This form documents the artifacts associated with the article (i.e., the data and code supporting the computational findings) and describes how to reproduce the findings.

# Part 1: Data

This paper	does n	not involve	analysis o	f external	data	(i.e.,	no	data	are	${\it used}$	or	the	only	data	are
generated b	y the a	uthors via	simulation	in their c	ode).										

☑ I certify that the author(s) of the manuscript have legitimate access to and permission to use the data used in this manuscript.

#### Abstract

## **Availability**

 $\square$  Data **are** publicly available.

☐ Data **cannot be made** publicly available.

If the data are publicly available, see the *Publicly available data* section. Otherwise, see the *Non-publicly available data* section, below.

### Publicly available data

$\boxtimes$	Oata are available online at: Michael W.~McCracken's website (https://research.stlouisfed.org/econ/	m
	cracken/fred-databases/). We used the May 2022 version of FRED-MD.	

X	Data	are	available	as pa	art of	f the	paper	s sı	upplementar	у	material:	We include	$FRED-MD_{-}$	_2022-
	05_p	repro	ocessed.cs	v (pre	e-proc	essed	data)	and	l FRED-MD	2	2022-05.csv	(raw data)		

□ Data are publicly available by request, following the process described here:

□ Data are or will be made available through some other mechanism, described here:

#### Non-publicly available data

## Description

### File format(s)

 $\boxtimes$  CSV or other plain text.

□ Software-specific binary format (.Rda, Python pickle, etc.): pkcle

□ Standardized binary format (e.g., netCDF, HDF5, etc.):

 $\square$  Other (please specify):

## **Data dictionary**

 $\square$  Provided by authors in the following file(s):

☐ Data file(s) is(are) self-describing (e.g., netCDF files)

☑ Available at the following URL: https://research.stlouisfed.org/econ/mccracken/fred-databases/.

#### Additional Information (optional)

# Part 2: Code

#### Abstract

The main functions are lassoVAR.R and sqrtLassoVAR.R, which are designed to estimate a VAR by weighted Lasso, post-Lasso and sqrt-Lasso going equation by equation. The basic inputs are a time series dataset

(expecting: time as rows, series as columns) and the number of lags used in the autoregression (default: one). To get the weighted lasso, call fit <- lassoVAR(data = data, q = 1, post = FALSE), with post-Lasso arising from post = TRUE (default). The call for sqrt-Lasso is analogous. The basic outputs are the estimated coefficients (a matrix) and intercepts (a vector; included by default), which are extracted as fit\$that and fit\$intr, respectively. These functions rely on helper\_functions.R. The main and helper functions are used in the simulation study and empirical illustration in the paper. The simulations are executed via runSim\_v03.R, which calls on simData.R for the different simulation designs. The empirical illustration is conducted via FRED-MD\_forecasting\_v02.R.

## Description

$\operatorname{Code} \ \operatorname{format}(\operatorname{s})$
<ul> <li>Script files</li> <li>⋈ R</li> <li>□ Python</li> <li>⋈ Matlab</li> <li>□ Other:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>□ Package</li> <li>□ R</li> <li>□ Python</li> <li>□ MATLAB toolbox</li> <li>□ Other:</li> </ul>
□ Reproducible report □ R Markdown □ Jupyter notebook □ Other: □ Shell script
$\Box$ Other (please specify):
Supporting software requirements
Version of primary software used R version 4.4.1.
<b>Libraries and dependencies used by the code</b> For calling main functions: glmnet (4.1.8), MASS (7.3.60.2), Matrix (1.7.0), stats (4.4.1).
For simulating data: Matrix (1.7.0), mytnorm (1.3.2).
For parallel computing in simulation study/empirical illustration: doRNG $(1.8.6)$ , doParallel $(1.0.17)$ , for each $(1.5.1)$ .
Supporting system/hardware requirements (optional)
The simulations and empirical illustration were run on a Linux server with 88 CPUs and 125 GB of RAM.
Parallelization used
<ul> <li>□ No parallel code used</li> <li>⋈ Multi-core parallelization on a single machine/node</li> <li>- Number of cores used: The main functions lassoVAR.R and sqrtLassoVAR.R involve no paral lelization. The simulations and empirical illustration were run on a Linux server with 88 CPUs and 125 GB of RAM.</li> <li>□ Multi-machine/multi-node parallelization</li> <li>- Number of nodes and cores used:</li> </ul>

License
<ul> <li></li></ul>
Additional information (optional)
Part 3: Reproducibility workflow
Workflow
To reproduce the simulations: Execute runSim_v03.R to run the main text simulations and markupDependence_v01.R for the mark-up dependence simulations, specifically. To have access to LassoVAR.R and sqrtLassoVAR.R, these scripts must be executed from the parent folder. Use setwd("" to back up. When executed in a Linux environment, the script will automatically save the workspace on the simulations folder. If a different environment is used, the workspace must be saved manually (vistame.image()). The figures included in the paper arise from calling the scripts createFigs_v07.R and markupDependenceFigs_v02.R from their folder, which load the relevant workspaces from the simulations folder and produce figures in the simulations/img folder.
To reproduce the empirical illustration: Execute FRED-MD_forecasting_v02.R (from the parent folder via setwd("/")) to conduct the forecasting exercise. The script loads the pre-processed data from the application/FRED/data folder and automatically saves the workspace (if in Linux). Run FRED-MD_figures_v02.R to produce the figures in the empirical illustration in the application/FRED/important folder.
Scope
The provided workflow reproduces:
<ul> <li>□ Any numbers provided in text in the paper</li> <li>□ The computational method(s) presented in the paper (i.e., code is provided that implements the method(s))</li> <li>⋈ All tables and figures in the paper</li> <li>□ Selected tables and figures in the paper, as explained and justified below:</li> </ul>
Location
Γhe workflow is available:
<ul> <li> ☒ As part of the paper's supplementary material.</li> <li> ☐ In this Git repository:</li> <li> ☐ Other (please specify):</li> </ul>
$\operatorname{Format}(\mathbf{s})$
<ul> <li>□ Single master code file</li> <li>□ Wrapper (shell) script(s)</li> <li>□ Self-contained R Markdown file, Jupyter notebook, or other literate programming approach</li> <li>□ Text file (e.g., a readme-style file) that documents workflow</li> <li>□ Makefile</li> </ul>

 $\Box$  Other (more detail in  $\mathit{Instructions}$  below)

# Instructions

# Expected run-time

Appro	eximate time needed to reproduce the analyses on a standard desktop machine:
	< 1 minute
	1-10 minutes
	10-60 minutes
	1-8 hours
	> 8 hours
	Not feasible to run on a desktop machine, as described here: The simulations and empirical illustration were run on a Linux server with 88 CPUs and 125 GB of RAM. In this environment, the runtimes for the main simulations, mark-up dependence simulations, and empirical illustration are approximately 32 hours, 3.5 hours, and 4 hours, respectively.

Additional information (optional)

Notes (optional)