

Swiss Election Study (Selects) 2023

Post-Election Survey (PES)

Dataset description

Selects2023_PES_v2.0

November 4, 2025

Metadata Production

Production Date	November 4, 2025
-----------------	------------------

Table of Contents

Overview.....	4
Files Description.....	5
Selects2023 PES v2.0.....	5
Variables List.....	6
Selects2023 PES v2.0.....	6
Variables Description.....	20
Selects2023 PES v2.0.....	21

Selects Post-Election Survey (PES) 2023

Overview

Identification

Temp1

Countries

Depositor(s)

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Selects2023_PES_v2.0	
# Cases	5033
# Variable(s)	306

Variables List

Dataset contains 306 variable(s)

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	userid	Personal ID	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
2	langint	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
3	imode	Mode of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
4	indate_p..	Date of reception (paper questionnaire)	discrete	character-11	521	-	-
5	language..	Language paper questionnaire	discrete	character-2	521	0	-
6	intstart	Interview start date	discrete	character-20	4512	-	-
7	intend	Interview end date	discrete	character-20	4512	-	-
8	Finished	Web questionnaire fully completed	discrete	numeric-8.0	4512	521	-
9	Completi..	Completion rate of web questionnaire	discrete	numeric-8.0	4512	521	-
10	totaltime	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	4512	521	-
11	UserLang..	User language web questionnaire	discrete	character-2	4512	0	-
12	sex	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-8.0	5025	8	-
13	birthyear	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	5011	22	-
14	age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	5011	22	-
15	agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	5011	22	-
16	f10000	Canton in which R has the right to vote in current national election (2023)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
17	f10100	Political interest	discrete	numeric-8.0	5019	14	-
18	f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4621	412	-
19	f12700rec	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4621	412	-
20	f12720rec	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	3670	1363	-
21	f12720ma..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3670	1363	-
22	f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	4451	582	-
23	f12730rec	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4451	582	-
24	f12740rec	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	3441	1592	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
25	f12740ma ..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3441	1592	-
26	Q03	I understand the most important political issues of this country	discrete	numeric-8.0	4936	97	-
27	Q04a	Democracy is always preferable to any other kind of government	discrete	numeric-8.0	4952	81	-
28	Q04b	The courts should be able to stop the government acting beyond its authority	discrete	numeric-8.0	4945	88	-
29	Q04c	Having a strong leader in govt is good for CH even if s/ he bends the rules	discrete	numeric-8.0	4945	88	-
30	Q04d	Policies to increase the representation of women in politics have gone too far	discrete	numeric-8.0	4955	78	-
31	Q05a	Country better run: successful business leaders	discrete	numeric-8.0	4779	254	-
32	Q05b	Country better run: independent experts	discrete	numeric-8.0	4811	222	-
33	Q05c	Country better run: citizens in referendums	discrete	numeric-8.0	4885	148	-
34	Q06	How democratic is Switzerland	continuous	numeric-8.0	4808	225	-
35	f12800a	Trust: Federal Council	discrete	numeric-8.0	4939	94	-
36	f12800b	Trust: Federal Assembly	discrete	numeric-8.0	4948	85	-
37	f12800c	Trust: Political parties at national level	discrete	numeric-8.0	4916	117	-
38	f12800g	Trust: Justice/Courts	discrete	numeric-8.0	4933	100	-
39	f12800j	Trust: Scientists	discrete	numeric-8.0	4917	116	-
40	f12800l	Trust: Traditional media	discrete	numeric-8.0	4952	81	-
41	f12800m	Trust: Social media	discrete	numeric-8.0	4946	87	-
42	Q08a	Government performance: General	discrete	numeric-8.0	4933	100	-
43	Q08b	Government performance: Pandemic	discrete	numeric-8.0	4976	57	-
44	f14610	Evaluation of evolution of economy in last 12 months	discrete	numeric-8.0	4957	76	-
45	f14620	Evaluation of evolution of personal financial situation in last 12 months	discrete	numeric-8.0	4979	54	-
46	f15656	Expected national economic conditions in next 12 months	discrete	numeric-8.0	4972	61	-
47	f13306	How often R discussed about elections with family, friends, colleagues	discrete	numeric-8.0	5004	29	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
48	f13660a	Sources of information: ads/ letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox	discrete	numeric-8.0	4894	139	-
49	f13660b	Sources of information: posters in the street	discrete	numeric-8.0	4858	175	-
50	f13660c	Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	4862	171	-
51	f13660d	Sources of information: party stands in the streets	discrete	numeric-8.0	4842	191	-
52	f13660e	Sources of information: political events of parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4855	178	-
53	f13660f	Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	4872	161	-
54	f13660g	Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote	discrete	numeric-8.0	4848	185	-
55	f13660i	Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio	discrete	numeric-8.0	4926	107	-
56	f13660j	Sources of information: discussions at work or school	discrete	numeric-8.0	4842	191	-
57	f13660k	Sources of information: discussions with family and friends	discrete	numeric-8.0	4917	116	-
58	f13660l	Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election	discrete	numeric-8.0	4862	171	-
59	f13660m	Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	4854	179	-
60	f13660n	Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	4894	139	-
61	Q02a	Media usage: Watch news on a public television broadcaster	continuous	numeric-8.0	4668	365	-
62	Q02b	Media usage: Watch news on a private television broadcaster	continuous	numeric-8.0	4546	487	-
63	Q02c	Media usage: Listen to the news on radio	continuous	numeric-8.0	4550	483	-
64	Q02d	Media usage: Read newspapers	continuous	numeric-8.0	4638	395	-
65	Q02e	Media usage: Online news sites	continuous	numeric-8.0	4533	500	-
66	Q02f	Media usage: Social media	continuous	numeric-8.0	4553	480	-
67	Q02g	Media usage: Social media - per day	discrete	numeric-8.0	74	4959	-
68	f11100	Participation in the 2023 election	discrete	numeric-8.0	4984	49	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
69	f11100rec	Participation in the 2023 election, dummy	discrete	numeric-8.0	4984	49	-
70	f11700	Most important reason to vote	discrete	numeric-8.0	3180	1853	-
71	f11301	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his/her mind	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
72	f11302	Reason for nonvoting: R isn't interested in politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
73	f11303	Reason for nonvoting: Elections are too complicated	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
74	f11304	Reason for nonvoting: R wasn't convinced by any party/candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
75	f11305	Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
76	f11306	Reason for nonvoting: R doesn't know the candidates well enough	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
77	f11307	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't take part	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
78	f11308	Reason for nonvoting: Elections have no influence on government composition	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
79	f11309	Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
80	f11310	Reason for nonvoting: More influence on politics through popular votes	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
81	f11311	Reason for nonvoting: No time/R forgot	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
82	f11312	Reason for nonvoting: Other	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
83	f11400rec	Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1164	3869	-
84	f11400ma..	Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	1164	3869	-
85	f11600	How did R vote	discrete	numeric-8.0	3241	1792	-
86	f11605	When did R submit ballot	discrete	numeric-8.0	3225	1808	-
87	f11800rec	Vote choice elections 2023	discrete	numeric-8.0	3159	1874	-
88	f11800ma..	Vote choice 2023, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3159	1874	-
89	f11800ZH	Vote choice 2023 in ZH, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	375	4658	-
90	f11800TI	Vote choice 2023 in TI, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	389	4644	-
91	f11800GE	Vote choice 2023 in GE, 8 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	378	4655	-
92	f11810rec	Reason for vote decision (closed-ended question)	discrete	numeric-8.0	1652	3381	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
93	f11900	When did R decide whom to vote for	discrete	numeric-8.0	3079	1954	-
94	f12010	Modification of voting list	discrete	numeric-8.0	2868	2165	-
95	f12020a	Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1306	3727	-
96	f12020b	Did R cross out candidates?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1234	3799	-
97	f12020c	Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1328	3705	-
98	f12025	More men or women on the list after modification	discrete	numeric-8.0	1415	3618	-
99	f12030a	Panachage - FDP/PLR	discrete	numeric-8.0	859	4174	-
100	f12030b	Panachage - Die Mitte/Le Centre	discrete	numeric-8.0	826	4207	-
101	f12030c	Panachage - SP/PS	discrete	numeric-8.0	800	4233	-
102	f12030d	Panachage - SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	823	4210	-
103	f12030e	Panachage - GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-8.0	928	4105	-
104	f12030f	Panachage - GLP/PVL	discrete	numeric-8.0	933	4100	-
105	f12030h	Panachage - Lega	discrete	numeric-8.0	196	4837	-
106	f12030i	Panachage - MCG	discrete	numeric-8.0	150	4883	-
107	f12030j	Panachage - CSP/PCS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1012	4021	-
108	f12030k	Panachage - EVP/PEV	discrete	numeric-8.0	998	4035	-
109	f12030l	Panachage - EDU/UDF	discrete	numeric-8.0	997	4036	-
110	f12030m	Panachage - PdA/PST-POP	discrete	numeric-8.0	1012	4021	-
111	f12030n	Panachage - AL - Sol - MPS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1013	4020	-
112	f12030o	Panachage - Other party/candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	1015	4018	-
113	f12301	Council of States: First candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	2874	2159	-
114	f12301p	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	2862	2171	-
115	f12301pm ..	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	2862	2171	-
116	f12302	Council of States: Second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	2545	2488	-
117	f12302p	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	2545	2488	-
118	f12302pm ..	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	2545	2488	-
119	f13741	Satisfaction about vote: voters for party/candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	3201	1832	-
120	f13742	Satisfaction about vote: non-voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1655	3378	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
121	Q12	Satisfaction about variety of choice	discrete	numeric-8.0	4045	988	-
122	Q13	Fairness of election	discrete	numeric-8.0	4940	93	-
123	f10200	Participation in the last national election (2019)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4736	297	-
124	f10200rec	Participation in national election 2019, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4971	62	-
125	f10250	Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous national election (2019)	discrete	numeric-8.0	3645	1388	-
126	f10300rec	Party choice elections 2019	discrete	numeric-8.0	3077	1956	-
127	f10300ma ..	Party choice elections 2019, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)	discrete	numeric-8.0	3077	1956	-
128	Q15	Who people vote for makes a big difference	discrete	numeric-8.0	4966	67	-
129	f15130a	Left-right placement - FDP/PLR	continuous	numeric-8.0	4250	783	-
130	f15130c	Left-right placement - SP/PS	continuous	numeric-8.0	4336	697	-
131	f15130d	Left-right placement - SVP/UDC	continuous	numeric-8.0	4177	856	-
132	f15130e	Left-right placement - GPS/PES	continuous	numeric-8.0	4271	762	-
133	f15130f	Left-right placement - GLP/PVL	continuous	numeric-8.0	4174	859	-
134	f15130h	Left-right placement - Lega	continuous	numeric-8.0	487	4546	-
135	f15130i	Left-right placement - MCG	continuous	numeric-8.0	514	4519	-
136	f15130j	Left-right placement - The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)	continuous	numeric-8.0	4272	761	-
137	f15200	Left-right placement - Self	continuous	numeric-8.0	4493	540	-
138	f13700	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-8.0	4975	58	-
139	f14000	Party closeness	discrete	numeric-8.0	4965	68	-
140	f14050	Does R nevertheless feel somewhat close to a party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2701	2332	-
141	f14010rec	Party R feels close to	discrete	numeric-8.0	3421	1612	-
142	f14010ma ..	Party R feels close to, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3421	1612	-
143	f14070	How close does R feel to party	discrete	numeric-8.0	3409	1624	-
144	Q24	Percentage of women in parliament	discrete	numeric-8.0	4360	673	-
145	Q25a	Better suited to lead in pandemic: men/women	discrete	numeric-8.0	4968	65	-
146	Q25b	Better suited to lead in economic crisis: men/women	discrete	numeric-8.0	4982	51	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
147	Q26b	How well does political system guarantee adequate healthcare for all citizens	discrete	numeric-8.0	4782	251	-
148	Q27a	How did coronavirus pandemic affect how united society is in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4699	334	-
149	Q27b	How did coronavirus pandemic affect functioning of democracy in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4497	536	-
150	Q27c	How has coronavirus pandemic affected your personal economic situation	discrete	numeric-8.0	4852	181	-
151	f12500	Participation rate in federal votes out of 10	continuous	numeric-8.0	4957	76	-
152	n13_030a1	Union or employee association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4809	224	-
153	n13_030a2	Union or employee association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4809	224	-
154	n13_030a3	Union or employee association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4809	224	-
155	n13_030a4	Union or employee association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4809	224	-
156	n13_030b1	Economic, professional or farmers' association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4687	346	-
157	n13_030b2	Economic, professional or farmers' association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4687	346	-
158	n13_030b3	Economic, professional or farmers' association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4687	346	-
159	n13_030b4	Economic, professional or farmers' association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4687	346	-
160	n13_030c1	Consumer organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	349	-
161	n13_030c2	Consumer organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	349	-
162	n13_030c3	Consumer organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	349	-
163	n13_030c4	Consumer organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	349	-
164	n13_030d1	Human rights or peace organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4769	264	-
165	n13_030d2	Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4769	264	-
166	n13_030d3	Human rights or peace organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4769	264	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
167	n13_030d4	Human rights or peace organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4769	264	-
168	n13_030e1	Environmental organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4722	311	-
169	n13_030e2	Environmental organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4722	311	-
170	n13_030e3	Environmental organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4722	311	-
171	n13_030e4	Environmental organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4722	311	-
172	n13_030f1	Religious or church association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4726	307	-
173	n13_030f2	Religious or church association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4726	307	-
174	n13_030f3	Religious or church association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4726	307	-
175	n13_030f4	Religious or church association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4726	307	-
176	n13_030g1	Political party: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4666	367	-
177	n13_030g2	Political party: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4666	367	-
178	n13_030g3	Political party: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4666	367	-
179	n13_030g4	Political party: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4666	367	-
180	f14081rec	Party R is member of	discrete	numeric-8.0	217	4816	-
181	f14081ma ..	Party R is member of, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	217	4816	-
182	f14400a	Probability to vote - FDP/PLR	continuous	numeric-8.0	4608	425	-
183	f14400c	Probability to vote - SP/PS	continuous	numeric-8.0	4576	457	-
184	f14400d	Probability to vote - SVP/UDC	continuous	numeric-8.0	4636	397	-
185	f14400e	Probability to vote - GPS/PES	continuous	numeric-8.0	4543	490	-
186	f14400f	Probability to vote - GLP/PVL	continuous	numeric-8.0	4507	526	-
187	f14400h	Probability to vote - Lega	continuous	numeric-8.0	563	4470	-
188	f14400i	Probability to vote - MCG	continuous	numeric-8.0	616	4417	-
189	f14400j	Probability to vote - The Centre (former CVP/BDP)	continuous	numeric-8.0	4559	474	-
190	f12026	For two candidates with same qualifications, R chooses male or female candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	4964	69	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
191	f13322	How often did R discuss about elections with family in her/his childhood	discrete	numeric-8.0	4991	42	-
192	f12900rec	Father's party choice when R was 14 years old	discrete	numeric-8.0	2496	2537	-
193	f12900ma ..	Father's party choice when R was 14 years old, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)	discrete	numeric-8.0	2496	2537	-
194	f12905rec	Mother's party choice when R was 14 years old	discrete	numeric-8.0	2012	3021	-
195	f12905ma ..	Mother's party choice when R was 14 years old, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)	discrete	numeric-8.0	2012	3021	-
196	f15420	Opinion on social spending	discrete	numeric-8.0	4950	83	-
197	f15430	Opinion on EU membership	discrete	numeric-8.0	4957	76	-
198	f15435	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-8.0	4944	89	-
199	f15440	Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners	discrete	numeric-8.0	4970	63	-
200	f15470	Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth	discrete	numeric-8.0	4971	62	-
201	f15480	Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	numeric-8.0	4986	47	-
202	f15485	Opinion on modern vs traditional Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4978	55	-
203	f15811	Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women	discrete	numeric-8.0	4976	57	-
204	f15815	Opinion on introducing a minimum wage of 4000 CHF	discrete	numeric-8.0	4953	80	-
205	f15816	Opinion on introducing voting rights for foreigners on municipal level	discrete	numeric-8.0	4953	80	-
206	f15817	Opinion on equal rights for same-sex couples	discrete	numeric-8.0	4930	103	-
207	f15900	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council	discrete	numeric-8.0	4967	66	-
208	f15900rec	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4967	66	-
209	f16300	Knowledge: Party with most seats in National Council before elections	discrete	numeric-8.0	4944	89	-
210	f16300rec	Knowledge: Party with most seats in National Council before elections, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4944	89	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
211	f16312	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893	discrete	numeric-8.0	4945	88	-
212	f16312rec	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4945	88	-
213	f16313	Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4938	95	-
214	f16313rec	Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4938	95	-
215	f16314	Visual Knowledge: Party of minister in the photo (Karin Keller-Sutter)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4438	595	-
216	f16314rec	Visual Knowledge: Party of minister in the photo (Karin Keller-Sutter), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4438	595	-
217	f20300	Year since R lives in current canton	continuous	numeric-8.0	4919	114	-
218	E11	Current marital status	discrete	numeric-8.0	4990	43	-
219	f20500	Household size	discrete	numeric-8.0	4959	74	-
220	f20700	Does R live with a spouse or partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	1667	3366	-
221	f20710	Number of children under 18 living in household	discrete	numeric-8.0	2362	2671	-
222	f20221	Main language spoken at home or in family	discrete	numeric-8.0	4971	62	-
223	f20221_det	Main language spoken at home or in family (detailed)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4971	62	-
224	f20210rec1	R's nationality at birth - Country 1	discrete	numeric-8.0	4964	69	-
225	f20210rec2	R's nationality at birth - Country 2	discrete	numeric-8.0	298	4735	-
226	f20210rec3	R's nationality at birth - Country 3	discrete	numeric-8.0	14	5019	-
227	f20212	Country R's mother was born in (recoded)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4933	100	-
228	f20213	Country R's father was born in (recoded)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4909	124	-
229	f20760	Which religion or denomination does R belong to - Recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4973	60	-
230	f20900	How often R attends religious services or other events of a religious community	discrete	numeric-8.0	3484	1549	-
231	f21310	R's highest level of education	discrete	numeric-8.0	4860	173	-
232	E6b_lev	Highest diploma - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	1510	3523	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
233	f21400	Current employment situation - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	4964	69	-
234	f26100	Past employment situation - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	1727	3306	-
235	f21500 f..	Position at work (current or past) - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	4100	933	-
236	f21500	Position at current work - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	2870	2163	-
237	f24900	Position at past work - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	1230	3803	-
238	f21550 f..	Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - R	continuous	numeric-8.0	464	4569	-
239	f21550	Number of persons employed at current company - R	continuous	numeric-8.0	325	4708	-
240	f24950	Number of persons employed at past company - R	continuous	numeric-8.0	139	4894	-
241	ISCO08pr..	ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent	discrete	numeric-8.0	3976	1057	-
242	ISCO08pr..	ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent	discrete	numeric-8.0	2812	2221	-
243	ISCO08pr..	ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Respondent	discrete	numeric-8.0	1164	3869	-
244	f21700 f..	Does/did R work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	3536	1497	-
245	f21700	Does R work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	2494	2539	-
246	f25100	Did R work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	1042	3991	-
247	f21750 f..	The main activity sector of R's company (current or past)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4121	912	-
248	f21750	The main activity sector of R's current company	discrete	numeric-8.0	2884	2149	-
249	f25150	The main activity sector of R's past company	discrete	numeric-8.0	1237	3796	-
250	f21800	Who is the main earner in R's household	discrete	numeric-8.0	3275	1758	-
251	f21910	Highest level of education - Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	1055	3978	-
252	E6b lev..	Highest diploma - Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	380	4653	-
253	f22000 f..	Employment situation (current or past) - Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	1067	3966	-
254	f22100 f..	Position at work (current or past) - Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	1017	4016	-
255	f22150 f..	Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - Partner	continuous	numeric-8.0	141	4892	-
256	ISCO08pr..	ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	892	4141	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
257	ISCO08pr..	ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	706	4327	-
258	ISCO08pr..	ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	185	4848	-
259	f22300 f..	Does/did partner work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	835	4198	-
260	f22350 f..	The main activity sector of partner's company (current or past)	discrete	numeric-8.0	986	4047	-
261	f22910	Highest level of education - Main earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	252	4781	-
262	E6b lev ..	Highest diploma - Main earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	110	4923	-
263	f23000 f..	Employment situation (current or past) - Main earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	244	4789	-
264	f23100 f..	Position at work (current or past) - Main earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	231	4802	-
265	f23150 f..	Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - Main earner	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	4999	-
266	ISCO08pr..	ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	190	4843	-
267	ISCO08pr..	ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	163	4870	-
268	ISCO08pr..	ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	27	5006	-
269	f23300 f..	Does/did main earner work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	161	4872	-
270	f23350 f..	The main activity sector of main earner's company (current or past)	discrete	numeric-8.0	204	4829	-
271	f28910	Total gross monthly income of R's household	discrete	numeric-8.0	4744	289	-
272	f29100	Can R manage with this income (after fixed expenses)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4925	108	-
273	f21200	Does R rent or own the house or apartment he/she lives in	discrete	numeric-8.0	4935	98	-
274	class16_r	Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3973	1060	-
275	class8_r	Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3973	1060	-
276	class5_r	Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3973	1060	-
277	class16_p	Partner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	895	4138	-
278	class8_p	Partner's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	895	4138	-
279	class5_p	Partner's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	895	4138	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
280	class16_h	Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	191	4842	-
281	class8_h	Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	191	4842	-
282	class5_h	Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	191	4842	-
283	class16	Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	4249	784	-
284	class8	Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	4249	784	-
285	class5	Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	4249	784	-
286	birthcou_..	R's country of birth from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
287	hhsiz_e_s_..	Household size from FSO sample	continuous	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
288	lingreg_...	Linguistic region from FSO sample	discrete	character-2	5033	0	-
289	canton_s_..	Canton from FSO sample	discrete	character-2	5033	0	-
290	bigregio_..	Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
291	smt3	Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
292	smt9	Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
293	msize	Municipality size (8 categories, FSO 2022)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
294	weight_d	Design weight: cantonal oversampling	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
295	weight_d_t	Weight: Design * Turnout	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
296	weight_d_p	Weight: Design * Party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
297	weight_tot	Total weight: design * turnout * party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
298	weight_t_..	Turnout weight for canton of Zurich	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
299	weight_p_..	Party weight for canton of Zurich	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
300	weight_t_..	Total weight for canton of Zurich: turnout * party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
301	weight_t_..	Turnout weight for canton of Ticino	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
302	weight_p_..	Party weight for canton of Ticino	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
303	weight_t_..	Total weight for canton of Ticino: turnout * party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-

