



# Cyberscope

## Audit Report

# Lottery

February 2023

Address `e4a4480c49b8cef1bbb751428564a73f95f337deba70d6f424a76afcee37ea6802fd6fd2c9ee8f55f73fce581aa791f4944eb643641cb5f02973e44545d3681`

Audited by © cyberscope

# Table of Contents

<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Review</b>	<b>4</b>
Testing Deploy	4
Audit Updates	4
Source Files	4
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Lottery</b>	<b>5</b>
Lottery Mechanism Description	5
Lottery State	5
Roles	6
<b>RGN</b>	<b>7</b>
Oracle Chain Review	7
Roles	7
<b>Diagnostics</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>MMN - Misleading Method Naming</b>	<b>10</b>
Description	10
Recommendation	11
<b>CO - Code Optimization</b>	<b>12</b>
Description	12
Recommendation	13
<b>DSM - Data Structure Misuse</b>	<b>14</b>
Description	14
Recommendation	14
<b>MCAC - Missing Constructor Argument Check</b>	<b>15</b>
Description	15
Recommendation	15
<b>BTI - Buy Ticket Issue</b>	<b>16</b>
Description	16
Recommendation	16
<b>AAO - Accumulated Amount Overflow</b>	<b>17</b>
Description	17

<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>DDP - Decimal Division Precision</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>RDM - Require Descriptive Message</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>RNCM - Random Number Contract Mocking</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>L05 - Unused State Variable</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>L09 - Dead Code Elimination</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>L13 - Divide before Multiply Operation</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>L14 - Uninitialized Variables in Local Scope</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>L16 - Validate Variable Setters</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>L17 - Usage of Solidity Assembly</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>L19 - Stable Compiler Version</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Functions Analysis</b>	<b>31</b>

<b>Inheritance Graph</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Flow Graph</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Disclaimer</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>About Cyberscope</b>	<b>42</b>

# Review

## Testing Deploy

Filename	Explorer
lottery.sol	<a href="https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0xE8635999A3Fddf92Db89B3073cd075837Bc6d63c#code">https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0xE8635999A3Fddf92Db89B3073cd075837Bc6d63c#code</a>
RNG.sol	<a href="https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0x8DDd8Cda8eC51E739A58c59eaC1a043432A7707d">https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0x8DDd8Cda8eC51E739A58c59eaC1a043432A7707d</a>

## Audit Updates

Initial Audit	13 Feb 2023 <a href="https://github.com/cyberscope-io/audits/tree/main/Jairo/v1/lottery.pdf">https://github.com/cyberscope-io/audits/tree/main/Jairo/v1/lottery.pdf</a>
Corrected Phase 2	21 Feb 2023

## Source Files

Filename	SHA256
lottery.sol	e4a4480c49b8cef1bbb751428564a73f95f337deba70d6f424a76afcee37ea6
RNG.sol	802fd6fd2c9ee8f55f73fce581aa791f4944eb643641cb5f02973e44545d3681

# Introduction

This audit is focused on the Lottery contract and the RGN contract.

## Lottery

The Lottery contract implements a lottery mechanism.

## Lottery Mechanism Description

Only one lottery event can occur at a time, and once it is finished, the next event can start. Each lottery ticket contains six unique numbers ranging from 0 to 65. Users have the freedom to purchase as many tickets as they desire, and if they possess Aeterna tokens, they will receive a 50% discount on each ticket. The winners will receive 60% of the total collected amount from the bought tickets. The results are drawn utilizing Chainlink oracle to ensure true random numbers.

## Lottery State

The lottery states consists of four states:

- Pending
- Open
- Close
- Claimable

# Roles

The contract roles consists of three roles. The owner, ownerOrInjected and operator roles.

The `Owner` has the authority to:

- Set contract multiplier
- Change random generator address.
- Recover lost tokens.
- Configure contract addresses like Operator, Treasury, Injector and wallets addresses.

The `OwnerOrInjected` has the authority to:

- Inject funds to a lottery.

The `Operator` has the authority to:

- Start a lottery.
- Close a lottery.
- Draw the final number for a lottery and make the lottery claimable.

The `Users` have the authority to:

- Buy tickets.
- Claim rewards from tickets.
- View current LotteryId.
- View a specific Lottery.
- View numbers and statuses for TicketIds.
- view rewards for TicketId.
- View user information for LotteryId.

# RGN

The RandomNumberGenerator contract integrates the Chainlinks VRF Contract into the ecosystem.

