(Skal vi lige skrive lidt om at  $\Delta w = w - w_0$  og er en lille forskydelse i vægtene? Eller er det en lille forskydelse?) For each of the N observations, we can approximate the log-likelihood of the  $n^{th}$  observation as:

$$\ell_n(\Delta \bar{w}) = \ell_n(\bar{w}_0) + \left. \frac{\delta \ell_n}{\delta \bar{w}} \right|_{\bar{w}_0} \Delta \bar{w} + \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ \left. \frac{\delta \ell_n}{\delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^T} \right|_{\bar{w}_0} \Delta \bar{w} \Delta \bar{w}^T \right]$$
(2.3)

Or for the entire log-likelihood function:

$$\mathcal{L}_{N}(\Delta \bar{w}) = \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\bar{w}_{0}) + \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{N}}{\delta \bar{w}}\Big|_{\bar{w}_{0}}\right)^{T} \cdot \Delta \bar{w} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \bar{w}^{T} \left(\frac{\delta^{2} \mathcal{L}_{N}}{\delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^{T}}\Big|_{\bar{w}_{0}}\right) \Delta \bar{w} + R$$
(2.4)

Where R is the error of the approximation. Furthermore, we define the functions  $\bar{\bar{H}}_N = \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{L}_N}{\delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^T} \Big|_{\bar{w}_0}$ , and  $\bar{g} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_N}{\delta \bar{w}} \Big|_{\bar{w}_0}$ . And evaluate the condition on  $\bar{w}$ , stated in (2.1):

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}}{\delta \bar{w}} = \bar{g}_N + \bar{\bar{H}}_N \Delta \bar{w} = \bar{0}$$
 (2.5)

We replace  $\Delta \bar{w}$  with  $\hat{\Delta w}$  as N is a finite number, thus only approximating  $\Delta \bar{w}$ . Isolating  $\hat{\Delta w}$ , and using Ljung [REFERENCE?], we get:

$$\hat{\Delta w} = -\bar{\bar{H}}_N^{-1} \cdot \bar{g}_N \stackrel{Ljung}{=} -\bar{\bar{H}}_0^{-1} \cdot \bar{g}_{\bar{w}} \left(\bar{w}_0\right) \tag{2.6}$$

Technical University of Denmark

(Forklaring af at  $H_0$  er uafhængig af datasæt, mens g nu er afhængig af w evalueret i  $w_0$ ) Besides getting an estimate for  $\Delta \hat{w}$ , we can find the mean of the distribution:

$$\left\langle \hat{\Delta w} \right\rangle = -\bar{\bar{H}}_0^{-1} \left\langle \bar{g}_w \right\rangle (\bar{w}_0) = 0$$

As  $\delta \bar{w} = \bar{w} - \bar{w}_0$  ??mistet tråden?

#### 2.1 Covariance of $\bar{w}$ - distribution

$$\left\langle \delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^T \right\rangle_{D_N} = \left\langle \bar{\bar{H}}^{-1} \bar{g} \bar{g}^T \bar{\bar{H}}^{-1} \right\rangle \stackrel{Ljung}{=} \bar{\bar{H}}_0^{-1} \left\langle \bar{g} \bar{g}^T \right\rangle \bar{\bar{H}}_0^{-1} + R' \tag{2.7}$$

With error  $R' = O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \approx 0$ , for large N. We look at the covariance of the gradient function

$$\langle \bar{g}\bar{g}^{T}\rangle_{N} = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n,n'=1}^{N} \left\langle \frac{\delta\ell_{n}}{\delta_{n}\bar{w}} \Big|_{\bar{w}_{0}} \frac{\delta\ell_{n'}}{\delta_{n}\bar{w}} \Big|_{\bar{w}_{0}} \right\rangle 
= \frac{1}{N^{2}} \left( \sum_{n \neq n'} \left\langle \frac{\delta\ell_{n}}{\delta\bar{w}} \Big|_{\bar{w}_{0}} \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \frac{\delta\ell_{n'}}{\delta\bar{w}^{T}} \Big|_{\bar{w}_{0}} \right\rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\langle \frac{\delta\ell_{n}}{\delta\bar{w}} \Big|_{\bar{w}_{0}} \frac{\delta\ell_{n}}{\delta\bar{w}^{T}} \Big|_{\bar{w}_{0}} \right\rangle \right)$$
(2.8)