File Selects2023_PES_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
304	weight_t..	Turnout weight for canton of Geneva	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
305	weight_p..	Party weight for canton of Geneva	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-
306	weight_t..	Total weight for canton of Geneva: turnout * party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 306 variable(s)

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

userid: Personal ID

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1001-6033] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

langint: Language of interview

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	3076	<div></div> 61.1%
2	French	1301	<div></div> 25.8%
3	Italian	656	<div></div> 13.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

imode: Mode of interview

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Web	4512	<div></div> 89.6%
2	Paper	521	<div></div> 10.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

indate_paper: Date of reception (paper questionnaire)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=521 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-11-18		1	<div></div> 0.2%
2023-11-20		114	<div></div> 21.9%
2023-11-21		129	<div></div> 24.8%
2023-11-22		26	<div></div> 5.0%
2023-11-23		83	<div></div> 15.9%
2023-11-24		4	<div></div> 0.8%
2023-11-27		12	<div></div> 2.3%
2023-11-28		28	<div></div> 5.4%
2023-11-29		27	<div></div> 5.2%
2023-11-30		10	<div></div> 1.9%
2023-12-04		7	<div></div> 1.3%
2023-12-05		7	<div></div> 1.3%
2023-12-06		8	<div></div> 1.5%
2023-12-07		6	<div></div> 1.2%
2023-12-11		14	<div></div> 2.7%
2023-12-12		11	<div></div> 2.1%
2023-12-13		4	<div></div> 0.8%
2023-12-14		7	<div></div> 1.3%
2023-12-18		3	<div></div> 0.6%
2023-12-19		2	<div></div> 0.4%
2023-12-22		3	<div></div> 0.6%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

indate_paper: Date of reception (paper questionnaire)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2024-01-04		14	<div></div> 2.7%
2024-01-11		1	<div></div> 0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

language_paper: Language paper questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=521 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
DE		294	<div></div> 56.4%
FR		158	<div></div> 30.3%
IT		69	<div></div> 13.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

intstart: Interview start date

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4512 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-10-23		886	<div></div> 19.6%
2023-10-24		653	<div></div> 14.5%
2023-10-25		364	<div></div> 8.1%
2023-10-26		243	<div></div> 5.4%
2023-10-27		162	<div></div> 3.6%
2023-10-28		123	<div></div> 2.7%
2023-10-29		191	<div></div> 4.2%
2023-10-30		135	<div></div> 3.0%
2023-10-31		97	<div></div> 2.1%
2023-11-01		103	<div></div> 2.3%
2023-11-02		82	<div></div> 1.8%
2023-11-03		281	<div></div> 6.2%
2023-11-04		187	<div></div> 4.1%
2023-11-05		209	<div></div> 4.6%
2023-11-06		138	<div></div> 3.1%
2023-11-07		97	<div></div> 2.1%
2023-11-08		44	<div></div> 1.0%
2023-11-09		41	<div></div> 0.9%
2023-11-10		25	<div></div> 0.6%
2023-11-11		21	<div></div> 0.5%
2023-11-12		40	<div></div> 0.9%
2023-11-13		33	<div></div> 0.7%
2023-11-14		27	<div></div> 0.6%
2023-11-15		15	<div></div> 0.3%
2023-11-16		31	<div></div> 0.7%
2023-11-17		27	<div></div> 0.6%
2023-11-18		16	<div></div> 0.4%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

intstart: Interview start date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-11-19		27	0.6%
2023-11-20		18	0.4%
2023-11-21		10	0.2%
2023-11-22		11	0.2%
2023-11-23		7	0.2%
2023-11-24		9	0.2%
2023-11-25		5	0.1%
2023-11-26		11	0.2%
2023-11-27		12	0.3%
2023-11-28		4	0.1%
2023-11-29		4	0.1%
2023-11-30		2	0.0%
2023-12-01		3	0.1%
2023-12-02		2	0.0%
2023-12-03		2	0.0%
2023-12-04		4	0.1%
2023-12-05		20	0.4%
2023-12-06		19	0.4%
2023-12-07		9	0.2%
2023-12-08		7	0.2%
2023-12-09		2	0.0%
2023-12-10		6	0.1%
2023-12-11		4	0.1%
2023-12-12		8	0.2%
2023-12-13		1	0.0%
2023-12-14		2	0.0%
2023-12-15		1	0.0%
2023-12-16		2	0.0%
2023-12-17		3	0.1%
2023-12-18		1	0.0%
2023-12-19		4	0.1%
2023-12-20		1	0.0%
2023-12-22		1	0.0%
2023-12-24		2	0.0%
2023-12-26		1	0.0%
2023-12-27		1	0.0%
2023-12-28		2	0.0%
2024-01-01		1	0.0%
2024-01-02		2	0.0%
2024-01-03		3	0.1%
2024-01-04		3	0.1%
2024-01-05		3	0.1%
2024-01-07		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

intend: Interview end date

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4512 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-10-23		870	19.3%
2023-10-24		641	14.2%
2023-10-25		355	7.9%
2023-10-26		247	5.5%
2023-10-27		164	3.6%
2023-10-28		121	2.7%
2023-10-29		189	4.2%
2023-10-30		128	2.8%
2023-10-31		96	2.1%
2023-11-01		104	2.3%
2023-11-02		79	1.8%
2023-11-03		285	6.3%
2023-11-04		190	4.2%
2023-11-05		213	4.7%
2023-11-06		141	3.1%
2023-11-07		97	2.1%
2023-11-08		43	1.0%
2023-11-09		44	1.0%
2023-11-10		27	0.6%
2023-11-11		21	0.5%
2023-11-12		43	1.0%
2023-11-13		35	0.8%
2023-11-14		30	0.7%
2023-11-15		15	0.3%
2023-11-16		35	0.8%
2023-11-17		34	0.8%
2023-11-18		17	0.4%
2023-11-19		25	0.6%
2023-11-20		19	0.4%
2023-11-21		11	0.2%
2023-11-22		11	0.2%
2023-11-23		7	0.2%
2023-11-24		9	0.2%
2023-11-25		7	0.2%
2023-11-26		11	0.2%
2023-11-27		12	0.3%
2023-11-28		4	0.1%
2023-11-29		4	0.1%
2023-11-30		2	0.0%
2023-12-01		3	0.1%
2023-12-02		1	0.0%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

intend: Interview end date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-12-03		3	0.1%
2023-12-04		4	0.1%
2023-12-05		23	0.5%
2023-12-06		18	0.4%
2023-12-07		10	0.2%
2023-12-08		7	0.2%
2023-12-09		2	0.0%
2023-12-10		6	0.1%
2023-12-11		3	0.1%
2023-12-12		9	0.2%
2023-12-13		2	0.0%
2023-12-14		2	0.0%
2023-12-15		1	0.0%
2023-12-16		3	0.1%
2023-12-17		3	0.1%
2023-12-18		1	0.0%
2023-12-19		3	0.1%
2023-12-20		2	0.0%
2023-12-22		1	0.0%
2023-12-24		2	0.0%
2023-12-26		1	0.0%
2023-12-27		1	0.0%
2023-12-28		2	0.0%
2024-01-01		1	0.0%
2024-01-02		2	0.0%
2024-01-03		3	0.1%
2024-01-04		3	0.1%
2024-01-05		3	0.1%
2024-01-07		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Finished: Web questionnaire fully completed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4512 /-] [Invalid=521 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	no	29	0.6%
1	yes	4483	99.4%
Sysmiss		521	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Completion_Rate: Completion rate of web questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4512 /-] [Invalid=521 /-]

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

Completion_Rate: Completion rate of web questionnaire

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	50-80% completed	19	0.4%
2	>80% completed	4493	99.6%
Sysmiss		521	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

totaltime: Total interview length in minutes

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7-58270.9666666667] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4512 /-] [Invalid=521 /-] [Mean=322.673 /-] [StdDev=2632.86 /-]

UserLanguage: User language web questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4512 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
DE		2782	61.7%
FR		1143	25.3%
IT		587	13.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: Sex according to official documents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5025 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2415	48.1%
2	Female	2610	51.9%
-99	No answer	8	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

birthyear: Year of birth

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1921-2005] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5011 /-] [Invalid=22 /-] [Mean=1971.136 /-] [StdDev=17.997 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	22	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: Age in years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-102] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5011 /-] [Invalid=22 /-] [Mean=51.864 /-] [StdDev=17.997 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	22	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

agecat: Age in categories

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5011 /-] [Invalid=22 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	412	8.2%
2	25-34	651	13.0%
3	35-44	724	14.4%
4	45-54	810	16.2%
5	55-64	1026	20.5%
6	65-74	843	16.8%
7	75+	545	10.9%
-99	No answer	22	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f10000: Canton in which R has the right to vote in current national election (2023)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -96-26] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-96	No answer	0	
1	Zurich	550	10.9%
2	Bern	436	8.7%
3	Lucerne	173	3.4%
4	Uri	83	1.6%
5	Schwyz	104	2.1%
6	Obwalden	109	2.2%
7	Nidwalden	97	1.9%
8	Glarus	84	1.7%
9	Zug	87	1.7%
10	Fribourg	117	2.3%
11	Solothurn	140	2.8%
12	Basel-Stadt	77	1.5%
13	Basel-Landschaft	157	3.1%
14	Schaffhausen	92	1.8%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	100	2.0%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	109	2.2%
17	St. Gallen	165	3.3%
18	Graubünden	140	2.8%
19	Aargau	226	4.5%
20	Thurgau	100	2.0%
21	Ticino	670	13.3%
22	Vaud	218	4.3%
23	Valais	147	2.9%
24	Neuchâtel	109	2.2%
25	Geneva	670	13.3%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f10000: Canton in which R has the right to vote in current national election (2023)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26	Jura	73	1.5%
-99	No answer	0	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f10100: Political interest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5019 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	353	7.0%
2	Rather not interested	1577	31.4%
3	Rather interested	2305	45.9%
4	Very interested	784	15.6%
-99	No answer	13	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing= */98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4621 /-] [Invalid=412 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	62	1.3%
2	Other old age provisions	80	1.7%
3	Unemployment	7	0.2%
4	Poverty	25	0.5%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	188	4.1%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	828	17.9%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	185	4.0%
9	Extremism	0	
10	Finances	16	0.3%
11	Refugees	67	1.4%
12	Xenophobia, racism	15	0.3%
13	Health system	264	5.7%
14	Globalisation	1	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	1	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	6	0.1%
17	Climate	492	10.6%
18	Consociationalism	11	0.2%
19	Health insurance	356	7.7%
20	Crime	6	0.1%
21	Neutrality	130	2.8%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	Politicians	62	1.3%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	28	0.6%
25	Direct democracy	5	0.1%
27	Party polarisation	64	1.4%
28	Security	27	0.6%
29	Social security	8	0.2%
30	Social inequalities	24	0.5%
31	Taxes	15	0.3%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	179	3.9%
34	Economic development	1	0.0%
35	Media	2	0.0%
36	Renewable energies	13	0.3%
37	Economic/financial crisis	11	0.2%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	0	
39	Nuclear energy	2	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	5	0.1%
41	Banks, banking crisis	3	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	20	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	3	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	15	0.3%
45	Economy, economic policy	56	1.2%
46	Education	17	0.4%
47	Social policy, welfare state	41	0.9%
48	Family policy, upbringing	7	0.2%
49	Labour market, workplace security	61	1.3%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	31	0.7%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	0	
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	30	0.6%
54	Vandalism, violence	0	
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gothard tunnel	0	
58	Future	2	0.0%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	83	1.8%
61	Energy, energy policy	129	2.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	18	0.4%
63	Swiss People's Party	14	0.3%
64	Equal rights	13	0.3%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	28	0.6%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	11	0.2%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	4	0.1%
68	Society in general	0	
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	71	1.5%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	37	0.8%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	1	0.0%
73	Army	7	0.2%
74	Aging population, demographics	21	0.5%
75	Bureaucracy	12	0.3%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	9	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	33	0.7%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	0	
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	20	0.4%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	345	7.5%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	11	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	24	0.5%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	1	0.0%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	3	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	41	0.9%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	7	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	2	0.0%
102	Capitalism	5	0.1%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	2	0.0%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	3	0.1%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	1	0.0%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	31	0.7%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	10	0.2%
110	Wars, peace policy	112	2.4%
111	Islam, islamism	1	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	10	0.2%
113	Populism	15	0.3%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	3	0.1%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	5	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	1	0.0%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	1	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	1	0.0%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	1	0.0%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	309	
98	Don't know	103	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4621 /-] [Invalid=412 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	1	0.0%
2	Economy	422	9.1%
3	Education & culture	17	0.4%
4	Environment & energy	820	17.7%
5	EU, Europe	205	4.4%
6	Finances & taxes	31	0.7%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	22	0.5%
8	Immigration & asylum	1123	24.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	343	7.4%
10	Labour market	70	1.5%
11	Law & order	34	0.7%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	338	7.3%
13	Public health	620	13.4%
14	Public services & infrastructure	57	1.2%
15	Regions & national cohesion	48	1.0%
16	Social security/welfare state	412	8.9%
17	Other problems	46	1.0%
18	No problem	7	0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	5	0.1%
-99	No answer	309	
98	Don't know	103	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3670 /-] [Invalid=1363 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	451	12.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	538	14.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	733	20.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1127	30.7%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	314	8.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	231	6.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	35	1.0%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	37	1.0%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	10	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	23	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	21	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	24	0.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	29	0.8%
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	5	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	0.1%
350	Other party	5	0.1%
404	Several parties	47	1.3%
405	All parties together, all	33	0.9%
406	Left parties (several)	2	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	23	
994	No party / rather persons	82	
995	Other answer	20	
998	Don't know	929	
Sysmiss		309	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3670 /-] [Invalid=1363 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	451	<div></div> 12.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	538	<div></div> 14.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	733	<div></div> 20.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1127	<div></div> 30.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	314	<div></div> 8.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	231	<div></div> 6.3%
350	Other/several/all parties	276	<div></div> 7.5%
-99	No party / rather persons, no answer, other answer	125	
998	Don't know	929	
Sysmiss		309	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4451 /-] [Invalid=582 /-]

