

Class #3 Homework

https://marcos-diazg.github.io/BIMM143_SP23/

Barry J. Grant / Marcos Díaz-Gay

Your Name: Jessica Diaz-Vigil

UCSD Email: jdiazvigil@ucsd.edu

PID: A16688035

To complete this homework you must be working on your own copy in **Google Docs**. Once you have filled in your answers to **Q1-Q4** in the space provided click **File > Download > PDF document** and upload to GradeScope (link can be found on the class website).

Q1. [4pts] Consider the following multiple alignment of Transcription Factor Binding site DNA sequences

	1	2	3	4	5
Sequence 1	-	G	A	G	C
Sequence 2	C	T	A	G	A
Sequence 3	C	G	A	-	A
Sequence 4	A	G	C	G	A

Give the average profile (frequency matrix) of the above alignment by filling out the table below. The first position of the first column (i.e. position in the alignment) has been done for you, now complete the rest. You will use this table for answering questions 2 and 3 below.

	1	2	3	4	5
A	0.25	0.75	0.75	0	0.75
C	0.50	0	0.25	0	0.25
T	0	0.25	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0.75	0
-	0.25	0	0	0.25	0

Q2. [2pts] What is the highest scoring sequence match to your profile above (question 1) and what is its score? CTGGC

Sequence: CAAGA

Score: 3.5

Q3. [2pts] Using your completed profile table above (from question 1) score the following two sequences (**S1** and **S2**):

S1. CTGGC 1.75

S2. AGCTA 1.25

Q4. [2pts] Following the heuristic threshold for a positive match proposed in Harbison et al. [Nature (2004) 431:99-104.] namely using the threshold for a positive match = 60% x Max Score
Are either of the two sequences in question 3 potential transcription factor binding sites? If so, why?

Following the Harbison heuristic threshold, you would calculate this by multiplying the highest possible sequence score by 0.6, and in this case our maximum score is 3.5 so our threshold would be $(0.6 \times 3.5) = 2.1$

By the rules of the threshold, neither the sequences above are potential transcription factor binding sites since they are all less than 2.1 (they are 1.75 and 1.25).