

Audit Report Tomiwagmi

August 2023

Network BSC

Address 0x3362bf8c39d87c25d1be1f69364088bf25edd2ed

Audited by © cyberscope



Analysis

CriticalMediumMinor / InformativePass

| Severity | Code | Description | Status |
|----------|------|-------------------------|------------|
| • | ST | Stops Transactions | Unresolved |
| • | OTUT | Transfers User's Tokens | Passed |
| • | ELFM | Exceeds Fees Limit | Passed |
| • | MT | Mints Tokens | Passed |
| • | ВТ | Burns Tokens | Passed |
| • | ВС | Blacklists Addresses | Passed |



Diagnostics

CriticalMediumMinor / Informative

| Severity | Code | Description | Status |
|----------|------|--------------------------------------------|------------|
| • | RSW | Redundant Storage Writes | Unresolved |
| • | PVC | Price Volatility Concern | Unresolved |
| • | AOI | Arithmetic Operations Inconsistency | Unresolved |
| • | DPI | Decimals Precision Inconsistency | Unresolved |
| • | GO | Gas Optimization | Unresolved |
| • | MEE | Missing Events Emission | Unresolved |
| • | RSML | Redundant SafeMath Library | Unresolved |
| • | IDI | Immutable Declaration Improvement | Unresolved |
| • | L04 | Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions | Unresolved |
| • | L05 | Unused State Variable | Unresolved |
| • | L07 | Missing Events Arithmetic | Unresolved |
| • | L09 | Dead Code Elimination | Unresolved |
| • | L13 | Divide before Multiply Operation | Unresolved |
| • | L14 | Uninitialized Variables in Local Scope | Unresolved |



| • | L15 | Local Scope Variable Shadowing | Unresolved |
|---|-----|--------------------------------|------------|
| • | L20 | Succeeded Transfer Check | Unresolved |



Table of Contents

| Analysis | 1 |
|--------------------------------------------------|----|
| Diagnostics | 2 |
| Table of Contents | 4 |
| Review | 6 |
| Audit Updates | 6 |
| Source Files | 6 |
| Findings Breakdown | 7 |
| ST - Stops Transactions | 8 |
| Description | 8 |
| Recommendation | 8 |
| RSW - Redundant Storage Writes | 9 |
| Description | 9 |
| Recommendation | 10 |
| PVC - Price Volatility Concern | 11 |
| Description | 11 |
| Recommendation | 11 |
| AOI - Arithmetic Operations Inconsistency | 12 |
| Description | 12 |
| Recommendation | 12 |
| DPI - Decimals Precision Inconsistency | 14 |
| Description | 14 |
| Recommendation | 15 |
| GO - Gas Optimization | 17 |
| Description | 17 |
| Recommendation | 18 |
| MEE - Missing Events Emission | 19 |
| Description | 19 |
| Recommendation | 20 |
| RSML - Redundant SafeMath Library | 21 |
| Description | 21 |
| Recommendation | 21 |
| IDI - Immutable Declaration Improvement | 22 |
| Description | 22 |
| Recommendation | 22 |
| L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions | 23 |
| Description | 23 |
| Recommendation | 24 |
| L05 - Unused State Variable | 25 |
| Description | 25 |



| Recommendation | 25 |
|----------------------------------------------|----|
| L07 - Missing Events Arithmetic | 26 |
| Description | 26 |
| Recommendation | 26 |
| L09 - Dead Code Elimination | 27 |
| Description | 27 |
| Recommendation | 27 |
| L13 - Divide before Multiply Operation | 28 |
| Description | 28 |
| Recommendation | 28 |
| L14 - Uninitialized Variables in Local Scope | 29 |
| Description | 29 |
| Recommendation | 29 |
| L15 - Local Scope Variable Shadowing | 30 |
| Description | 30 |
| Recommendation | 30 |
| L20 - Succeeded Transfer Check | 31 |
| Description | 31 |
| Recommendation | 31 |
| Functions Analysis | 32 |
| Inheritance Graph | 41 |
| Flow Graph | 42 |
| Summary | 43 |
| Disclaimer | 44 |
| About Cyberscope | 45 |



