Project Proposal (v.2)

Title: The Taste of Regulation: Exploring Oral Sensitivity, Eating Behavior, and Parental Well-Being in Autism

Introduction: Children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) frequently exhibit heightened oral sensory sensitivity, which can make every-day experiences like eating overwhelming or aversive (Nimbley, Golds, Sharpe, Gillespie-Smith, & Duffy, 2022; Zickgraf, Richard, Zucker, & Wallace, 2022). These sensitivities often manifest as selective eating behaviors, i.e., refusing food based on taste, texture, or smell. Importantly, oral sensory atypicalities are not confined to feeding challenges, as they have also been linked to broader difficulties in emotion regulation and behavior problems, suggesting a shared regulatory vulnerability (Sung, Lin, Chu, & Lin, 2024). Supporting this connection, neuroimaging studies in typical adults have identified gustatory-interoceptive integration in the mid-insula, a region also implicated in emotional processing (Avery et al., 2017).

While some research has found associations between selective eating and internalizing symptoms in autistic samples, findings have been mixed (Johnson et al., 2014; Page, Souders, Kral, Chao, & Pinto-Martin, 2022; Tanner et al., 2015). This inconsistency may stem from the need for integrative models that consider additional factors beyond the child-level.

Importantly, these child-level challenges unfold within family systems, where parental mental health plays a critical role. Parents of children with ASD often report elevated stress around mealtimes, which can become emotionally charged routines due to feeding difficulties (Crowe, Freeze, Provost, King, & Sanders, 2016). Moreover, parental emotional responses and feeding strategies are strong predictors of children's eating behavior, potentially reinforcing or buffering selective patterns (Zlomke, Rossetti, Murphy, Mallicoat, & Swingle, 2020). Thus, child sensory sensitivities and caregiver mental health may interact to influence outcomes.

Few studies have integrated these dimensions, i.e., oral sensory sensitivities, eating behaviors, observed emotion regulation, and caregiver factors, into a unified framework. This project addresses this gap by examining how oral sensory sensitivity contributes to emotion dysregulation in autistic children, both directly and indirectly via selective eating, and by testing whether parental mental health amplifies or attenuates these associations. By leveraging large-scale NDA datasets and harmonizing sensory measures through PCA, this study provides a novel systems-level perspective on sensory reactivities in autism.

Aims and Research Questions:

<u>Aim 1:</u> Is oral sensory sensitivity associated with greater emotion dysregulation in autistic children?

• Hypothesis: Higher levels of oral sensory sensitivity will predict greater emotion dysregulation, as measured by the CBCL-Dysregulation Profile.

<u>Aim 2:</u> Does parental mental health moderate the relationship between oral sensory sensitivity and emotion dysregulation?

• Hypothesis: Parental mental health (Adult Behavior Checklist) will moderate the relationship between oral sensitivity and emotion dysregulation, such that the association is stronger when parental mental health challenges are higher.

<u>Aim 3 (Exploratory mechanistic extension):</u> Do selective eating behaviors statistically mediate the link between oral sensitivity and child emotion dysregulation?

- Hypothesis: Higher levels of oral sensory sensitivity will be associated with greater emotion dysregulation, and this association will be partially explained by selective eating behaviors (CEBQ). These analyses are exploratory and hypothesis-generating, as CEBQ is only available in one dataset.
- **NOTE:** While all measures are cross-sectional, mediation is tested based on theoretical precedence, rather than temporal inference. A consistent association between oral sensory sensitivity and selective eating has already been established in the literature (Descrettes-Demey et al., 2023; Elsayed, Thompson, Conklin, & Watson, 2022).

Datasets:

- NDA Study #2021 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2021)
- NDA Study #2026 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2026)
- NDA Study #2251 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2251)
- NDA Study #2253 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2253)
- NDA Study#2281 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2281)
- NDA Study #2804 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2804)
- NDA Study #2828 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2828)
- NDA Study #2834 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2834)
- NDA Study #2900 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2900)
- NDA Study #3005 (https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=3005)

Variables of Interest:

- Sensory:
 - Adolescent/Adult Sensory Profile (AASP): dataset sens_ad01
 - Taste/Smell Subscale items sensory_a_1, sensory_a_2, sensory_a_3, sensory a 4, sensory a 5, sensory a 6, sensory a 7, sensory a 8
 - Original scoring: 1 = Almost never; 2 = Seldom; 3 = Occasionally; 4 =
 Frequently; 5 = Almost always
 - o Sensory Profile (SP) Version 1: dataset sens car01

