

Static Inconsistency Detection of Python Class Inheritance

Problem

- There is a possibility that, given their non-trivial complexities, class hierarchies in commonly-used, open-source Python libraries inadvertently contain inconsistent inheritance, logical or cyclic.
- Logical inconsistency** is defined by a mismatch of the declared inheritance order of a class's parent classes and the method resolution order (MRO) of one or more of those parent classes.
- If a class that exhibits logical inconsistency is inherited by another class, that class's linearization becomes ambiguous.

```
1 class B:
2     def __init__(self, x):
3         self.x = x
4
5     def print_value(self):
6         print(self.x)
7
8 class C(B):
9     def __init__(self, x):
10        self.x = x
11
12    def print_value(self):
13        print(self.x * 2)
14
15 class A(B, C):
16     def __init__(self, x):
17         self.x = x
18
19     # ambiguous which print_value is inherited in class A
20
21 a = A(5)
22 a.print_value() # ambiguous as to whether 5 or 10 is outputted here
```

The code snippet contains 3 classes, classes A, B, and C. Class C linearizes as [C, B], but B and C are inherited by class A as B, then C, a mismatch.

- Cyclic inconsistency** is defined by a cycle of class dependencies in the class hierarchy graph. A cyclic inconsistency indicates cyclic class dependencies.

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| .../a.py: | .../b.py: | .../c.py: | Assuming that a.py, b.py, and c.py are located in the same path, there is a cyclic inconsistency with classes A, B, and C. |
| from b import B | from c import C | from a import A | |
| class A(B): ... | class B(C): ... | class C(A): ... | |

Solution

- A custom static checker that can parse class hierarchy graphs from Python libraries and check for inconsistencies.
- Inspection tool can provide information on the inconsistencies.

Objectives

- Develop the proposed custom static checker.
- Use static checker to check for logical and cyclic inconsistencies in open-source Python libraries and get information on the inconsistencies.
- Get and compare results across a variety of Python libraries.

Approach

- The Python ast library [4] is used to construct the class hierarchy graph.
 - The class hierarchy graph is implemented as a directed graph:
 - Nodes: class identifiers that consist of the relative module paths, resolved in a manner that mimics Python's import resolution logic [3], appended to the respective class names
 - Edges: for edge (u, v), class identifier u inherits from class identifier v
- The graph is inspected for inconsistencies.
 - Logical inconsistencies are found by using the graph to attempt to linearize the classes with an implementation of the c3 linearization algorithm [2].

Algorithm 1 Memoized c3 linearization algorithm

Require: $G(V, E)$: class hierarchy graph, a directed graph

Ensure: L : hashmap, key is class identifier, value is respective c3 linearization

$L \leftarrow$ empty hashmap

for $c_i \in V$ do

 if $c_i \in L$ then

 continue

 end if

$l_o \leftarrow$ linearization order computed from DFS of $c_i \in V$ s.t. $\forall c_i, c_j \in l_o$, $\text{index}(l_o, c_i) = \text{index}(l_o, c_j) - 1 \implies c_i \in \text{neighbor}(c_j)$

 for $c_j \in l_o$ do

 if $c_j \in L$ then

 continue

 end if

$b \leftarrow \text{neighbor}(c_j)$ in order of bases from corresponding ClassDef

$l_b \leftarrow []$

$\text{can_compute} \leftarrow \text{true}$

 for $\forall b_i \in b$ in order do

 if $L[b_i] == \perp$ then

 report inherited logical inconsistency

$\text{can_compute} \leftarrow \text{false}$

$L[c_j] \leftarrow \perp$

 break

 else

 append $L[b_i]$ to l_b

 end if

 end for

 if $\neg \text{can_compute}$ then

 continue

 end if

 append b to l_b

$L[c_j] \leftarrow \text{get_c3_linearization}(c_j, l_b)$

 end for

end for

```
function get_c3_linearization(c_i, l_b)
  l_i ← [c_i]
  while |l_b| > 0 do
    c_j ← first head(l_b[k]) s.t. ¬ tail(l_b[k]), k = 0...|l_b| - 1
    if c_j == ⊥ then
      report source logical inconsistency
      return ⊥
    end if
    remove c_j from l_b[k], k = 0...|l_b| - 1
    remove [] from l_b
    append c_j to l_i
  end while
  return l_i
end function
```

- If a linearization mismatch is found, i.e. the next class cannot be unambiguously chosen from the linearization order of the parent classes, then a logical inconsistency is found.
- Logical inconsistencies are also found with successive inheritance of logically inconsistent classes. To distinguish, the starting point of a logical inconsistency is considered a “source” logical inconsistency, and following ones that derive from it are considered “inherited” logical inconsistencies.
- Cyclic inconsistencies are found by using Tarjan’s algorithm to find strongly connected components [1]. Each corresponding class from the nodes in each multi-node strongly connected component is in a cyclic inconsistency.