Review

| Contract Name | Tomiwagmi |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compiler Version | v0.8.19+commit.7dd6d404 |
| Optimization | 200 runs |
| Explorer | https://bscscan.com/address/0x3362bf8c39d87c25d1be1f6936 4088bf25edd2ed |
| Address | 0x3362bf8c39d87c25d1be1f69364088bf25edd2ed |
| Network | BSC |
| Symbol | TWG |
| Decimals | 18 |
| Total Supply | 10,000,000,000 |

Audit Updates

| Initial Audit | 14 Aug 2023 |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | https://github.com/cyberscope-io/audits/blob/main/1-twg/v1/audit.pdf |
| Corrected Phase 2 | 16 Aug 2023 |

Source Files

| Filename | SHA256 |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tomiwagmi.sol | 4595c9af351d273aa5076215b6739063e47dd464da6a18ffe4fb4e11f29 bc0aa |



Findings Breakdown



| Sev | verity | Unresolved | Acknowledged | Resolved | Other |
|-----|---------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------|
| • | Critical | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| • | Medium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Minor / Informative | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



ST - Stops Transactions

| Criticality | Critical |
|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Location | contracts/Tomiwagmi.sol#L1534 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The transactions are initially disabled for all users excluding the authorized addresses. The owner can enable the transactions for all users. Once the transactions are enable the owner will not be able to disable them again.

Recommendation

The team should carefully manage the private keys of the owner's account. We strongly recommend a powerful security mechanism that will prevent a single user from accessing the contract admin functions. Some suggestions are:

- Introduce a multi-sign wallet so that many addresses will confirm the action.
- Introduce a governance model where users will vote about the actions.



RSW - Redundant Storage Writes

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Location | contracts/Tomiwagmi.sol#L1333,1338,1355,1359,1364 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

There are code segments that could be optimized. A segment may be optimized so that it becomes a smaller size, consumes less memory, executes more rapidly, or performs fewer operations.

The contract updates the state of <code>excludeFromDividends</code> addresses even if their current state is the same as the the one passed as an argument. As a result, the contract performs redundant storage writes.

Additionally, the functions updateSwapEnabled, updateMaxAmount, and updateMaxWalletAmount update the state of swapEnabled, maxTransactionAmount, and maxWallet respectively, even if their current state is the same as the value passed as an argument.



```
function excludeFromDividends (address account) external
onlyOwner {
       dividendTracker.excludeFromDividends(account);
    function includeInDividends(address account) external
onlyOwner {
       dividendTracker.includeInDividends(account);
    function updateSwapEnabled(bool enabled) external
onlyOwner(){
       swapEnabled = enabled;
   function updateMaxAmount (uint256 newNum) external onlyOwner
       require(newNum > (totalSupply() * 1 / 1000)/1e18,
"Cannot set maxTransactionAmount lower than 0.1%");
       maxTransactionAmount = newNum * (10**18);
    function updateMaxWalletAmount(uint256 newNum) external
onlyOwner {
       require(newNum > (totalSupply() * 1 / 100)/1e18,
"Cannot set maxWallet lower than 1%");
       maxWallet = newNum * (10**18);
```

Recommendation

The team is advised to take these segments into consideration and rewrite them so the runtime will be more performant. That way it will improve the efficiency and performance of the source code and reduce the cost of executing it.



PVC - Price Volatility Concern

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Location | contracts/Tomiwagmi.sol#L1516 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The contract accumulates tokens from the taxes to swap them for ETH. The variable swapTokensAtAmount sets a threshold where the contract will trigger the swap functionality. If the variable is set to a big number, then the contract will swap a huge amount of tokens for ETH.

It is important to note that the price of the token representing it, can be highly volatile. This means that the value of a price volatility swap involving Ether could fluctuate significantly at the triggered point, potentially leading to significant price volatility for the parties involved.

```
function updateSwapTokensAtAmount(uint256 newAmount)
external onlyOwner returns (bool) {
    swapTokensAtAmount = newAmount;
    return true;
}
```

Recommendation

The contract could ensure that it will not sell more than a reasonable amount of tokens in a single transaction. A suggested implementation could check that the maximum amount should be less than a fixed percentage of the total supply. Hence, the contract will guarantee that it cannot accumulate a huge amount of tokens in order to sell them.



AOI - Arithmetic Operations Inconsistency

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Location | contracts/Tomiwagmi.sol#L1686 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The contract uses both the SafeMath library and native arithmetic operations. The SafeMath library is commonly used to mitigate vulnerabilities related to integer overflow and underflow issues. However, it was observed that the contract also employs native arithmetic operators (such as +, -, *, /) in certain sections of the code.

