

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224394342>

Computationally efficient frame-averaged FM feature extraction for speaker recognition

Article in *Electronics Letters* · April 2009

DOI: 10.1049/el.2009.0170 · Source: IEEE Xplore

CITATIONS

7

READS

54

4 authors, including:



Tharmarajah Thiruvaran

University of Jaffna

40 PUBLICATIONS 366 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Hadis Nosrati

Dolby laboratories, Sydney, Australia

21 PUBLICATIONS 220 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Julien Epps

UNSW Sydney

284 PUBLICATIONS 7,071 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Modeling Rapid Response System in acute hospitals [View project](#)



Genomic Sequence Processing: gene finding in eukaryotes [View project](#)

Computationally efficient frame-averaged FM feature extraction for speaker recognition

T. Thiruvanan, M. Nosratighods, E. Ambikairajah and J. Epps

Recently, subband frame-averaged frequency modulation (FM) as a complementary feature to amplitude-based features for several speech based classification problems including speaker recognition has shown promise. One problem with using FM extraction in practical implementations is computational complexity. Proposed is a computationally efficient method to estimate the frame-averaged FM component in a novel manner, using zero crossing counts and the zero crossing counts of the differentiated signal. FM components, extracted from subband speech signals using the proposed method, form a feature vector. Speaker recognition experiments conducted on the NIST 2008 telephone database show that the proposed method successfully augments mel frequency cepstrum coefficients (MFCCs) to improve performance, obtaining 17% relative reductions in equal error rates when compared with an MFCC-based system.

Introduction: Frequency modulation (FM) information has been shown to be very useful in augmenting amplitude information in several speech processing applications, such as in cochlear implant applications [1] and speaker recognition [2]. The use of FM features is motivated by AM-FM modelling of the speech signal [3]. In this model, speech is represented as a sum of resonances, each of which is represented by an AM-FM signal:

$$x[n] = \sum_{k=1}^K A_k[n] \cos \left[\frac{2\pi f_{ck}n}{f_s} + \frac{2\pi}{f_s} \sum_{r=1}^n q_k[r] \right] \quad (1)$$

where K is the total number of resonances, $A_k[n]$ is the time-varying amplitude component, $q_k[r]$ is the time-varying frequency component, f_{ck} is the resonant frequency and f_s is the sampling frequency.

There are numerous FM extraction methods available, such as the digital energy separation algorithm (DESA) [3], the smoothed energy operator separation algorithm (SEOSA) [4], the FAME method [1] and methods based on the Hilbert transform [4]. There are two main problems with these methods: (i) the previously observed spiky nature of the FM estimate; (ii) the computational complexity [2]. A second-order all pole method was shown to outperform various alternative approaches, owing to its smooth estimates [2], providing a solution to (i). We propose a method as a solution for the computational complexity problem. Most FM extraction methods are computationally complex, making subband FM extraction unfeasible in real-world implementations and difficult in experiments with large databases, such as NIST evaluations. Furthermore, these methods extract sample-by-sample FM estimates, which perform poorly in speaker recognition experiments [2] when central tendency is used. To find a computationally efficient method, we exploit the fact that although the instantaneous FM estimate can be estimated, only the frame-averaged FM is usually used as a feature for classification.

Proposed frame-averaged FM feature: We propose a frame-averaged FM feature extraction method using zero crossing counts (ZC) and zero crossing counts of the differentiated signal (DZC), where the DZC enhances the robustness of the FM feature. As stated in [5], the discrimination of signals can be economically expressed through ZC and DZC, which we use in the proposed feature. As the proposed FM feature directly estimates the frame-averaged FM estimate, avoiding instantaneous estimation, it is highly computationally efficient.

For feature extraction purposes, K in (1) is taken as the number of subband filters used to analyse the speech signal, to allow a fixed feature dimension and avoid dependence on formant estimation accuracy. Then, for each subband, the frame-averaged FM estimate is used as the feature, assuming a piecewise constant FM component between two zero crossings. If the number of zero crossings over a frame length of L samples with sampling frequency f_s is N_{ZC} (number of intervals is $N_{ZC} - 1$), then the frame-averaged estimate of the FM component for a subband signal of centre frequency f_c is

$$f_{ZC} = \left(\frac{N_{ZC} - 1}{2L} \right) f_s - f_c \quad (2)$$

When differentiating a signal, the maxima and minima become zero crossings. Thus, frequency estimation along the lines of (2) using the differentiated signal zero-crossings (DZC) instead of ZC gives another frame-averaged FM estimate (f_{DZC}) based on the maxima and minima of the original subband signal.

