Name:\_\_\_\_\_

Tutorial Group:

1 Determine whether  $((p \lor q) \land (q \to r)) \to r$  is a tautology.

[2 marks]

 $_{\perp}$  (day/time)

## Solution:

It is not a tautology. Consider the following row in the truth table:

p	q	r	$p \lor q$	$q \rightarrow r$	$(p \lor q) \land (q \to r)$	$((p \lor q) \land (q \to r)) \to r$
:	:	:	:	:	÷	:
${ m T}$	F	F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m F}$
:	÷	÷	÷	:	i i	i i

**2** Let  $A = \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $B = \{0, 1, 4\}$  and  $C = \{-4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Which of the following are true? Justify your answer.

(i)  $\forall x \in C \ (x \in A) \leftrightarrow (x^2 \in B)$ .

[2 marks]

(ii)  $\forall x \in C \ (\forall y \in B \ xy \in B) \to (x^2 = x).$ 

[2 marks]

(iii)  $\exists x \in A \ \forall y \in A \ (x \neq 0) \land (xy \in B).$ 

[2 marks]

(iv)  $\sim (\forall x \in A \exists y \in A (x \neq 0) \land (xy \in B)).$ 

[2 marks]

## Solution:

- (i) Case x = -4, -3, 3, 4:  $x \in A$  is false and  $x^2 \in B$  is false, so  $x \in A \leftrightarrow x^2 \in B$  is true. Case x = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2:  $x \in A$  is true and  $x^2 \in B$  is true, so  $x \in A \leftrightarrow x^2 \in B$  is true. Therefore (i) is **true**.
- (ii) Case x = 0, 1:  $\forall y \in B \ xy \in B$  is true and  $x^2 = x$  is true, so  $(\forall y \in B \ xy \in B) \rightarrow x^2 = x$  is true. Case x = -4, -3, -2, -1, 2, 3, 4:  $\forall y \in B \ xy \in B$  is false (counterexample: y = 4), so  $(\forall y \in B \ xy \in B) \rightarrow x^2 = x$  is true.

Therefore (ii) is **true**.

- (iii) Case x = -2: counterexample y = 2
  - Case x = -1: counterexample y = 2

Case x = 0:  $x \neq 0$  is false

Case x = 1: counterexample y = 2

Case x = 2: counterexample y = -1

Thus,  $\forall y \in A \ (x \neq 0) \land (xy \in B)$  is false for every x in A, so (iii) is **false**.

(iv)  $\sim (\forall x \in A \ \exists y \in A \ (x \neq 0) \land (xy \in B)) \equiv \exists x \in A \ \forall y \in A \ (x = 0) \lor (xy \notin B)$  is **true** (example: x = 0)

3 Consider the claim:

"For any integers m and n, if m+n is even, then either both m and n are even or both are odd."

(i) State the claim symbolically, using predicates Even(x) and Odd(y).

[2 marks]

The following is a proof:

"We prove by contradiction. Suppose one of them is odd and the other is even. Without loss of generality, we may assume m is even and n is odd. Then, m = 2h and n = 2k + 1 for some integers h and k, so m + n = 2(h + k) + 1. Since h + k is an integer, m + n is therefore odd, so we get a contradiction."

- (ii) Let p be the claim in (i). Why does the proof for p start by assuming that one integer is odd and the other is even? [2 marks]
- (iii) Point out one example of universal instantiation in this proof.

[1 mark]

(iv) Point out one example of modus ponens in this proof.

[1 mark]

(v) Explain what is meant by "Without loss of generality" in this proof.

[1 mark]

## **Solution:**

- (i)  $\forall m \in \mathbf{Z} \ \forall n \in \mathbf{Z} \ Even(m+n) \to (Even(m) \land Even(n)) \lor (Odd(m) \land Odd(n))$
- (ii) Let p be the claim in (i). Then  $\sim p$  is  $\exists m \in \mathbf{Z} \ \exists n \in \mathbf{Z} \ Even(m+n) \land \sim ((Even(m) \land Even(n)) \lor (Odd(m) \land Odd(n)))$  where  $\sim ((Even(m) \land Even(n)) \lor (Odd(m) \land Odd(n)))$   $\equiv (Odd(m) \lor Odd(n)) \land (Even(m) \lor Even(n))$   $\equiv ((Odd(m) \land (Even(m) \lor Even(n)) \lor (Odd(n) \land (Even(m) \lor Even(n)))$
- $\equiv (Odd(m) \land Even(n)) \lor (Odd(n) \land Even(m))$ (iii)Many possibilities; example:  $\forall x \in \mathbf{Z} \ Even(x) \to \ \exists h \in \mathbf{Z} \ x = 2h$

 $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ 

 $\overline{\text{U.I.}} \quad Even(m) \to \exists h \in \mathbf{Z} \ m = 2h$ 

(iv)Many possibilities; example:  $Even(m) \rightarrow \exists h \in \mathbf{Z} \ m = 2h$ Even(m)

M.P.  $\exists h \in \mathbf{Z} \ m = 2h$ 

- (v) There are two cases to consider: (a) m is odd and n is even; (b) n is odd and m is even. The proof for one case is the same as the proof for the other case, except for switching the roles of m and n.
- 4 Two sequences  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are said to **span** a space S over field F if and only if "every sequence  $\alpha$  in S can be expressed as  $\alpha = b\beta + c\gamma$  for some b and c in F".
  - (i) State the condition (in "...") symbolically.

[1 mark]

A student writes: " $\psi$  and  $\eta$  span S because  $\omega \in S$ ,  $0 \in F$  and  $\omega = 0\psi + 0\eta$ ." (Note:  $\omega \in S$ ,  $0 \in F$  and  $\omega = 0\psi + 0\eta$  are all correct.)

(ii) Explain why this argument might be wrong.

[1 mark]

(iii) Explain why this argument might be correct.

[1 mark]

## Solution:

(i) 
$$\forall \alpha \in S \ \exists b \in F \ \exists c \in F \ \alpha = b\beta + c\gamma$$

- (ii) If  $S \neq \{w\}$ , then the student must also check cases where  $\alpha \in S$  and  $\alpha \neq w$ .
- (iii) If  $S = \{w\}$ , then the student is correct.