## Oracle Chain Review

In order for a chain oracle to function properly, it must have sufficient funds to cover the cost of making transactions on the blockchain. Without these funds, the oracle may not be able to perform its intended functions or could become stuck in a state of inactivity. Therefore, it is crucial to ensure that the necessary funds are available for the chain oracle to operate smoothly.

## Roles

The contract roles consist of the owner and the LotteryAddress role.

The `Owner` has the authority to:

- Set VRF fee.
- Set VRF key hash.
- Set Lottery Key address.
- Withdraw tokens.

The `LotteryAddress` has the authority to:

- Get a random number.

The `Users` have the authority to:

- View the latest lottery id.
- View the generated random number.



# Diagnostics

● Critical ● Medium ● Minor / Informative ● Pass

Severity	Code	Description	Status
●	MMN	Misleading Method Naming	Unresolved
●	CO	Code Optimization	Unresolved
●	DSM	Data Structure Misuse	Unresolved
●	MCAC	Missing Constructor Argument Check	Unresolved
●	BTI	Buy Ticket Issue	Unresolved
●	AAO	Accumulated Amount Overflow	Unresolved
●	DDP	Decimal Division Precision	Unresolved
●	RDM	Require Descriptive Message	Unresolved
●	RNCM	Random Number Contract Mocking	Unresolved
●	L04	Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions	Unresolved
●	L05	Unused State Variable	Unresolved
●	L09	Dead Code Elimination	Unresolved
●	L13	Divide before Multiply Operation	Unresolved
●	L14	Uninitialized Variables in Local Scope	Unresolved

●	L16	Validate Variable Setters	Unresolved
●	L17	Usage of Solidity Assembly	Unresolved
●	L19	Stable Compiler Version	Unresolved

## MMN - Misleading Method Naming

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	lottery.sol#L909
Status	Unresolved

### Description

Methods can have misleading names if their names do not accurately reflect the functionality they contain or the purpose they serve. The contract uses some method names that are too generic or do not clearly convey the information stored in the variable. Misleading method names can lead to confusion, making the code more difficult to read and understand.

The contract is using a method that is called `transferTickets` where the contract owner has the authority to provide free tickets to any address. The method name intuitively means that it transfers tickets, but on the contrary, it provides free tickets to a user.

```
function transferTickets(address newOwner, uint256 lotteryId, uint256 ticketCount)
external onlyOwner nonReentrant {
    require(newOwner != address(0), "New owner must be a valid address");
    for(uint256 i = 0 ; i < ticketCount ; i++) {
        _userTicketIdsPerLotteryId[newOwner][lotteryId].push(currentTicketId);

        _tickets[currentTicketId] = Ticket({number: INIT_TICKET_VALUE, owner:
newOwner});
        currentTicketId++;
    }
    if(!isNewUser[newOwner])
    {
        isNewUser[newOwner] = true;
        player_count ++;
    }
}
```

## Recommendation

It's always a good practice for the contract to contain method names that are specific and descriptive. The team is advised to keep in mind the readability of the code.

## CO - Code Optimization

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	lottery.sol#L305,1369
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

There are code segments that could be optimized. A segment may be optimized so that it becomes a smaller size, consumes less memory, executes more rapidly, or performs fewer operations.

The contract utilized two identical methods. Hence, one of them is redundant.

```
function isContract(address account) internal view returns (bool)
function _isContract(address _addr) internal view returns (bool)
```

The contract performs a redundant calculation. Because the variable `_lotteries[_lotteryId].rewardPerBracket[i] = 0` is set to zero the following calculations will always aggregate to zero

```
(_lotteries[_lotteryId].rewardsBreakdown[i] *
amountToShareToWinners) /10000;.
```

```
_lotteries[_lotteryId].rewardPerBracket[i] = 0;
amountToWithdrawToTreasury +=
    (_lotteries[_lotteryId].rewardsBreakdown[i] * amountToShareToWinners)
/
    10000;
```

The contract utilizes a redundant require statement. The aggregation of the rewards will always be 10000. Hence, it is redundant.

```
require(
    (_rewardsBreakdown[0] +
    _rewardsBreakdown[1] +
    _rewardsBreakdown[2] +
    _rewardsBreakdown[3] +
    _rewardsBreakdown[4] +
    _rewardsBreakdown[5]) == 10000,
    "Rewards must equal 10000"
);
```

## Recommendation

The team is advised to take into consideration these segments and rewrite them so the runtime will be more performant. That way it will improve the efficiency and performance of the source code and reduce the cost of executing it.