Here we propose extraction of the frame-averaged FM estimate as an average of f_{ZC} and f_{DZC} for each subband signal, which is termed f_{AZC} . The motivation for averaging is to reduce feature variability for classification purposes. The accuracy of the frame-averaged FM estimation of f_{AZC} over f_{ZC} and f_{DZC} is demonstrated using the simple synthetic FM signal given in (3), of a length of 5 s:

$$s(n) = \sin \left[\frac{2\pi f_c n}{f_s} + k \cos \left(\frac{2\pi f_a n}{f_s} \right) \right] \quad (3)$$

where k is -0.4 , f_a is 400 Hz and f_s is 8000 Hz. Mean squared errors (MSE) of the frame-averaged FM estimates of f_{AZC} , f_{ZC} and f_{DZC} were calculated for typical speech analysis frame sizes of 20 and 30 ms for two different centre frequencies (see Table 1). Results from this comparison show that for this signal, the averaging of f_{ZC} and f_{DZC} to produce an f_{AZC} frame-averaged FM estimate produces a substantial reduction in MSE. This is due to f_{ZC} being estimated slightly lower than the actual value, and f_{DZC} being estimated slightly higher than the actual value in these particular cases. Thus the averaging provides a more accurate estimate.

Table 1: Mean-square error comparison of frame-averaged FM estimates for signal shown in (3)

f_c	700 Hz		1100 Hz	
Frame size	20 ms	30 ms	20 ms	30 ms
MSE_ZC	4.05	1.72	4.05	1.72
MSE_DZC	1.69	0.73	1.69	0.73
MSE_AZC	0.13	0.05	0.13	0.05

The expression for the frame-averaged FM estimate using f_{ZC} and f_{DZC} is given in (4):

$$f_{AZC1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{N_{ZC} - 1}{2L} + \frac{N_{DZC} - 1}{2L} \right] f_s - f_c \quad (4)$$

where N_{DZC} is the number of zero crossing counts of the differentiated signal. Finer estimation of the above estimate can be achieved by considering the length between the first and the last zero crossings n_{ZC}^{first} and n_{ZC}^{last} , respectively, and the first and the last zero crossings of the differentiated signal n_{DZC}^{first} and n_{DZC}^{last} , respectively, as follows:

$$f_{AZC2} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{(N_{ZC} - 1)}{n_{ZC}^{last} - n_{ZC}^{first}} + \frac{(N_{DZC} - 1)}{n_{DZC}^{last} - n_{DZC}^{first}} \right] f_s - f_c \quad (5)$$

Frequency estimation based on zero crossings was initially proposed in [6], where the instantaneous frequency between two zero crossings was approximated as a linear function of time. In speech processing zero crossings have been used to estimate instantaneous FM using polynomial interpolation [7] and to estimate logarithmic pseudo-instantaneous frequencies [8]. However, these techniques do not utilise the DZC and are designed to extract instantaneous estimates.

Evaluation: Speech reconstruction was performed to check the validity of our piecewise constant assumption and then speaker recognition experiments were performed. For the speech reconstruction experiment, one reconstructed signal used only instantaneous amplitude, setting the FM ($q_k[r] = 0$) component to zero in (1) as the baseline. In the second reconstruction, in addition to the instantaneous amplitude, frame-averaged FM estimates are also used according to

$$x[n] = \sum_{k=1}^K A_k[n] \cos \left[\frac{2\pi f_{ck}n}{f_s} + \frac{2\pi}{f_s} \sum_{r=1}^n q_k \left[\left\lceil \frac{r}{L} \right\rceil \right] \right] \quad (6)$$

where $q_k \left[\left\lceil \frac{r}{L} \right\rceil \right]$ is the frame-averaged FM estimate (piecewise constant), taken as either f_{AZC1} or f_{AZC2} in (4) or (5), respectively, and L is the frame size-20 ms in this experiment. Objective perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ) estimates for the first and second reconstructed signals are 2.4 and 3.4, respectively. The significant improvement supports our piecewise constant assumption.

