WHICH HAND? TREMOR PEAKS AND HEIGHTS

ELEN4012A – EIE Investigation 2022 – Jesse van der Merwe (1829172)

School of Electrical & Information Engineering, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, 2050, Johannesburg, South Africa

**Abstract:** The purpose of this document is to provide an easy-to-use template/style sheet to enable authors to prepare papers in the correct format and style for the final year laboratory project. This document may be downloaded from the School of Electrical and Information Engineering web site and can be used as a template (MS Word 6.0 or later). To ensure conformity of appearance it is essential that these instructions are followed. The abstract should be limited to 50-200 words, which should concisely summarise the paper.

# Introduction

(AIM) Quantitatively investigate the efficacy of the FUS treatment by investigating computational and statistical analysis of spiral drawings. Provide insight about the extent that these results can be used to assess the severity of tremor on the patient’s treated or untreated side after the treatment.

NEED MORE

TWO METHODS WERE INVESTIGATED AND IMPLEMENTED FURTHER.

# Literature survey

## Focused Ultrasound Treatment

Focused Ultrasound Treatment (FUS) is a new and promising non-invasive treatment for movement disorders. Two such disorders, that produce similar symptoms, include Parkinson’s disease (PD) and Essential tremor (ET). Sound waves, which contain acoustic energy, are delivered through the physical barrier of the brain to create lesions – or temporarily modify the function of – targeted brain tissue [1]. By creating these lesions on the part of the patient’s brain responsible for the communication of sensory and motor signals, abnormal brain activity is interrupted, which reduces uncontrollable movements with immediate effect [1]. This reduces the unwanted tremor caused by PD or ET, often resulting in unilateral treatment of just treating the dominant hand [2] since FUS is only performed on one side of the brain. This treatment shows immediate reduction in tremor on the treated side of the body. This study will focus on FUS as a treatment for patients with ET and PD in an attempt to determine whether the treatment is successful in reducing tremor, slowing the progression of these conditions.

## Hand-drawn Shapes

The observational analysis of hand-drawn shapes by a neurologist is widely used as a test of severity of movement disorders [3]. Analysis of hand-drawn shapes instead of handwriting is performed to prevent the stylistic differences of handwriting being a contributing factor in the severity tests [4]. An Archimedes spiral drawing is used in particular as it is able to capture the frequency, amplitude, and direction of a tremor [4]. Long, straight vertical, or horizontal line drawings offer similar results. Since these drawings require one continuous pen motion, instead of the broken motions of written words, they are able to emphasise the abnormal movements specific to each of the various movement disorders [4]. The typical characteristics of tremor types seen in writing and drawing tasks can be seen in [ [4], Tab. 1]. While this table does show that ET and PD have similar characteristics, previous studies have shown that computational analysis of such drawings can reliably discriminate between the movement disorders [3]. Further, the combination of traditional and computational analysis has provided significant progress in the classification of disease severity [5]. It is with this in mind that this research of quantitatively investigating the efficacy of FUS treatment is performed.

## Existing Methods

Do we need this?

Yes – mention method 1

# project plan

Two methods

How was work-load split

Do we need this section in a report of this level?

# data

## Database access and ethical clearance

Fully anonymised data of patients with either PD or ET has been provided by the Rambam Medical Centre, Haifa Israel. Permission to use this data was subject to the obtainment of ethical clearance from the University of Witwatersrand. The permission letter from Dr. Schlesinger as well as the Clearance Certificate for this project can be found in Appendices 5 and 6 respectively.

## Patients

Out of the 122 patients, 34 are undergoing treatment for Parkinson’s Disease, and the remaining 88 for Essential Tremor. This particular investigation does need to differentiate between disease, but only determine whether the tremor is reducing. However, future studies might re-look at that data in order to draw better conclusions, especially if machine learning is implemented.

## Data

The database consists of templates that are filled in with both the treated and untreated hands before and after receiving treatment. The patient uses a pen to physically complete each template, and the resulting paper drawings are scanned and saved as a PDF. Each consists of two spiral drawings, and multiple straight-line drawings, as shown in figure X.

# Pre-Processing of Data







Figure 1: Original filled in template, text detection and sought image isolation procedure.

Pre-processing

Kelvin! [7]

Rectangle rotation



# Method 1: Edge Angle spiral analysis

The spiral drawings on each template were analysed using a method researched and implemented by group member, Robyn Gebbie [8]. This chosen method and subsequent results will be briefly discussed to allow for a final comparison and conclusion to be drawn. This method was implemented on each of the ‘spiral A’ images mentioned above.

