

## Interlude: Thread API

This chapter briefly covers the main portions of the thread API. Each part will be explained further in the subsequent chapters, as we show how to use the API. More details can be found in various books and online sources [B97,B+96,K+96]. We should note that the subsequent chapters introduce the concepts of locks and condition variables more slowly, with many examples; this chapter is thus better used as a reference.

### 26.1 Thread Creation

The first thing you have to be able to do to write a multi-threaded program is to create new threads, and thus some kind of thread creation interface must exist. In POSIX, it is easy:

```
#include <pthread.h>
int
pthread_create(pthread_t * restrict          thread,
               const pthread_attr_t * restrict attr,
               void * (*start_routine)(void*),
               void * restrict              arg);
```

Now, this declaration might look a little complex (particularly if you haven't used function pointers in C), but actually it's not too bad. There are four arguments: `thread`, `attr`, `start_routine`, and `arg`. The first, `thread`, is a pointer to a structure of type `pthread_t`; we'll use this structure to interact with this thread, and thus we need to pass it to `pthread_create()` in order to initialize it.

The second argument, `attr`, is used to specify any attributes this thread might have. Some examples include setting the stack size or perhaps information about the scheduling priority of the thread. An attribute is initialized with a separate call to `pthread_attr_init()`; see the manual page for details. However, in most cases, the defaults will be fine; in this case, we will simply pass the value `NULL` in.

The third argument is the most complex, but is really just asking: which function should this thread start running in? In C, we call this a **function pointer**, and this one tells us the following is expected: a function name (`start_routine`), which is passed a single argument of type `void *` (as indicated in the parentheses after `start_routine`), and which returns a value of type `void *`.

If this routine instead required an integer argument, instead of a `void *`, the declaration would look like this:

```
int pthread_create(..., // first two args are the same
                  void *  (*start_routine)(int),
                  int      arg);
```

If instead the routine took a `void *` as an argument, but returned an integer, it would look like this:

```
int pthread_create(..., // first two args are the same
                  int      (*start_routine)(void *),
                  void *    arg);
```

Finally, the fourth argument, `arg`, is exactly the argument to be passed to the function where the thread begins execution. Now you might be asking: why all of these `void *` declarations? Well, the answer is quite simple: having a `void *` argument to the `start_routine` allows us to pass in any type of argument the function requires; having it as a return value allows the thread to return any type of argument as well.

Let's look at an example in Figure 26.1. Here we just create a thread that is passed two arguments, packaged into a single type we define ourselves (`myarg_t`). The thread, once created, can simply cast its argument to the type it expects and thus unpack the arguments as desired.

And there it is! Once you create a thread, you really have another live executing entity, complete with its own call stack, running within the *same* address space as all the currently existing threads in the program. The fun thus begins!

```
#include <pthread.h>

typedef struct __myarg_t {
    int a;
    int b;
} myarg_t;

void *mythread(void *arg) {
    myarg_t *m = (myarg_t *) arg;
    printf("%d %d\n", m->a, m->b);
    return NULL;
}

int
main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    pthread_t p;
    int rc;

    myarg_t args;
    args.a = 10;
    args.b = 20;
    rc = pthread_create(&p, NULL, mythread, &args);
    ...
}
```

Figure 26.1: Creating a Thread

## 26.2 Thread Completion

The example above shows how to create a thread. However, what happens if you want to wait for a thread to complete? You need to do something special in order to wait for completion; in particular, you must call the routine `pthread_join()`.

```
int pthread_join(pthread_t thread, void **value_ptr);
```

This routine takes only two arguments. The first is of type `pthread_t`, and is used to specify which thread to wait for. This value is exactly what you passed into the thread library during creation; if you held onto it, you can now use it to wait for the thread to stop running.

The second argument is a pointer to the return value you expect to get back. Because the routine can return anything, it is defined to return a pointer to void; because the `pthread_join()` routine *changes* the value of the passed in argument, you need to pass in a pointer to that value, not just the value itself.

Let's look at another example (Figure 26.2). In the code, a single thread is again created, and passed a couple of arguments via the `myarg_t` structure. To return values, the `myret_t` type is used. Once the thread is finished running, the main thread, which has been waiting inside of `pthread_join()`<sup>1</sup>, then returns, and we can access the values returned from the thread, namely whatever is in `myret_t`.

A few things to note about this example. First, often times we don't have to do all of this painful packing and unpacking of arguments. For example, if we just create a thread with no arguments, we can pass `NULL` in as an argument when the thread is created. Similarly, we can pass `NULL` into `pthread_join()` if we don't care about the return value.