A comparative speaker recognition experiment was performed on the NIST 2001 database to examine the trade-off between error rate and

computational complexity. For this experiment the second-order all pole method, which outperforms other FM extraction techniques for the purposes of speaker recognition [2], was compared against the proposed method. 14-dimensional FM features were appended with delta features, and a 512-mixture GMM-based classifier was used. The processing time as a factor of real time (based on a Pentium 3.2 GHz processor) and the performance of the speaker recognition system in terms of equal error rate (EER) are given in Table 2 with some existing methods. In relative terms, 57% relative processing time is saved for the cost of a 3.8% relative increase in error rate for the combined MFCC + FM system (16 dimensional MFCCs with delta coefficients) when the proposed method of FM extraction is employed. Furthermore, the processing time for those methods is much greater than for the proposed method. In particular, SEOSA performs as well as the proposed method, but takes more than twice the processing time.

Table 2: Speaker recognition performance against computational complexity cost analysis on NIST 2001 database

	EER (%)	Processing time (\times real time)
Second-order all pole FM	11.87	0.57
Proposed FM	13.49	0.22
SEOSA [7]	13.35	0.53
FAME [1]	27	0.46
Hilbert transform [7]	16.09	0.96
MFCC	8.49	0.04
MFCC + second-order all pole FM	7.85	0.61
MFCC + proposed FM	8.15	0.26

Finally, the proposed method was evaluated on the recent NIST 2008 telephone database with a GMM-SVM system (512 mixtures). Nuisance attribute projection (NAP) was performed as channel compensation, according to the state-of-the-art GMM-SVM systems. The results of this evaluation, in terms of EER, are given in Table 3, where the 11.65% EER of the MFCC system (13 dimensional MFCCs with delta and delta-delta coefficients) is improved to 9.67%, providing 17% relative improvement.

Table 3: Speaker recognition performance on NIST 2008 telephone database

	EER (%)
Proposed FM	14.68
MFCC	11.65
MFCC + proposed FM	9.67

Conclusion: A computationally efficient method for the extraction of frame-averaged FM features is proposed, based on both ZC and differentiated signal ZC with the assumption of piecewise constant FM. The assumption is justified by significant improvement in speech reconstruction. Comparative speaker recognition experiments reveal that for a cost of 3.8% relative error rate, a 57% relative reduction in computation time is achieved using the proposed method over the second-order all pole method. Finally, evaluation on the NIST 2008 telephone database using the proposed feature combined with MFCCs demonstrated relative improvements of 17% over the performance of an MFCC-based system.

© The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2009

26 January 2009

doi: 10.1049/el.2009.0170

T. Thiruvaran, M. Nosrathighods, E. Ambikairajah and J. Epps (*School of Electrical Engineering, The University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Sydney, Australia*)

E-mail: thiruvaran@student.unsw.edu.au

T. Thiruvaran, E. Ambikairajah and J. Epps: Also with National Information and Communication Technology Australia (NICTA), Eveleigh 1430, Australia

References

- 1 Kaibao, N., Stickney, G., and Fan-Gang, Z.: 'Encoding frequency modulation to improve cochlear implant performance in noise', *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 2005, **52**, (1), pp. 64–73
- 2 Thiruvaran, T., Ambikairajah, E., and Epps, J.: 'Extraction of FM components from speech signals using all-pole model', *Electron. Lett.*, 2008, **44**, (6), pp. 449–450
- 3 Maragos, P., Kaiser, J.F., and Quatieri, T.F.: 'Energy separation in signal modulations with application to speech analysis', *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 1993, **41**, (10), pp. 3024–3051
- 4 Potamianos, A., and Maragos, P.: 'A comparison of the energy operator and the Hilbert transform approach to signal and speech demodulation', *Signal Process.*, 1994, **37**, (1), pp. 95–120
- 5 Kedem, B.: 'Spectral analysis and discrimination by zero-crossings', *Proc. IEEE*, 1986, **74**, (11), pp. 1477–1493
- 6 Wiley, R.: 'Approximate FM demodulation using zero crossings', *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, 1981, **29**, (7), pp. 1061–1065
- 7 Sekhar, S.C., and Sreenivas, T.V.: 'Novel approach to AM-FM decomposition with applications to speech and music analysis'. IEEE ICASSP, Montréal, QC, Canada, May 2004
- 8 Kubo, Y., Kurematsu, A., and Shirai, K.: 'Noisy speech recognition using temporal AM-FM combination'. IEEE ICASSP, Las Vegas, NV, USA, March 2008