

## Case Study: Healthcare Diagnostics

AI-powered diagnostic tools have been developed to assist healthcare professionals in detecting diseases such as cancer, diabetic retinopathy, and neurological disorders with greater speed and accuracy. However, while these AI systems offer significant advantages, they also present implications on the use of AI.

### Examples of healthcare diagnostics

- Imaging diagnostics: Help visualize internal structures of the body
  - X-ray: bones, organs
  - MRI: detailed images of body's internal soft tissues
  - CT scan: cross section images for internal soft tissue
  - Ultrasound: sound waves to visualize organs
  - PET scan: radioactive tracer to show how tissues and organs function
- Laboratory diagnostics: analyzes specimens such as blood, urine, tissues, etc
  - Blood tests
  - Urine tests
  - Biopsy
- Functional diagnostics: Measure physiological functions
  - EKG(Electrocardiogram): heart electrical activity
  - EEG (Electroencephalogram): brain activity
  - PFTs )Pulmonary function tests): lung function and lung capacity

In 2021, Google Health introduced an AI-driven diagnostic system to assist radiologists in detecting early-stage lung cancer. The system was trained on extensive datasets from multiple hospitals. However, independent studies revealed that the AI model underperformed in diagnosing patients from underrepresented demographic groups, leading to misdiagnoses and delayed treatments.

### Ethical Concerns

1. Bias in AI Training Data: The AI system was trained primarily on data from hospitals in wealthier regions, resulting in lower accuracy for patients from lower-income communities and minority groups.
2. Accountability and Liability: When the AI system made incorrect diagnoses, there was confusion over who should be held accountable—the AI developers, the hospital, or the attending physicians.
3. Privacy and Data Security: Patient data used to train the AI system raised concerns about data privacy, consent, and the potential for misuse of sensitive medical records.
4. Over-Reliance on AI: Some medical professionals relied too heavily on AI recommendations without sufficient human oversight, increasing the risk of errors in clinical decision-making.

### Societal Implications

Jessica Navarro

ITAI 2372

A09

1. Healthcare Inequalities: The biased performance of the AI system exacerbated existing healthcare inequalities, disproportionately affecting underserved communities.
2. Trust in AI-Driven Healthcare: Public confidence in AI-based medical technologies declined due to reports of inaccurate diagnoses and potential harm to patients.
3. Regulatory and Ethical Guidelines: Policymakers and healthcare institutions pushed for stricter AI validation processes and ethical frameworks to ensure fairness and reliability in AI-assisted diagnostics.

Following widespread scrutiny, the company revised its AI model by incorporating more diverse and representative patient data. Additionally, regulatory bodies introduced stricter guidelines for AI deployment in healthcare, emphasizing the importance of:

- Ethical AI training with inclusive datasets.
- Human oversight in AI-assisted medical decision-making.
- Clear accountability frameworks to protect patients.

This case study underscores the importance of ethical AI development in healthcare. AI-driven diagnostic tools have the potential to improve medical outcomes, but they must be designed and implemented with fairness, transparency, and accountability to ensure equitable healthcare access and patient safety.

## References

Kiraly, A., & Pilgrim, R. (2024, March 20). *Computer-aided diagnosis for lung cancer screening*. Google Research. <https://research.google/blog/computer-aided-diagnosis-for-lung-cancer-screening/>

Google Health. (n.d.). *Imaging and diagnostics*. Google. Retrieved March 27, 2025, from [https://health.google/health-research/imaging-and-diagnostics/?utm\\_source=chatgpt.com](https://health.google/health-research/imaging-and-diagnostics/?utm_source=chatgpt.com)