Import libraries  import pandas as pd # Data Manupulation import matplotlib.pyplot as plt # Plots import seaborn as sns # Plots import warnings # Ignore Warnings import shap # Feature importances
<pre>In [2]: warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") # Ignore Warnings  Load Data In [3]: df=pd.read_csv("insurence_clearv2.csv")</pre>
<pre>Split Inputs and Outputs  In [4]: def load_inputs_outputs():     return df.drop(["charges"], axis="columns"), df.charges.values  X, y=load_inputs_outputs()</pre>
Split data  In [5]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split  In [6]: X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test=train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.33, # 33% for testing random_state=42)
X_train.shape[0], X_test.shape[0]  Out[7]: (896, 442)  Data preprocessing  Note Decision trees do not require normalization of their inputs; and since XGBoost is essentially an ensemble algorithm comprised of decision trees, it does not require normalization for the inputs either.
We will only perform One Hot Encoding transformation for categorical variable.  One Hot Encoding  id color_red color_blue color_green  1 red 1 1 0 0 0
2 blue 2 0 1 0 3 green 3 0 0 1 0 4 blue 4 0 1 0
It is used for qualitative categorical variables, for example in the image the color variable. Where dummy variables are created according to the number of categories of the variables, a 1 is assigned where it complies with the condition and the others are filled with 0.  Instead of just replacing the labels with random numbers it can affect the performance of the model, for this type of variables. Since we would be giving more weight to the categories that have the highest value. In addition, the One Hot Encoding transformation has the advantage at the geometric level, since there is already the same distance between the categories.  In [8]: class Preprocessing_OHE():
<pre>definit(self,X_data):     self.X_data=X_data  def binary(self):     self.X_data["sex"]=self.X_data["sex"].apply(lambda x: 1 if x == "male" else 0)</pre>
<pre>self.X_data["smoker"]=self.X_data["smoker"].apply(lambda x: 1 if x == "yes" else 0) self.X_data["medical_problem"]=self.X_data["medical_problem"].apply(lambda x: 1 if x == "severe" else 0)  return self.X_data  def multinomial(self):</pre>
return pd.get_dummies(self.X_data,columns=["region"])  Preprocessing Training Data  In [9]: X_train_preprocesing=Preprocessing_OHE(X_train)  In [10]: X_train_ohe=X_train_preprocesing.binary()
In [11]:  \[ X_train_ohe.head() \]  Out [11]:  \[ \frac{age}{50} \frac{sv}{1046} \frac{18}{18} \frac{1}{32.775} \frac{1}{30} \frac{1}{3
### 490 38 0 34.800 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
X_test_ohe=X_test_preprocesing.binary()
890 23 1 24.510 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1
Algorithm Iterations  It is part of the assembly algorithms. Which is a type of algorithms that uses weaker models, generally decision trees. The functioning of this model can be summed up with the following phrase: "Unity is strength".  Unlike its brother the random forest which is another ensemble algorithm, it will improve each estimator in such a way that each estimator becomes better than the previous one according to the learning rate.  For this particular problem, which is regression, that is, to predict values with a decimal, each estimator performs the prediction to subsequently obtain the average prediction for each estimator.  In [15]:  from xgboost import XGBRegressor
Explanation parameters  max_depth: Maximum depth of each decision tree.  n_estimators: Number of estimators, that is base algorithms.  learning_rate: Room for improvement for each decision tree, this parameter goes from 0 to 1.  random_state: For example, if I want to run this algorithm again, it will give me a different result, due to the random state
<pre>Creation of Model  In [17]:</pre>
We assign the same parameters that we use to choose the ideal model.  Train Model  In [18]: xgb_reg.fit(X_train_ohe, Y_train)  Out[18]: XGBRegressor(base_score=0.5, booster='gbtree', callbacks=None, colsample_bylevel=1, colsample_bynode=1, colsample_bytree=1, early_stopping_rounds=None, enable_categorical=False, eval_metric=None, gamma=0, gpu_id=-1, grow_policy='depthwise',
<pre>importance_type=None, interaction_constraints='',     learning_rate=0.01, max_bin=256, max_cat_to_onehot=4,     max_delta_step=0, max_depth=3, max_leaves=0, min_child_weight=1,     missing=nan, monotone_constraints='()', n_estimators=596, n_jobs=0,     num_parallel_tree=1, predictor='auto', random_state=42,     reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1,)  Model Evaluation  In [19]:     from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score</pre>
from sklearn.metrics import r2_score, mean_squared_error  Mean Square Error
$X \longrightarrow X$ $NSE = \frac{Sum (Y \cdot Y)^2}{N}$
It is the average error between the value predicted by the model with respect to the model.  Cross Validation  All Data  Training data  Test data
Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 1 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 2 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 3 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 4 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 5 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 6 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 6 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 6 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 6 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 6 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5  Split 6 Fold 1 Fold 2 Fold 3 Fold 4 Fold 5
