This form documents the artifacts associated with the article (i.e., the data and code supporting the computational findings) and describes how to reproduce the findings.

Part 1: Data

| This paper | does n | not involve | analysis o | f external | data | (i.e., | no | data | are | ${\it used}$ | or | the | only | data | are |
|-------------|---------|-------------|------------|------------|-------|--------|----|------|-----|--------------|----|-----|------|------|-----|
| generated b | y the a | uthors via | simulation | in their c | ode). | | | | | | | | | | |

☑ I certify that the author(s) of the manuscript have legitimate access to and permission to use the data used in this manuscript.

Abstract

Availability

 \square Data **are** publicly available.

☐ Data **cannot be made** publicly available.

If the data are publicly available, see the *Publicly available data* section. Otherwise, see the *Non-publicly available data* section, below.

Publicly available data

| \boxtimes | Oata are available online at: Michael W.~McCracken's website (https://research.stlouisfed.org/econ/ | m |
|-------------|---|---|
| | cracken/fred-databases/). We used the May 2022 version of FRED-MD. | |

| X | Data | are | available | as pa | art of | f the | paper | s sı | upplementar | у | material: | We include | $FRED-MD_{-}$ | _2022- |
|---|------|-------|------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------------|---|-------------|------------|---------------|--------|
| | 05_p | repro | ocessed.cs | v (pre | e-proc | essed | data) | and | l FRED-MD | 2 | 2022-05.csv | (raw data) | | |

□ Data are publicly available by request, following the process described here:

□ Data are or will be made available through some other mechanism, described here:

Non-publicly available data

Description

File format(s)

 \boxtimes CSV or other plain text.

□ Software-specific binary format (.Rda, Python pickle, etc.): pkcle

□ Standardized binary format (e.g., netCDF, HDF5, etc.):

 \square Other (please specify):

Data dictionary

 \square Provided by authors in the following file(s):

☐ Data file(s) is(are) self-describing (e.g., netCDF files)

☑ Available at the following URL: https://research.stlouisfed.org/econ/mccracken/fred-databases/.

Additional Information (optional)

Part 2: Code

Abstract

The main functions are lassoVAR.R and sqrtLassoVAR.R, which are designed to estimate a VAR by weighted Lasso, post-Lasso and sqrt-Lasso going equation by equation. The basic inputs are a time series dataset

(expecting: time as rows, series as columns) and the number of lags used in the autoregression (default: one). To get the weighted lasso, call fit <- lassoVAR(data = data, q = 1, post = FALSE), with post-Lasso arising from post = TRUE (default). The call for sqrt-Lasso is analogous. The basic outputs are the estimated coefficients (a matrix) and intercepts (a vector; included by default), which are extracted as fit\$that and fit\$intr, respectively. These functions rely on helper_functions.R. The main and helper functions are used in the simulation study and empirical illustration in the paper. The simulations are executed via runSim_v03.R, which calls on simData.R for the different simulation designs. The empirical illustration is conducted via FRED-MD_forecasting_v02.R.

Description

| $\operatorname{Code} \ \operatorname{format}(\operatorname{s})$ |
|--|
| Script files ⋈ R □ Python ⋈ Matlab □ Other: |
| □ Package □ R □ Python □ MATLAB toolbox □ Other: |
| □ Reproducible report □ R Markdown □ Jupyter notebook □ Other: □ Shell script |
| \Box Other (please specify): |
| Supporting software requirements |
| Version of primary software used R version 4.4.1. |
| Libraries and dependencies used by the code For calling main functions: glmnet (4.1.8), MASS (7.3.60.2), Matrix (1.7.0), stats (4.4.1). |
| For simulating data: Matrix (1.7.0), mytnorm (1.3.2). |
| For parallel computing in simulation study/empirical illustration: doRNG $(1.8.6)$, doParallel $(1.0.17)$, for each $(1.5.1)$. |
| Supporting system/hardware requirements (optional) |
| The simulations and empirical illustration were run on a Linux server with 88 CPUs and 125 GB of RAM. |
| Parallelization used |
| □ No parallel code used ⋈ Multi-core parallelization on a single machine/node - Number of cores used: The main functions lassoVAR.R and sqrtLassoVAR.R involve no paral lelization. The simulations and empirical illustration were run on a Linux server with 88 CPUs and 125 GB of RAM. □ Multi-machine/multi-node parallelization - Number of nodes and cores used: |

| License |
|---|
| ⊠ MIT License (default) |
| \square BSD \square GPL v3.0 |
| ☐ Creative Commons |
| \square Other: (please specify) |
| Additional information (optional) |
| Part 3: Reproducibility workflow |
| Workflow |
| To reproduce the simulations: Execute runSim_v03.R to run the main text simulations and markupDependence_v01.R for the mark-up dependence simulations, specifically. To have access to assoVAR.R and sqrtLassoVAR.R, these scripts must be executed from the parent folder. Use setwd("") to back up. When executed in a Linux environment, the script will automatically save the workspaces in the simulations folder. If a different environment is used, the workspace must be saved manually (via same.image()). The figures included in the paper arise from calling the scripts createFigs_v06.R and markupDependenceFigs_v02.R from their folder, which load the relevant workspace from the simulations older and produce figures in the simulations/img folder. |
| To reproduce the empirical illustration: Execute FRED-MD_forecasting_v02.R (from the parent older via setwd("/")) to conduct the forecasting exercise. The script loads the pre-processed data from the application/FRED/data folder and automatically saves the workspace (if in Linux). Rur FRED-MD_figures_v02.R to produce the figures in the empirical illustration in the application/FRED/improduce. |
| Scope |
| The provided workflow reproduces: |
| □ Any numbers provided in text in the paper □ The computational method(s) presented in the paper (i.e., code is provided that implements the method(s)) ☑ All tables and figures in the paper □ Selected tables and figures in the paper, as explained and justified below: |
| Location |
| The workflow is available: |
| ☐ As part of the paper's supplementary material. |
| ☐ In this Git repository: ☐ Other (please specify): |
| $\operatorname{Format}(\mathbf{s})$ |
| ☐ Single master code file |
| ☐ Wrapper (shell) script(s) |
| ⊠ Self-contained R Markdown file, Jupyter notebook, or other literate programming approach □ Text file (e.g., a readme-style file) that documents workflow □ Makefile |

 \Box Other (more detail in ${\it Instructions}$ below)

Instructions

Expected run-time

| Appro | eximate time needed to reproduce the analyses on a standard desktop machine: |
|-------|---|
| | < 1 minute |
| | 1-10 minutes |
| | 10-60 minutes |
| | 1-8 hours |
| | > 8 hours |
| | Not feasible to run on a desktop machine, as described here: The simulations and empirical illustration were run on a Linux server with 88 CPUs and 125 GB of RAM. In this environment, the runtimes for the main simulations, mark-up dependence simulations, and empirical illustration are approximately 32 hours, 3.5 hours, and 4 hours, respectively. |
| | |

Additional information (optional)

Notes (optional)