Rubric

	Excellent	Good	Sufficient	Insufficient
Understanding (30%)	*30 points max* Insightful description of the methods and interpretation of the results, possibly even beyond what has been covered in class; choices made in the analysis are well motivated	*24 points max* Correct description of the methods and the results; sensible choices in the analysis, with some motivation	*18 points max* At most minor misunderstandings of the methods and minor misinterpretation of results; mostly sensible and correctly motivated choices	*12 points max* Incorrect descriptions and serious misinterpretations of the results; strange, badly motivated choices in the analysis
Correctness (25%)	*25 points max* Flawless: all obtained results make sense and appear to be correct; any unexpected results are carefully (double) checked and discussed	*20 points max* All results seem to be correct; any unexpected results are discussed	*15 points max* There are some small errors and/or results that are unexpected, but not discussed as such	*10 points max* Clear mistakes and/or results that simply cannot be correct, but are just taken for granted
Completeness (20%)	*20 points max* All boxes, from abstract to future research and references, are ticked and described extensively	*16 points max* Boxes are ticked, but some of the descriptions can be more extensive	*12 points max* One or more boxes are missing (e.g., no future research, or no analysis of computational complexity for algorithm)	*8 points max* Important parts are missing, possibly due to lack of time
Originality (15%)	*15 points max* Original and challenging problem, possibly even concerning self-gathered data or a new (twitch to an) algorithm; creative insights and analyses, possibly even making use of tools that are not covered during the course	*12 points max* Non-stereotype dataset or algorithm; clever use of appropriate tools, when needed beyond those offered and treated during the course	*9 points max* Fine, but possibly bit standard dataset or algorithm and corresponding problem; somewhat creative use of existing tools	*6 points max* Completely standard dataset or trivial algorithm; minimalistic use of tools taken from the weekly course assignments
Clarity of exposition (10%)	*10 points max* Excellent use of English; great visualizations with self-contained captions; layout supports content; report is a pleasure to read	*8 points max* Some minor typos/spelling mistakes; ample visualizations with clear captions; fine layout	*6 points max* Report is, with some effort, understandable; adequate visualizations; layout does not demoralize reading	*4 points max* Write-up and layout hamper readability and make the report difficult to understand; hardly any (supportive) visualizations