## Method

*Edge angles:* Sobel edge detection filters are used to find the horizontal and vertical gradients of each pixel. The orientation of each pixel is then found by taking the inverse tangent of the ratio of the gradients [8]. This is known as the ‘edge angle’.

*Pixel angles:* The centre of each spiral is calculated. The ratio of the vertical and horizontal distances between the centre and each pixel is then found in order to take the inverse tangent to produce the ‘pixel angle’ [9].

*Relative orientation:* This quantity is found by subtracting the pixel angle from the edge angle for each pixel. This is plotted as a histogram to visualise and quantify the distribution of edge angles. A high standard deviation of the data indicates a worse tremor. Further, a wide distribution of angles indicates a larger variety of angles, and thus a worse tremor. The normalised standard deviation is found for every spiral of each patient. This allows for comparison between patient’s own hands, as well as between patients in general. The existence of more angles – a higher frequency of edge angles – also indicates a worse tremor.

## Results

The two spirals seen in figure X are used to demonstrate the effectiveness of this method. A normalised standard deviation of 0.64 is calculated for spiral A1, and 0.15 for spiral A2. As seen in figure X, spiral A1 also has a higher frequency of the various edge angles present. These two indicators correctly imply that spiral A1 has a worse tremor than spiral A2.

Figure 2: Spirals A1 and A2 used to demonstrate method 1

Spiral A1: Before treatment Spiral A2: After 1 month






Figure 3: Relative orientation distributions of spirals A1 and A2

The spirals of each patient after various treatment times were analysed using this method in order to determine whether the patient’s tremor improved. As seen in figure X, the treated hand of most patients improved 75.8% of the time, with the untreated hand improving 53.4% of the time. However, it should be noted that the number of patients with data for later periods of time (2 years and greater) drastically decreased. This calls into question the validity of the later years’ results due to the small amount of data.




Figure 4: Percentage of improved patients according to method 1

## Future Improvements

# Method 2: Tremor peaks and heights

## Method

OpenCV EAST text detection [10]

OpenCV shape detection [11]

Cropped rotated rectangles [12]

## Implementation

## Results

## Future Improvements

This method can be applied to spiral images; however it would be much more complicated.

One method would be to ‘unwrap’ the spirals and then perform this analysis. Would require more hectic pre-processing.

# Combined results

# Discussion

# Future improvements

# Conclusion

# References

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [1] | H. Baek, D. Lockwood, E. J. Mason, E. Obusez, M. Poturalski, R. Rammo, S. J. Nagel and S. E. Jones, “Clinical Intervention Using Focused Ultrasound (FUS) Stimulation of the Brain in Diverse Neurological Disorders,” *Frontiers in Neurology,* vol. 13, 2022. |
| [2] | M. Rohani and A. Fasano, “Focused Ultrasound for Essential Tremor: Review of the Evidence and Discussion of Current Hurdles.,” *Tremor and Other Hyperkinet Movements (NY),* vol. 462, no. 7, 2017. |
| [3] | N. Seedat, V. Aharonson and I. Schlesinger, “Automated machine vision enabled detection of movement disorders from hand drawn spirals,” *2020 IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics (ICHI),* pp. 1-5, 2020. |
| [4] | J. Alty, J. Cosgrove, D. Thorpe and P. Kempster, “How to use pen and paper tasks to aid tremor diagnosis in the clinic,” *Pract Neurol,* vol. 17, pp. 456-463, 2017. |
| [5] | V. Aharonson, N. Seedat, S. Israeli-Korn, S. Hassin-Baer, M. Postema and G. Yahalom, “Automated stage discrimination of Parkinson's disease,” *BIO Integration,* vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 55-63, 2020. |
| [6] | I. Schlesinger, *Secondary use of Database: "Hand drawing tests of Parkinson's disease and Essential Tremor patients under treatment",* Haifa: Rambam Medical Center, 2022. |
| [7] | K. da Silva, “Tremor Classification,” 7 September 2020. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/kdasilva835842/tremor\_classification. [Accessed 29 September 2022]. |
| [8] | R. Gebbie, “EIE Investigation: Which Hand?,” 4th Year Investigation Report 22G05, School of Electrical and Information Engineering, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 2022. |
| [9] | A. Wille, M. Sangaré and S. Winter, “Analysis of Patterns in Tremor Diagnosis Spiral Drawings for Automated Classification,” Institut für Neuroinformatik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany, Bochum, 2013. |
| [10] | A. Rosebrock, “OpenCV Text Detection (EAST text detector) - PyImageSearch,” PyImageSearch, 20 August 2018. [Online]. Available: https://pyimagesearch.com/2018/08/20/opencv-text-detection-east-text-detector/. [Accessed 16 July 2022]. |
| [11] | A. Rosebrock, “OpenCV shape detection - PyImageSearch,” PyImageSearch, 8 February 2016. [Online]. Available: https://pyimagesearch.com/2016/02/08/opencv-shape-detection/. [Accessed 20 July 2022]. |
| [12] | jdhao, “Cropping Rotated Rectangles from Image with OpenCV,” jdhao's digital space, 23 February 2019. [Online]. Available: https://jdhao.github.io/2019/02/23/crop\_rotated\_rectangle\_opencv/. [Accessed 26 July 2022]. |
| [13] | P. Delmas, “Edge Detection,” in *COMPSCI 373 Semester 1*, Auckland, The University of Auckland, 2010, pp. 40-51. |

