k-fold cross-validation

Anders Munch and Thomas Alexander Gerds

University of Copenhagen, Department of Public Health, Section of Biostatistics, Copenhagen, Denmark

June 24, 2019

1 Introduction

This document discusses the different cross-validation options of the Score function.

2 Formula

The observed outcome status at time t for subject i is $\tilde{Y}_i = 1_{\{\min(T_i, C_i) \leq t\}}$ where T_i is the event time and C_i the right censoring time. A risk prediction is a value between 0 and 1. The risk prediction of a statistical model at time t for subject i is based on baseline predictor variables X_i and given by $\hat{R}(t|X_i)$. The inverse probability of censoring weights (IPCW) are given by $\hat{W}_i(t)$ based on a model for the conditional censoring survival distribution P(C > t|X).

The data $D_n = \{(\tilde{T}_i, \Delta_i, X_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, where also $\tilde{T}_i = \min(\tilde{T}_i, C_i)$ and $\Delta_i = 1_{\{T_i \leq C_i\}}$) are divided into disjoint sets:

$$D_n = \underbrace{D_l}_{\text{Training set}} \cup \underbrace{D_v}_{\text{Validation set}}$$

2.1 loob

- B number of bootstrap samples
- $D_l^b =$ b'th bootstrap sample
- $D_v^b = D_n \setminus D_l^b = \text{samples out-of-bag}$
- \hat{R}_b the model fitted in D_I^b
- $0 \le r_i \le B$ the number of bootstrap samples where subject i is out-of-bag

loob =
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{b: i \in D_n \setminus D_l^b} \hat{W}_i(t) \{ \tilde{Y}_i(t) - \hat{R}_b(t|X_i) \}^2$$

2.2 bootcv

- B number of bootstrap samples
- $D_l^b =$ b'th bootstrap sample
- $D_v^b = D_n \setminus D_l^b =$ samples out-of-bag
- \hat{R}_b the model fitted in D_I^b
- $0 \le m_b \le n$ the size of D_v^b

bootev =
$$\frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_{i \in D_n \setminus D_l^b} \hat{W}_i(t) \{ \tilde{Y}_i(t) - \hat{R}_b(t|X_i) \}^2.$$

2.3 cv-K once

- K number of folds
- $D_l^k = D_n \setminus D_v^k = \text{data without fold-k}$
- $D_n^k = \text{data in fold-k}$
- \hat{R}_k the model fitted in D_l^k
- \hat{R}_{k_i} the prediction of model fitted without the fold k_i where $i \in D_v^k$

$$\text{cv-K} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in D_n} \hat{W}_i(t) \{ \tilde{Y}_i(t) - \hat{R}_{k_i}(t|X_i) \}^2.$$

2.4 cv-K repeated B times

Same as cv-K but now we repeat K-fold B times. In this case, the bootstrap samples are of the same size a the whole original dataset. We use the notation:

- D_n^b is the b'th bootstrap sample of size n.
- $D_v^{b,k}$ is the data in the fold-k of the b'th bootstrap sample.
- $D_l^{b,k} = D_n^b \setminus D_v^{b,k}$ is the bootstrap sample without the fold-k.
- \hat{R}_k^b is a model trained on $D_l^{b,k}$, i.e., on the b'th bootstrap sample without the fold-k.
- For each $i=1,\ldots,n$ and $b=1,\ldots,B$, let k_i^b be defined by $i\in D_v^{b,k_i^b}$, i.e., k_i^b denotes the fold in D_n^b that subject i is part of. Then $\hat{R}_{k_i}^b:=\hat{R}_{k_i^b}^b$ denotes the model trained on the all the folds of the b'th bootstrap sample, except the fold including subject i.

• l_i is the number (between 0 and B) of times that subject i is sampled, i.e., the number of bootstrap samples in which subject i is *included*.

Then, we have two possibilities:

1. Average the B values of cv-K (like bootcv):

$$\frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in D_n^b} \hat{W}_i(t) \left(\tilde{Y}_i(t) - \hat{R}_{k_i}^b(t \mid X_i) \right)^2.$$

1. For each i collect the B predictions (like loob):

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1, \\ l_i \neq 0}}^{n} \frac{1}{l_i} \sum_{b: i \in D_n^b} \hat{W}_i(t) \left(\tilde{Y}_i(t) - \hat{R}_{k_i}^b(t \mid X_i) \right)^2.$$

If we do not use replacement in the bootstrap sampling (which then simply gives B different partitions of the same dataset) the two formulas are of course identical, because then every subject i is present in all B bootstrap samples and $D_n^b = D_n$ for all b.

