

**Session 9: Mar 13th, 2019 – Homogenization and Topology Optimization**

**Exercise 1 Homogenization method - dimension two**

We consider the example given in the course for the functionals

$$J(\theta, A^*) = \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx \text{ or } J(\theta, A^*) = \int_{\Omega} |u - u_0|^2 \, dx,$$

for the homogenized state equation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A^* \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

It is possible to prove that there exist optimal solutions where  $A^*$  is a rank-1 simple laminate. In dimension 2 a rank one laminate can be defined by

$$A^*(\theta, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\theta}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{\theta}^- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} \quad \phi \in [0, \pi]$$

The admissible set becomes

$$\mathcal{U}_{ad}^L = \{(\theta, \phi) \int L^{\infty}(\Omega; [0, 1] \times [0, \pi]) : \int_{\Omega} \theta(x) dx = V_{\alpha}\}$$

1. Prove that the partial derivatives of the objective function  $J(\theta, A^*) = J(\theta, \phi)$  are given by

$$\nabla_{\phi} J(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\partial A^*}{\partial \phi} \nabla u \cdot \nabla p \text{ and } \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\partial A^*}{\partial \theta} \nabla u \cdot \nabla p$$

where  $u$  is the solution of the state equation and  $p$  is the adjoint state.

2. Compute explicitly the corresponding expressions and use them for the numerical implementation.

**Exercise 2 Maximization of compliance**

In this exercise we consider the maximization of the compliance treated in the course

$$\min_{(\theta, A^*) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}^L} \left\{ J(\theta, A^*) = - \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx \right\}$$

where  $u$  is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A^* \nabla u) = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

1. Prove that the problem is self-adjoint and the adjoint state is  $p = u$ .
2. Show that  $\nabla_{A^*} J(\theta, A^*) = \nabla u \otimes \nabla u$ . Following the results shown on slide 54 show that any optimal  $A^*$  satisfies  $A^* \nabla u = \lambda_{\theta}^- \nabla u$ .
3. Show that the opposite of the compliance can be written as a minimum thanks to the primal energy:

$$- \int_{\Omega} u dx = - \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{\theta}^- |\nabla u|^2 dx = \min_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{\theta}^- |\nabla v|^2 dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} v dx \right)$$

### Exercise 3 The SIMP method

The Solid Isotropic Material with Penalization (SIMP) method consists of convexifying the admissible set by allowing intermediate material properties. Hooke's law becomes  $\theta(x)A$  with  $\theta(x) \in [0, 1]$ , which gives rise to **fictitious materials**.

In this method simply replace the homogenized tensor  $A^*$  by  $\theta^p A$  for some power  $p \geq 1$  (typically  $p = 3$ ). Note that the material properties are taken into account with weight  $\theta^p$ , while the volume is computed using the density  $\theta$ . We do not start with  $p = 3$  from the beginning. In practice you can run 10 iterations with  $p = 1$ , another 10 iterations with  $p = 2$  and the rest with  $p = 3$ . Again, the objective function may increase when increasing  $p$ , so these iterations should be **accepted** regardless of the potential increase in the objective function.

1. Consider  $T$  which solves the homogenized equation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A^* \nabla T) &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ A^* \nabla T \cdot n &= 1 & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ A^* \nabla T \cdot n &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ T &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D. \end{cases}$$

We wish to minimize the functional  $J(\theta, A^*) = \int_{\Gamma_N} T^2 ds$ . Replace  $A^*$  by  $\alpha(1 - \theta^p) + \beta\theta^p$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the material parameters and  $\theta$  is the density.

2. Observe the **FreeFem++** implementation given in the file `simp_heat.edp` found on Moodle and justify the formulas found therein: notably the **choice of the gradient**. In practice the parameters are as follows:  $\alpha = 1, \beta = 10$  and the density  $\theta$  verifies  $\int_{\Omega} \theta = 0.3|\Omega|$ .
3. Adapt these ideas to the case of linearized elasticity (see the code `simp_elas.edp` for an example):

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\sigma) &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \sigma n &= g & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ \sigma n &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D. \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma = \theta^p A_1 e(u) + (1 - \theta^p) A_2 e(u)$ ,  $e(u) = 0.5(\nabla u + \nabla^T u)$ . The material  $A_1$  is isotropic defined by its Lamé coefficients and  $A_2 = 10^{-3} A_1$ .

The functional to be minimized is  $J(\theta) = \int_{\Gamma_N} g_0 \cdot u ds$  where  $g_0$  is a force which is not collinear with  $g$ .