v. Overall impact

OURTH YE	EAR LABORATORY PROJECT OVERALL ASSESSMENT	FORM											
Project Title:			Stu	dent Name:									
Report ID:			Stude	nt Number:									
Rating	General Interpretation					Overall Assessment:							
Deficient Acceptable	One or more major flaws. No more than minor flaws, otherwise complete; no distinguishing	a features.				Overan Assessment.							
Good	Shows insight; some distinguishing feature(s).	,											
Excellent Note: A rating	Exceptional insight and multiple distinguishing features. of Deficient in any of the shaded rating blocks results in overall fail	ure. Indicat	ors are inten	ded to									
assist examin	ers arrive at a rating for the ELO. A deficient against an indicator ma e against other indicators - in such cases examiners must record th	y be comp	ensated by h	igh levels									
	Phase 0: P	roiect Pla	nnina Ass	essment	GROUP/S	SHARED)							
	This phase is based on the project spe	•	•		•	,							
	Rating of the group for each contributor	Deficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	Comments							
	Project specification outline												
	Project Plan												
	Phase 1: Report Assessment (INDIVIDUAL)												
	This phase is based on the final ind					xternal Examiner with Facilitator)							
	Rating of the student in each outcome (with indicators)	Deficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	Supporting evidence							
ELO 4a	Design and conduct an investigation of an engineering problem that has the characteristics of a complex engineering problem.												
ELO 4a Indicators	i. Problem identification and												
	ii. Project planning and execution												
	iii. Project management												
	iv. Critical evaluation of results and conclusions, recommendations for future work.												
	v. Quality of output achieved: functionality, maintainability, reliability (as required)												
ELO 4b	Design and conduct an experiment in the context of a complex engineering problem investigation and reconcile observations with predictions.												
ELO 6a	Report on the investigation in the form of a technical paper that meets typical journal format requirements.												
[Phase 2: Presentation Assessment (INDIVIDUAL)												
	Phase 2: Presentation Assessment (INDIVIDUAL) This phase is based on the individual student's performance in the oral presentation, taking place on the Conference Day (Internal and External Examiner)												
	Rating of the student in each outcome (with indicators)	Deficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	Supporting evidence							
ELO 6c	Prepare and deliver an oral presentation, supported by visual aids, on an investigation to a peer audience.												
ELO 6c Indicators	i. Structure and logic												
	ii. Effective content and visual aids												
	iii. Language and verbal communication												
	Iv. Teamwork among presenters												
		1		1	1	İ							

	Phase 3: Final Stage Assessment (INDIVIDUAL) This phase is based on the overall performance of each student as an individual. This includes the final individual report, as well as the oral presentation and an individual interview that take place on the Conference Day (Internal and External Examiner with Facilitator)											
	Rating of the student in each outcome		Acceptable	Good	Excellent		Supporting evidence					
ELO 1	Solve problems using analysis, synthesis and evaluation at various levels, including complex engineering problems.											
ELO 2	Use specialist and fundamental engineering knowledge, supported by mathematics and natural sciences, in the solution of engineering problems, including a complex engineering problem.											
ELO 5	Select and effectively use appropriate tools and methods for the problem under investigation.											
ELO 8b	Work effectively as a member of a team.											
ELO 9	Source, evaluate and correctly use knowledge and methods that are new to the student.											
Comments:												
Date:												
Internal Examiner:	Signature: External Examiner:					Facilitator:	Signature:					
	Name:	Name:					Name:					