The combination of SafeMath library and native arithmetic operations can introduce inconsistencies and undermine the intended safety measures. This discrepancy creates an inconsistency in the contract's arithmetic operations, increasing the risk of unintended consequences such as inconsistency in error handling, or unexpected behavior.

```
uint256 totalTokensToSwap = tokensForLiquidity +
tokensForMarketing + tokensForRewards;
    uint256 liquidityTokens = contractBalance *
tokensForLiquidity / totalTokensToSwap / 2;
    uint256 amountToSwapForETH =
contractBalance.sub(liquidityTokens);
    uint256 ethForMarketing =
ethBalance.mul(tokensForMarketing).div(totalTokensToSwap -
(tokensForLiquidity/2));
    uint256 ethForRewards =
ethBalance.mul(tokensForRewards).div(totalTokensToSwap -
(tokensForLiquidity/2));
    uint256 ethForLiquidity = ethBalance - ethForMarketing
- ethForRewards;
```

Recommendation

To address this finding and ensure consistency in arithmetic operations, it is recommended to standardize the usage of arithmetic operations throughout the contract. The contract should be modified to either exclusively use SafeMath library functions or entirely rely on



native arithmetic operations, depending on the specific requirements and design considerations. This consistency will help maintain the contract's integrity and mitigate potential vulnerabilities arising from inconsistent arithmetic operations.



DPI - Decimals Precision Inconsistency

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Location | contracts/Tomiwagmi.sol#L1556 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

However, there is an inconsistency in the way that the decimals field is handled in some ERC20 contracts. The ERC20 specification does not specify how the decimals field should be implemented, and as a result, some contracts use different precision numbers.

This inconsistency can cause problems when interacting with these contracts, as it is not always clear how the decimals field should be interpreted. For example, if a contract expects the decimals field to be 18 digits, but the contract being interacted with uses 8 digits, the result of the interaction may not be what was expected.



```
if (automatedMarketMakerPairs[to] && totalSellFees
> 0){
               fees =
amount.mul(totalSellFees).div(feeDivisor);
               tokensForRewards += fees * rewardsSellFee /
totalSellFees;
               tokensForLiquidity += fees * liquiditySellFee /
totalSellFees;
               tokensForMarketing += fees * marketingSellFee /
totalSellFees;
               tokensForBurn += fees * burnSellFee /
totalSellFees;
           else if(automatedMarketMakerPairs[from] &&
totalBuyFees > 0) {
               fees =
amount.mul(totalBuyFees).div(feeDivisor);
               tokensForRewards += fees * rewardsBuyFee /
totalBuyFees;
               tokensForLiquidity += fees * liquidityBuyFee /
totalBuyFees;
               tokensForMarketing += fees * marketingBuyFee /
totalBuyFees;
                tokensForBurn += fees * burnBuyFee /
totalBuyFees;
```

Recommendation

To avoid these issues, it is important to carefully review the implementation of the decimals field of the underlying tokens. The team is advised to normalize each decimal to one single source of truth. A recommended way is to scale all the decimals to the greatest token's decimal. Hence, the contract will not lose precision in the calculations.

The following example depicts 3 tokens with different decimals precision.

| ERC20 | Decimals |
|---------|----------|
| Token 1 | 6 |
| Token 2 | 9 |
| Token 3 | 18 |



All the decimals could be normalized to 18 since it represents the ERC20 token with the greatest digits.



GO - Gas Optimization

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Location | contracts/Tomiwagmi.sol#L1516,1570 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

Gas optimization refers to the process of reducing the amount of gas required to execute a transaction. Gas is the unit of measurement used to calculate the fees paid to miners for including a transaction in a block on the blockchain.

The contract variable swapTokensAtAmount is used to set a threshold where the contract will trigger the swap functionality. Since there is no input validation at the updateSwapTokensAtAmount function, the swapTokensAtAmount could be set to any amount such as zero. As a result, the contract will execute the swap functionality every time a transaction is taking place and consume more gas.

```
function updateSwapTokensAtAmount(uint256 newAmount)
external onlyOwner returns (bool) {
       swapTokensAtAmount = newAmount;
       return true;
       uint256 contractTokenBalance =
balanceOf(address(this));
       bool canSwap = contractTokenBalance >=
swapTokensAtAmount;
        if(
            canSwap &&
            swapEnabled &&
            !swapping &&
            !automatedMarketMakerPairs[from] &&
            ! isExcludedFromFees[from] &&
            ! isExcludedFromFees[to]
            swapping = true;
            swapBack();
            swapping = false;
```



Recommendation

The contract could embody a check for not allowing setting the swapThreshold less than a reasonable amount. A suggested implementation could check that the maximum amount should be more than a fixed percentage of the total supply. The team is advised to take these segments into consideration and rewrite them so the runtime will be more performant. That way it will improve the efficiency and performance of the source code and reduce the cost of executing it.