Second, if we are just passing in a single value (e.g., an int), we don't have to package it up as an argument. Figure 26.3 shows an example. In this case, life is a bit simpler, as we don't have to package arguments and return values inside of structures.

Third, we should note that one has to be extremely careful with how values are returned from a thread. In particular, never return a pointer which refers to something allocated on the thread's call stack. If you do, what do you think will happen? (think about it!) Here is an example of a dangerous piece of code, modified from the example in Figure 26.2.

```
void *mythread(void *arg) {
    myarg_t *m = (myarg_t *) arg;
    printf("%d %d\n", m->a, m->b);
    myret_t r; // ALLOCATED ON STACK -> BAD!
    r.x = 1;
    r.y = 2;
    return (void *) &r;
}
```

In this case, the variable `r` is allocated on the stack of `mythread`. However, when it returns, the value is automatically deallocated (that's why the stack is so easy to use, after all!), and thus, passing back a pointer to a now deallocated variable will lead to all sorts of bad results. Certainly, when you print out the values you think you

---

<sup>1</sup>Note we use wrapper functions here; specifically, we call `Malloc()`, `Pthread_join()`, and `Pthread_create()`, which just call their similarly-named lower-case versions and make sure the routines did not return anything unexpected.

```

#include <stdio.h>
#include <pthread.h>
#include <assert.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

typedef struct __myarg_t {
    int a;
    int b;
} myarg_t;

typedef struct __myret_t {
    int x;
    int y;
} myret_t;

void *mythread(void *arg) {
    myarg_t *m = (myarg_t *) arg;
    printf("%d %d\n", m->a, m->b);
    myret_t *r = Malloc(sizeof(myret_t));
    r->x = 1;
    r->y = 2;
    return (void *) r;
}

int
main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int rc;
    pthread_t p;
    myret_t *m;

    myarg_t args;
    args.a = 10;
    args.b = 20;
    Pthread_create(&p, NULL, mythread, &args);
    Pthread_join(p, (void **) &m);
    printf("returned %d %d\n", m->x, m->y);
    return 0;
}

```

Figure 26.2: Waiting for Thread Completion

returned, you'll probably (but not necessarily!) be surprised at what you see. Try it and find out for yourself<sup>2</sup>!

Finally, you might notice that the use of `pthread_create()` to

---

<sup>2</sup>Fortunately the compiler `gcc` will likely complain when you write code like this, yet another reason to pay attention to compiler warnings.

```
void *mythread(void *arg) {
    int m = (int) arg;
    printf("%d\n", m);
    return (void *) (arg + 1);
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    pthread_t p;
    int rc, m;
    Pthread_create(&p, NULL, mythread, (void *) 100);
    Pthread_join(p, (void **) &m);
    printf("returned %d\n", m);
    return 0;
}
```

Figure 26.3: Simpler Argument Passing to a Thread

create a thread, followed by an immediate call to `pthread_join()`, is a pretty strange way to create a thread. In fact, there is an easier way to accomplish this exact task; it's called a **procedure call**. Clearly, we'll usually be creating more than just one thread and waiting for it to complete, otherwise there is not much purpose to using threads at all.

We should note that not all code that is multi-threaded uses the join routine. For example, a multi-threaded web server might create a number of worker threads, and then use the main thread to accept requests and pass them to the workers, indefinitely. Such long-lived programs thus may not need join. However, a parallel program which creates threads to execute a particular task (in parallel) will likely use join to make sure all such work completes before either exiting or moving onto the next stage of a computation.

## 26.3 Locks

Beyond thread creation and join, probably the next most useful set of functions provided by the POSIX threads library are those for providing mutual exclusion to a critical section via **locks**. The most basic pair of routines to use for this purpose is provided by this pair of routines:

```
int pthread_mutex_lock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_mutex_unlock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
```

The routines should be easy to understand and use. When you have a region of code you realize is a **critical section**, and thus needs to be protected by locks in order to operate as desired. You can probably imagine what the code looks like:

```
pthread_mutex_t lock;
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
x = x + 1; // or whatever your critical section is
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

The intent of the code is as follows: if no other thread holds the lock when `pthread_mutex_lock()` is called, the thread should acquire the lock and enter the critical section. If another thread does indeed hold the lock, the thread trying to grab the lock will not return from the call until it has acquired the lock (implying that the thread holding the lock has indeed released it via the unlock call). Of course, many threads may be stuck waiting inside the lock acquisition function at a given time; only the thread with the lock acquired, however, should call unlock.

Unfortunately, this code is broken, in two important ways. The first problem is a lack of proper initialization. All locks must be properly initialized in order to guarantee that they have the correct values to begin with and thus work as desired when lock and unlock are called.

With POSIX threads, there are two ways to initialize locks. One way to do this is to use `PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER`, as follows:

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
```