- Oral Sensory Processing Subscale items sensory_c_54, sensory_c_55, sensory_c_56, sensory_c_57, sensory_c_58, sensory_c_59, sensory_c_60, sensory_c_61, sensory_c_62, sensory_c_63, sensory_c_64, sensory_c_65
- Original scoring: 1 = Always; 2 = Frequently; 3 = Occasionally; 4 = Seldom; 5 = Never; 999 = NA
- Sensory Profile (SP) Version 2: dataset sprcar201
 - Oral Sensory Processing Subscale items sensory_c_54, sensory_c_55, sensory_c_56, sensory_c_56, sensory_c_57, sensory_c_58, sensory_c_59, sensory_c_60, sensory_c_61, sensory_c_62, sensory_c_63, sensory_c_64, sensory_c_65
 - Original scoring: 1 = Always; 2 = Frequently; 3 = Occasionally; 4 = Seldom; 5 = Never; 999 = NA
- Short Sensory Profile (SSP) Version 1: sps01
 - Taste/Smell Sensitivity subscale items sensory_c_55, sensory_c_56, sensory_c_57, sensory_c_58
 - Original scoring: 1 = Always; 2 = Frequently; 3 = Occasionally; 4 = Seldom; 5 = Never; 999 = NA
- Short Sensory Profile (SSP) Version 2: sps201
 - Taste/Smell Sensitivity subscale items sens_food_rej, sens_picky_taste,
 sens food text, sens pciky eat
 - Original scoring: 0=Does not apply; 1=Almost never; 2=Occasionally;
 3=Half the time; 4=Frequently; 5=Almost always
- Emotion Dysregulation:
 - o Child Behavior Checklist-Dysregulation Profile (CBCL-DP): dataset *cbcl01*
 - Aggressive Behavior Subscale (18 items: cbcl3, cbcl16, cbcl19, cbcl20, cbcl21, cbcl22, cbcl23, cbcl37, cbcl57, cbcl68, cbcl86, cbcl87, cbcl88, cbcl89, cbcl94, cbcl95, cbcl97, cbcl104)
 - Anxious/Depressed Subscale (13 items: cbcl14, cbcl29, cbcl30, cbcl31, cbcl32, cbcl33, cbcl35, cbcl45, cbcl50, cbcl52, cbcl71, cbcl91, cbcl112)
 - Attention Problems Subscale (10 items: cbcl1, cbcl4, cbcl8, cbcl10, cbcl13, cbcl17, cbcl41, cbcl61, cbcl78, cbcl80)
- Parental Mental Health:
 - o Adult Behavior Checklist (ABCL)
- Selective Eating Behavior:
 - Child Eating Behavior Questionnaire (CEBQ)
- Covariates:
 - Age, Race, Sex, ASD severity (measured via the Social Responsiveness Scale, SRS)

Dataset	AASP	SP	SSP	CBCL	ABCL	CEBQ	SRS
	(<i>sens</i> _ad01)	Included?	Included?	(cbcl01)	(abcl_men_200301)	(<i>cebq01</i>)	(srs201)
	Included?			Included?	Included?	Included?	Included?
2021	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
		(sens car01)					
2026	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
			(sps01)				
2251	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
			(sps01,				
			sps2_01)				
2253	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
			(sps01,				
			sps2_01)				
2281	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
			(sps2_01)				
2804	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2828	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
		(sens car01)					
2834	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
		(sprcar201)					
2900	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
		(sprcar201)	(sps2 01)				
3005	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
					1	i e	

Data Analysis Plan:

Dataset Selection

We systematically searched the National Institute of Mental Health Data Archive (NDA) for projects containing both the CBCL and at least one Sensory Profile variant (AASP, SP, or SSP). To ensure relevance, we restricted inclusion to datasets explicitly referencing autism. This yielded ten eligible datasets (#2021, #2026, #2251, #2253, #2281, #2804, #2828, #2834, #2900, #3005).

Exclusion Criteria:

Participants must be coded as "ASD," "Proband," or "PA" in demographics (ndar subject01).