Algorithm 2 Tarjan's algorithm to find strongly connected components

Require: $G(V, E)$: directed graph

Ensure: scs : list of strongly connected components, s : stack of nodes possibly in a strongly connected component

$\text{scs} \leftarrow []$

for $v \in V$ do

$\text{indexof}(v) \leftarrow \perp$

$\text{lowlink}(v) \leftarrow \perp$

$\text{onStack}(v) \leftarrow \text{false}$

end for

$\text{index} \leftarrow 0$

$s \leftarrow$ empty stack

for $v \in V$ do

 if $\text{indexof}(v) == \perp$ then

$\text{index} \leftarrow \text{strongconnect}(v, \text{index})$

 end if

end for

```
function strongconnect(v, index)
  indexof(v) ← index
  lowlink(v) ← index
  index ← index + 1
  add v to s
  onStack(v) ← true
  for w ∈ neighbors(v) do
    if indexof(w) == ⊥ then
      index ← strongconnect(w, index)
      lowlink(v) ← min(lowlink(v), lowlink(w))
    else if onStack(w) then
      lowlink(v) ← min(lowlink(v), indexof(w))
    end if
  end for
  if lowlink(v) == indexof(v) then
    scc ← []
    w ← ⊥
    while w ≠ v do
      w ← pop from s
      onStack(w) ← false
      append w to scc
    end while
    append v to scc
  end if
  return index
end function
```

Results

| library | scikit-learn | NumPy | PyTorch | Keras | TensorFlow | Matplotlib |
|---|--------------|-------|---------|-------|------------|------------|
| number of source logical inconsistencies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| number of inherited logical inconsistencies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| number of logical inconsistencies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| number of cycle inconsistencies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| number of resolved bases from ClassDefs | 820 | 469 | 1870 | 907 | 4394 | 500 |
| number of class definitions | 665 | 1374 | 3356 | 969 | 5381 | 711 |

| library | SciPy | Theano | PyTensor | pandas | Seaborn | NLTK | Plotly |
|---|-------|--------|----------|--------|---------|------|--------|
| number of source logical inconsistencies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| number of inherited logical inconsistencies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| number of logical inconsistencies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| number of cycle inconsistencies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| number of resolved bases from ClassDefs | 585 | 867 | 429 | 452 | 75 | 542 | 1122 |
| number of class definitions | 1720 | 1239 | 621 | 1539 | 122 | 802 | 10999 |

Across the thousands of statically resolved class inheritances from various open-source Python libraries, no logical or cyclic inconsistencies have been found likely due to stringent code contribution standards.

Limitations and Future Work

- The custom static inconsistency detector does not track classes that fall under these cases:
 - Classes defined in non-global closure (i.e. within another class or function)
 - Multiple definitions of same named class within the same file
 - Base identifiers that refer to variables set to classes
- Future work on this project can address and attempt to solve these limitations.

Implications

- Baseline MRO verification of class inheritance in Python libraries.
- Static check for circular class inheritance dependencies in Python libraries.
- Proposal of change requests to open-source Python libraries for found logical or cyclic inconsistencies.

References

[1] Robert Tarjan. “Depth-first search and linear graph algorithms”. In: *SIAM journal on computing* 1.2 (1972), pp. 146–160.

[2] Kim Barrett et al. “A monotonic superclass linearization for Dylan”. In: *Proceedings of the 11th ACM SIGPLAN conference on Object-oriented programming, systems, languages, and applications*. 1996, pp. 69–82.

[3] Guido Van Rossum and Fred L. Drake. *Python 3 Reference Manual*. Scotts Valley, CA: CreateSpace, 2009. ISBN: 1441412697.

[4] Python Software Foundation. *Abstract Syntax Tree*. URL: <https://docs.python.org/3/library/ast.html>.