EIE INVESTIGATION 2022: WHICH HAND?

Jesse van der Merwe (1829172)

School of Electrical & Information Engineering, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, 2050, Johannesburg, South Africa

**Abstract:** The purpose of this document is to provide an easy-to-use template/style sheet to enable authors to prepare papers in the correct format and style for the final year laboratory project. This document may be downloaded from the School of Electrical and Information Engineering web site and can be used as a template (MS Word 6.0 or later). To ensure conformity of appearance it is essential that these instructions are followed. The abstract should be limited to 50-200 words, which should concisely summarise the paper.

Table 1: Font size and styles for laboratory project papers.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Type size | Style–Times New Roman |
| Title : Subtitle | 12 | Capitals, bold, fully justified |
| Author name | 10 | Bold, fully justified |
| Author affiliation | 9 | Italics, fully justified |
| Abstract | 9 | Fully justified |
| Main section heading | 10 | Bold, capitalised, centred |
| Second heading | 10 | Italics, fully justified |
| Main text | 10 | Fully justified  No indent on 1st line |
| Figure captions | 10 | Centred below figure |
| Table captions | 10 | Centred above table |
| References | 10 | Fully justified |

# equations and references

## Equations

Number the equations consecutively with equation numbers in parentheses flush with the right margin as in (1).

 (1)

Where:

 peak magnitude of current [A]  
 the per unit slip of harmonic *q* the supply frequency [rad/s]  
 phase angle for harmonic *q* [rad]

And:

 (2)

To make your equations more compact you may use the solidus (/), the exp function or appropriate exponents. Italicise symbols for quantities and variables. Ensure that the symbols in your equation have been defined before or immediately after the equation appears. Refer to (1) rather than “eq. (1)” or “equation (1)” except at the beginning of a sentence. If you are using *Word,* use the Microsoft Equation Editor for equations in your paper (Insert | Object | Create New | Microsoft Equation). “Float over text” should *not* be selected.

First use the equation editor to create the equation. Then select the “Equation” markup style. Press the tab key and write the equation number in parentheses.

A 1,5-line spacing should be included above and below the equation for clarity. Where possible, indent the equation.

## References

A numbered list of references should be provided at the end of the paper. The list should be arranged in the order of citation in the text. List only one reference per reference number. Number citations consecutively in square brackets [1]. The sentence punctuation follows the brackets [2]. Multiple references are each numbered within one pair of brackets [1–3]. In sentences, refer to the reference number, as in [3]. Do not use “Ref. [3]” or “reference [3]” except at the beginning of a sentence: “Reference [3] shows ... .”. Do not use footnotes for references.

When citing references in the text, the corresponding reference number(s) in square brackets should be given e.g. [1], [1, 4, 5] or [2, 6-10]. Only references that are actually cited in the text should be listed. References should be complete, in IEEE style, and in a 10-point, Times New Roman font.

*Style for published papers*: Author(s) (initials and surnames), title (in inverted commas), periodical (italics), volume and issue number, page numbers (inclusive), month and year (optional) [1-2].

*Style for conference papers:* Author(s) (initials and surnames), title (in inverted commas), full conference name (italics), location, page numbers (inclusive), month and year [3].

*Style for books*: Author(s) (initials and surnames), title (italics), publisher, location, edition number, chapters and/or page numbers (inclusive), month and year (optional) [4].

The references at the end of this document are in the preferred referencing style.

# FIGURES AND TABLES

Figures, illustrations, tables and graphs should be embedded within the body of the document as close as possible to the first reference to the figure or table. Where possible, these should fit within a single column width. However, if essential for the appearance and readability of the text, figures and tables may span two column widths. Alternatively, if this is not possible, figures and tables may be included at the end of the paper.

Figures and tables should be sequentially numbered and a title should be included under the figure or above the table in a standard (not bold or italics), 10-point, Times New Roman font, with centred text, as shown in Figure 1 below. If using MS Word, try using the (Insert | Caption) functionality for automatic figure and table caption numbering and (Insert | Cross Reference) for referencing.