3 Testing

Copy/paste some functionality form other vignette.

Packages and source for reloading after edits.

```
library(riskRegression)
sessionInfo()
```

Registered S3 methods overwritten by 'ggplot2':

method from
[.quosures rlang
c.quosures rlang
print.quosures rlang
riskRegression version 2019.06.13
R version 3.6.0 (2019-04-26)

Platform: x86_64-apple-darwin15.6.0 (64-bit) Running under: OS X El Capitan 10.11.6

Matrix products: default

BLAS: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/3.6/Resources/lib/libRblas.0.dylibLAPACK: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/3.6/Resources/lib/libRlapack.dylib

locale:

[1] en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8/C/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8

```
attached base packages:
[1] stats
              graphics grDevices utils
                                             datasets methods
                                                                  base
other attached packages:
[1] riskRegression_2019.06.13
loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
 [1] Rcpp_1.0.1
                                              lattice_0.20-38
                         mvtnorm_1.0-10
 [4] zoo_1.8-6
                         assertthat_0.2.1
                                              digest_0.6.19
 [7] foreach_1.4.4
                         R6_2.4.0
                                              plyr_1.8.4
                         acepack_1.4.1
[10] backports_1.1.4
                                              MatrixModels_0.4-1
[13] ggplot2_3.1.1
                         pillar_1.4.1
                                              rlang_0.3.4
                         multcomp_1.4-10
[16] lazyeval_0.2.2
                                              rstudioapi_0.10
[19] data.table_1.12.2
                         SparseM_1.77
                                              rpart_4.1-15
[22] Matrix_1.2-17
                         checkmate_1.9.3
                                              splines_3.6.0
[25] stringr_1.4.0
                         foreign_0.8-71
                                              htmlwidgets_1.3
[28] munsell_0.5.0
                         numDeriv_2016.8-1.1 compiler_3.6.0
[31] xfun_0.7
                         pkgconfig_2.0.2
                                              base64enc_0.1-3
[34] htmltools_0.3.6
                         nnet_7.3-12
                                              tidyselect_0.2.5
[37] tibble_2.1.3
                          gridExtra_2.3
                                              htmlTable_1.13.1
[40] prodlim_2018.04.18
                         Hmisc_4.2-0
                                              rms_5.1-3.1
[43] codetools_0.2-16
                         crayon_1.3.4
                                              dplyr_0.8.1
[46] MASS_7.3-51.4
                                              grid_3.6.0
                          timereg_1.9.3
[49] nlme_3.1-140
                         polspline_1.1.14
                                              gtable_0.3.0
[52] magrittr_1.5
                         scales_1.0.0
                                              stringi_1.4.3
[55] latticeExtra_0.6-28 sandwich_2.5-1
                                              Formula_1.2-3
[58] TH.data_1.0-10
                         lava_1.6.5
                                              RColorBrewer_1.1-2
[61] iterators_1.0.10
                         tools_3.6.0
                                              cmprsk_2.2-8
[64] glue_1.3.1
                         purrr_0.3.2
                                              survival_2.44-1.1
[67] colorspace_1.4-1
                         cluster_2.0.9
                                              knitr_1.23
[70] quantreg_5.40
```

Setup data

Now also works with for bootcv without errors, now also returning no-NA IPA. These are negativ, however, which I don't know if make sense.

```
X1 <- Score(list("Exclusive ERG"=lrfit.ex,"Inclusive ERG"=lrfit.inc),
    data=astest,
        formula=Y1~1,summary="ipa",se.fit=OL,metrics="brier",
    contrasts=FALSE,
        split.method = "bootcv", B=100)</pre>
```

```
X1
```

Metric Brier:

Results by model:

```
model Brier IPA
1: Null model 0.157 0.0000
2: Exclusive ERG 0.169 -0.0781
3: Inclusive ERG 0.163 -0.0396
```

Bootstrap cross-validation based on 100 bootstrap samples (drawn with replacement) each of s

And gives some result for cv when just using the same method as for bootcv. Not sure these are correct however.

```
X1 <- Score(list("Exclusive ERG"=lrfit.ex,"Inclusive ERG"=lrfit.inc),
    data=astest,
        formula=Y1~1,summary="ipa",se.fit=OL,metrics="brier",
        contrasts=FALSE,
        split.method = "cv5", B=100)</pre>
```

```
X1
```

Metric Brier:

Results by model:

```
model Brier IPA
1: Null model 0.154 0.00000
2: Exclusive ERG 0.160 -0.03601
3: Inclusive ERG 0.153 0.00392
```

5-fold cross-validation repeated 100 times.