```
Jesse Opitz
Lab 9
```

### **Crafting a Compiler**

5.5

## **Original Grammar:**

```
1. DeclList
               → DeclList; Decl
2.
               | Decl
3. Decl
               → IdList : Type
4. IdList
               \rightarrow IdList, id
5.
               | id
6. Type
              → ScalarType
               | array ( ScalarTypeList ) of Type
7.
8. ScalarType → id
               | Bound .. Bound
10. Bound
              → Sign intconstant
11.
               | id
12. Sign
              \rightarrow +
13.
14
15. ScalarTypelist → ScalarTypelist , ScalarType
```

### **Answer:**

Left recursion is the issue: there are no common prefixes Left recursion is an issue in the bolded steps

| ScalarType

```
→ Decl DeclList'
1. DeclList
2. DeclList'
                    \rightarrow; DeclList
3.
               → IdList : Type
4. Decl
               → id IdList'
5. IdList
               \rightarrow, IdList
6. IdList'
7. Type
               → ScalarType
               | array ( ScalarTypeList ) of Type
8.
9. ScalarType \rightarrow id
10.
                | Bound .. Bound
              → Sign intconstant
11. Bound
12.
               | id
13. Sign
               \rightarrow +
14.
15
16. ScalarTypelist → ScalarType ScalarTypelist'
17. ScalarTypelist → , ScalarTypelist
18.
```

# ScalarType 16 ScalarType

# 16. | ScalarType

## **6.51? ←**Optional?

The bottom-up parsing techniques given in this chapter are more powerful than top-down techniques given in Chapter 5.

Using the alphabet { a, b }, devise a language that is not LL(k) for any k but is LR(k) for some k. What property of LR(k) parsing allows such a grammar to be constructed?

$$A \rightarrow a + B$$

$$B \rightarrow a + b$$

This is not LL(k) because it is left recursive and has common prefixes.

It can be LR(k) because it is not right recursive, which means it has a unique prefix when reading right to left.

### Dragon

### 4.5.3

Give bottom-up parses for the following input strings and grammars:

a)

Ex 4.5.1:

 $S \rightarrow 1.0 S 1 | 2.01$ 

The input 000111 according to the grammar of Ex 4.5.1

$$S => 1.0 S 1 => 1.00 S 1 1 => 2.000111$$

**b**)

Ex 4.5.2:

$$S \rightarrow 1. S S + | 2. S S * | 3. a$$

The input aaa\*a++ according to the grammar of Ex 4.5.2

Shift Reduce:

$$a \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow a S \Rightarrow S S \Rightarrow a S S \Rightarrow S S S S S \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow S S \Rightarrow S S \Rightarrow S S \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow S S \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow S S \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow$$

### 4.6.5

Show that the following grammar:

 $S \rightarrow A a A b | B b B a$ 

 $A \rightarrow \epsilon$ 

 $B \rightarrow \epsilon$ 

Is LL(1) but not SLR(1) ['Don't worry about SLR' – Alan Labouseur]

Parse Table:

	a	b
S	1	2
A		
В		