Import libraries  import pandas as pd # Data Manupulation import matplotlib.pyplot as plt # Plots import seaborn as sns # Plots import warnings # Ignore Warnings import shap # Feature importances
Load Data  In [3]: df=pd.read_csv("insurence_clearv2.csv")  Split Inputs and Outputs
<pre>def load_inputs_outputs():     return df.drop(["charges"], axis="columns"), df.charges.values  X,y=load_inputs_outputs()  Split data</pre>
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split  In [6]: X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test=train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.33, # 33% for testing random_state=42)  In [7]: X_train.shape[0], X_test.shape[0]
Out [7]: (896, 442)  Data preprocessing  Note Decision trees do not require normalization of their inputs; and since XGBoost is essentially an ensemble algorithm comprised of decision trees, it does not require normalization for the inputs either.  We will only perform One Hot Encoding transformation for categorical variable.  One Hot Encoding
id         color_red         color_blue         color_green           1         red         1         1         0         0           2         blue         0         1         0         0
3 9 0 1 4 blue  It is used for qualitative categorical variables, for example in the image the color variable. Where dummy variables are created according to the number of categories of the variables, a 1 is assigned where it complies with the condition and the others are filled with 0.
Instead of just replacing the labels with random numbers it can affect the performance of the model, for this type of variables. Since we would be giving more weight to the categories that have the highest value. In addition, the One Hot Encoding transformation has the advantage at the geometric level, since there is already the same distance between the categories.  In [8]:  class Preprocessing_OHE():  definit(self,X_data):     self.X_data=X_data
<pre>def binary(self):     self.X_data["sex"]=self.X_data["sex"].apply(lambda x: 1 if x == "male" else 0)     self.X_data["smoker"]=self.X_data["smoker"].apply(lambda x: 1 if x == "yes" else 0)     self.X_data["medical_problem"]=self.X_data["medical_problem"].apply(lambda x: 1 if x == "severe" else 0)</pre>
<pre>return self.X_data  def multinomial(self):     return pd.get_dummies(self.X_data,columns=["region"])  Preprocessing Training Data  In [9]:    X train preprocessing=Preprocessing OHE(X train)</pre>
In [10]: X_train_preprocesing=Preprocessing_OHE(X_train)  In [10]: X_train_ohe=X_train_preprocesing.binary()
1046 18 1 48.950 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1
In [12]: X_test_preprocesing=Preprocessing_OHE(X_test)  In [13]: X_test_ohe=X_test_preprocesing.binary()
764       57       1       18.335       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0
Algorithm Iterations  It is part of the assembly algorithms. Which is a type of algorithms that uses weaker models, generally decision trees. The functioning of this model can be summed up with the following phrase: "Unity is strength".  Unlike its brother the random forest which is another ensemble algorithm, it will improve each estimator in such a way that each estimator becomes better than the previous one according to the learning rate.  For this particular problem, which is regression, that is, to predict values with a decimal, each estimator performs the prediction to subsequently obtain the average prediction for each estimator.  In [15]:  from xgboost import XGBRegressor
Explanation parameters  • max_depth: Maximum depth of each decision tree.  • n_estimators: Number of estimators, that is base algorithms.  • learning_rate: Room for improvement for each decision tree, this parameter goes from 0 to 1.
• random_state: For example, if I want to run this algorithm again, it will give me a different result, due to the random state  Creation of Model  In [16]:   xgb_reg=XGBRegressor(max_depth=3,
We assign the same parameters that we use to choose the ideal model.  Train Model  In [17]: xgb_reg.fit(X_train_ohe,Y_train)  Out[17]: XGBRegressor(base_score=0.5, booster='gbtree', callbacks=None, colsample_bylevel=1, colsample_bynode=1, colsample_bytree=1, early_stopping_rounds=None, enable_categorical=False, eval_metric=None, gamma=0, gpu_id=-1, grow_policy='depthwise', importance_type=None, interaction_constraints='',
learning_rate=0.01, max_bin=256, max_cat_to_onehot=4,     max_delta_step=0, max_depth=3, max_leaves=0, min_child_weight=1,     missing=nan, monotone_constraints='()', n_estimators=596, n_jobs=0,     num_parallel_tree=1, predictor='auto', random_state=42,     reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1,)  Model Evaluation  In [18]:  from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score     from sklearn.metrics import r2_score,mean_squared_error
Mean Square Error
$X \longrightarrow \\$ It is the average error between the value predicted by the model with respect to the model.