- The contract could remove redundant code statements.
- The contract could remove redundant functions.
- The contract could remove redundant calculations.

## DSM - Data Structure Misuse

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	lottery.sol#L1356
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The contract uses the valuable `_rewardsBreakdown` as an array. The business logic of the contract does not utilize the first three elements. Thus, the first three elements are redundant.

```
_rewardsBreakdown[0] = 0;  
_rewardsBreakdown[1] = 0;  
_rewardsBreakdown[2] = 0;  
_rewardsBreakdown[3] = 100;  
_rewardsBreakdown[4] = 1000;  
_rewardsBreakdown[5] = 8900;
```

### Recommendation

The contract could modify the way that accesses the data structure in order to remove redundant elements from the array.

For instance, the contract could utilize an offset. And as a result, less space will be utilized which leads to less gas consumption.

```
if (matchCount >= 4) {  
    return _lotteries[_lotteryId].rewardPerBracket[matchCount - 4];  
} else {  
    return 0;  
}
```

## MCAC - Missing Constructor Argument Check

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	lottery.sol#L804
Status	Unresolved

### Description

The contract initializes variables that have not been properly checked on the constructor. These variables may produce vulnerability issues. The address should not be set to an invalid value.

```
constructor(address _aeternaAddress, address _randomGeneratorAddress) {  
    aeternaToken = IERC20(_aeternaAddress);  
    randomGenerator = IRandomNumberGenerator(_randomGeneratorAddress);  
  
    priceFeed =  
    AggregatorV3Interface(0x0567F2323251f0Aab15c8dFb1967E4e8A7D42aeE);  
}
```

### Recommendation

The team is advised to properly check the variables according to the required specifications.

- The addresses should not be set to zero address.



## BTI - Buy Ticket Issue

Criticality	Medium
Location	lottery.sol#L858,868
Status	Unresolved

### Description

If the variable `multiplier` is set to zero. Contract users have the authority to buy tickets without funds.

```
function setMultiplier(uint256 _newValue) external onlyOwner {
    multiplier = _newValue;
}

function buyTickets(uint256 _lotteryId, TicketNumber[] calldata
_ticketNumbers) payable
    external
    override
    notContract
    nonReentrant
{
    uint256 minPriceTicket = getLatestPrice();
    uint256 tokenBalance = aeternaToken.balanceOf(msg.sender);

    require(_ticketNumbers.length != 0, "No ticket specified");
    if(tokenBalance > 0)
        require(msg.value >= _ticketNumbers.length * minPriceTicket *
multiplier, "Insufficient funds for tickets.");
    else
        require(msg.value >= _ticketNumbers.length * 2 * minPriceTicket *
multiplier, "Insufficient funds for tickets.");
```

### Recommendation

It is recommended to sanitize function arguments to have the proper shape. The variable `multiplier` should be greater than zero.

## AAO - Accumulated Amount Overflow

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	lottery.sol#L710
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The contract is using the variables `currentLotteryId` and `currentTicketId` to accumulate values. The contract could lead to an overflow when the total value of a variable exceeds the maximum value that can be stored in that variable's data type. This can happen when an accumulated value is updated repeatedly over time, and the value grows beyond the maximum value that can be represented by the data type.

```
uint256 public currentLotteryId;  
uint256 public currentTicketId;
```

### Recommendation

The team is advised to carefully investigate the usage of the variables that accumulate value. A suggestion is to add checks to the code to ensure that the value of a variable does not exceed the maximum value that can be stored in its data type.

## DDP - Decimal Division Precision

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

Division of decimal (fixed point) numbers can result in rounding errors due to the way that division is implemented in Solidity. Thus, it may produce issues with precise calculations with decimal numbers.

Solidity represents decimal numbers as integers, with the decimal point implied by the number of decimal places specified in the type (e.g. decimal with 18 decimal places). When a division is performed with decimal numbers, the result is also represented as an integer, with the decimal point implied by the number of decimal places in the type. This can lead to rounding errors, as the result may not be able to be accurately represented as an integer with the specified number of decimal places.

Hence, the splitted shares will not have the exact precision and some funds may not be calculated as expected.