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	105	2.4%
2	Other old age provisions	161	3.6%
3	Unemployment	12	0.3%
4	Poverty	42	0.9%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	102	2.3%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	485	10.9%
7	Drugs, addictions	2	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	113	2.5%
9	Extremism	1	0.0%
10	Finances	21	0.5%
11	Refugees	77	1.7%
12	Xenophobia, racism	14	0.3%
13	Health system	323	7.3%
14	Globalisation	4	0.1%
15	Youth unemployment	5	0.1%
16	Integration of immigrants	20	0.4%
17	Climate	349	7.8%
18	Consociationalism	15	0.3%
19	Health insurance	324	7.3%
20	Crime	17	0.4%
21	Neutrality	60	1.3%
22	Politicians	44	1.0%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	32	0.7%
25	Direct democracy	2	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	8	0.2%
28	Security	46	1.0%
29	Social security	5	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	34	0.8%
31	Taxes	16	0.4%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	273	6.1%
34	Economic development	4	0.1%
35	Media	5	0.1%
36	Renewable energies	9	0.2%
37	Economic/financial crisis	4	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	5	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	0	
40	Transportation, traffic	39	0.9%
41	Banks, banking crisis	6	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	30	0.7%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	7	0.2%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	14	0.3%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	Economy, economic policy	56	1.3%
46	Education	53	1.2%
47	Social policy, welfare state	84	1.9%
48	Family policy, upbringing	21	0.5%
49	Labour market, workplace security	92	2.1%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	71	1.6%
51	Fear, politics of fear	2	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	20	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	2	0.0%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	2	0.0%
57	2nd Gothard tunnel	0	
58	Future	2	0.0%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	44	1.0%
61	Energy, energy policy	197	4.4%
62	Cross-border commuters	26	0.6%
63	Swiss People's Party	9	0.2%
64	Equal rights	55	1.2%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	22	0.5%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	6	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	3	0.1%
68	Society in general	3	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	65	1.5%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	70	1.6%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	18	0.4%
73	Army	20	0.4%
74	Aging population, demographics	31	0.7%
75	Bureaucracy	11	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	7	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	31	0.7%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	5	0.1%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	6	0.1%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	264	5.9%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	7	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	23	0.5%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	4	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	3	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Other	61	1.4%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	8	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	5	0.1%
102	Capitalism	10	0.2%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	9	0.2%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	19	0.4%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	9	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	22	0.5%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	23	0.5%
110	Wars, peace policy	82	1.8%
111	Islam, islamism	1	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	5	0.1%
113	Populism	8	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	8	0.2%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	5	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	2	0.0%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	1	0.0%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	160	
98	Don't know	113	
Sysmiss		309	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing= */98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4451 /-] [Invalid=582 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2	Economy	353	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
3	Education & culture	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
4	Environment & energy	844	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
5	EU, Europe	135	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
6	Finances & taxes	37	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	63	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
8	Immigration & asylum	725	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	252	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Labour market	119	2.7%
11	Law & order	72	1.6%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	261	5.9%
13	Public health	649	14.6%
14	Public services & infrastructure	128	2.9%
15	Regions & national cohesion	38	0.9%
16	Social security/welfare state	623	14.0%
17	Other problems	69	1.6%
18	No problem	8	0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	3	0.1%
-99	No answer	160	
98	Don't know	113	
Sysmiss		309	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3441 /-] [Invalid=1592 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	477	13.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	521	15.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	812	23.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	871	25.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	292	8.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	223	6.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	38	1.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	32	0.9%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	10	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	18	0.5%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	18	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	26	0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	28	0.8%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	3	0.1%
404	Several parties	28	0.8%
405	All parties together, all	38	1.1%
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	52	
994	No party / rather persons	52	
995	Other answer	9	

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	Don't know	974	
Sysmiss		505	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3441 /-] [Invalid=1592 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	477	13.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	521	15.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	812	23.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	871	25.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	292	8.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	223	6.5%
350	Other/several/all parties	245	7.1%
-99	No party / rather persons, no answer, other answer	113	
998	Don't know	974	
Sysmiss		505	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q03: I understand the most important political issues of this country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4936 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	155	3.1%
2	Rather disagree	590	12.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	771	15.6%
4	Rather agree	2608	52.8%
5	Strongly agree	812	16.5%
-99	No answer	97	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q04a: Democracy is always preferable to any other kind of government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4952 /-] [Invalid=81 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	101	2.0%
2	Rather disagree	179	3.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	365	7.4%
4	Rather agree	1194	24.1%
5	Strongly agree	3113	62.9%
-99	No answer	80	

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0






Q04a: Democracy is always preferable to any other kind of government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q04b: The courts should be able to stop the government acting beyond its authority






Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4945 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	311	 6.3%
2	Rather disagree	551	 11.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	831	 16.8%
4	Rather agree	1900	 38.4%
5	Strongly agree	1352	 27.3%
-99	No answer	87	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q04c: Having a strong leader in govt is good for CH even if s/he bends the rules






Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4945 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1186	 24.0%
2	Rather disagree	1321	 26.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	795	 16.1%
4	Rather agree	1243	 25.1%
5	Strongly agree	400	 8.1%
-99	No answer	88	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q04d: Policies to increase the representation of women in politics have gone too far

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4955 /-] [Invalid=78 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1265	 25.5%
2	Rather disagree	1079	 21.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1485	 30.0%
4	Rather agree	768	 15.5%
5	Strongly agree	358	 7.2%
-99	No answer	78	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

Q04d: Policies to increase the representation of women in politics have gone too far

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q05a: Country better run: successful business leaders

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4779 /-] [Invalid=254 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2333	48.8%
2	Rather disagree	1153	24.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	745	15.6%
4	Rather agree	442	9.2%
5	Strongly agree	106	2.2%
-99	No answer	254	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q05b: Country better run: independent experts

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4811 /-] [Invalid=222 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1246	25.9%
2	Rather disagree	1041	21.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	973	20.2%
4	Rather agree	1298	27.0%
5	Strongly agree	253	5.3%
-99	No answer	221	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q05c: Country better run: citizens in referendums

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4885 /-] [Invalid=148 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	530	10.8%
2	Rather disagree	963	19.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	882	18.1%
4	Rather agree	1680	34.4%
5	Strongly agree	830	17.0%
-99	No answer	147	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q06: How democratic is Switzerland

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

Q06: How democratic is Switzerland

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4808 /-] [Invalid=225 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - not at all democratic	16	0.3%
1	1	36	0.7%
2	2	20	0.4%
3	3	50	1.0%
4	4	58	1.2%
5	5	230	4.8%
6	6	213	4.4%
7	7	614	12.8%
8	8	1255	26.1%
9	9	1079	22.4%
10	10 - completely democratic	1237	25.7%
-99	No answer	38	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	187	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12800a: Trust: Federal Council

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4939 /-] [Invalid=94 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	166	3.4%
2	Do not trust very much	984	19.9%
3	Trust somewhat	3122	63.2%
4	Trust a lot	667	13.5%
-99	No answer	94	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4948 /-] [Invalid=85 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	153	3.1%
2	Do not trust very much	1227	24.8%
3	Trust somewhat	3125	63.2%
4	Trust a lot	443	9.0%
-99	No answer	85	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4916 /-] [Invalid=117 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	273	5.6%
2	Do not trust very much	2220	45.2%
3	Trust somewhat	2326	47.3%
4	Trust a lot	97	2.0%
-99	No answer	113	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12800g: Trust: Justice/Courts

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4933 /-] [Invalid=100 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	147	3.0%
2	Do not trust very much	982	19.9%
3	Trust somewhat	3034	61.5%
4	Trust a lot	770	15.6%
-99	No answer	100	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12800j: Trust: Scientists

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4917 /-] [Invalid=116 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	196	4.0%
2	Do not trust very much	924	18.8%
3	Trust somewhat	2742	55.8%
4	Trust a lot	1055	21.5%
-99	No answer	116	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12800l: Trust: Traditional media

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4952 /-] [Invalid=81 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	852	17.2%
2	Do not trust very much	2023	40.9%
3	Trust somewhat	1899	38.3%

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f12800l: Trust: Traditional media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Trust a lot	178	3.6%
-99	No answer	80	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12800m: Trust: Social media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4946 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	3173	64.2%
2	Do not trust very much	1558	31.5%
3	Trust somewhat	199	4.0%
4	Trust a lot	16	0.3%
-99	No answer	86	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q08a: Government performance: General

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4933 /-] [Invalid=100 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very bad job	137	2.8%
2	Bad job	896	18.2%
3	Good job	3529	71.5%
4	Very good job	371	7.5%
-99	No answer	96	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q08b: Government performance: Pandemic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4976 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very bad job	430	8.6%
2	Bad job	810	16.3%
3	Good job	2732	54.9%
4	Very good job	1004	20.2%
-99	No answer	56	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f14610: Evaluation of evolution of economy in last 12 months

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4957 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much worse	908	18.3%
2	Gotten somewhat worse	2305	46.5%
3	Stayed about the same	1026	20.7%
4	Gotten somewhat better	648	13.1%
5	Gotten much better	70	1.4%
-99	No answer	76	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f14620: Evaluation of evolution of personal financial situation in last 12 months

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4979 /-] [Invalid=54 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much worse	766	15.4%
2	Gotten somewhat worse	1769	35.5%
3	Stayed about the same	1904	38.2%
4	Gotten somewhat better	422	8.5%
5	Gotten much better	118	2.4%
-99	No answer	54	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15656: Expected national economic conditions in next 12 months

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4972 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worsen significantly	340	6.8%
2	Worsen slightly	1904	38.3%
3	Remain about the same	2277	45.8%
4	Improve slightly	427	8.6%
5	Improve significantly	24	0.5%
-99	No answer	60	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f13306: How often R discussed about elections with family, friends, colleagues

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5004 /-] [Invalid=29 /-]

File : Selects2023_PES_v2.0

f13306: How often R discussed about elections with family, friends, colleagues

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	718	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
2	Rarely	1491	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
3	Sometimes	1994	<div><div></div></div> 39.8%
4	Often	801	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
-99	No answer	28	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f13660a: Sources of information: ads/letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=139 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3247	<div><div></div></div> 66.3%
1	Yes	1647	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
-99	No answer	139	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f13660b: Sources of information: posters in the street

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4858 /-] [Invalid=175 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3436	<div><div></div></div> 70.7%
1	Yes	1422	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
-99	No answer	175	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f13660c: Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4862 /-] [Invalid=171 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3909	<div><div></div></div> 80.4%
1	Yes	953	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
-99	No answer	171	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# f13660d: Sources of information: party stands in the streets			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4842 /-] [Invalid=191 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4491	<div><div></div></div> 92.8%
1	Yes	351	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
-99	No answer	191	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13660e: Sources of information: political events of parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4855 /-] [Invalid=178 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4604	<div><div></div></div> 94.8%
1	Yes	251	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
-99	No answer	178	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13660f: Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4872 /-] [Invalid=161 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3643	<div><div></div></div> 74.8%
1	Yes	1229	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
-99	No answer	161	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13660g: Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4848 /-] [Invalid=185 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3884	<div><div></div></div> 80.1%
1	Yes	964	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
-99	No answer	185	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13660i: Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4926 /-] [Invalid=107 /-]		

# f13660i: Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2301	<div><div></div></div> 46.7%
1	Yes	2625	<div><div></div></div> 53.3%
-99	No answer	107	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13660j: Sources of information: discussions at work or school			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4842 /-] [Invalid=191 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3244	<div><div></div></div> 67.0%
1	Yes	1598	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
-99	No answer	191	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13660k: Sources of information: discussions with family and friends			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4917 /-] [Invalid=116 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1265	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
1	Yes	3652	<div><div></div></div> 74.3%
-99	No answer	116	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13660l: Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4862 /-] [Invalid=171 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3687	<div><div></div></div> 75.8%
1	Yes	1175	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
-99	No answer	171	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13660m: Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4854 /-] [Invalid=179 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4777	<div><div></div></div> 98.4%
1	Yes	77	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%

# f13660m: Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	179	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13660n: Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=139 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2667	<div><div></div></div> 54.5%
1	Yes	2227	<div><div></div></div> 45.5%
-99	No answer	139	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q02a: Media usage: Watch news on a public television broadcaster			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4668 /-] [Invalid=365 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None / zero days	1609	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
1	One day	979	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
2	Two days	691	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
3	Three days	435	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
4	Four days	263	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
5	Five days	275	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
6	Six days	121	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
7	Seven days	295	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
-99	No answer	120	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	242	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q02b: Media usage: Watch news on a private television broadcaster			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4546 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None / zero days	3428	<div><div></div></div> 75.4%
1	One day	452	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
2	Two days	264	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
3	Three days	153	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
4	Four days	82	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
5	Five days	76	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	Six days	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	Seven days	61	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%

# Q02b: Media usage: Watch news on a private television broadcaster			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	294	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	189	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q02c: Media usage: Listen to the news on radio			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4550 /-] [Invalid=483 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None / zero days	2116	<div><div></div></div> 46.5%
1	One day	636	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
2	Two days	485	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
3	Three days	399	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
4	Four days	241	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
5	Five days	289	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
6	Six days	109	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
7	Seven days	275	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
-99	No answer	289	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	194	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q02d: Media usage: Read newspapers			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4638 /-] [Invalid=395 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None / zero days	1476	<div><div></div></div> 31.8%
1	One day	781	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
2	Two days	585	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
3	Three days	461	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
4	Four days	292	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
5	Five days	329	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
6	Six days	255	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
7	Seven days	459	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
-99	No answer	225	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	169	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q02e: Media usage: Online news sites			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4533 /-] [Invalid=500 /-]		

Q02e: Media usage: Online news sites

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None / zero days	3279	72.3%
1	One day	424	9.4%
2	Two days	275	6.1%
3	Three days	180	4.0%
4	Four days	111	2.4%
5	Five days	104	2.3%
6	Six days	42	0.9%
7	Seven days	118	2.6%
-99	No answer	312	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	187	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q02f: Media usage: Social media