Doing so sets the lock to the default values and thus makes the lock usable. The dynamic way to do it (i.e., at run time) is to make a call to `pthread_mutex_init()`, as follows:

```
int rc = pthread_mutex_init(&lock, NULL);
assert(rc == 0); // always check success!
```

The first argument to this routine is the address of the lock itself, whereas the second is an optional set of attributes. Read more about the attributes yourself; passing `NULL` in simply uses the defaults. Either way works, but we usually use the dynamic (latter) method. Note that a corresponding call to `pthread_cond_destroy()` should also be made, when you are done with the lock; see the manual page for all of details.

```
// Use this to keep your code clean but check for failures
// Only use if exiting program is OK upon failure
void Pthread_mutex_lock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex) {
    int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(mutex);
    assert(rc == 0);
}
```

Figure 26.4: An Example Wrapper

The second problem with the code above is that it fails to check errors code when calling lock and unlock. Just like virtually any library routine you call in a UNIX system, these routines can also fail! If your code doesn't properly check error codes, the failure will happen silently, which in this case could allow multiple threads into a critical section. Minimally, use wrappers, which assert that the routine succeeded (e.g., as in Figure 26.4); more sophisticated (non-toy) programs, which can't simply exit when something goes wrong, should check for failure and do something appropriate when the lock or unlock does not succeed.

The lock and unlock routines are not the only routines that pthreads has to interact with locks. In particular, here are a couple more routines which may be of interest:

```
int pthread_mutex_trylock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_mutex_timedlock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex,
                           struct timespec *restrict abs_timeout);
```

These two calls are used in lock acquisition. The `trylock` version returns failure if the lock is already held; the `timedlock` version of acquiring a lock returns after a timeout or after acquiring the lock, whichever happens first. Thus, the `timedlock` with a timeout of zero degenerates to the `trylock` case. Both of these versions should generally be avoided; however, there are a few cases where avoiding getting stuck (perhaps indefinitely) in a lock acquisition routine can be useful, as we'll see in future chapters (e.g., when we study deadlock).

## 26.4 Condition Variables

The other major component of any threads library, and certainly the case with POSIX threads, is the presence of a **condition variable**. Condition variables are useful when some kind of signaling must take place between threads, if one thread is waiting for another to do



something before it can continue. Two primary routines are used by programs wishing to interact in this way:

```
int pthread_cond_wait(pthread_cond_t *cond, pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_cond_signal(pthread_cond_t *cond);
```

To use a condition variable, one has to in addition have a lock that is associated with this condition. When calling either of the above routines, this lock should be held.

The first routine, `pthread_cond_wait()`, puts the calling thread to sleep, and thus waits for some other thread to signal it, usually when something in the program has changed that the now-sleeping thread might care about. For example, a typical usage looks like this:

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
pthread_cond_t  init = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
while (initialized == 0)
    pthread_cond_wait(&init, &lock);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

In this code, after initialization of the relevant lock and condition<sup>3</sup>, a thread checks to see if the variable `initialized` has yet been set to something other than zero. If not, the thread simply calls the wait routine in order to sleep until some other thread wakes it.

The code to wake a thread, which would run in some other thread, looks like this:

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
initialized = 1;
pthread_cond_signal(&init);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

A few things to note about this code sequence. First, when signaling (as well as when modifying the global variable `initialized`), we always make sure to have the lock held. This ensures that we don't accidentally introduce a race condition into our code.

Second, you might notice that the wait call takes a lock as its second parameter, whereas the signal call only takes a condition. The

---

<sup>3</sup>Note that one could use `pthread_cond_init()` (and corresponding the `pthread_cond_destroy()` call) instead of the static initializer `PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER`. Sound like more work? It is.

reason for this difference is that the wait call, in addition to putting the calling thread to sleep, *releases* the lock when putting said caller to sleep. Imagine if it did not: how could the other thread acquire the lock and signal it to wake up? However, *before* returning after being woken, the `pthread_cond_wait()` re-acquires the lock, thus ensuring that any time the waiting thread is running between the lock acquire at the beginning of the wait sequence, and the lock release at the end, it holds the lock.

One last oddity: the waiting thread re-checks the condition in a while loop, instead of a simple if statement. We'll discuss this issue in detail when we study condition variables in a future chapter, but in general, using a while loop is the simple and safe thing to do. Although it rechecks the condition (perhaps adding a little overhead), there are some pthread implementations that could spuriously wake up a waiting thread; in such a case, without rechecking, the waiting thread will continue thinking that the condition has changed even though it has not. It is safer thus to view waking up as a hint that something might have changed, rather than an absolute fact.