The variable `amountToWallets` may not be splitted as expected.

```
payable(wallet1).transfer(amountToWallets * 100 / 1500);
payable(wallet2).transfer(amountToWallets * 100 / 1500);
payable(wallet3).transfer(amountToWallets * 100 / 1500);
payable(wallet4).transfer(amountToWallets * 500 / 1500);
payable(wallet5).transfer(amountToWallets * 500 / 1500);
payable(wallet6).transfer(amountToWallets * 25 / 1500);
payable(wallet7).transfer(amountToWallets * 25 / 1500);
payable(wallet8).transfer(amountToWallets * 25 / 1500);
payable(wallet9).transfer(amountToWallets * 25 / 1500);
payable(wallet10).transfer(amountToWallets * 25 / 1500);
payable(wallet11).transfer(amountToWallets * 75 / 1500);
```

### Recommendation

The contract could calculate the subtraction of the divided funds in the last calculation in order to avoid the division rounding issue.

## RDM - Require Descriptive Message

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	lottery.sol#L889
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The `require()` function is used to check variables in order to halt the execution of a contract and revert any changes made to the contract's state if the wrong variables are utilized. The contract does not provide a descriptive message to the `require()` function.

The required statement on the function `buyTickets` does not include the full description. The `checkTicket` function checks the range and if there are duplicates on the lottery ticket.

```
require(checkTicket(thisTicketNumber), "Outside range");
```

### Recommendation

The team is suggested to provide a descriptive message to the `require()` function. This message can be used to provide additional context about the error that occurred or to explain why the contract execution was halted. This can be useful for debugging and for providing more information to users that interact with the contract.

## RNCM - Random Number Contract Mocking

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	lottery.sol#L1090
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The contract is prone to contract mocking, as the `randomGenerator` contract it relies on can be changed. The `_randomGeneratorAddress` argument used by the contract is unverified, and this can potentially lead to security issues that could negatively impact transactions. For example, it may allow for the manipulation of random numbers, compromising the integrity of the contract's operations.

```
function changeRandomGenerator(address _randomGeneratorAddress) external
onlyOwner {
    require(_lotteries[currentLotteryId].status == Status.Claimable,
"Lottery not in claimable");

    // Request a random number from the generator based on a seed
    IRandomNumberGenerator(_randomGeneratorAddress).getRandomNumber(
        uint256(keccak256(abi.encodePacked(currentLotteryId,
currentTicketId)))
    );

    // Calculate the finalNumber based on the randomResult generated by
ChainLink's fallback
    IRandomNumberGenerator(_randomGeneratorAddress).viewRandomResult();

    randomGenerator = IRandomNumberGenerator(_randomGeneratorAddress);

    emit NewRandomGenerator(_randomGeneratorAddress);
}
```

### Recommendation

The contract should use a trusted external source. A trusted source could be either a commonly recognized or an audited contract. The pointing addresses should not be able to change after the initialization.

## L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	RNG.sol#L555,556,557,558,572,718,719,720,737,889,901,909,917,927 lottery.sol#L715,753,826,845,858,868,927,942,943,982,995,1022,1118,1139,1153, 1215,1231,1232,1233,1234,1272,1280,1308,1309,1335,1336,1337,1338
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The Solidity style guide is a set of guidelines for writing clean and consistent Solidity code. Adhering to a style guide can help improve the readability and maintainability of the Solidity code, making it easier for others to understand and work with.

The followings are a few key points from the Solidity style guide:

1. Use camelCase for function and variable names, with the first letter in lowercase (e.g., myVariable, updateCounter).
2. Use PascalCase for contract, struct, and enum names, with the first letter in uppercase (e.g., MyContract, UserStruct, ErrorEnum).
3. Use uppercase for constant variables and enums (e.g., MAX\_VALUE, ERROR\_CODE).
4. Use indentation to improve readability and structure.
5. Use spaces between operators and after commas.
6. Use comments to explain the purpose and behavior of the code.
7. Keep lines short (around 120 characters) to improve readability.

```
bytes32 _keyHash
uint256 _userSeed
address _requester
uint256 _nonce
uint256 _vRFInputSeed
uint256 _fee
uint256 _seed
LinkTokenInterface internal immutable LINK
address _pancakeSwapLottery
address _tokenAddress
uint256 _tokenAmount
uint256 public player_count
TicketNumber private INIT_TICKET_VALUE
TicketNumber memory _ticket

...
```

## Recommendation

By following the Solidity naming convention guidelines, the codebase increased the readability, maintainability, and makes it easier to work with.