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4553 /-] [Invalid=480 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None / zero days	3672	80.7%
1	One day	325	7.1%
2	Two days	180	4.0%
3	Three days	117	2.6%
4	Four days	79	1.7%
5	Five days	71	1.6%
6	Six days	25	0.5%
7	Seven days	84	1.8%
-99	No answer	313	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q02g: Media usage: Social media - per day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=74 /-] [Invalid=4959 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only about once per day	38	51.4%
2	Several times per day	33	44.6%
3	At least ten times per day	3	4.1%
-99	No answer	1	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	9	
Sysmiss		4949	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# f11100: Participation in the 2023 election				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4984 /-] [Invalid=49 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Did not vote	801	<div></div>	16.1%
2	Thought about voting but did not vote	401	<div></div>	8.0%
3	Usually votes, but not this time	514	<div></div>	10.3%
4	Voted	3268	<div></div>	65.6%
-99	No answer	47		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f11100rec: Participation in the 2023 election, dummy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4984 /-] [Invalid=49 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	1716	<div></div>	34.4%
1	Yes	3268	<div></div>	65.6%
-99	No answer	47		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f11700: Most important reason to vote				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3180 /-] [Invalid=1853 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Tradition, duty or habit	815	<div></div>	25.6%
2	Political interest	299	<div></div>	9.4%
3	Political influence	1090	<div></div>	34.3%
4	Policy support	454	<div></div>	14.3%
5	Party/Candidate support	514	<div></div>	16.2%
6	Other	8	<div></div>	0.3%
-99	No answer	21		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	48		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Sysmiss		1784		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f11301: Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his/her mind				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	281	<div></div>	16.5%
2	No	1418	<div></div>	83.5%
-99	No answer	17		

# f11301: Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his/her mind			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11302: Reason for nonvoting: R isn't interested in politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	521	30.7%
2	No	1178	69.3%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11303: Reason for nonvoting: Elections are too complicated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	309	18.2%
2	No	1390	81.8%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11304: Reason for nonvoting: R wasn't convinced by any party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	366	21.5%
2	No	1333	78.5%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11305: Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	20	1.2%

# f11305: Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	1679	<div><div></div></div> 98.8%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11306: Reason for nonvoting: R doesn't know the candidates well enough			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	487	<div><div></div></div> 28.7%
2	No	1212	<div><div></div></div> 71.3%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11307: Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't take part			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	298	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
2	No	1401	<div><div></div></div> 82.5%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11308: Reason for nonvoting: Elections have no influence on government composition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	68	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2	No	1631	<div><div></div></div> 96.0%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11309: Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		

# f11309: Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	257	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
2	No	1442	<div><div></div></div> 84.9%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11310: Reason for nonvoting: More influence on politics through popular votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	108	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
2	No	1591	<div><div></div></div> 93.6%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11311: Reason for nonvoting: No time/R forgot			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	53	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2	No	1646	<div><div></div></div> 96.9%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11312: Reason for nonvoting: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	200	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
2	No	1499	<div><div></div></div> 88.2%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11400rec: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]		

# f11400rec: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1164 /-] [Invalid=3869 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	159	<div></div>	13.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	153	<div></div>	13.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	218	<div></div>	18.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	376	<div></div>	32.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	60	<div></div>	5.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	65	<div></div>	5.6%
80	Lega - Ticino League	14	<div></div>	1.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	24	<div></div>	2.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	9	<div></div>	0.8%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	9	<div></div>	0.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	5	<div></div>	0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	7	<div></div>	0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	9	<div></div>	0.8%
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	<div></div>	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0		
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0		
350	Other party	6	<div></div>	0.5%
402	No party / rather persons	33	<div></div>	2.8%
404	Several parties	14	<div></div>	1.2%
405	All parties together, all	0		
406	Left parties (several)	1	<div></div>	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	0		
-99	No answer	41		
993	Would have voted blank	0		
995	Other answer	8		
998	Don't know	514		
Sysmiss		3306		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f11400main6: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters, 6 main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1164 /-] [Invalid=3869 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	159	<div></div>	13.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	153	<div></div>	13.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	218	<div></div>	18.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	376	<div></div>	32.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	60	<div></div>	5.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	65	<div></div>	5.6%
350	Others	133	<div></div>	11.4%
-99	No answer, other answer	49		
998	Don't know	514		
Sysmiss		3306		

# f11400main6: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters, 6 main parties			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11600: How did R vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3241 /-] [Invalid=1792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At the ballot box in the polling station	185	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
2	In the municipal letterbox	1656	<div><div></div></div> 51.1%
3	Voted by letter (postal voting)	1400	<div><div></div></div> 43.2%
-99	No answer	23	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11605: When did R submit ballot			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3225 /-] [Invalid=1808 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediately after receiving voting material	349	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
2	A few weeks before the election	790	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
3	A few days before the election	1756	<div><div></div></div> 54.4%
4	On election day	291	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
5	Can't remember	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	16	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1792	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11800rec: Vote choice elections 2023			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3159 /-] [Invalid=1874 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	520	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	525	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	619	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	769	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	233	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	200	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	51	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%

# f11800rec: Vote choice elections 2023			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	PP - Pirate Party	6	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	12	0.4%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	11	0.3%
402	Voted for persons, not parties	42	1.3%
404	Voted for several parties	49	1.6%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	86	
993	Voted blank	7	
995	Other answer	17	
998	Don't know	9	
Sysmiss		1755	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11800main6: Vote choice 2023, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3159 /-] [Invalid=1874 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	520	16.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	525	16.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	619	19.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	769	24.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	233	7.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	200	6.3%
350	Others	293	9.3%
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	110	
998	Don't know	9	
Sysmiss		1755	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11800ZH: Vote choice 2023 in ZH, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=375 /-] [Invalid=4658 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	60	16.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	33	8.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	89	23.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	92	24.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	20	5.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	60	16.0%
350	Others	21	5.6%
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	8	
998	Don't know	0	

# f11800ZH: Vote choice 2023 in ZH, 6 main parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		4650	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11800TI: Vote choice 2023 in TI, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=389 /-] [Invalid=4644 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	98	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	56	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	60	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	83	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	23	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	21	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
350	Others	48	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	33	
998	Don't know	3	
Sysmiss		4608	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11800GE: Vote choice 2023 in GE, 8 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=378 /-] [Invalid=4655 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	52	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	28	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	93	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	53	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	53	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	24	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	39	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
150	PdA/PdT - Party of Labour / E� G - Ensemble � Gauche	14	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
350	Others	22	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	17	
998	Don't know	3	
Sysmiss		4635	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11810rec: Reason for vote decision (closed-ended question)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1652 /-] [Invalid=3381 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The party's policies on certain issues	419	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
2	The party's core values	507	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%
3	My long-term attachment to this party	80	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
4	The party's competence to solve political problems	135	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
5	The profile and personality of this party's leaders	58	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%

# f11810rec: Reason for vote decision (closed-ended question)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	The profile and personality of this party's candidates	208	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
7	This party had the best chances of winning a seat	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
8	It was above all a decision against the other parties	135	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
9	Other reason (please specify)	89	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
-99	No answer	49	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	29	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f11900: When did R decide whom to vote for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3079 /-] [Invalid=1954 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Knew it all the time	1173	<div><div></div></div> 38.1%
2	A few weeks before the election	928	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
3	A few days before the election	733	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
4	In the last moment	128	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
5	Can't remember	117	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
-99	No answer	16	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1938	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12010: Modification of voting list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2868 /-] [Invalid=2165 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unmodified list	1391	<div><div></div></div> 48.5%
2	Modified or blank list	1477	<div><div></div></div> 51.5%
-99	No answer	43	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		2122	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12020a: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1306 /-] [Invalid=3727 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	886	<div><div></div></div> 67.8%
2	No	405	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
98	Don't know	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	204	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	

# f12020a: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3523	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12020b: Did R cross out candidates?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1234 /-] [Invalid=3799 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	741	<div><div></div></div> 60.0%
2	No	466	<div><div></div></div> 37.8%
98	Don't know	27	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
-99	No answer	276	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3523	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12020c: Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1328 /-] [Invalid=3705 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1031	<div><div></div></div> 77.6%
2	No	297	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
-99	No answer	136	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	45	
Sysmiss		3523	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12025: More men or women on the list after modification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1415 /-] [Invalid=3618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More men on the list	457	<div><div></div></div> 32.3%
2	About as many men as woment on the list	511	<div><div></div></div> 36.1%
3	More women on the list	196	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
98	Don't know	251	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
-99	No answer	6	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3612	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=859 /-] [Invalid=4174 /-]		

f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	560	<div></div> 65.2%
1	Yes	299	<div></div> 34.8%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4159	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12030b: Panachage - Die Mitte/Le Centre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=826 /-] [Invalid=4207 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	547	<div></div> 66.2%
1	Yes	279	<div></div> 33.8%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4192	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=800 /-] [Invalid=4233 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	513	<div><div></div></div> 64.1%
1	Yes	287	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4218	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030d: Panachage - SVP/UDC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=823 /-] [Invalid=4210 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	634	<div><div></div></div> 77.0%
1	Yes	189	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		4196	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030e: Panachage - GPS/PES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=928 /-] [Invalid=4105 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	688	<div><div></div></div> 74.1%
1	Yes	240	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4090	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030f: Panachage - GLP/PVL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=933 /-] [Invalid=4100 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	737	<div><div></div></div> 79.0%
1	Yes	196	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4085	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030h: Panachage - Lega			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=196 /-] [Invalid=4837 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	172	<div><div></div></div> 87.8%
1	Yes	24	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		4830	

# f12030h: Panachage - Lega			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030i: Panachage - MCG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=150 /-] [Invalid=4883 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	121	<div><div></div></div> 80.7%
1	Yes	29	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		4881	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030j: Panachage - CSP/PCS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1012 /-] [Invalid=4021 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1000	<div><div></div></div> 98.8%
1	Yes	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4005	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=998 /-] [Invalid=4035 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	955	<div><div></div></div> 95.7%
1	Yes	43	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4019	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030l: Panachage - EDU/UDF			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=997 /-] [Invalid=4036 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	984	<div><div></div></div> 98.7%
1	Yes	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4021	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PST-POP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1012 /-] [Invalid=4021 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	993	<div><div></div></div> 98.1%
1	Yes	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%

# f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PST-POP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4005	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - MPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1013 /-] [Invalid=4020 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	991	97.8%
1	Yes	22	2.2%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4004	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12030o: Panachage - Other party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1015 /-] [Invalid=4018 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	971	95.7%
1	Yes	44	4.3%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4002	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2874 /-] [Invalid=2159 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	7	0.2%
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	168	5.8%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	14	0.5%
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	11	0.4%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	0	
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	39	1.4%
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	0	
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	71	2.5%
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	2	0.1%
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	41	1.4%
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	0	
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	1	0.0%
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	3	0.1%
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	0	
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	6	0.2%
16	Grossen JÃ¼rg (GLP/PVL)	26	0.9%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	15	0.5%
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	20	0.7%

f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	16	0.6%
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	0	
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	1	0.0%
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0	
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	26	0.9%
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	98	3.4%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0	
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	88	3.1%
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	0	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
31	Gmür-Schönenberger Andrea (The Center)	28	1.0%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	0	
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	11	0.4%
34	Müller Damian (FDP/PLR)	35	1.2%
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	1	0.0%
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	15	0.5%
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	6	0.2%
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	16	0.6%
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	22	0.8%
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	7	0.2%
41	Gässli Petra (FDP/PLR)	37	1.3%
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	0	
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	11	0.4%
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	19	0.7%
45	Ettlin Erich (The Center)	0	
46	Lang Urs (Aufrecht)	1	0.0%
47	Wicki Hans (FDP/PLR)	35	1.2%
48	Zwyssig Benedikt (Aufrecht)	6	0.2%
49	Mühlemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	24	0.8%
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	11	0.4%
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	22	0.8%
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0	
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	29	1.0%
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	9	0.3%
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	1	0.0%
56	Thäni Stefan (PARAT)	0	
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
58	Weichelt Manuela (Green party)	11	0.4%
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	12	0.4%
60	Zimmermann Renée (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	14	0.5%
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	20	0.7%
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	12	0.4%

f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	0	
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	0	
66	Page Pierre-Andr�� (SVP/UDC)	10	0.3%
67	Rey Aliz��e (SP/PS)	8	0.3%
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	8	0.3%
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	38	1.3%
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	29	1.0%
71	K��nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	19	0.7%
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	2	0.1%
74	Herter Balz (The Center)	5	0.2%
75	Herzog Eva (SP/PS)	42	1.5%
76	Messerli Pascal (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
77	Weber Eric (VA)	0	
78	Graf Maya (Green party)	55	1.9%
79	In��bnit Sven (FDP/PLR)	36	1.3%
80	Br��hlmann Lisa (Young green party)	2	0.1%
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	23	0.8%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	7	0.2%
83	Sch��rrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	7	0.2%
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	35	1.2%
85	Caroni Andrea (FDP/PLR)	47	1.6%
86	Fischer Manuel (Independent)	0	
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	9	0.3%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	41	1.4%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	4	0.1%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteifrei SG)	0	
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	0	
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	5	0.2%
94	Seger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	1	0.0%
95	W��rth Benedikt (The Center)	35	1.2%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	52	1.8%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	16	0.6%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	2	0.1%
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	13	0.5%
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	44	1.5%
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	29	1.0%
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	2	0.1%
103	K��lin Ir��ne (Green party)	11	0.4%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	0	
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	7	0.2%
106	Sch��ni Theres (LOVB)	0	
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	8	0.3%
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	28	1.0%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	1	0.0%

f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110	HÃ¶berli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	25	0.9%
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	6	0.2%
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	0	
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	23	0.8%
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	6	0.2%
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	7	0.2%
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelynne (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	100	3.5%
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	80	2.8%
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	56	1.9%
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	17	0.6%
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	8	0.3%
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	55	1.9%
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	33	1.1%
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	31	1.1%
127	Buclin Hadrien (AI/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	1	0.0%
128	Buffat MichaÃl (SVP/UDC)	10	0.3%
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (AI/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	2	0.1%
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	0	
131	GÃ©taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	1	0.0%
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	0	
133	Mahaim RaphaÃl (Green party)	11	0.4%
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	56	1.9%
135	Meylan FranÃois (Les IndÃpendants vaudois)	1	0.0%
136	Timofte AnaÃs (PdA/PdT)	2	0.1%
137	Weber CÃline (GLP/PVL)	4	0.1%
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	2	0.1%
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	9	0.3%
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	7	0.2%
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	5	0.2%
142	Dessimoz CÃline (Green party)	7	0.2%
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	0	
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	16	0.6%
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	16	0.6%
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	23	0.8%
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	9	0.3%
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
151	Cario GrÃgoire (SVP/UDC)	2	0.1%
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	2	0.1%
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	4	0.1%
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%

f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
155	Huguenin-Elie Th��o (SP/PS)	2	0.1%
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	11	0.4%
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	4	0.1%
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	0	
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara C��line (Green party)	13	0.5%
161	Amaudruz C��line (SVP/UDC)	46	1.6%
162	Cruchon Pablo (E�� G - LUP)	1	0.0%
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	38	1.3%
164	Ma��tre Vincent (The Center)	19	0.7%
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	14	0.5%
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	85	3.0%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	3	0.1%
168	Parmentier Danielle (E�� G - LUP)	1	0.0%
169	Pini Jessica (E�� G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	6	0.2%
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	82	2.9%
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	63	2.2%
172	Thion Guillaume (E�� G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	2	0.1%
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
175	Crevoisier Crel��r Mathilde (SP/PS)	8	0.3%
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	3	0.1%
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	3	0.1%
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	14	0.5%
179	Monin Fran���ois (The Center)	3	0.1%
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	1	0.0%
182	Sch��rer Alec Andr��� (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	4	0.1%
184	Vuillaume Isma���l (GLP/PVL)	0	
350	Other person	40	1.4%
-99	No answer	68	
997	Nobody / Voted blank	156	
998	Don't know	9	
Sysmiss		1926	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-402] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2862 /-] [Invalid=2171 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	570	19.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	436	15.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	601	21.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	554	19.4%