Note that sometimes it is tempting to use a simple flag to signal between two threads, instead of a condition variable and associated lock. For example, we could rewrite the waiting code above to look more like this in the waiting code:

```
while (initialized == 0)
    ; // spin
```

The associated signaling code would look like this:

```
initialized = 1;
```

Don't ever do this, for the following reasons. First, it performs poorly in many cases (spinning for a long time just wastes CPU cycles). Second, it is error prone. As recent research shows [X+10], it is surprisingly easy to make mistakes when using flags (as above) to synchronize between threads; roughly half the uses of these *ad hoc* synchronizations were buggy! Don't be lazy; use condition variables even when you think you can get away without doing so.

## 26.5 Compiling and Running

All of the code examples in this chapter are relatively easy to get up and running. To compile them, you must include the header `pthread.h` in your code. On the link line, you must also explicitly link with the pthreads library, by adding the `-pthread` flag.

For example, to compile a simple multi-threaded program, all you have to do is the following:

```
prompt> gcc -o main main.c -Wall -pthread
```

As long as `main.c` includes the pthreads header, you have now successfully compiled a concurrent program. Whether it works or not, as usual, is a different matter entirely.

## 26.6 Summary

We have introduced the basics of the pthread library, including thread creation, building mutual exclusion via locks, and signaling and waiting via condition variables. You don't need much else to write robust and efficient multi-threaded code, except patience and a great deal of care!

We now end the chapter with a set of tips that might be useful to you when you write multi-threaded code (see the side bar for details). There are other aspects of the API that are interesting; see `man -k pthread` on a Linux system to see over one hundred APIs that make up the entire interface. However, the basics discussed herein should enable you to build sophisticated (and hopefully, correct and performant) multi-threaded programs. The hard part with threads is not the APIs, but rather the tricky logic of how you build concurrent programs. Read on to learn more.

**ASIDE: THREAD API GUIDELINES**

There are a number of small but important things to remember when you use the POSIX thread library (or really, any thread library) to build a multi-threaded program. They are:

- **Keep it simple.** Above all else, any code to lock or signal between threads should be as simple as possible. Tricky thread interactions lead to bugs.
- **Minimize thread interactions.** Try to keep the number of ways in which threads interact to a minimum. Each interaction should be carefully thought out and constructed with tried and true approaches (many of which we will learn about in the coming chapters).
- **Initialize locks and condition variables.** Failure to do so will lead to code that sometimes works and sometimes fails in very strange ways.
- **Check your return codes.** Of course, in any C and UNIX programming you do, you should be checking each and every return code, and it's true here as well. Failure to do so will lead to bizarre and hard to understand behavior, making you likely to (a) scream, (b) pull some of your hair out, or (c) both.
- **Be careful with how you pass arguments to, and return values from, threads.** In particular, any time you are passing a reference to a variable allocated on the stack, you are probably doing something wrong.
- **Each thread has its own stack.** As related to the point above, please remember that each thread has its own stack. Thus, if you have a locally-allocated variable inside of some function a thread is executing, it is essentially *private* to that thread; no other thread can (easily) access it. To share data between threads, the values must be in the **heap** or otherwise some locale that is globally accessible.
- **Always use condition variables to signal between threads.** While it is often tempting to use a simple flag, don't do it.
- **Use the manual pages.** On Linux, in particular, the pthread man pages are highly informative and discuss much of the nuances presented here, often in even more detail. Read them carefully!

## References

[B97] “Programming with POSIX Threads”

David R. Butenhof

Addison-Wesley, May 1997

*Another one of these books on threads.*

[B+96] “PThreads Programming:

A POSIX Standard for Better Multiprocessing”

Dick Buttlar, Jacqueline Farrell, Bradford Nichols

O’Reilly, September 1996

*A reasonable book from the excellent, practical publishing house O’Reilly. Our bookshelves certainly contain a great deal of books from this company, including some excellent offerings on Perl, Python, and Javascript (particularly Crockford’s “Javascript: The Good Parts”).*

[K+96] “Programming With Threads”

Steve Kleiman, Devang Shah, Bart Smaalders

Prentice Hall, January 1996

*Probably one of the better books in this space. Get it at your local library.*

[X+10] “Ad Hoc Synchronization Considered Harmful”

Weiwei Xiong, Soyeon Park, Jiaqi Zhang, Yuanyuan Zhou, Zhiqiang Ma

OSDI 2010, Vancouver, Canada

*This paper shows how seemingly simple synchronization code can lead to a surprising number of bugs. Use condition variables and do the signaling correctly!*