Find more information on the Solidity documentation

<https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.17/style-guide.html#naming-convention>.

## L05 - Unused State Variable

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	lottery.sol#L761
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

An unused state variable is a state variable that is declared in the contract, but is never used in any of the contract's functions. This can happen if the state variable was originally intended to be used, but was later removed or never used.

Unused state variables can create clutter in the contract and make it more difficult to understand and maintain. They can also increase the size of the contract and the cost of deploying and interacting with it.

```
mapping(uint256 => mapping(uint256 => uint256)) private
_numberTicketsPerLotteryId
```

### Recommendation

To avoid creating unused state variables, it's important to carefully consider the state variables that are needed for the contract's functionality, and to remove any that are no longer needed. This can help improve the clarity and efficiency of the contract.



## L09 - Dead Code Elimination

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	RNG.sol#L236,262,291,325,335,353,363,423,439,455,464 lottery.sol#L334,360,389,423,433,451,461,521,537,553,562
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

In Solidity, dead code is code that is written in the contract, but is never executed or reached during normal contract execution. Dead code can occur for a variety of reasons, such as:

- Conditional statements that are always false.
- Functions that are never called.
- Unreachable code (e.g., code that follows a return statement).

Dead code can make a contract more difficult to understand and maintain, and can also increase the size of the contract and the cost of deploying and interacting with it.

```
function sendValue(address payable recipient, uint256 amount) internal {
    require(address(this).balance >= amount, "Address: insufficient
balance");

    // solhint-disable-next-line avoid-low-level-calls,
avoid-call-value
    (bool success, ) = recipient.call{value: amount}("");
    require(success, "Address: unable to send value, recipient may
have reverted");
}

function functionCall(address target, bytes memory data) internal returns
(bytes memory) {
    return functionCall(target, data, "Address: low-level call
failed");
}

...
```

## Recommendation

To avoid creating dead code, it's important to carefully consider the logic and flow of the contract and to remove any code that is not needed or that is never executed. This can help improve the clarity and efficiency of the contract.

## L13 - Divide before Multiply Operation

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	lottery.sol#L1046,1066,1074
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

It is important to be aware of the order of operations when performing arithmetic calculations. This is especially important when working with large numbers, as the order of operations can affect the final result of the calculation. Performing divisions before multiplications may cause loss of precision.

```
uint256 amountToShareToWinners = (
    ((_lotteries[_lotteryId].amountCollected) * 6000)
) / 10000
_lotteries[_lotteryId].rewardPerBracket[i] =
    ((_lotteries[_lotteryId].rewardsBreakdown[i] *
amountToShareToWinners) /

_lotteries[_lotteryId].countWinnersPerBracket[i] /
    10000
```

### Recommendation

To avoid this issue, it is recommended to carefully consider the order of operations when performing arithmetic calculations in Solidity. It's generally a good idea to use parentheses to specify the order of operations. The basic rule is that the multiplications should be prior to the divisions.

## L14 - Uninitialized Variables in Local Scope

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	lottery.sol#L1051
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

Using an uninitialized local variable can lead to unpredictable behavior and potentially cause errors in the contract. It's important to always initialize local variables with appropriate values before using them.

```
uint256 amountToWithdrawToTreasury
```

### Recommendation

By initializing local variables before using them, the contract ensures that the functions behave as expected and avoid potential issues.

## L16 - Validate Variable Setters

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	RNG.sol#L918
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The contract performs operations on variables that have been configured on user-supplied input. These variables are missing of proper check for the case where a value is zero. This can lead to problems when the contract is executed, as certain actions may not be properly handled when the value is zero.

```
pancakeSwapLottery = _pancakeSwapLottery
```

### Recommendation

By adding the proper check, the contract will not allow the variables to be configured with zero value. This will ensure that the contract can handle all possible input values and avoid unexpected behavior or errors. Hence, it can help to prevent the contract from being exploited or operating unexpectedly.

## L17 - Usage of Solidity Assembly

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	RNG.sol#L214,388 lottery.sol#L312,486,1399
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

Using assembly can be useful for optimizing code, but it can also be error-prone. It's important to carefully test and debug assembly code to ensure that it is correct and does not contain any errors.