# f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	343	<div></div>	12.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	117	<div></div>	4.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0		
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	81	<div></div>	2.8%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0		
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	31	<div></div>	1.1%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0		
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	7	<div></div>	0.2%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	13	<div></div>	0.5%
350	Other party	92	<div></div>	3.2%
402	No party / independent candidate	17	<div></div>	0.6%
-99	No answer	72		
997	Nobody / Voted blank	152		
998	Don't know	9		
Sysmiss		1938		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f12301pmain6: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2862 /-] [Invalid=2171 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	570	<div></div>	19.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	436	<div></div>	15.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	601	<div></div>	21.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	554	<div></div>	19.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	343	<div></div>	12.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	117	<div></div>	4.1%
350	Other party, no party / independent candidate	241	<div></div>	8.4%
-99	No answer, nobody / voted blank	224		
998	Don't know	9		
Sysmiss		1938		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-997] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2545 /-] [Invalid=2488 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	16	<div></div>	0.6%
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	37	<div></div>	1.5%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	26	<div></div>	1.0%
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	47	<div></div>	1.8%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	2	<div></div>	0.1%
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	64	<div></div>	2.5%
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	2	<div></div>	0.1%
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	39	<div></div>	1.5%
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	4	<div></div>	0.2%

f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	51	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
16	Grossen Jürg (GLP/PVL)	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	47	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	<div><div></div></div>
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	<div><div></div></div>
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	0	<div><div></div></div>
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	51	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0	<div><div></div></div>
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	40	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	0	<div><div></div></div>
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
31	Gmür-Schönenberger Andrea (The Center)	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
34	Müller Damian (FDP/PLR)	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	0	<div><div></div></div>
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
41	Gässli Petra (FDP/PLR)	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
49	Mühlemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0	<div><div></div></div>
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
56	Thäni Stefan (PARAT)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
58	Weichelt Manuela (Green party)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
60	Zimmermann Ren�� (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	1	0.0%
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	2	0.1%
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	12	0.5%
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	0	
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	0	
66	Page Pierre-Andr�� (SVP/UDC)	6	0.2%
67	Rey Aliz��e (SP/PS)	9	0.4%
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	17	0.7%
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	13	0.5%
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	13	0.5%
71	K���nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	6	0.2%
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	16	0.6%
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	9	0.4%
80	Br���hlmann Lisa (Young green party)	13	0.5%
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	8	0.3%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	12	0.5%
83	Sch���rrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	15	0.6%
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	10	0.4%
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	6	0.2%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	19	0.7%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	5	0.2%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteilfrei SG)	2	0.1%
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	1	0.0%
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	5	0.2%
94	Seeger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	6	0.2%
95	W���rth Benedikt (The Center)	29	1.1%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	15	0.6%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	38	1.5%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	6	0.2%
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	20	0.8%
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	22	0.9%
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	19	0.7%
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	3	0.1%
103	K���lin Ir���ne (Green party)	17	0.7%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	1	0.0%
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	9	0.4%
106	Sch���ni Theres (LOVB)	0	
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	9	0.4%
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	12	0.5%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	4	0.2%
110	H���berli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	16	0.6%
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	8	0.3%
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	3	0.1%

f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	13	0.5%
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	8	0.3%
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	7	0.3%
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	22	0.9%
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	4	0.2%
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	35	1.4%
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	39	1.5%
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	31	1.2%
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	3	0.1%
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	39	1.5%
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	30	1.2%
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	21	0.8%
127	Buclin Hadrien (AI/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	2	0.1%
128	Buffat MichaÃ«l (SVP/UDC)	13	0.5%
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (AI/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	1	0.0%
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	4	0.2%
131	GÃ©taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	1	0.0%
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	0	
133	Mahaim RaphaÃ«l (Green party)	26	1.0%
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	16	0.6%
135	Meylan FranÃ§ois (Les IndÃ©pendants vaudois)	3	0.1%
136	Timofte AnaÃ«s (PdA/PdT)	2	0.1%
137	Weber CÃ©line (GLP/PVL)	4	0.2%
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	2	0.1%
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	2	0.1%
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	2	0.1%
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	6	0.2%
142	Dessimoz CÃ©line (Green party)	4	0.2%
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	19	0.7%
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	8	0.3%
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	17	0.7%
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	2	0.1%
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
151	Cario GrÃ©goire (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	3	0.1%
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	7	0.3%
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
155	Huguenin-Elie ThÃ©o (SP/PS)	5	0.2%
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	8	0.3%
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%

f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	1	0.0%
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara C�line (Green party)	7	0.3%
161	Amaudruz C�line (SVP/UDC)	46	1.8%
162	Cruchon Pablo (E� G - LUP)	1	0.0%
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	16	0.6%
164	Ma�tre Vincent (The Center)	16	0.6%
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	15	0.6%
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	53	2.1%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	2	0.1%
168	Parmentier Danielle (E� G - LUP)	1	0.0%
169	Pini Jessica (E� G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	3	0.1%
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	51	2.0%
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	75	2.9%
172	Thion Guillaume (E� G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	2	0.1%
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	4	0.2%
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	5	0.2%
175	Crevoisier Crel�r Mathilde (SP/PS)	6	0.2%
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	3	0.1%
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	4	0.2%
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	4	0.2%
179	Monin Fran�ois (The Center)	4	0.2%
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	0	
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	1	0.0%
182	Sch�rer Alec Andr� (HelvEthica)	1	0.0%
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	4	0.2%
184	Vuillaume Isma�l (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
350	Other person	23	0.9%
997	Did not vote for a second person	565	22.2%
-99	No answer	90	
998	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		2394	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-997] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2545 /-] [Invalid=2488 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	386	15.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	313	12.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	290	11.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	263	10.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	316	12.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	160	6.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	

# f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	51	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
350	Other party	99	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
402	No party / independent candidate	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
997	Did not vote for a second person	565	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
-99	No answer	90	
998	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		2394	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12302pmain6: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-997] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2545 /-] [Invalid=2488 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	386	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	313	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	290	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	263	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	316	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	160	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
350	Other party, no party / independent candidate	252	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
997	Did not vote for a second person	565	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
-99	No answer	90	
998	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		2394	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13741: Satisfaction about vote: voters for party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3201 /-] [Invalid=1832 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	139	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
2	Not very satisfied	518	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
3	Fairly satisfied	1816	<div><div></div></div> 56.7%
4	Very satisfied	728	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
-99	No answer	78	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1754	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# f13742: Satisfaction about vote: non-voters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1655 /-] [Invalid=3378 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	180	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
2	Not very satisfied	597	<div><div></div></div> 36.1%
3	Fairly satisfied	636	<div><div></div></div> 38.4%
4	Very satisfied	242	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
-99	No answer	72	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3306	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q12: Satisfaction about variety of choice			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4045 /-] [Invalid=988 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	264	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
2	Not very satisfied	871	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
3	Fairly satisfied	2372	<div><div></div></div> 58.6%
4	Very satisfied	538	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
-99	No answer	88	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	900	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q13: Fairness of election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4940 /-] [Invalid=93 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Election was conducted fairly	3225	<div><div></div></div> 65.3%
2	2	972	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
3	3	537	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
4	4	106	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
5	5 - Election was conducted unfairly	100	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	92	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f10200: Participation in the last national election (2019)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4736 /-] [Invalid=297 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Was not entitled to	101	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%

# f10200: Participation in the last national election (2019)				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	Certainly not participated	572	<div></div>	12.1%
3	Not sure, but probably not participated	419	<div></div>	8.8%
4	Not sure, but probably participated	826	<div></div>	17.4%
5	Certainly participated	2818	<div></div>	59.5%
-99	No answer	60		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Sysmiss		235		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f10200rec: Participation in national election 2019, recoded				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4971 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Did not vote	991	<div></div>	19.9%
1	Voted	3644	<div></div>	73.3%
2	No voting right: other	101	<div></div>	2.0%
3	No voting right: too young (question not asked)	235	<div></div>	4.7%
-99	No answer	60		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f10250: Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous national election (2019)				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3645 /-] [Invalid=1388 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Zurich	415	<div></div>	11.4%
2	Bern	329	<div></div>	9.0%
3	Lucerne	119	<div></div>	3.3%
4	Uri	60	<div></div>	1.6%
5	Schwyz	76	<div></div>	2.1%
6	Obwalden	76	<div></div>	2.1%
7	Nidwalden	70	<div></div>	1.9%
8	Glarus	53	<div></div>	1.5%
9	Zug	74	<div></div>	2.0%
10	Fribourg	76	<div></div>	2.1%
11	Solothurn	106	<div></div>	2.9%
12	Basel-Stadt	53	<div></div>	1.5%
13	Basel-Landschaft	114	<div></div>	3.1%
14	Schaffhausen	66	<div></div>	1.8%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	77	<div></div>	2.1%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	68	<div></div>	1.9%
17	St. Gallen	126	<div></div>	3.5%
18	Graub�nden	101	<div></div>	2.8%
19	Aargau	176	<div></div>	4.8%

f10250: Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous national election (2019)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Thurgau	74	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
21	Ticino	503	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
22	Vaud	150	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
23	Valais	114	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
24	NeuchÃ¢tel	75	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
25	Geneva	444	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
26	Jura	50	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
-99	No answer	42	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1345	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f10300rec: Party choice elections 2019

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3077 /-] [Invalid=1956 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	564	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	377	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	674	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	720	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	267	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	167	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	72	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	Voted for persons, not parties	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
404	Voted for several parties	47	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
405	All parties together, all	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
-99	No answer	56	
993	Voted blank	7	
995	Other answer	6	
998	Don't know	508	

# f10300rec: Party choice elections 2019			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1379	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f10300main7_combined: Party choice elections 2019, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3077 /-] [Invalid=1956 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	564	18.3%
21	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party / CVP/PDC - Christia	449	14.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	674	21.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	720	23.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	267	8.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	167	5.4%
350	Others	236	7.7%
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	69	
998	Don't know	508	
Sysmiss		1379	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q15: Who people vote for makes a big difference			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4966 /-] [Invalid=67 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Who people vote for won't make any difference	381	7.7%
2	2	498	10.0%
3	3	1285	25.9%
4	4	1393	28.1%
5	5 - Who people vote for can make a big difference	1409	28.4%
-99	No answer	66	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15130a: Left-right placement - FDP/PLR			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4250 /-] [Invalid=783 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	71	1.7%
1	1	24	0.6%
2	2	85	2.0%
3	3	129	3.0%
4	4	195	4.6%
5	5	653	15.4%
6	6	571	13.4%
7	7	955	22.5%
8	8	970	22.8%

# f15130a: Left-right placement - FDP/PLR			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	9	310	<div></div> 7.3%
10	10 - Right	287	<div></div> 6.8%
-99	No answer	229	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	554	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15130c: Left-right placement - SP/PS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4336 /-] [Invalid=697 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	1352	<div></div> 31.2%
1	1	1016	<div></div> 23.4%
2	2	936	<div></div> 21.6%
3	3	457	<div></div> 10.5%
4	4	205	<div></div> 4.7%
5	5	138	<div></div> 3.2%
6	6	63	<div></div> 1.5%
7	7	44	<div></div> 1.0%
8	8	48	<div></div> 1.1%
9	9	26	<div></div> 0.6%
10	10 - Right	51	<div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	242	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	454	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15130d: Left-right placement - SVP/UDC			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4177 /-] [Invalid=856 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	52	<div></div> 1.2%
1	1	36	<div></div> 0.9%
2	2	35	<div></div> 0.8%
3	3	32	<div></div> 0.8%
4	4	40	<div></div> 1.0%
5	5	164	<div></div> 3.9%
6	6	89	<div></div> 2.1%
7	7	126	<div></div> 3.0%
8	8	372	<div></div> 8.9%
9	9	858	<div></div> 20.5%
10	10 - Right	2373	<div></div> 56.8%
-99	No answer	212	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	

# f15130d: Left-right placement - SVP/UDC			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	641	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15130e: Left-right placement - GPS/PES			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4271 /-] [Invalid=762 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	1148	<div><div></div></div> 26.9%
1	1	989	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
2	2	965	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
3	3	520	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
4	4	237	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
5	5	237	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
6	6	39	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
7	7	39	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
8	8	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
9	9	27	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
10	10 - Right	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	258	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	503	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15130f: Left-right placement - GLP/PVL			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4174 /-] [Invalid=859 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	458	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
1	1	431	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
2	2	598	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
3	3	659	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
4	4	594	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
5	5	575	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
6	6	371	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
7	7	229	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
8	8	142	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
9	9	63	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
10	10 - Right	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	268	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	590	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# f15130h: Left-right placement - Lega			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=487 /-] [Invalid=4546 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	13	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
1	1	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	2	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
3	3	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
4	4	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
5	5	21	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
6	6	16	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
7	7	25	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
8	8	64	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
9	9	96	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
10	10 - Right	224	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
-99	No answer	59	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	124	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15130i: Left-right placement - MCG			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=514 /-] [Invalid=4519 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	12	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
1	1	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2	2	10	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
3	3	6	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
4	4	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
5	5	50	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
6	6	24	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
7	7	48	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
8	8	59	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
9	9	107	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
10	10 - Right	191	<div><div></div></div> 37.2%
-99	No answer	35	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	122	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15130j: Left-right placement - The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4272 /-] [Invalid=761 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	44	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%

f15130j: Left-right placement - The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	17	0.4%
2	2	56	1.3%
3	3	120	2.8%
4	4	387	9.1%
5	5	2084	48.8%
6	6	813	19.0%
7	7	399	9.3%
8	8	188	4.4%
9	9	80	1.9%
10	10 - Right	84	2.0%
-99	No answer	259	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	501	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15200: Left-right placement - Self

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4493 /-] [Invalid=540 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	173	3.9%
1	1	140	3.1%
2	2	295	6.6%
3	3	449	10.0%
4	4	409	9.1%
5	5	854	19.0%
6	6	518	11.5%
7	7	634	14.1%
8	8	579	12.9%
9	9	194	4.3%
10	10 - Right	248	5.5%
-99	No answer	90	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	448	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f13700: Satisfaction with democracy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4975 /-] [Invalid=58 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	73	1.5%
2	Not very satisfied	576	11.6%
3	Fairly satisfied	3456	69.5%
4	Very satisfied	870	17.5%
-99	No answer	58	

# f13700: Satisfaction with democracy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14000: Party closeness			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -98-1] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4965 /-] [Invalid=68 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
0	No	2866	57.7%
1	Yes	2099	42.3%
-99	No answer	68	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14050: Does R nevertheless feel somewhat close to a party			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -98-1] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2701 /-] [Invalid=2332 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
0	No	1219	45.1%
1	Yes	1482	54.9%
-99	No answer	233	
Sysmiss		2099	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14010rec: Party R feels close to			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3421 /-] [Invalid=1612 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	585	17.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	466	13.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	743	21.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	846	24.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	278	8.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	236	6.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	47	1.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	46	1.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	11	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	50	1.5%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	26	0.8%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	28	0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	25	0.7%
201	PP - Pirate Party	1	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	

# f14010rec: Party R feels close to			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	6	0.2%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	0.1%
350	Other party	8	0.2%
402	No party / rather persons	6	0.2%
404	Several parties	9	0.3%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	2	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
-99	No answer	154	
995	Other answer	6	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		1452	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14010main6: Party R feels close to, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3421 /-] [Invalid=1612 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	585	17.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	466	13.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	743	21.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	846	24.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	278	8.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	236	6.9%
350	Others	267	7.8%
-99	No answer, other answer	160	
Sysmiss		1452	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14070: How close does R feel to party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3409 /-] [Invalid=1624 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not very close	1247	36.6%
2	Rather close	1883	55.2%
3	Very close	279	8.2%
-99	No answer	12	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1612	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q24: Percentage of women in parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4360 /-] [Invalid=673 /-]		

# Q24: Percentage of women in parliament			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too high	204	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2	Too low	2022	<div><div></div></div> 46.4%
3	About right	2134	<div><div></div></div> 48.9%
-99	No answer	156	
Sysmiss		517	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q25a: Better suited to lead in pandemic: men/women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4968 /-] [Invalid=65 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always male political leaders	65	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2	Usually male political leaders	283	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
3	Usually female political leaders	484	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
4	Always female political leaders	74	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5	Both equally	3747	<div><div></div></div> 75.4%
98	Don't know	315	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
-99	No answer	64	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q25b: Better suited to lead in economic crisis: men/women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4982 /-] [Invalid=51 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always male political leaders	103	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2	Usually male political leaders	571	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
3	Usually female political leaders	222	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
4	Always female political leaders	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
5	Both equally	3737	<div><div></div></div> 75.0%
98	Don't know	295	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
-99	No answer	49	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q26b: How well does political system guarantee adequate healthcare for all citizens			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4782 /-] [Invalid=251 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not well at all	267	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
2	Not very well	1243	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
3	Quite well	2564	<div><div></div></div> 53.6%
4	Very well	708	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
-99	No answer	45	

# Q26b: How well does political system guarantee adequate healthcare for all citizens			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	206	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q27a: How did coronavirus pandemic affect how united society is in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4699 /-] [Invalid=334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negatively	499	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
2	Fairly negatively	2288	<div><div></div></div> 48.7%
3	Not at all	1203	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
4	Fairly positively	664	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
5	Very positively	45	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	38	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	295	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q27b: How did coronavirus pandemic affect functioning of democracy in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4497 /-] [Invalid=536 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negatively	298	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
2	Fairly negatively	1513	<div><div></div></div> 33.6%
3	Not at all	1951	<div><div></div></div> 43.4%
4	Fairly positively	676	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
5	Very positively	59	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	83	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	453	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# Q27c: How has coronavirus pandemic affected your personal economic situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4852 /-] [Invalid=181 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negatively	294	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
2	Fairly negatively	1053	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
3	Not at all	3138	<div><div></div></div> 64.7%
4	Fairly positively	321	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
5	Very positively	46	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
-99	No answer	41	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	