MEE - Missing Events Emission

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Location | contracts/Tomiwagmi.sol#L1333,1338,1359,1364,1369 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The contract performs actions and state mutations from external methods that do not result in the emission of events. Emitting events for significant actions is important as it allows external parties, such as wallets or dApps, to track and monitor the activity on the contract. Without these events, it may be difficult for external parties to accurately determine the current state of the contract.



Recommendation

It is recommended to include events in the code that are triggered each time a significant action is taking place within the contract. These events should include relevant details such as the user's address and the nature of the action taken. By doing so, the contract will be more transparent and easily auditable by external parties. It will also help prevent potential issues or disputes that may arise in the future.



RSML - Redundant SafeMath Library

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

SafeMath is a popular Solidity library that provides a set of functions for performing common arithmetic operations in a way that is resistant to integer overflows and underflows.

Starting with Solidity versions that are greater than or equal to 0.8.0, the arithmetic operations revert to underflow and overflow. As a result, the native functionality of the Solidity operations replaces the SafeMath library. Hence, the usage of the SafeMath library adds complexity, overhead and increases gas consumption unnecessarily.

```
library SafeMath {...}
```

Recommendation

The team is advised to remove the SafeMath library. Since the version of the contract is greater than 0.8.0 then the pure Solidity arithmetic operations produce the same result.

If the previous functionality is required, then the contract could exploit the unchecked { ... } statement.

Read more about the breaking change on https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.16/080-breaking-changes.html#solidity-v0-8-0-breaking-changes.



IDI - Immutable Declaration Improvement

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol#L1285 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The contract declares state variables that their value is initialized once in the constructor and are not modified afterwards. The <u>immutable</u> is a special declaration for this kind of state variables that saves gas when it is defined.

dividendTracker

Recommendation

By declaring a variable as immutable, the Solidity compiler is able to make certain optimizations. This can reduce the amount of storage and computation required by the contract, and make it more gas-efficient.



L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol#L564,705,801,823,830,837,847,1006,1051,1078,1224,13 69,1378 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The Solidity style guide is a set of guidelines for writing clean and consistent Solidity code. Adhering to a style guide can help improve the readability and maintainability of the Solidity code, making it easier for others to understand and work with.

The followings are a few key points from the Solidity style guide:

- 1. Use camelCase for function and variable names, with the first letter in lowercase (e.g., myVariable, updateCounter).
- 2. Use PascalCase for contract, struct, and enum names, with the first letter in uppercase (e.g., MyContract, UserStruct, ErrorEnum).
- 3. Use uppercase for constant variables and enums (e.g., MAX_VALUE, ERROR_CODE).
- 4. Use indentation to improve readability and structure.
- 5. Use spaces between operators and after commas.
- 6. Use comments to explain the purpose and behavior of the code.
- 7. Keep lines short (around 120 characters) to improve readability.

```
function WETH() external pure returns (address);
uint256 constant internal magnitude = 2**128
address _rewardToken
address _owner
address _account
uint256 _newMinimumBalance
mapping (address => bool) public
_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount
uint256 _liquidityFee
uint256 _burnFee
uint256 _marketingFee
uint256 _rewardsFee
```



Recommendation

By following the Solidity naming convention guidelines, the codebase increased the readability, maintainability, and makes it easier to work with.

Find more information on the Solidity documentation

https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.17/style-guide.html#naming-convention.



L05 - Unused State Variable

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol#L495 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

An unused state variable is a state variable that is declared in the contract, but is never used in any of the contract's functions. This can happen if the state variable was originally intended to be used, but was later removed or never used.