It consists of subsampling the data according to the number requested by the user. In order to obtain an average of generalization of the data.  In [34]: class Evaluete():  definit(self, X_data, y_true):
<pre>self.X_data=X_data self.y_true=y_true  self.model=xgb_reg self.predict=self.model.predict(self.X_data)  def mse(self):</pre>
<pre>return mean_squared_error(self.y_true, self.predict).flatten()  def r2(self):     return r2_score(self.y_true, self.predict).flatten()  def cv_score(self):</pre>
return cross_val_score(self.model, self.X_data, self.y_true, cv=10).mean().flatten()  Train Evaluation  In [35]: train_eval=Evaluete(X_train_ohe, Y_train)  In [36]: mse_train=train_eval.mse()
r2_train=train_eval.r2() cv_train=train_eval.cv_score()  Test Evaluation  In [37]: test_eval=Evaluete(X_test_ohe,Y_test)
<pre>In [38]:</pre>
"r2_train":r2_train, "r2_test":r2_test, "cv_train":cv_train, "cv_test":cv_test}  In [40]: df_evaluate=pd.DataFrame(features_df)
In [41]: df_evaluate  Out[41]:
<pre>Plot Importance In [46]: def plot_importance():</pre>
<pre>return plt.show()  In [47]: ifname == "main":     plot_importance()</pre> medical_problem
smoker age children bmi sex region_northeast
region_southwest region_northwest  o 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 mean( SHAP value ) (average impact on model output magnitude)  In [49]: shap.summary_plot(shap_values, X_test_ohe)
medical_problem smoker age children bmi
region_northeast region_southwest region_northwest
• We note that the medical problem variable that we created in the Featurew Engineering section. It has great weight when estimating the price of the insurance, since if we have a very serious problem, the cost of the insurance will not increase more.  • The smoker variable also has great weight, since people generally have a worse state of health.  • The variable age adds value to the predictions. Since it can be understood that elderly people require more medical care.  The other variables may not have as much relevance compared to the previous variables that I mentioned earlier. But they can complement the value of the prediction. And that the difference between humans and machines when making predictions is that we rely on only relevant variables, while machines use these variables and also those that are not so significant, since they look for patterns unknown to the naked eye.
<pre>We make predictions In [50]: y_pred=xgb_reg.predict(X_test_ohe) y_pred=y_pred.flatten()  In [56]: X_test["smoker"]=X_test["smoker"].apply(lambda x: "yes" if x ==1 else "no")</pre>
<pre>In [57]: df_test=pd.DataFrame({"y_true":Y_test,</pre>
<pre>ax.set_title("True Values vs Predictions") sns.scatterplot(data=df_test, x="y_true", y="y_pred", hue="smoker", palette="Set2") sns.lineplot(data=df_test, x="y_true", y="y_true", color="c")  In [63]: ifname == "main": main()</pre>
True Values vs Predictions  50000  1
20000
In [71]: df test query("smoker=='no'") sample(n=20 random state=42)
Out[71]:  y_true  y_pred  smoker  435 11538.421000 11405.943359 no  629 4350.514400 4753.903320 no  332 7323.734819 2895.726562 no  987 2699.568350 3137.377686 no  744 13470.804400 13154.744141 no
875       4561.188500       5020.006348       no         370       2201.097100       3332.743408       no         282       3180.510100       3602.754883       no         348       7345.084000       7451.192871       no         1055       22395.744240       22174.378906       no         664       16069.084750       14824.206055       no         427       8825.086000       8889.758789       no
984 12479.708950 11993.656250 no  81 11881.358000 11811.742188 no  1032 11931.125250 11788.847656 no  312 9264.797000 9379.272461 no  695 13129.603450 13375.526367 no  755 9487.644200 9834.326172 no  1048 7201.70850 7080.818848 no
919 2203.735950 2895.726562 no  In [72]: df_test.query("smoker=='yes'").sample(n=20, random_state=42)  Out[72]: y_true y_pred smoker  1302 37465.34375 36379.675781 yes  1127 17179.52200 18797.785156 yes
123       15817.98570       16316.422852       yes         112       42856.83800       42300.078125       yes         1293       36898.73308       35282.088594       yes         112       3750.29180       34576.773438       yes         1242       19798.05455       18954.109375       yes         1283       33907.54800       35073.332031       yes         1298       36021.01120       36221.644531       yes         1078       34303.16720       35501.222656       yes
1078       34303.16720       35501.222656       yes         1159       37079.37200       38193.539062       yes         1173       23807.24060       23034.773438       yes         1173       48000.00000       47527.250000       yes         1207       40419.01910       41705.316406       yes         1280       34672.14720       37186.882812       yes         1253       41999.52000       43585.941406       yes         1314       37607.52770       39269.695312       yes
1314 37607.52770 39269.695312 yes  1299 23887.66270 23491.943359 yes  1133 16297.84600 16030.650391 yes  1158 39125.33225 40006.363281 yes  The algorithm generates quite robust predictions, very close to the original value. Which this model is apt to solve the problem.  As a curious fact, XGBOOST is one of the most powerful algorithms within Machine Learning, it will generate interesting results in such a short time. He is the winner of multiple competitions on the kaggle platform. It has the advantage that we can use a GPU for training, speeding up the training process, something it shares with Deep Learning frameworks.
Save Model  In [73]: import joblib  In [74]: joblib.dump(xgb_reg, "xgb_insurence.v2.pkl")  Out[74]: ['xgb_insurence.v2.pkl']