Cross Validation  All Data  Training data  Test data  Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5
Split 2 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5 Finding Parameters  Split 3 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5 Split 4 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5 Split 5 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5 Final evaluation {  Test data  It consists of subsampling the data according to the number requested by the user. In order to obtain an average of generalization of the data.  In [19]: class Evaluete():
<pre>definit(self,X_data,y_true):     self.X_data=X_data     self.y_true=y_true</pre>
<pre>self.model=xgb_reg self.predict=self.model.predict(self.X_data)  def mse(self):     return mean_squared_error(self.y_true, self.predict).flatten()  def r2(self):</pre>
<pre>return r2_score(self.y_true, self.predict).flatten()  def cv_score(self):     return cross_val_score(self.model, self.X_data, self.y_true, cv=10).mean().flatten()  Train Evaluation</pre>
<pre>In [20]: train_eval=Evaluete(X_train_ohe, Y_train)  In [21]: mse_train=train_eval.mse()     r2_train=train_eval.r2()     cv_train=train_eval.cv_score()  Test Evaluation</pre>
<pre>In [22]: test_eval=Evaluete(X_test_ohe,Y_test)</pre> In [23]: mse_test=test_eval.mse()     r2_test=test_eval.r2()     cv_test=test_eval.cv_score()  Evaluation Dataframe
<pre>features_df={"mse_train":mse_train,</pre>
In [26]: df_evaluate=pd.DataFrame(features_df)  In [26]: df_evaluate  Out[26]: mse_train mse_test r2_train r2_test cv_train cv_test  O 2.351711e+06 3.023848e+06 0.983162 0.979416 0.975549 0.974433  In [27]: explainer = shap.TreeExplainer(xgb_reg)
shap_values = explainer.shap_values(X_test_ohe)  Plot Importance  In [28]: def plot_importance():  sns.set_style(style="whitegrid") shap.summary_plot(shap_values, X_test_ohe, plot_type="bar")
<pre>return plt.show()  In [29]: ifname == "main":     plot_importance()</pre> medical_problem
smoker age children bmi sex region_northeast region_southeast
region_southwest region_northwest  mean( SHAP value ) (average impact on model output magnitude)  In [30]: ifname == 'main':
shap.summary_plot(shap_values, X_test_ohe)  medical_problem smoker age children
bmi sex  region_northeast region_southeast region_southwest region_northwest
We note that the medical problem variable that we created in the Featurew Engineering section. It has great weight when estimating the price of the insurance, since if we have a very serious problem, the cost of the insurance will not increase more.  The smoker variable also has great weight, since people generally have a worse state of health.  The variable age adds value to the predictions. Since it can be understood that elderly people require more medical care.  The other variables may not have as much relevance compared to the previous variables that I mentioned earlier. But they can complement the value of the prediction. And that the difference between humans and machines when making predictions is that we rely on only relevant
variables, while machines use these variables and also those that are not so significant, since they look for patterns unknown to the naked eye .  We make predictions  In [31]: y_pred=xgb_reg.predict(X_test_ohe)     y_pred=y_pred.flatten()  In [32]: X_test["smoker"]=X_test["smoker"].apply(lambda x: "yes" if x ==1 else "no")
<pre>In [33]: df_test=pd.DataFrame({"y_true":Y_test,</pre>
<pre>ax.set_title("True Values vs Predictions") sns.scatterplot(data=df_test, x="y_true", y="y_pred", hue="smoker", palette="Set2") sns.lineplot(data=df_test, x="y_true", y="y_true", color="c")  In [35]:     ifname == "main":         main()</pre>
True Values vs Predictions  40000  True Values vs Predictions
2000
In [36]: df_test.query("smoker=='no'").sample(n=20, random_state=42)  Out[36]: y_true
435 11538.42100 11405.943359 no  629 4350.514400 4753.903320 no  332 7323.734819 2895.726562 no  987 2699.568350 3137.377686 no  744 13470.804400 13154.744141 no  875 4561.188500 5020.006348 no  370 2201.097100 3332.743408 no
382 3180.510100 3602.754883 no  383 7345.084000 7451.192871 no  1055 22395.744240 22174.378906 no  664 16069.084750 14824.266055 no  427 8825.086000 8889.758789 no  984 12479.708950 11993.656250 no  1181.358000 11811.742188 no  1193 1125250 11788.847656 no
1032 11931.125250 11788.847656 no 312 9264.797000 9379.272461 no 695 13129.603450 13375.526367 no 755 9487.644200 9834.326172 no 1048 7201.700850 7080.818848 no 919 2203.735950 2895.726562 no  In [37]: df_test.query("smoker=='yes'").sample(n=20, random_state=42)
Out [37]:         y_true         y_pred         smoker           132         37465.34375         36379.675781         yes           112         17179.52200         18797.785156         yes           123         18817.98570         16316.422852         yes           112         42856.83800         42300.078125         yes           129         36898.7330         35282.058594         yes           112         33750.29180         34576.773438         yes
1242         19798.05455         18954.109375         yes           1283         33907.54800         35073.332031         yes           1284         36021.01120         36221.644531         yes           1075         34303.16720         35501.222656         yes           1175         23807.24060         23034.773438         yes           1173         48000.0000         47527.250000         yes
1280       34672.14720       37186.882812       yes         1251       41999.52000       43585.941406       yes         1314       37607.52770       39269.695312       yes         1299       23887.66270       23491.943359       yes         1133       16297.84600       16030.650391       yes         1158       39125.33225       40006.363281       yes
The algorithm generates quite robust predictions, very close to the original value. Which this model is apt to solve the problem.  As a curious fact, XGBOOST is one of the most powerful algorithms within Machine Learning, it will generate interesting results in such a short time. He is the winner of multiple competitions on the kaggle platform. It has the advantage that we can use a GPU for training, speeding up training process, something it shares with Deep Learning frameworks.  Save Model  In [38]: xgb_reg.save_model("xgb_insurence.json")  We save the model in json format. Since its operation in production will be faster and more efficient.