Some common types of errors that can occur when using assembly in Solidity include Syntax, Type, Out-of-bounds, Stack, and Revert.

```
assembly {  
    size := extcodesize(account)  
}  
  
assembly {  
    let returndata_size := mload(returndata)  
    revert(add(32, returndata), returndata_size)  
}  
  
assembly {  
    size := extcodesize(_addr)  
}
```

### Recommendation

It is recommended to use assembly sparingly and only when necessary, as it can be difficult to read and understand compared to Solidity code.

## L19 - Stable Compiler Version

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	RNG.sol#L9,34,102,184,401,499,537,579,768,789,861 lottery.sol#L9,34,102,163,200,282,499,597,618,685
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The `^` symbol indicates that any version of Solidity that is compatible with the specified version (i.e., any version that is a higher minor or patch version) can be used to compile the contract. The version lock is a mechanism that allows the author to specify a minimum version of the Solidity compiler that must be used to compile the contract code. This is useful because it ensures that the contract will be compiled using a version of the compiler that is known to be compatible with the code.

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
```

### Recommendation

The team is advised to lock the pragma to ensure the stability of the codebase. The locked pragma version ensures that the contract will not be deployed with an unexpected version. An unexpected version may produce vulnerabilities and undiscovered bugs. The compiler should be configured to the lowest version that provides all the required functionality for the codebase. As a result, the project will be compiled in a well-tested LTS (Long Term Support) environment.

# Functions Analysis

Contract	Type	Bases		
	Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
<b>Context</b>	Implementation			
	_msgSender	Internal		
	_msgData	Internal		
<b>Ownable</b>	Implementation	Context		
		Public	✓	-
	owner	Public		-
	renounceOwnership	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	transferOwnership	Public	✓	onlyOwner
<b>ReentrancyGuard</b>	Implementation			
		Public	✓	-
<b>AggregatorV3Interface</b>	Interface			
	decimals	External		-
	description	External		-
	version	External		-
	getRoundData	External		-
	latestRoundData	External		-
<b>IERC20</b>	Interface			
	totalSupply	External		-
	balanceOf	External		-



	transfer	External	✓	-
	allowance	External		-
	approve	External	✓	-
	transferFrom	External	✓	-
<b>Address</b>	Library			
	isContract	Internal		
	sendValue	Internal	✓	
	functionCall	Internal	✓	
	functionCall	Internal	✓	
	functionCallWithValue	Internal	✓	
	functionCallWithValue	Internal	✓	
	functionStaticCall	Internal		
	functionStaticCall	Internal		
	functionDelegateCall	Internal	✓	
	functionDelegateCall	Internal	✓	
	_verifyCallResult	Private		
<b>SafeERC20</b>	Library			
	safeTransfer	Internal	✓	
	safeTransferFrom	Internal	✓	
	safeApprove	Internal	✓	
	safeIncreaseAllowance	Internal	✓	
	safeDecreaseAllowance	Internal	✓	
	_callOptionalReturn	Private	✓	
<b>IRandomNumberGenerator</b>	Interface			
	getRandomNumber	External	✓	-
	viewLatestLotteryId	External		-

	viewRandomResult	External		-
<b>IAeternaLottery</b>	Interface			
	buyTickets	External	Payable	-
	claimTickets	External	✓	-
	closeLottery	External	✓	-
	drawFinalNumberAndMakeLotteryClaimable	External	✓	-
	injectFunds	External	Payable	-
	startLottery	External	✓	-
	viewCurrentLotteryId	External	✓	-
<b>AeternaLottery</b>	Implementation	Reentrancy Guard, IAeternaLottery, Ownable		
		Public	✓	-
	getLatestPrice	Public		-
	checkTicket	Internal		
	matchTicket	Internal		
	setMultiplier	External	✓	onlyOwner
	buyTickets	External	Payable	notContract nonReentrant
	transferTickets	External	✓	onlyOwner nonReentrant
	redeemTicket	Public	✓	nonReentrant
	claimTickets	External	✓	notContract nonReentrant
	closeLottery	External	✓	onlyOperator nonReentrant
	finalizeWinningNumber	Internal	✓	
	drawFinalNumberAndMakeLotteryClaimable	External	✓	onlyOperator nonReentrant
	changeRandomGenerator	External	✓	onlyOwner

	injectFunds	External	Payable	onlyOwnerOrInjector
	startLottery	External	✓	onlyOperator
	recoverWrongTokens	External	✓	onlyOwner
	setOperatorAndTreasuryAndInjectorAddresses	External	✓	onlyOwner
	viewCurrentLotteryId	External		-
	viewLottery	External		-
	viewNumbersAndStatusesForTicketIds	External		-
	viewRewardsForTicketId	External		-
	viewUserInfoForLotteryId	External		-