Figure 5: Example figure for laboratory project paper.

## Figures

Figures should be centred horizontally in the column. Large figures may span both columns. Figure captions should be below the figures, which should be numbered consecutively as they appear in the text. Do not abbreviate “Figure”. The caption should read “Figure 1: …”. Ensure that the text within the figures is not too small and is legible when printed.

Figure legends and axes labels should be legible. Use words rather than symbols on figure axes. Put units in parenthesis. Do not label axes only with units. Colour printing is not available. Ensure all figures are clear when printed in greyscale. Photographs and greyscale figures should be prepared with a resolution no greater than 300dpi. Black and white line art should be prepared with a resolution no greater than 1000dpi. Do not include colour photographs.

If your figure has two parts, include the labels “(a)” and “(b)” as part of the figure. Do not put captions in text boxes linked to the figures. Do not put borders around the outside of your figures. All figures should be included electronically. To insert images in *Word,* position the cursor at the insertion point and either use Insert | Picture | From File or copy the image to the Windows clipboard and then Edit | Paste Special | Picture (with “Float over text” unchecked). Do not include images as floating objects.

Microsoft *Excel* allows you to save spreadsheet charts in Graphics Interchange Format (GIF). To get good resolution, make the *Excel* charts *very* large. Then use the “Save as HTML” feature. You can then convert from GIF to TIFF using, for example, Microsoft *Photo Editor*.

## Tables

Table captions should be above the tables, which should be numbered consecutively as they appear in the text. Do not abbreviate “Table.” Vertical lines in the table are unnecessary. Each column should be clearly headed and appropriate symbols and units included.

To ensure that the table is reproduced exactly as required, include the tables as images. For example, this may be achieved by pasting the table from a Word document into a graphical package such as JASC *Paint Shop Pro*, Adobe *Photoshop* orMicrosoft *Photo Editor*. The image may then be saved as a black and white TIFF image and imported into the final document. Ensure that the tables are reproduced correctly with sufficient quality when printed. Alternatively, magnify the table to fill the viewing area and use a screen capture tool. Ensure spell checking markers are inactive or corrected. Process the file in a similar fashion to produce a TIFF file of suitable resolution.

# Helpful Hints

## General

Use a zero before the decimal point, and a full-stop (period) for the decimal point, rather than a comma. Remember to check spelling. If your native language is not English, try to get a native English-speaking colleague to proof-read your paper.

## Abbreviations and Acronyms

Define abbreviations and acronyms the first time they are used in the text. Do not use abbreviations in the title unless they are unavoidable. The abbreviation for “seconds” is “s,” not “sec.” Do not mix complete spellings and abbreviations of units: use “Wb/m2” or “Webers per square metre,” not “Webers/m2” .

# Editorial Policy

Do not submit a reworked version of a paper you have submitted or published elsewhere. It is the responsibility of the authors to determine whether disclosure of the material requires the prior consent of other parties, such as sponsors, and if so, to obtain it.

# Paper Submission

The electronic version of the final paper must be submitted in Portable Document Format (PDF), on or before the project submission deadline, using the submission system available at:

<http://school.eie.wits.ac.za/elen417/submission>.

# Conclusion

A conclusion may review the main points of the paper, but do not replicate the abstract as the conclusion.

AcknowledgEment

The preferred spelling of the word “acknowledgement” in British English is with an “e” after the “g.” Use the singular heading even if you have several acknowledgements. Use this section for sponsor and financial support acknowledgments. This is also an ideal section to acknowledge the contribution made by your fellow group member.

The authors would like to acknowledge the Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering at the University of Sheffield for the use of their paper template for the LDIA2003 symposium proceedings as well as the South African Institute of Electrical Engineers for parts of the style guidelines for publications in the SAIEE transactions. Additional thanks are extended to Andre van Zyl and Steve Levitt for their invaluable contributions.

References

1. G.H. Muller and C.F. Landy. “Detection of broken rotor bars, Part 1 – new theory”, *SAIEE Transactions*, Vol. 95, No. 1, pp. 7-18, March 2003.
2. L. Finn, R. Mulholland and G.J. Gibbon. “Design and implementation of a prototype computer based rehabilitation device for the lower extremities”, *SAIEE Transactions*, Vol. 95, No. 1, pp. 29-32, March 2003.
3. P. Vas. “Simulation and monitoring of induction motors with rotor asymmetry”, *Proceeding of the 6th International Conference on Electrical Machines*, Manchester, pp. 435-439, May 1992.
4. A.V. Oppenheim and R.W. Schafer. *Discrete-time signal processing*, Prentice-Hall Inc., USA, first edition, chapter 3, pp. 82-112, August 1989.