Q27c: How has coronavirus pandemic affected your personal economic situation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	139	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# f12500: Participation rate in federal votes out of 10			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4957 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 votes out of 10	294	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
1	1	97	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	2	163	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
3	3	198	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
4	4	183	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
5	5	365	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
6	6	252	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
7	7	404	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
8	8	577	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
9	9	481	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
10	10 votes out of 10	1943	<div><div></div></div> 39.2%
-99	No answer	72	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030a1: Union or employee association: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4809 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4344	<div><div></div></div> 90.3%
1	Yes	465	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
-99	No answer	224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030a2: Union or employee association: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4809 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4698	<div><div></div></div> 97.7%
1	Yes	111	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
-99	No answer	224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030a3: Union or employee association: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4809 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4664	<div><div></div></div> 97.0%
1	Yes	145	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
-99	No answer	224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# n13_030a4: Union or employee association: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4809 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4749	98.8%
1	Yes	60	1.2%
-99	No answer	224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030b1: Economic, professional or farmers' association: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4687 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4477	95.5%
1	Yes	210	4.5%
-99	No answer	346	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030b2: Economic, professional or farmers' association: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4687 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4583	97.8%
1	Yes	104	2.2%
-99	No answer	346	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030b3: Economic, professional or farmers' association: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4687 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4614	98.4%
1	Yes	73	1.6%
-99	No answer	346	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030b4: Economic, professional or farmers' association: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4687 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4625	98.7%
1	Yes	62	1.3%
-99	No answer	346	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030c1: Consumer organization: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4684 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]		

# n13_030c1: Consumer organization: member			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4513	<div><div></div></div> 96.3%
1	Yes	171	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
-99	No answer	349	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030c2: Consumer organization: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4684 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4650	<div><div></div></div> 99.3%
1	Yes	34	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	349	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030c3: Consumer organization: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4684 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4479	<div><div></div></div> 95.6%
1	Yes	205	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
-99	No answer	349	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030c4: Consumer organization: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4684 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4662	<div><div></div></div> 99.5%
1	Yes	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
-99	No answer	349	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030d1: Human rights or peace organization: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4590	<div><div></div></div> 96.2%
1	Yes	179	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
-99	No answer	264	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030d2: Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4657	<div><div></div></div> 97.7%

# n13_030d2: Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	112	2.3%
-99	No answer	264	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030d3: Human rights or peace organization: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3182	66.7%
1	Yes	1587	33.3%
-99	No answer	264	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030d4: Human rights or peace organization: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4630	97.1%
1	Yes	139	2.9%
-99	No answer	264	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030e1: Environmental organization: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4722 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4489	95.1%
1	Yes	233	4.9%
-99	No answer	311	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030e2: Environmental organization: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4722 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4577	96.9%
1	Yes	145	3.1%
-99	No answer	311	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030e3: Environmental organization: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4722 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3688	78.1%
1	Yes	1034	21.9%

# n13_030e3: Environmental organization: donated money			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	311	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030e4: Environmental organization: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4722 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4618	97.8%
1	Yes	104	2.2%
-99	No answer	311	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030f1: Religious or church association: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4726 /-] [Invalid=307 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4280	90.6%
1	Yes	446	9.4%
-99	No answer	307	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030f2: Religious or church association: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4726 /-] [Invalid=307 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4478	94.8%
1	Yes	248	5.2%
-99	No answer	307	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030f3: Religious or church association: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4726 /-] [Invalid=307 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4222	89.3%
1	Yes	504	10.7%
-99	No answer	307	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030f4: Religious or church association: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4726 /-] [Invalid=307 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4544	96.1%
1	Yes	182	3.9%
-99	No answer	307	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# n13_030g1: Political party: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4666 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4447	<div><div></div></div> 95.3%
1	Yes	219	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
-99	No answer	367	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030g2: Political party: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4666 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4535	<div><div></div></div> 97.2%
1	Yes	131	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
-99	No answer	367	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030g3: Political party: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4666 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4445	<div><div></div></div> 95.3%
1	Yes	221	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
-99	No answer	367	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13_030g4: Political party: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4666 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4607	<div><div></div></div> 98.7%
1	Yes	59	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	367	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14081rec: Party R is member of			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=217 /-] [Invalid=4816 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	60	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	42	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	39	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	39	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	14	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	8	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	

# f14081rec: Party R is member of			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	0	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
350	Other party	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
404	Several parties	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4815	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14081main6: Party R is member of, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=217 /-] [Invalid=4816 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	60	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	42	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	39	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	39	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	14	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	8	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
350	Others	15	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4815	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14400a: Probability to vote - FDP/PLR			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4608 /-] [Invalid=425 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	1203	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
1	1	267	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
2	2	336	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
3	3	288	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
4	4	214	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
5	5	603	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
6	6	279	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
7	7	356	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
8	8	424	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
9	9	202	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
10	10 - Very high probability	436	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
-99	No answer	423	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# f14400c: Probability to vote - SP/PS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4576 /-] [Invalid=457 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	1345	<div></div> 29.4%
1	1	321	<div></div> 7.0%
2	2	356	<div></div> 7.8%
3	3	241	<div></div> 5.3%
4	4	240	<div></div> 5.2%
5	5	476	<div></div> 10.4%
6	6	213	<div></div> 4.7%
7	7	224	<div></div> 4.9%
8	8	317	<div></div> 6.9%
9	9	223	<div></div> 4.9%
10	10 - Very high probability	620	<div></div> 13.5%
-99	No answer	457	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14400d: Probability to vote - SVP/UDC			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4636 /-] [Invalid=397 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	1818	<div></div> 39.2%
1	1	277	<div></div> 6.0%
2	2	214	<div></div> 4.6%
3	3	181	<div></div> 3.9%
4	4	154	<div></div> 3.3%
5	5	371	<div></div> 8.0%
6	6	191	<div></div> 4.1%
7	7	242	<div></div> 5.2%
8	8	307	<div></div> 6.6%
9	9	261	<div></div> 5.6%
10	10 - Very high probability	620	<div></div> 13.4%
-99	No answer	396	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14400e: Probability to vote - GPS/PES			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4543 /-] [Invalid=490 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	1574	<div></div> 34.6%
1	1	394	<div></div> 8.7%
2	2	388	<div></div> 8.5%

# f14400e: Probability to vote - GPS/PES				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	3	292	<div><div></div></div>	6.4%
4	4	241	<div><div></div></div>	5.3%
5	5	421	<div><div></div></div>	9.3%
6	6	206	<div><div></div></div>	4.5%
7	7	222	<div><div></div></div>	4.9%
8	8	294	<div><div></div></div>	6.5%
9	9	151	<div><div></div></div>	3.3%
10	10 - Very high probability	360	<div><div></div></div>	7.9%
-99	No answer	489		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f14400f: Probability to vote - GLP/PVL				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4507 /-] [Invalid=526 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	0 - Very low probability	1481	<div><div></div></div>	32.9%
1	1	371	<div><div></div></div>	8.2%
2	2	406	<div><div></div></div>	9.0%
3	3	334	<div><div></div></div>	7.4%
4	4	293	<div><div></div></div>	6.5%
5	5	496	<div><div></div></div>	11.0%
6	6	256	<div><div></div></div>	5.7%
7	7	271	<div><div></div></div>	6.0%
8	8	267	<div><div></div></div>	5.9%
9	9	136	<div><div></div></div>	3.0%
10	10 - Very high probability	196	<div><div></div></div>	4.3%
-99	No answer	525		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# f14400h: Probability to vote - Lega				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=563 /-] [Invalid=4470 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	0 - Very low probability	265	<div><div></div></div>	47.1%
1	1	35	<div><div></div></div>	6.2%
2	2	36	<div><div></div></div>	6.4%
3	3	20	<div><div></div></div>	3.6%
4	4	26	<div><div></div></div>	4.6%
5	5	51	<div><div></div></div>	9.1%
6	6	21	<div><div></div></div>	3.7%
7	7	27	<div><div></div></div>	4.8%
8	8	19	<div><div></div></div>	3.4%

# f14400h: Probability to vote - Lega			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	9	22	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
10	10 - Very high probability	41	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
-99	No answer	108	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14400i: Probability to vote - MCG			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=616 /-] [Invalid=4417 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	244	<div><div></div></div> 39.6%
1	1	42	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
2	2	41	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
3	3	24	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
4	4	28	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
5	5	75	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
6	6	24	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
7	7	24	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
8	8	38	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
9	9	20	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
10	10 - Very high probability	56	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
-99	No answer	55	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f14400j: Probability to vote - The Centre (former CVP/BDP)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4559 /-] [Invalid=474 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	1062	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
1	1	218	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
2	2	314	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
3	3	349	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
4	4	258	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
5	5	691	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
6	6	334	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
7	7	371	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
8	8	420	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
9	9	216	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
10	10 - Very high probability	326	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
-99	No answer	473	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# f12026: For two candidates with same qualifications, R chooses male or female candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4964 /-] [Invalid=69 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rather male candidate	623	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
2	Rather female candidate	1519	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
98	Don't know	2822	<div><div></div></div> 56.8%
-99	No answer	68	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f13322: How often did R discuss about elections with family in her/his childhood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4991 /-] [Invalid=42 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	903	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
2	Pretty rarely	2447	<div><div></div></div> 49.0%
3	Pretty often	1351	<div><div></div></div> 27.1%
4	Very often	290	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
-99	No answer	42	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12900rec: Father's party choice when R was 14 years old			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2496 /-] [Invalid=2537 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	646	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	612	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	450	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	560	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
130	PdA/PdT-Party of Labour / AL-Alternative List / Sol-Solidari	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
150	LdU/Adl - Landesring der Unabhngigen	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

# f12900rec: Father's party choice when R was 14 years old			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
402	Voted for persons, not parties	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
404	Voted for several parties	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
-99	No answer	80	
501	Did not have the right to vote (in Switzerland)	562	
503	Did not vote	147	
504	Not applicable to family situation	207	
995	Other answer	1	
998	Don't know	1540	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12900main7_combined: Father's party choice when R was 14 years old, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2496 /-] [Invalid=2537 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	646	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
21	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party / CVP/PDC - Christia	625	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	450	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	560	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
350	Others	175	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
-99	Did not (have the right to) vote, not applicable to family s	997	
998	Don't know	1540	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f12905rec: Mother's party choice when R was 14 years old			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2012 /-] [Invalid=3021 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	434	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	580	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	450	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	337	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
130	PdA/PdT-Party of Labour / AL-Alternative List / Sol-Solidari	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
150	LdU/Adl - Landesring der Unabh�ngigen	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%

f12905rec: Mother's party choice when R was 14 years old

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	3	0.1%
402	Voted for persons, not parties	7	0.3%
404	Voted for several parties	25	1.2%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
-99	No answer	80	
501	Did not have the right to vote (in Switzerland)	621	
503	Did not vote	366	
504	Not applicable to family situation	159	
995	Other answer	1	
998	Don't know	1794	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12905main7_combined: Mother's party choice when R was 14 years old, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2012 /-] [Invalid=3021 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	434	21.6%
21	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party / CVP/PDC - Christia	597	29.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	450	22.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	337	16.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	1.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	12	0.6%
350	Others	144	7.2%
-99	Did not (have the right to) vote, not applicable to family s	1227	
998	Don't know	1794	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15420: Opinion on social spending

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4950 /-] [Invalid=83 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for a reduction	413	8.3%
2	Rather for a reduction	947	19.1%
3	Neither nor	1711	34.6%
4	Rather for an increase	1543	31.2%
5	Strongly for an increase	336	6.8%
-99	No answer	83	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# f15430: Opinion on EU membership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4957 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU membership	192	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2	Rather for EU membership	766	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
3	Neither nor	799	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
4	Rather for staying outside (Alleingang)	1664	<div><div></div></div> 33.6%
5	Strongly for staying outside (Alleingang)	1536	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
-99	No answer	74	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4944 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for more state interventions in the economy	222	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2	Rather for more state interventions in the economy	894	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
3	Neither nor	1752	<div><div></div></div> 35.4%
4	Rather for more free market competition	1673	<div><div></div></div> 33.8%
5	Strongly for more free market competition	403	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
-99	No answer	89	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15440: Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4970 /-] [Invalid=63 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for equal opportunities	871	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
2	Rather for equal opportunities	1386	<div><div></div></div> 27.9%
3	Neither nor	721	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
4	Rather for better opportunities for the Swiss	1406	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
5	Strongly for better opportunities for the Swiss	586	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
-99	No answer	62	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15470: Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4971 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Environmental protection much more important	1098	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%

f15470: Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Environmental protection rather more important	1457	<div></div> 29.3%
3	Neither nor	1356	<div></div> 27.3%
4	Economic growth rather more important	817	<div></div> 16.4%
5	Economic growth much more important	243	<div></div> 4.9%
-99	No answer	59	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# f15480: Opinion on taxes on high incomes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4986 /-] [Invalid=47 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for an increase	1234	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
2	Rather for an increase	1809	<div><div></div></div> 36.3%
3	Neither nor	1230	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
4	Rather for a reduction	483	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
5	Strongly for a reduction	230	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
-99	No answer	46	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15485: Opinion on modern vs traditional Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4978 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for a modern Switzerland	560	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
2	Rather for a modern Switzerland	1556	<div><div></div></div> 31.3%
3	Neither nor	967	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
4	Rather for a Switzerland that defends traditions	1482	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
5	Strongly for a Switzerland that defends traditions	413	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
-99	No answer	55	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15811: Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4976 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	1974	<div><div></div></div> 39.7%
2	Rather against	1644	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
3	Rather in favour	1049	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
4	Strongly in favour	309	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
-99	No answer	57	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15815: Opinion on introducing a minimum wage of 4000 CHF			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4953 /-] [Invalid=80 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	430	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
2	Rather against	781	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%

# f15815: Opinion on introducing a minimum wage of 4000 CHF			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Rather in favour	1930	<div></div> 39.0%
4	Strongly in favour	1812	<div></div> 36.6%
-99	No answer	78	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15816: Opinion on introducing voting rights for foreigners on municipal level			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4953 /-] [Invalid=80 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	844	<div></div> 17.0%
2	Rather against	1254	<div></div> 25.3%
3	Rather in favour	1921	<div></div> 38.8%
4	Strongly in favour	934	<div></div> 18.9%
-99	No answer	79	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15817: Opinion on equal rights for same-sex couples			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4930 /-] [Invalid=103 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	422	<div></div> 8.6%
2	Rather against	780	<div></div> 15.8%
3	Rather in favour	1728	<div></div> 35.1%
4	Strongly in favour	2000	<div></div> 40.6%
-99	No answer	101	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15900: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4967 /-] [Invalid=66 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	2 parties	18	<div></div> 0.4%
2	3 parties	336	<div></div> 6.8%
3	4 parties	2679	<div></div> 53.9%
4	5 parties	652	<div></div> 13.1%
5	6 parties	415	<div></div> 8.4%
98	Don't know	867	<div></div> 17.5%
-99	No answer	60	

# f15900: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f15900rec: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4967 /-] [Invalid=66 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	2288	<div><div></div></div> 46.1%
1	Correct answer	2679	<div><div></div></div> 53.9%
-99	No answer	60	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f16300: Knowledge: Party with most seats in National Council before elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4944 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	3255	<div><div></div></div> 65.8%
2	The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)	116	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
3	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	259	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	216	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	46	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
98	Don't know	1052	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
-99	No answer	79	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f16300rec: Knowledge: Party with most seats in National Council before elections, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4944 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1689	<div><div></div></div> 34.2%
1	Correct answer	3255	<div><div></div></div> 65.8%
-99	No answer	79	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f16312: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		

# f16312: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4945 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	about 1 out of 10	323	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
2	about 2 out of 10	553	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
3	about 4 out of 10	768	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
4	about 5 out of 10	652	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
5	about 7 out of 10	294	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
98	Don't know	2355	<div><div></div></div> 47.6%
-99	No answer	81	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f16312rec: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4945 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	4622	<div><div></div></div> 93.5%
1	Correct answer	323	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
-99	No answer	81	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f16313: Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Swiss citizens/the people	291	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
2	National Council (200 MPs)	508	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
3	Council of States (46 MPs)	204	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4	United Federal Assembly (National Council and Council of Sta	3370	<div><div></div></div> 68.2%
5	Party delegates	36	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
98	Don't know	529	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
-99	No answer	85	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f16313rec: Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1568	<div><div></div></div> 31.8%

# f16313rec: Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct answer	3370	<div><div></div></div> 68.2%
-99	No answer	85	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f16314: Visual Knowledge: Party of minister in the photo (Karin Keller-Sutter)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4438 /-] [Invalid=595 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	2694	<div><div></div></div> 60.7%
2	The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)	179	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	113	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	279	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
98	Don't know	1141	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
-99	No answer	63	
Sysmiss		532	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f16314rec: Visual Knowledge: Party of minister in the photo (Karin Keller-Sutter), recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4438 /-] [Invalid=595 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1744	<div><div></div></div> 39.3%
1	Correct answer	2694	<div><div></div></div> 60.7%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		595	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20300: Year since R lives in current canton			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1928-2023] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=114 /-] [Mean=1988.365 /-] [StdDev=20.934 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	98	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# E11: Current marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4990 /-] [Invalid=43 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or in registered partnership	2702	<div><div></div></div> 54.1%

