CSC3600 Final Report



Ву

USQ Learning Emporium

Richard Dobson, Jesse Hare, James McKeown, Vincent Roberts, Ryan Sharp

Examiner

Dr. Xiaohui Tao

 $Senior\ Lecturer\ (Computing)-School\ of\ Sciences\ -\ PhD$ QUT

Project Supervisor

Assoc. Prof. Stijn Dekeyser

Associate Professor (Computing) - School of Sciences - $PhD\ Antwerp$

School of Management and Enterprise

Due: Thursday 17th of October 2019

Contents

1.	Executive Summary	2
2.	Methodology	2
	2.1 Methodology Statement	2
	2.2 Justifications	2
	2.3 Discussions	2
3.	Project Process	2
	3.1 Team Organisation	2
	3.2 Team Structure and Roles	2
	3.3 Communication and Meetings	3
	3.4 Documentation	3
	3.5 Process	3
4.	Project Report	3
	4.1 Project Outcome	3
	4.2 Cost of the Project	3
5.	Professionalism and Professional Ethics	3
	5.1 Professionalism	3
	5.2 Professional Ethics	3
6.	Contribution Distribution	4
7.	Conclusions	4
Re	eference List	4
Αı	opendix	4

1. Executive Summary

This report provides an analysis and evaluation of the development stages completed for the harvest metadata.

2. Methodology

Lorem Ipsum

2.1 Methodology Statement

Lorem Ipsum

2.2 Justifications

Lorem Ipsum

2.3 Discussions

Lorem Ipsum

3. Project Process

3.1 Team Organisation

There were a total of 5 members in the USQ Learning Emporium group. As this project was initially designed for teams of 3, the group needed to strategise ways in which to split the work up. The first solution was to divide the main project task into 2 main groups; a back-end team (3 members) and a front-end team (2 members). Within these groups each member had different roles.

3.2 Team Structure and Roles

As stated above, the group was divided into 2 main groups; a back-end team (3 members) and a front-end team (2 members). The back-end team consisted of: James McKeown (Team Leader), Richard Dobson (Programmer) and Vincent Roberts (Programmer) **needs to be fixed. The front-end team consisted of: Jesse Hare (Team Leader), Ryan Sharp (Programmer).

James McKeown and Jesse Hare both lead their respective teams. This allowed for clear and concise instructions (**expand on this). Both of these members took on the most technical aspects of the project, especially in regards to researching solutions. Other members then utilised this research to program their respective tasks within the project.

3.3 Communication and Meetings

Lorem Ipsum

3.4 Documentation

Lorem Ipsum

3.5 Process

Lorem Ipsum

4. Project Report

Lorem Ipsum

4.1 Project Outcome

Lorem Ipsum

4.2 Cost of the Project

Lorem Ipsum

5. Professionalism and Professional Ethics

5.1 Professionalism

Lorem Ipsum

5.2 Professional Ethics

Lorem Ipsum

- 6. Contribution Distribution
- 7. Conclusions

Reference List

Appendix