Aim-specific inclusion rules:

- Aim 1 (Sensory Profile → CBCL-DP): Exclude if missing a variant of the Sensory Profile, the CBCL, or Covariates (Age, Race, Sex, SRS)
- Aim 2 (Moderation: AASP × ABCL → CBCL-DP): Exclude if missing a variant of the Sensory Profile, ABCL, CBCL, or covariates (Age, Race, Sex, or SRS)
- **Aim 3 (Exploratory):** Exclude if missing a variant of the Sensory Profile, CEBQ, the CBCL, or covariates (Age, Race, Sex, or SRS)

Scoring and Variable Derivation:

• Sensory Profiles:

- o For all versions of the Sensory Profile (AASP, SP, SSP), we will use raw item scores. SP-Version 1, SP-Version 2, and SSP-Version 1 items will be reverse-coded so higher values reflect greater sensitivity across all sensory profile questionnaires. All oral/taste/smell items across the AASP, SP, and SSP will then be z-standardized within their respective datasets (mean = 0, SD = 1).
- To identify modality-level groupings, we will then conduct a single PCA using the union of all oral/taste/smell items across the AASP, SP, and SSP.
 - Participants will be included only if they have sufficient item coverage: ≥75% observed items for the SSP (i.e., no more than 1 missing item) or ≥80% observed items for the AASP (i.e., no more than 1 missing) and SP (i.e., no more than 2 missing). The number of components will be determined by parallel analysis and interpretability.
- After establishing the factor structure, participant-level factor scores will be computed within each dataset. For a given factor, a score will only be assigned if a participant has completed at least 75% of the items contributing to that factor. Otherwise, the factor score will be set to missing. This two-stage completeness procedure ensures that PCA loadings are derived from reliable data while also requiring adequate observed coverage for individual-level scoring.

CBCL-DP:

- The CBCL-DP is composed of the summed T-scores from three CBCL subscales: Attention Problems, Aggressive Behavior, and Anxious/ Depressed (Althoff, Ayer, Rettew, & Hudziak, 2010). Although originally developed for neurotypical children, the scale has been validated (Keefer, Singh, Kalb, Mazefsky, & Vasa, 2020) and experimentally implemented in autistic samples (Greenlee, Stelter, Piro-Gambetti, & Hartley, 2021; Vasa et al., 2022). CBCL outcomes will be taken from NDA-provided T-scores, which are ASEBA-normed by age and sex. To align with our completeness rule, T-scores will only be retained when ≥80% of contributing items are observed.
- **ABCL / CEBQ:** Standard scoring; require ≥80% item completion.

Aim 1: Linear Regression

To test whether distinct components of oral sensory sensitivity predict emotion dysregulation in autistic children:

- Model: CBCL-DP ~ Sensory Modality + Age + Race + Sex + SRS
 - o **Predictors:** PCA-derived modality-specific scores.
 - Outcome:
 - Primary Outcome: CBCL-DP
 - Secondary Outcomes: CBCL-DP subscales analyzed separately.
 - o Covariates: Age, Race, Sex, ASD severity (SRS),

To control for multiple comparisons, Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (BH-FDR) correction will be applied within each sensory modality.

Aim 2: Moderation Analysis

To test whether parental mental health moderates the association between oral sensitivity and emotion dysregulation:

- Model: CBCL-DP ~ Sensory Modality * ABCL + Age + Race + Sex + SRS
- **Probe:** Simple slopes (± 1 SD ABCL) with interaction plots for significant interactions.
- **Multiple testing:** BH-FDR within the CBCL-DP.

Aim 3 (Exploratory): Mediation Analysis

To examine whether selective eating behaviors statistically mediate the association between oral sensory sensitivity and emotion dysregulation:

• Models:

- CEBQ ~ Sensory Modality + Age + Race + Sex + SRS
- CBCL-DP ~ Sensory Modality + CEBQ + Age + Race + Sex + SRS
- o Indirect effect via bootstrap (5,000 resamples; bias-corrected 95% CIs).
- Results will be framed as hypothesis-generating due to cross-sectional design.

Expected Contributions:

This project will provide novel insights into oral sensory processing and emotional development in autism, advancing the field in three key ways:

- 1. **Innovative Measurement:** By harmonizing oral/taste/smell items across multiple sensory measures and applying PCA, this study introduces a data-driven approach that increases comparability across instruments and moves beyond reliance on predefined subscales.
- 2. **Linking Sensory Traits to Emotional Outcomes:** While most prior work has emphasized feeding behavior, this project positions oral sensitivity as a *regulatory trait* that contributes directly to emotion dysregulation. This shift broadens how we conceptualize sensory influences on mental health in autism.
- 3. **Contextualizing Within the Family System:** Testing parental mental health as a moderator highlights how child outcomes are shaped not only by individual traits but also by family-level functioning. This dyadic perspective addresses a gap in models of sensory processing.
- 4. **Exploratory Mechanistic Pathways:** Mediation analyses (in datasets with CEBQ) provide preliminary evidence for selective eating as one candidate mechanism, setting the stage for confirmatory longitudinal work.