Unused state variables can create clutter in the contract and make it more difficult to understand and maintain. They can also increase the size of the contract and the cost of deploying and interacting with it.

```
int256 private constant MAX_INT256 = ~(int256(1) << 255)</pre>
```

Recommendation

To avoid creating unused state variables, it's important to carefully consider the state variables that are needed for the contract's functionality, and to remove any that are no longer needed. This can help improve the clarity and efficiency of the contract.



L07 - Missing Events Arithmetic

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol#L1361,1366,1370,1379,1517 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

Events are a way to record and log information about changes or actions that occur within a contract. They are often used to notify external parties or clients about events that have occurred within the contract, such as the transfer of tokens or the completion of a task.

It's important to carefully design and implement the events in a contract, and to ensure that all required events are included. It's also a good idea to test the contract to ensure that all events are being properly triggered and logged.

```
maxTransactionAmount = newNum * (10**18)
maxWallet = newNum * (10**18)
marketingBuyFee = _marketingFee
marketingSellFee = _marketingFee
swapTokensAtAmount = newAmount
```

Recommendation

By including all required events in the contract and thoroughly testing the contract's functionality, the contract ensures that it performs as intended and does not have any missing events that could cause issues with its arithmetic.



L09 - Dead Code Elimination

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|------------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol#L541,900 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

In Solidity, dead code is code that is written in the contract, but is never executed or reached during normal contract execution. Dead code can occur for a variety of reasons, such as:

- Conditional statements that are always false.
- Functions that are never called.
- Unreachable code (e.g., code that follows a return statement).

Dead code can make a contract more difficult to understand and maintain, and can also increase the size of the contract and the cost of deploying and interacting with it.

```
function abs(int256 a) internal pure returns (int256) {
    require(a != MIN_INT256);
    return a < 0 ? -a : a;
}

function get(address key) private view returns (uint) {
    return tokenHoldersMap.values[key];
}</pre>
```

Recommendation

To avoid creating dead code, it's important to carefully consider the logic and flow of the contract and to remove any code that is not needed or that is never executed. This can help improve the clarity and efficiency of the contract.



L13 - Divide before Multiply Operation

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol#L1602,1603,1604,1605,1606,1612,1613,1614,1615 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

It is important to be aware of the order of operations when performing arithmetic calculations. This is especially important when working with large numbers, as the order of operations can affect the final result of the calculation. Performing divisions before multiplications may cause loss of prediction.

```
fees = amount.mul(totalSellFees).div(feeDivisor)
tokensForRewards += fees * rewardsBuyFee / totalBuyFees
```

Recommendation

To avoid this issue, it is recommended to carefully consider the order of operations when performing arithmetic calculations in Solidity. It's generally a good idea to use parentheses to specify the order of operations. The basic rule is that the multiplications should be prior to the divisions.



L14 - Uninitialized Variables in Local Scope

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol#L857,868,1148,1149,1639 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

Using an uninitialized local variable can lead to unpredictable behavior and potentially cause errors in the contract. It's important to always initialize local variables with appropriate values before using them.

```
uint256 i
bool paid
uint256 lastProcessedIndex
uint256 iterations
uint256 claims
```

Recommendation

By initializing local variables before using them, the contract ensures that the functions behave as expected and avoid potential issues.



L15 - Local Scope Variable Shadowing

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol#L823,830,837,847,1266 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

Local scope variable shadowing occurs when a local variable with the same name as a variable in an outer scope is declared within a function or code block. When this happens, the local variable "shadows" the outer variable, meaning that it takes precedence over the outer variable within the scope in which it is declared.

```
address _owner
uint256 totalSupply = 10000000000 * 1e18
```

Recommendation

It's important to be aware of shadowing when working with local variables, as it can lead to confusion and unintended consequences if not used correctly. It's generally a good idea to choose unique names for local variables to avoid shadowing outer variables and causing confusion.



L20 - Succeeded Transfer Check

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Location | Tomiwagmi.sol#L812 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

According to the ERC20 specification, the transfer methods should be checked if the result is successful. Otherwise, the contract may wrongly assume that the transfer has been established.

```
IERC20(_rewardToken).transfer(user, _withdrawableDividend)
```

Recommendation

The contract should check if the result of the transfer methods is successful. The team is advised to check the SafeERC20 library from the Openzeppelin library.