# E11: Current marital status			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Widowed	219	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
3	Divorced or separated	592	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
4	Single	1477	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
-99	No answer	33	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		10	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20500: Household size			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4959 /-] [Invalid=74 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	867	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
2	2	2065	<div><div></div></div> 41.6%
3	3	766	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
4	4	876	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
5	5	284	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
6	6	75	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
7	7+	26	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
-99	No answer	63	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		11	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20700: Does R live with a spouse or partner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1667 /-] [Invalid=3366 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	974	<div><div></div></div> 58.4%
1	Yes	693	<div><div></div></div> 41.6%
-99	No answer	14	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3352	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20710: Number of children under 18 living in household			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2362 /-] [Invalid=2671 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1087	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
1	1	543	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
2	2	558	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
3	3	136	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%

# f20710: Number of children under 18 living in household			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
5	5+	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
-99	No answer	54	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		2617	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20221: Main language spoken at home or in family			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4971 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German / Swiss German	2847	<div><div></div></div> 57.3%
2	French	1188	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
3	Italian	623	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
4	Romansh	27	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
5	Other language	286	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
-99	No answer	29	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	21	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		12	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20221_det: Main language spoken at home or in family (detailed)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4971 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Afar	0	
2	Abkhaz	0	
3	Avestan	0	
4	Afrikaans	0	
5	Akan	0	
6	Amharic	0	
7	Aragonese	0	
8	Arabic	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	Assamese	0	
10	Avaric	0	
11	Aymara	0	
12	Azerbaijani	0	
13	Bashkir	0	
14	Belarusian	0	
15	Bulgarian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
16	Bihari	0	
17	Bislama	0	
18	Bambara	0	
19	Bengali	0	

f20221_det: Main language spoken at home or in family (detailed)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Tibetan	1	0.0%
21	Breton	0	
22	Bosnian	4	0.1%
23	Catalan	0	
24	Chechen	0	
25	Chamorro	0	
26	Corsican	0	
27	Cree	0	
28	Czech	3	0.1%
29	Old Church Slavonic	0	
30	Chuvash	0	
31	Welsh	0	
32	Danish	0	
33	German	2847	57.3%
34	Divehi	0	
35	Dzongkha	0	
36	Ewe	0	
37	Modern Greek	3	0.1%
38	English	77	1.5%
39	Esperanto	0	
40	Spanish	39	0.8%
41	Estonian	0	
42	Basque	0	
43	Persian	2	0.0%
44	Fulah	0	
45	Finnish	0	
46	Fijian	0	
47	Faroese	0	
48	French	1188	23.9%
49	Western Frisian	0	
50	Irish	0	
51	Scottish Gaelic	0	
52	Galician	0	
53	Guarani	0	
54	Gujarati	0	
55	Manx	0	
56	Hausa	0	
57	Hebrew	0	
58	Hindi	0	
59	Hiri Motu	0	
60	Croatian	6	0.1%
61	Haitian Creole	0	
62	Hungarian	1	0.0%
63	Armenian	0	
64	Herero	0	

f20221_det: Main language spoken at home or in family (detailed)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65	Interlingua	0	
66	Indonesian	0	
67	Interlingue	0	
68	Igbo	0	
69	Yi	0	
70	Inupiaq	0	
71	Ido	0	
72	Icelandic	0	
73	Italian	623	12.5%
74	Inuktitut	0	
75	Japanese	1	0.0%
76	Javanese	0	
77	Georgian	0	
78	Kongo	0	
79	Kikuyu	0	
80	Kwanyama	0	
81	Kazakh	0	
82	Greenlandic	0	
83	Khmer	0	
84	Kannada	0	
85	Korean	0	
86	Kanuri	0	
87	Kashmiri	0	
88	Kurdish	3	0.1%
89	Komi	0	
90	Cornish	0	
91	Kyrgyz	0	
92	Latin	0	
93	Luxembourgish	0	
94	Ganda	0	
95	Limburgish	0	
96	Lingala	1	0.0%
97	Lao	0	
98	Lithuanian	0	
99	Luba-Katanga	0	
100	Latvian	0	
101	Malagasy	0	
102	Marshallese	0	
103	Maori	0	
104	Macedonian	1	0.0%
105	Malayalam	1	0.0%
106	Mongolian	0	
107	Moldovan	0	
108	Marathi	0	
109	Malay	0	

f20221_det: Main language spoken at home or in family (detailed)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110	Maltese	0	
111	Burmese	0	
112	Nauruan	0	
113	Norwegian Bokmål	0	
114	Southern Ndebele	0	
115	Nepali	0	
116	Ndonga	0	
117	Dutch	3	0.1%
118	Norwegian Nynorsk	0	
119	Norwegian	0	
120	Northern Ndebele	0	
121	Navajo	0	
122	Chichewa	0	
123	Occitan	0	
124	Ojibwe	0	
125	Oromo	0	
126	Oriya	0	
127	Ossetian	0	
128	Punjabi	0	
129	Pali	0	
130	Polish	2	0.0%
131	Pashto	1	0.0%
132	Portuguese	21	0.4%
133	Quechua	0	
134	Räunion Creole	0	
135	Romansh	27	0.5%
136	Kirundi	0	
137	Romanian	2	0.0%
138	Russian	6	0.1%
139	Kinyarwanda	1	0.0%
140	Sanskrit	0	
141	Sardinian	0	
142	Sindhi	0	
143	Northern Sami	0	
144	Sango	0	
145	Serbo-Croatian	1	0.0%
146	Sinhala	0	
147	Slovak	0	
148	Slovenian	1	0.0%
149	Samoan	0	
150	Shona	0	
151	Somali	0	
152	Albanian	23	0.5%
153	Serbian	7	0.1%
154	Swati	0	

# f20221_det: Main language spoken at home or in family (detailed)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
155	Southern Sotho	0	
156	Sundanese	0	
157	Swedish	0	
158	Swahili	1	0.0%
159	Tamil	10	0.2%
160	Telugu	0	
161	Tajik	0	
162	Thai	2	0.0%
163	Tigrinya	1	0.0%
164	Turkmen	0	
165	Tagalog	1	0.0%
166	Tswana	0	
167	Tonga	0	
168	Turkish	13	0.3%
169	Tsonga	0	
170	Tatar	0	
171	Twi	0	
172	Tahitian	0	
173	Uighur	0	
174	Ukrainian	0	
175	Urdu	2	0.0%
176	Uzbek	0	
177	Venda	0	
178	Vietnamese	0	
179	VolapÄ¼k	0	
180	Walloon	0	
181	Wolof	0	
182	Xhosa	0	
183	Yiddish	0	
184	Yoruba	0	
185	Zhuang	0	
186	Chinese	2	0.0%
187	Zulu	0	
999	Other language	35	0.7%
-99	No answer	29	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	21	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		12	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4964 /-] [Invalid=69 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	4009	80.8%

f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8201	Albania	2	0.0%
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	6	0.1%
8205	Bulgaria	2	0.0%
8206	Denmark	2	0.0%
8207	Germany	119	2.4%
8208	German Democratic Republic	1	0.0%
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	4	0.1%
8212	France	74	1.5%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	1	0.0%
8215	United Kingdom	10	0.2%
8216	Ireland	4	0.1%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	241	4.9%
8220	Yugoslavia	13	0.3%
8222	Liechtenstein	2	0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.0%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	10	0.2%
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	19	0.4%
8230	Poland	5	0.1%
8231	Portugal	52	1.0%
8232	Romania	4	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	5	0.1%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0	
8236	Spain	47	0.9%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	6	0.1%
8239	Turkey	25	0.5%
8240	Hungary	8	0.2%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	1	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	2	0.0%
8248	Serbia	25	0.5%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	12	0.2%
8251	Slovenia	1	0.0%

f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	13	0.3%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	18	0.4%
8256	Kosovo	41	0.8%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	6	0.1%
8265	Ukraine	6	0.1%
8266	Belarus	1	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	1	0.0%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	2	0.0%
8305	Angola	1	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	1	0.0%
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	2	0.0%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	1	0.0%
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	3	0.1%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	

# f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8327	Madagascar	1	0.0%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	4	0.1%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	2	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	1	0.0%
8336	Nigeria	1	0.0%
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	1	0.0%
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	1	0.0%
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	1	0.0%
8349	South Africa	2	0.0%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	2	0.0%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	1	0.0%
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	3	0.1%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	3	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	

f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	1	0.0%
8406	Brazil	9	0.2%
8407	Chile	1	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	4	0.1%
8410	Ecuador	2	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	2	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%
8423	Canada	5	0.1%
8424	Colombia	7	0.1%
8425	Cuba	2	0.0%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	3	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	1	0.0%
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	9	0.2%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	1	0.0%
8438	Venezuela	2	0.0%
8439	United States of America	10	0.2%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	1	0.0%
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	

f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.0%
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	2	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	11	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.0%
8508	China	5	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	3	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	1	0.0%
8512	Iraq	2	0.0%
8513	Iran	4	0.1%
8514	Israel	1	0.0%
8515	Japan	1	0.0%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	1	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	1	0.0%
8523	Lebanon	7	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	1	0.0%
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	3	0.1%
8534	Philippines	4	0.1%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	

# f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	1	0.0%
8542	Thailand	9	0.2%
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	3	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	1	0.0%
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	1	0.0%
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	0	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	

# f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	1	0.0%
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	58	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		11	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=298 /-] [Invalid=4735 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	8	2.7%
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	8	2.7%
8205	Bulgaria	0	
8206	Denmark	1	0.3%
8207	Germany	37	12.4%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	

f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	1	0.3%
8212	France	56	18.8%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	0	
8215	United Kingdom	12	4.0%
8216	Ireland	0	
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	88	29.5%
8220	Yugoslavia	1	0.3%
8222	Liechtenstein	0	
8223	Luxembourg	0	
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	0	
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	6	2.0%
8230	Poland	0	
8231	Portugal	10	3.4%
8232	Romania	0	
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	3	1.0%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0	
8236	Spain	15	5.0%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	0	
8239	Turkey	4	1.3%
8240	Hungary	1	0.3%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	0	
8244	Czech Republic	0	
8248	Serbia	3	1.0%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	4	1.3%
8251	Slovenia	0	
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	2	0.7%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	0	
8256	Kosovo	2	0.7%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	

f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	1	0.3%
8265	Ukraine	1	0.3%
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	0	
8305	Angola	0	
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	0	
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	0	
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	0	
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	0	
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	0	

f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	2	0.7%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	1	0.3%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	1	0.3%
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	0	
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	1	0.3%
8406	Brazil	3	1.0%
8407	Chile	0	
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.3%
8409	Dominican Republic	0	

f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8410	Ecuador	1	0.3%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	1	0.3%
8424	Colombia	4	1.3%
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	0	
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	1	0.3%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	0	
8439	United States of America	7	2.3%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	

f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	0	
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	0	
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.3%
8508	China	0	
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	0	
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	1	0.3%
8513	Iran	0	
8514	Israel	1	0.3%
8515	Japan	2	0.7%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	3	1.0%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	0	
8534	Philippines	0	
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	0	
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	1	0.3%
8542	Thailand	1	0.3%

f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	0	
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	0	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	

# f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	1	0.3%
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	3	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4732	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14 /-] [Invalid=5019 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	1	7.1%
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	1	7.1%
8205	Bulgaria	0	
8206	Denmark	0	
8207	Germany	1	7.1%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	0	
8212	France	3	21.4%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	1	7.1%
8215	United Kingdom	0	

f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8216	Ireland	0	
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	0	
8220	Yugoslavia	1	7.1%
8222	Liechtenstein	0	
8223	Luxembourg	0	
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	1	7.1%
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	0	
8230	Poland	1	7.1%
8231	Portugal	0	
8232	Romania	0	
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	0	
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1	7.1%
8236	Spain	1	7.1%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	0	
8239	Turkey	0	
8240	Hungary	0	
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	0	
8244	Czech Republic	0	
8248	Serbia	0	
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	0	
8251	Slovenia	0	
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	1	7.1%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	0	
8256	Kosovo	0	
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	0	
8265	Ukraine	0	
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	

f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	0	
8305	Angola	0	
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	0	
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	1	7.1%
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	0	
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	0	
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	0	
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	

f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	0	
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	0	
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	0	
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	0	
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	0	
8407	Chile	0	
8408	Costa Rica	0	
8409	Dominican Republic	0	
8410	Ecuador	0	
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	

f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	0	
8424	Colombia	0	
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	0	
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	0	
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	0	
8439	United States of America	0	
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	0	
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	

f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	0	
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	0	
8508	China	0	
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	0	
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	0	
8513	Iran	0	
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	0	
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	0	
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	0	
8534	Philippines	0	
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	0	
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	0	
8542	Thailand	0	
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	0	
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	

f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	0	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	

# f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	0	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		5019	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4933 /-] [Invalid=100 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	3524	<div></div> 71.4%
8201	Albania	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	18	<div></div> 0.4%
8205	Bulgaria	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8206	Denmark	9	<div></div> 0.2%
8207	Germany	214	<div></div> 4.3%
8208	German Democratic Republic	1	<div></div> 0.0%
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	4	<div></div> 0.1%
8212	France	114	<div></div> 2.3%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	5	<div></div> 0.1%
8215	United Kingdom	20	<div></div> 0.4%
8216	Ireland	5	<div></div> 0.1%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	305	<div></div> 6.2%
8220	Yugoslavia	18	<div></div> 0.4%
8222	Liechtenstein	5	<div></div> 0.1%
8223	Luxembourg	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8224	Malta	0	

f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	18	0.4%
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	68	1.4%
8230	Poland	13	0.3%
8231	Portugal	60	1.2%
8232	Romania	3	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	10	0.2%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	3	0.1%
8236	Spain	57	1.2%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	8	0.2%
8239	Turkey	29	0.6%
8240	Hungary	13	0.3%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	1	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	4	0.1%
8248	Serbia	28	0.6%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	17	0.3%
8251	Slovenia	2	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	18	0.4%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	1	0.0%
8255	North Macedonia	20	0.4%
8256	Kosovo	52	1.1%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	11	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	6	0.1%
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	

# f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	2	0.0%
8305	Angola	5	0.1%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	2	0.0%
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	1	0.0%
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	4	0.1%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	3	0.1%
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	7	0.1%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	9	0.2%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	3	0.1%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	1	0.0%
8336	Nigeria	1	0.0%
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	2	0.0%
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	1	0.0%
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	1	0.0%
8349	South Africa	3	0.1%

# f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	2	0.0%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	8	0.2%
8360	Central African Republic	1	0.0%
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	3	0.1%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	5	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	17	0.3%
8407	Chile	2	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	2	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	5	0.1%
8410	Ecuador	4	0.1%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	3	0.1%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%
8423	Canada	5	0.1%
8424	Colombia	9	0.2%

# f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8425	Cuba	3	0.1%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	4	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	9	0.2%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	3	0.1%
8438	Venezuela	3	0.1%
8439	United States of America	15	0.3%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.0%
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	2	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	12	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	2	0.0%
8508	China	5	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	7	0.1%

f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8511	Indonesia	2	0.0%
8512	Iraq	4	0.1%
8513	Iran	8	0.2%
8514	Israel	1	0.0%
8515	Japan	3	0.1%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	1	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	2	0.0%
8523	Lebanon	8	0.2%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	1	0.0%
8526	Maldives	1	0.0%
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	2	0.0%
8534	Philippines	6	0.1%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	3	0.1%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	2	0.0%
8542	Thailand	13	0.3%
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	5	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	

f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	0	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	

# f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	63	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Not applicable to family situation	25	
Sysmiss		11	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing= */98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4909 /-] [Invalid=124 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	3619	<div></div> 73.7%
8201	Albania	3	<div></div> 0.1%
8202	Andorra	1	<div></div> 0.0%
8204	Belgium	11	<div></div> 0.2%
8205	Bulgaria	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8206	Denmark	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8207	Germany	142	<div></div> 2.9%
8208	German Democratic Republic	1	<div></div> 0.0%
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	3	<div></div> 0.1%
8212	France	114	<div></div> 2.3%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	5	<div></div> 0.1%
8215	United Kingdom	21	<div></div> 0.4%
8216	Ireland	4	<div></div> 0.1%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	353	<div></div> 7.2%
8220	Yugoslavia	19	<div></div> 0.4%
8222	Liechtenstein	1	<div></div> 0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	1	<div></div> 0.0%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	11	<div></div> 0.2%
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	26	<div></div> 0.5%
8230	Poland	9	<div></div> 0.2%

f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8231	Portugal	65	1.3%
8232	Romania	5	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	8	0.2%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	3	0.1%
8236	Spain	55	1.1%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	9	0.2%
8239	Turkey	32	0.7%
8240	Hungary	13	0.3%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	1	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	4	0.1%
8248	Serbia	24	0.5%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	16	0.3%
8251	Slovenia	1	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	19	0.4%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	2	0.0%
8255	North Macedonia	19	0.4%
8256	Kosovo	54	1.1%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	1	0.0%
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	8	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	3	0.1%
8266	Belarus	1	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	5	0.1%
8305	Angola	0	
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	

f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	1	0.0%
8312	Gambia	1	0.0%
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	3	0.1%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	3	0.1%
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	5	0.1%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	6	0.1%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	1	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	1	0.0%
8335	Niger	1	0.0%
8336	Nigeria	1	0.0%
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	2	0.0%
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	1	0.0%
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	1	0.0%
8349	South Africa	2	0.0%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	1	0.0%
8356	Chad	0	