Overall, by combining methodological rigor with a systems-level perspective, this project will be the first to leverage harmonized sensory data across multiple large ASD cohorts to test child and family-level pathways to emotion dysregulation. Findings will inform future interventions that target both sensory sensitivities and caregiver support.

References:

- Althoff, R. R., Ayer, L. A., Rettew, D. C., & Hudziak, J. J. (2010). Assessment of dysregulated children using the Child Behavior Checklist: a receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. *Psychological assessment*, *22*(3), 609.
- Avery, J. A., Gotts, S. J., Kerr, K. L., Burrows, K., Ingeholm, J. E., Bodurka, J., . . . Kyle Simmons, W. (2017). Convergent gustatory and viscerosensory processing in the human dorsal mid-insula. *Human brain mapping*, 38(4), 2150-2164.
- Crowe, T. K., Freeze, B., Provost, E., King, L., & Sanders, M. (2016). Maternal perceptions of nutrition, stress, time, and assistance during mealtimes: Similarities and differences between mothers of children with autism spectrum disorders and mothers of children with typical development. *Journal of Occupational Therapy, Schools, & Early Intervention,* 9(3), 242-257.
- Descrettes-Demey, V., Demey, B., Crovetto, C., Simonnot, A., Berquin, P., Djeddi, D.-D., . . . Guilé, J.-M. (2023). Relation between sensory processing difficulties and feeding problems in youths with autistic spectrum disorders: A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. *Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 1-13.
- Elsayed, H. E., Thompson, K. L., Conklin, J. L., & Watson, L. R. (2022). Systematic review of the relation between feeding problems and sensory processing in children with autism spectrum disorder. *American journal of speech-language pathology, 31*(6), 2875-2899.
- Greenlee, J. L., Stelter, C. R., Piro-Gambetti, B., & Hartley, S. L. (2021). Trajectories of Dysregulation in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*, 50(6), 858-873. doi:10.1080/15374416.2021.1907752
- Johnson, C. R., Turner, K., Stewart, P. A., Schmidt, B., Shui, A., Macklin, E., . . . Manning Courtney, P. (2014). Relationships between feeding problems, behavioral characteristics and nutritional quality in children with ASD. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 44, 2175-2184.
- Keefer, A., Singh, V., Kalb, L. G., Mazefsky, C. A., & Vasa, R. A. (2020). Investigating the factor structure of the child behavior checklist dysregulation profile in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res, 13*(3), 436-443. doi:10.1002/aur.2233
- Nimbley, E., Golds, L., Sharpe, H., Gillespie-Smith, K., & Duffy, F. (2022). Sensory processing and eating behaviours in autism: A systematic review. *European Eating Disorders Review, 30*(5), 538-559.
- Page, S. D., Souders, M. C., Kral, T. V., Chao, A. M., & Pinto-Martin, J. (2022). Correlates of feeding difficulties among children with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 1-20.

- Sung, Y.-S., Lin, C.-Y., Chu, S. Y., & Lin, L.-Y. (2024). Emotion dysregulation mediates the relationship between sensory processing and behavior problems in young children with autism spectrum disorder: a preliminary study. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, *54*(2), 738-748.
- Tanner, K., Case-Smith, J., Nahikian-Nelms, M., Ratliff-Schaub, K., Spees, C., & Darragh, A. R. (2015). Behavioral and physiological factors associated with selective eating in children with autism spectrum disorder. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 69(6), 6906180030p6906180031-6906180030p6906180038.
- Vasa, R. A., Singh, V., McDonald, R. G., Mazefsky, C., Hong, J. S., & Keefer, A. (2022). Dysregulation in children and adolescents presenting to a multidisciplinary autism clinic. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 52(4), 1762-1770.
- Zickgraf, H. F., Richard, E., Zucker, N. L., & Wallace, G. L. (2022). Rigidity and sensory sensitivity: Independent contributions to selective eating in children, adolescents, and young adults. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 51(5), 675-687.
- Zlomke, K., Rossetti, K., Murphy, J., Mallicoat, K., & Swingle, H. (2020). Feeding problems and maternal anxiety in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 24, 1278-1287.