Functions Analysis

| Contract | Туре | Bases | | |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | Function Name | Visibility | Mutability | Modifiers |
| | | | | |
| Context | Implementation | | | |
| | _msgSender | Internal | | |
| | _msgData | Internal | | |
| | | | | |
| IUniswapV2Fac tory | Interface | | | |
| | createPair | External | ✓ | - |
| | | | | |
| IERC20 | Interface | | | |
| | totalSupply | External | | - |
| | balanceOf | External | | - |
| | transfer | External | ✓ | - |
| | allowance | External | | - |
| | approve | External | ✓ | - |
| | transferFrom | External | ✓ | - |
| | | | | |
| IERC20Metadat | Interface | IERC20 | | |
| | name | External | | - |
| | symbol | External | | - |



| | decimals | External | | - |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | |
| ERC20 | Implementation | Context, IERC20, IERC20Meta data | | |
| | | Public | 1 | - |
| | name | Public | | - |
| | symbol | Public | | - |
| | decimals | Public | | - |
| | totalSupply | Public | | - |
| | balanceOf | Public | | - |
| | transfer | Public | 1 | - |
| | allowance | Public | | - |
| | approve | Public | 1 | - |
| | transferFrom | Public | 1 | - |
| | increaseAllowance | Public | 1 | - |
| | decreaseAllowance | Public | 1 | - |
| | _transfer | Internal | 1 | |
| | _createInitialSupply | Internal | 1 | |
| | _burn | Internal | 1 | |
| | _approve | Internal | 1 | |
| | | | | |
| DividendPaying TokenOptionalI nterface | Interface | | | |
| | withdrawableDividendOf | External | | - |



| | withdrawnDividendOf | External | | - |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | accumulativeDividendOf | External | | - |
| | | | | |
| DividendPaying TokenInterface | Interface | | | |
| | dividendOf | External | | - |
| | distributeDividends | External | Payable | - |
| | withdrawDividend | External | ✓ | - |
| | | | | |
| SafeMath | Library | | | |
| | add | Internal | | |
| | sub | Internal | | |
| | sub | Internal | | |
| | mul | Internal | | |
| | div | Internal | | |
| | div | Internal | | |
| | mod | Internal | | |
| | mod | Internal | | |
| | | | | |
| Ownable | Implementation | Context | | |
| | | Public | ✓ | - |
| | owner | Public | | - |
| | renounceOwnership | Public | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | transferOwnership | Public | ✓ | onlyOwner |



| SafeMathInt | Library | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------|---------|---|
| | mul | Internal | | |
| | div | Internal | | |
| | sub | Internal | | |
| | add | Internal | | |
| | abs | Internal | | |
| | toUint256Safe | Internal | | |
| | | | | |
| SafeMathUint | Library | | | |
| | toInt256Safe | Internal | | |
| | | | | |
| IUniswapV2Rou ter01 | Interface | | | |
| | factory | External | | - |
| | WETH | External | | - |
| | addLiquidity | External | ✓ | - |
| | addLiquidityETH | External | Payable | - |
| | removeLiquidity | External | ✓ | - |
| | removeLiquidityETH | External | ✓ | - |
| | removeLiquidityWithPermit | External | ✓ | - |
| | removeLiquidityETHWithPermit | External | ✓ | - |
| | swapExactTokensForTokens | External | ✓ | - |
| | swapTokensForExactTokens | External | ✓ | - |



| | 5 VETUE T. | - · · | 5 | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---|
| | swapExactETHForTokens | External | Payable | - |
| | swapTokensForExactETH | External | ✓ | - |
| | swapExactTokensForETH | External | 1 | - |
| | swapETHForExactTokens | External | Payable | - |
| | quote | External | | - |
| | getAmountOut | External | | - |
| | getAmountIn | External | | - |
| | getAmountsOut | External | | - |
| | getAmountsIn | External | | - |
| | | | | |
| IUniswapV2Rou ter02 | Interface | IUniswapV2 Router01 | | |
| | removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTr ansferTokens | External | ✓ | - |
| | removeLiquidityETHWithPermitSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External | ✓ | - |
| | swapExactTokensForTokensSupporting FeeOnTransferTokens | External | ✓ | - |
| | swapExactETHForTokensSupportingFee OnTransferTokens | External | Payable | - |
| | swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFee OnTransferTokens | External | ✓ | - |
| | | | | |
| DividendPaying Token | Implementation | DividendPayi ngTokenInter face, DividendPayi ngTokenOpti onalInterface , Ownable | | |
| | | Public | ✓ | - |
| | | External | Payable | - |