Due to the assumption of independence, only the N diagonal elements are non-zero. So;

$$\langle \bar{g}\bar{g}^T \rangle_N = \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \bar{w}} \Big|_{\bar{w}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \bar{w}^T} \Big|_{\bar{w}_0} \right\rangle$$
 (2.10)

# **2.2** Proof that $\left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \bar{w}} \Big|_{\bar{w}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \bar{w}^T} \Big|_{\bar{w}_0} \right\rangle = \bar{\bar{H}}_0$

Tekst test

$$\left\langle \bar{g}\bar{g}^{T}\right\rangle_{N} = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left. \frac{\delta\ell_{n}(\bar{x})}{\delta\bar{w}} \right|_{\bar{w}_{0}} \left. \frac{\delta\ell_{n}(\bar{x})}{\delta\bar{w}} \right|_{\bar{w}_{0}} p(\bar{x})\delta x \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.10) and (2.11), and setting  $\ell_n(\bar{x}) = p(\bar{x})$ :

$$\bar{\bar{H}}\Big|_{\bar{w}_0} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{w} \delta \bar{w}^T} - \log p(\bar{x}|\bar{w}) p(\bar{x}) \delta x$$
 (2.12)

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} -\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{w}} \frac{1}{p(\bar{x})} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{w}^{T}} p(\bar{x}|\bar{w}) p(\bar{x}) \delta \bar{x}$$
 (2.13)

(2.14)

Now if  $p(\bar{x}|\bar{w}_0) = p(x)$ , then

Technical University of Denmark

### 2.3 Uncertainty of prediction

For a number of weight-vectors  $\bar{w}$ , we take the mean of predictions based on these weight-vectors;

$$\langle p(y|\bar{x},\bar{w})\rangle \approx p(y|\bar{x},\hat{w}) = p(y|\bar{x},\mathbf{E}(\bar{w}))$$
 (2.15)

We now look at a small change in the prediction  $\Delta p$ , caused by a change of  $\Delta \bar{w}$  in true weight vector  $\bar{w}_0$ .

$$\Delta p = p(y|\bar{x}, \bar{w}_0 + \Delta \bar{w}) - p(y|\bar{x}, \bar{w}_0) \approx \left. \frac{\delta p}{\delta \bar{w}} \right|_{w_0} \cdot \Delta \bar{w}$$
 (2.16)

The variance of  $\Delta p$ , can then be computed as

$$\left\langle (\Delta p)^2 \right\rangle = Tr \left[ \frac{\delta p}{\delta \bar{w}} \left( \frac{\delta p}{\delta \bar{w}} \right)^T \left\langle \Delta \bar{w} \Delta \bar{W}^T \right\rangle \right] = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{\delta p}{\delta \bar{w}} \right)^T \bar{\bar{H}}^{-1} \frac{\delta p}{\delta \bar{w}} \quad (2.17)$$

#### **2.3.1** For a linear model with known $\sigma^2$

The prediction in a linear model is:

$$p(y|\bar{x}, \bar{w}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{(y - f(\bar{x}||\bar{w})}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(2.18)

Where y is the target and  $f(\bar{x}||\bar{w})$  is the prediction. Differentiating (??) with respect to  $\bar{w}$ : (Hvorfor er det vi gør det??)

$$\frac{\delta p}{\delta w} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(y - f(\bar{x}|\bar{w})^2}{2\sigma^2}} - (y - f(\bar{x}|\bar{w})\frac{\delta f(\bar{x}|\bar{w})}{\delta \bar{w}}$$
(2.19)

We let  $y = f(\bar{x}|\bar{w}) + \epsilon$ . (Targets kan beskrives som en approximativ funktion + en fejl ..)

$$\frac{\delta p}{\delta \bar{w}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2} \epsilon^2}}_{\text{const. w.r.t. } \bar{x}} \underbrace{\frac{\delta f(\bar{x}|\bar{w})}{\delta \bar{w}}^T \bar{\bar{H}}_0^{-1} \frac{\delta f(\bar{x}|\bar{w})}{\delta \bar{w}}}_{0}$$
(2.20)