	_calculateRewardsForTicketId	Internal		
	_isContract	Internal		
<b>Context</b>	Implementation			
	_msgSender	Internal		
	_msgData	Internal		
<b>Ownable</b>	Implementation	Context		
		Public	✓	-
	owner	Public		-
	renounceOwnership	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	transferOwnership	Public	✓	onlyOwner
<b>IERC20</b>	Interface			
	totalSupply	External		-
	balanceOf	External		-
	transfer	External	✓	-
	allowance	External		-
	approve	External	✓	-

	transferFrom	External	✓	-
<b>Address</b>	Library			
	isContract	Internal		
	sendValue	Internal	✓	
	functionCall	Internal	✓	
	functionCall	Internal	✓	
	functionCallWithValue	Internal	✓	
	functionCallWithValue	Internal	✓	
	functionStaticCall	Internal		
	functionStaticCall	Internal		
	functionDelegateCall	Internal	✓	
	functionDelegateCall	Internal	✓	
	_verifyCallResult	Private		
<b>SafeERC20</b>	Library			
	safeTransfer	Internal	✓	
	safeTransferFrom	Internal	✓	
	safeApprove	Internal	✓	
	safeIncreaseAllowance	Internal	✓	
	safeDecreaseAllowance	Internal	✓	
	_callOptionalReturn	Private	✓	
<b>LinkTokenInterface</b>	Interface			
	allowance	External		-
	approve	External	✓	-
	balanceOf	External		-
	decimals	External		-
	decreaseApproval	External	✓	-


	increaseApproval	External	✓	-
	name	External		-
	symbol	External		-
	totalSupply	External		-
	transfer	External	✓	-
	transferAndCall	External	✓	-
	transferFrom	External	✓	-
<b>VRFRequestID Base</b>	Implementation			
	makeVRFInputSeed	Internal		
	makeRequestId	Internal		
<b>VRFConsumer Base</b>	Implementation	VRFRequest IDBase		
	fulfillRandomness	Internal	✓	
	requestRandomness	Internal	✓	
		Public	✓	-
	rawFulfillRandomness	External	✓	-
<b>IRandomNumberGenerator</b>	Interface			
	getRandomNumber	External	✓	-
	viewLatestLotteryId	External		-
	viewRandomResult	External		-
<b>IPancakeSwap Lottery</b>	Interface			
	buyTickets	External	✓	-
	claimTickets	External	✓	-
	closeLottery	External	✓	-
	drawFinalNumberAndMakeLotteryClaimable	External	✓	-

	injectFunds	External	✓	-
	startLottery	External	✓	-
	viewCurrentLotteryId	External	✓	-
<b>RandomNumberGenerator</b>	Implementation	VRFConsumerBase, IRandomNumberGenerator, Ownable		
		Public	✓	VRFConsumerBase
	getRandomNumber	External	✓	-
	setFee	External	✓	onlyOwner
	setKeyHash	External	✓	onlyOwner
	setLotteryAddress	External	✓	onlyOwner
	withdrawTokens	External	✓	onlyOwner
	viewLatestLotteryId	External		-
	viewRandomResult	External		-
	fulfillRandomness	Internal	✓	

# Inheritance Graph



# Flow Graph





# Summary

Lottery contract implements a lottery and financial mechanism. This audit investigates security issues, business logic concerns and potential improvements.

## Disclaimer

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment, financial or trading advice and you should not treat any of the document's content as such. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company without Cyberscope's prior written consent. This report is not nor should be considered an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not nor should be regarded as an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Cyberscope to perform a security assessment. This document does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors' business, business model or legal compliance. This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report represents an extensive assessment process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. Cyberscope's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. Cyberscope's goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze. The assessment services provided by Cyberscope are subject to dependencies and are under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use including but not limited to any services reports and materials will be at your sole risk on an as-is where-is and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives and other unpredictable results. The services may access and depend upon multiple layers of third parties.

## About Cyberscope

Cyberscope is a blockchain cybersecurity company that was founded with the vision to make web3.0 a safer place for investors and developers. Since its launch, it has worked with thousands of projects and is estimated to have secured tens of millions of investors' funds.

Cyberscope is one of the leading smart contract audit firms in the crypto space and has built a high-profile network of clients and partners.



The Cyberscope team

<https://www.cyberscope.io>