| | distributeDividends | Public | Payable | - |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|
| | buyTokens | Internal | ✓ | |
| | withdrawDividend | External | 1 | - |
| | _withdrawDividendOfUser | Internal | 1 | |
| | dividendOf | External | | - |
| | withdrawableDividendOf | Public | | - |
| | withdrawnDividendOf | External | | - |
| | accumulativeDividendOf | Public | | - |
| | _increase | Internal | ✓ | |
| | _reduce | Internal | ✓ | |
| | _setBalance | Internal | ✓ | |
| | | | | |
| DividendTracke r | Implementation | DividendPayi ngToken | | |
| | get | Private | | |
| | getIndexOfKey | Private | | |
| | getKeyAtIndex | Private | | |
| | size | Private | | |
| | set | Private | ✓ | |
| | remove | Private | ✓ | |
| | | Public | 1 | - |
| | excludeFromDividends | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | includeInDividends | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | updateClaimWait | External | 1 | onlyOwner |



| | getLastProcessedIndex | External | | - |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| | getNumberOfTokenHolders | External | | - |
| | getAccount | Public | | - |
| | getAccountAtIndex | External | | - |
| | canAutoClaim | Private | | |
| | updateMinimumTokenBalanceForDivide nds | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | setBalance | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | process | External | ✓ | - |
| | processAccount | Public | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | | | | |
| Tomiwagmi | Implementation | ERC20, Ownable | | |
| | | Public | ✓ | ERC20 |
| | | External | Payable | - |
| | disableTransferDelay | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | excludeFromDividends | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | includeInDividends | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | enableTrading | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | burn | Public | ✓ | - |
| | updateSwapEnabled | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | updateMaxAmount | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | updateMaxWalletAmount | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | updateBuyFees | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | updateSellFees | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |




| excludeFromMaxTransaction | Public | ✓ | onlyOwner |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| excludeFromFees | Public | 1 | onlyOwner |
| excludeMultipleAccountsFromFees | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| setAutomatedMarketMakerPair | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| _setAutomatedMarketMakerPair | Private | ✓ | |
| updateMarketingWallet | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| updateGasForProcessing | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| updateClaimWait | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| getClaimWait | External | | - |
| getTotalDividendsDistributed | External | | - |
| isExcludedFromFees | External | | - |
| withdrawableDividendOf | External | | - |
| dividendTokenBalanceOf | External | | - |
| getAccountDividendsInfo | External | | - |
| getAccountDividendsInfoAtIndex | External | | - |
| processDividendTracker | External | ✓ | - |
| claim | External | ✓ | - |
| getLastProcessedIndex | External | | - |
| getNumberOfDividendTokenHolders | External | | - |
| getNumberOfDividends | External | | - |
| removeLimits | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| updateSwapTokensAtAmount | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| _transfer | Internal | ✓ | |



| swapTokensForEth | Private | ✓ | |
|------------------|----------|---|-----------|
| addLiquidity | Private | ✓ | |
| swapBack | Private | ✓ | |
| withdrawStuckEth | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |




Inheritance Graph





Flow Graph





Summary

Tomiwagmi contract implements a token mechanism. This audit investigates security issues, business logic concerns and potential improvements. There are some functions that can be abused by the owner like stop transactions and manipulate the fees. A multi-wallet signing pattern will provide security against potential hacks. Temporarily locking the contract will eliminate all the contract threats. There is also a limit of max 10% fees.



Disclaimer

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment, financial or trading advice and you should not treat any of the document's content as such. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company without Cyberscope's prior written consent. This report is not nor should be considered an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not nor should be regarded as an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Cyberscope to perform a security assessment. This document does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors' business, business model or legal compliance. This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report represents an extensive assessment process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk Cyberscope's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security Cyberscope's goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze. The assessment services provided by Cyberscope are subject to dependencies and are under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use including but not limited to any services reports and materials will be at your sole risk on an as-is where-is and as-available basis Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives false negatives and other unpredictable results. The services may access and depend upon multiple layers of third parties.



About Cyberscope

Cyberscope is a blockchain cybersecurity company that was founded with the vision to make web3.0 a safer place for investors and developers. Since its launch, it has worked with thousands of projects and is estimated to have secured tens of millions of investors' funds.

Cyberscope is one of the leading smart contract audit firms in the crypto space and has built a high-profile network of clients and partners.