# f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8357	Tunisia	8	0.2%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	7	0.1%
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	3	0.1%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	6	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	2	0.0%
8406	Brazil	12	0.2%
8407	Chile	3	0.1%
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	3	0.1%
8410	Ecuador	3	0.1%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	1	0.0%
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	2	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%
8423	Canada	5	0.1%
8424	Colombia	6	0.1%
8425	Cuba	3	0.1%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	5	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	

f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8432	Peru	10	0.2%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	2	0.0%
8438	Venezuela	3	0.1%
8439	United States of America	11	0.2%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.0%
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	2	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	12	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.0%
8508	China	7	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	6	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	2	0.0%
8512	Iraq	4	0.1%
8513	Iran	6	0.1%
8514	Israel	1	0.0%
8515	Japan	1	0.0%
8516	Yemen	0	

f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	2	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	1	0.0%
8523	Lebanon	11	0.2%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	1	0.0%
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	3	0.1%
8534	Philippines	4	0.1%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	2	0.0%
8542	Thailand	6	0.1%
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	7	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	1	0.0%
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	

f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	0	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	

# f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	82	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Not applicable to family situation	31	
Sysmiss		11	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20760: Which religion or denomination does R belong to - Recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4973 /-] [Invalid=60 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Protestant	1229	<div></div> 24.7%
2	Catholic	1974	<div></div> 39.7%
3	Jewish	9	<div></div> 0.2%
4	Muslim	126	<div></div> 2.5%
5	Free Protestant/Evangelical Church	57	<div></div> 1.1%
6	Other Christian religion	69	<div></div> 1.4%
7	Other religion	39	<div></div> 0.8%
8	None	1470	<div></div> 29.6%
-99	No answer	46	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		13	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f20900: How often R attends religious services or other events of a religious community			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3484 /-] [Invalid=1549 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	455	<div></div> 13.1%
2	Only on special occasions (baptism, marriage, funeral)	1763	<div></div> 50.6%
3	Once a year	170	<div></div> 4.9%
4	Several times a year	662	<div></div> 19.0%
5	One or two times a month	170	<div></div> 4.9%
6	One time per week	202	<div></div> 5.8%
7	Several times per week	62	<div></div> 1.8%
-99	No answer	35	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1513	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21310: R's highest level of education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4860 /-] [Invalid=173 /-]		

f21310: R's highest level of education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	16	0.3%
2	Primary school	76	1.6%
3	Secondary school	191	3.9%
4	Basic vocational training (EBA, AFP)	83	1.7%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	1533	31.5%
6	Upper secondary specialised school (Fachmittelschule, Ecole	112	2.3%
7	Trade school	258	5.3%
8	Vocational or specialised baccalaureate	170	3.5%
9	Baccalaureate	265	5.5%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	557	11.5%
11	College of higher education	276	5.7%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	473	9.7%
13	University, Federal Institute of Technology	837	17.2%
14	Other	8	0.2%
98	Don't know	5	0.1%
-99	No answer	76	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	83	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		14	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6b_lev: Highest diploma - R

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1510 /-] [Invalid=3523 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bachelor or equivalent	689	<div></div> 45.6%
2	Master, licentiate or equivalent	670	<div></div> 44.4%
3	Doctorate	151	<div></div> 10.0%
-99	No answer	164	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3359	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f21400: Current employment situation - R

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4964 /-] [Invalid=69 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full-time employment (at least 40 hours/week)	1718	<div></div> 34.6%
2	Part-time employment (15-39 hours/week)	1015	<div></div> 20.4%
3	Part-time employment (less than 15 hours/week)	162	<div></div> 3.3%
4	Employee of own family business	55	<div></div> 1.1%
5	In training (student, trainee)	257	<div></div> 5.2%
6	Housemaker	193	<div></div> 3.9%
7	Retired (AHV)	1316	<div></div> 26.5%

# f21400: Current employment situation - R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Retired (IV or other)	118	2.4%
9	Unemployed	63	1.3%
10	Other (professional training, holidays, etc.)	67	1.3%
-99	No answer	33	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	21	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	1	
Sysmiss		14	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f26100: Past employment situation - R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1727 /-] [Invalid=3306 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full-time employment (at least 40 hours/week)	799	46.3%
2	Part-time employment (15-39 hours/week)	381	22.1%
3	Part-time employment (less than 15 hours/week)	85	4.9%
4	Employee of own family business	51	3.0%
5	In training (student, trainee)	29	1.7%
6	Housemaker	120	6.9%
7	Retired (AHV)	192	11.1%
8	Retired (IV or other)	37	2.1%
9	Unemployed	10	0.6%
10	Other (professional training, holidays, etc.)	23	1.3%
-99	No answer	22	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	8	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3276	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21500_f24900: Position at work (current or past) - R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4100 /-] [Invalid=933 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	589	14.4%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	986	24.0%
3	Employee with operative function	2005	48.9%
4	Self-employed	520	12.7%
-99	No answer	145	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	16	
Sysmiss		767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21500: Position at current work - R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]		

# f21500: Position at current work - R			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2870 /-] [Invalid=2163 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	417	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	691	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
3	Employee with operative function	1412	<div><div></div></div> 49.2%
4	Self-employed	350	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
-99	No answer	69	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	6	
Sysmiss		2083	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f24900: Position at past work - R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1230 /-] [Invalid=3803 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	172	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	295	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
3	Employee with operative function	593	<div><div></div></div> 48.2%
4	Self-employed	170	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
-99	No answer	76	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	10	
Sysmiss		3717	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21550_f24950: Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - R			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2600] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=464 /-] [Invalid=4569 /-] [Mean=19.043 /-] [StdDev=172.196 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	52	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21550: Number of persons employed at current company - R			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2600] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=325 /-] [Invalid=4708 /-] [Mean=24.914 /-] [StdDev=205.514 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	22	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# f24950: Number of persons employed at past company - R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=139 /-] [Invalid=4894 /-] [Mean=5.317 /-] [StdDev=7.02 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	30	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ISCO08prof_r_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3976 /-] [Invalid=1057 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	3	0.1%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	3	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	0.1%
10	Managers	21	0.5%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	205	5.2%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	197	5.0%
13	Production and specialised services managers	218	5.5%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	68	1.7%
20	Professionals	26	0.7%
21	Science and engineering professionals	225	5.7%
22	Health professionals	248	6.2%
23	Teaching professionals	314	7.9%
24	Business and administration professionals	209	5.3%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	111	2.8%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	184	4.6%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	29	0.7%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	204	5.1%
32	Health associate professionals	131	3.3%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	275	6.9%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	103	2.6%
35	Information and communications technicians	16	0.4%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	239	6.0%
42	Customer services clerks	59	1.5%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	67	1.7%
44	Other clerical support workers	39	1.0%
50	Service and sales workers	1	0.0%
51	Personal service workers	141	3.5%
52	Sales workers	99	2.5%
53	Personal care workers	72	1.8%
54	Protective services workers	23	0.6%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	70	1.8%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	3	0.1%

ISCO08prof_r_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	51	1.3%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	72	1.8%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	32	0.8%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	40	1.0%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	40	1.0%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	25	0.6%
82	Assemblers	5	0.1%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	53	1.3%
90	Elementary occupations	5	0.1%
91	Cleaners and helpers	18	0.5%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	4	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	14	0.4%
94	Food preparation assistants	3	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	9	0.2%
-99	No answer	290	
Sysmiss		767	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ISCO08prof_r_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2812 /-] [Invalid=2221 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	3	<div></div> 0.1%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	2	<div></div> 0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	<div></div> 0.0%
10	Managers	12	<div></div> 0.4%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	134	<div></div> 4.8%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	139	<div></div> 4.9%
13	Production and specialised services managers	139	<div></div> 4.9%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	49	<div></div> 1.7%
20	Professionals	19	<div></div> 0.7%
21	Science and engineering professionals	163	<div></div> 5.8%
22	Health professionals	185	<div></div> 6.6%
23	Teaching professionals	220	<div></div> 7.8%
24	Business and administration professionals	160	<div></div> 5.7%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	101	<div></div> 3.6%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	141	<div></div> 5.0%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	25	<div></div> 0.9%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	149	<div></div> 5.3%
32	Health associate professionals	99	<div></div> 3.5%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	182	<div></div> 6.5%

ISCO08prof_r_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	72	2.6%
35	Information and communications technicians	11	0.4%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	143	5.1%
42	Customer services clerks	35	1.2%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	44	1.6%
44	Other clerical support workers	22	0.8%
50	Service and sales workers	1	0.0%
51	Personal service workers	97	3.4%
52	Sales workers	63	2.2%
53	Personal care workers	59	2.1%
54	Protective services workers	18	0.6%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	48	1.7%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	2	0.1%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	36	1.3%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	54	1.9%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	21	0.7%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	31	1.1%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	26	0.9%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	22	0.8%
82	Assemblers	2	0.1%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	39	1.4%
90	Elementary occupations	4	0.1%
91	Cleaners and helpers	14	0.5%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	3	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	11	0.4%
94	Food preparation assistants	3	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	8	0.3%
-99	No answer	138	
Sysmiss		2083	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ISCO08prof_r_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1164 /-] [Invalid=3869 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	0.1%
10	Managers	9	0.8%

ISCO08prof_r_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	71	6.1%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	58	5.0%
13	Production and specialised services managers	79	6.8%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	19	1.6%
20	Professionals	7	0.6%
21	Science and engineering professionals	62	5.3%
22	Health professionals	63	5.4%
23	Teaching professionals	94	8.1%
24	Business and administration professionals	49	4.2%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	10	0.9%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	43	3.7%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	4	0.3%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	55	4.7%
32	Health associate professionals	32	2.7%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	93	8.0%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	31	2.7%
35	Information and communications technicians	5	0.4%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	96	8.2%
42	Customer services clerks	24	2.1%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	23	2.0%
44	Other clerical support workers	17	1.5%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	44	3.8%
52	Sales workers	36	3.1%
53	Personal care workers	13	1.1%
54	Protective services workers	5	0.4%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	22	1.9%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	1	0.1%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	15	1.3%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	18	1.5%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	11	0.9%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	9	0.8%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	14	1.2%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	3	0.3%
82	Assemblers	3	0.3%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	14	1.2%
90	Elementary occupations	1	0.1%
91	Cleaners and helpers	4	0.3%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	1	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	3	0.3%

# ISCO08prof_r_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Respondent			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
94	Food preparation assistants	0	
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	1	0.1%
-99	No answer	152	
Sysmiss		3717	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21700_f25100: Does/did R work in the private or public sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3536 /-] [Invalid=1497 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	2082	58.9%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	1184	33.5%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	137	3.9%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	133	3.8%
-99	No answer	35	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	7	
Sysmiss		1453	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21700: Does R work in the private or public sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2494 /-] [Invalid=2539 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	1463	58.7%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	831	33.3%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	99	4.0%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	101	4.0%
-99	No answer	23	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	3	
Sysmiss		2513	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f25100: Did R work in the private or public sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1042 /-] [Invalid=3991 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	619	59.4%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	353	33.9%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	38	3.6%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	32	3.1%
-99	No answer	12	

# f25100: Did R work in the private or public sector			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		3973	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21750_f25150: The main activity sector of R's company (current or past)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4121 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	590	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
2	Retail and wholesale	315	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
3	Healthcare and social sector	759	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	227	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
5	Construction	398	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
6	Teaching and education	437	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
7	Tourism and business related services	110	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
8	Financial services	302	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
9	Hotel and restaurant	131	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
10	Transportation	139	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	330	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	91	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	80	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
14	Information and communication	181	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
15	Other	31	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
-99	No answer	130	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	8	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	7	
Sysmiss		767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21750: The main activity sector of R's current company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2884 /-] [Invalid=2149 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	409	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
2	Retail and wholesale	194	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
3	Healthcare and social sector	557	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	178	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
5	Construction	291	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
6	Teaching and education	311	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
7	Tourism and business related services	77	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
8	Financial services	187	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
9	Hotel and restaurant	84	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
10	Transportation	94	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%

# f21750: The main activity sector of R's current company			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	219	<div></div> 7.6%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	62	<div></div> 2.1%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	64	<div></div> 2.2%
14	Information and communication	139	<div></div> 4.8%
15	Other	18	<div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	58	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	3	
Sysmiss		2083	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f25150: The main activity sector of R's past company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1237 /-] [Invalid=3796 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	181	<div></div> 14.6%
2	Retail and wholesale	121	<div></div> 9.8%
3	Healthcare and social sector	202	<div></div> 16.3%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	49	<div></div> 4.0%
5	Construction	107	<div></div> 8.6%
6	Teaching and education	126	<div></div> 10.2%
7	Tourism and business related services	33	<div></div> 2.7%
8	Financial services	115	<div></div> 9.3%
9	Hotel and restaurant	47	<div></div> 3.8%
10	Transportation	45	<div></div> 3.6%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	111	<div></div> 9.0%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	29	<div></div> 2.3%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	16	<div></div> 1.3%
14	Information and communication	42	<div></div> 3.4%
15	Other	13	<div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	72	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		3717	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21800: Who is the main earner in R's household			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3275 /-] [Invalid=1758 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent	1302	<div></div> 39.8%
2	Spouse or partner	1089	<div></div> 33.3%
3	Both earn equally	782	<div></div> 23.9%
4	Another person	44	<div></div> 1.3%

f21800: Who is the main earner in R's household

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	58	<div></div> 1.8%
-99	No answer	37	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1718	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# f21910: Highest level of education - Partner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1055 /-] [Invalid=3978 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	4	0.4%
2	Primary school	9	0.9%
3	Secondary school	24	2.3%
4	Basic vocational training (EBA, AFP)	17	1.6%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	314	29.8%
6	Upper secondary specialised school (Fachmittelschule, Ecole	18	1.7%
7	Trade school	34	3.2%
8	Vocational or specialised baccalaureate	32	3.0%
9	Baccalaureate	34	3.2%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	169	16.0%
11	College of higher education	92	8.7%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	95	9.0%
13	University, Federal Institute of Technology	201	19.1%
14	Other	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	8	0.8%
-99	No answer	23	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	11	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3944	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# E6b_lev_partner: Highest diploma - Partner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=380 /-] [Invalid=4653 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bachelor or equivalent	127	33.4%
2	Master, licentiate or equivalent	190	50.0%
3	Doctorate	34	8.9%
98	Don't know	29	7.6%
-99	No answer	8	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4645	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f22000_f22400: Employment situation (current or past) - Partner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1067 /-] [Invalid=3966 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full-time employment (at least 40 hours/week)	876	82.1%
2	Part-time employment (15-39 hours/week)	124	11.6%
3	Part-time employment (less than 15 hours/week)	15	1.4%
4	Employee of own family business	17	1.6%

# f22000_f22400: Employment situation (current or past) - Partner			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	In training (student, trainee)	0	
6	Housemaker	4	0.4%
7	Retired (AHV)	26	2.4%
8	Retired (IV or other)	1	0.1%
9	Unemployed	2	0.2%
10	Other (professional training, holidays, etc.)	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
-99	No answer	15	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3946	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f22100_f22500: Position at work (current or past) - Partner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1017 /-] [Invalid=4016 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	195	19.2%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	281	27.6%
3	Employee with operative function	366	36.0%
4	Self-employed	157	15.4%
98	Don't know	18	1.8%
-99	No answer	15	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4001	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f22150_f22550: Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - Partner			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-250] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=141 /-] [Invalid=4892 /-] [Mean=9.872 /-] [StdDev=25.809 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	12	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ISCO08prof_p_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=892 /-] [Invalid=4141 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.1%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	0.2%
10	Managers	5	0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	66	7.4%

ISCO08prof_p_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Administrative and commercial managers	64	7.2%
13	Production and specialised services managers	108	12.1%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	9	1.0%
20	Professionals	4	0.4%
21	Science and engineering professionals	74	8.3%
22	Health professionals	29	3.3%
23	Teaching professionals	46	5.2%
24	Business and administration professionals	59	6.6%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	36	4.0%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	36	4.0%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	12	1.3%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	50	5.6%
32	Health associate professionals	5	0.6%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	53	5.9%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	10	1.1%
35	Information and communications technicians	6	0.7%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	22	2.5%
42	Customer services clerks	12	1.3%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	30	3.4%
44	Other clerical support workers	6	0.7%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	15	1.7%
52	Sales workers	5	0.6%
53	Personal care workers	2	0.2%
54	Protective services workers	9	1.0%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	22	2.5%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	1	0.1%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	19	2.1%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	17	1.9%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	3	0.3%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	10	1.1%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	7	0.8%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	4	0.4%
82	Assemblers	2	0.2%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	18	2.0%
90	Elementary occupations	1	0.1%
91	Cleaners and helpers	3	0.3%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	5	0.6%
94	Food preparation assistants	2	0.2%

# ISCO08prof_p_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	1	0.1%
-99	No answer	140	
Sysmiss		4001	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ISCO08prof_p_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=706 /-] [Invalid=4327 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	0.3%
10	Managers	4	0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	45	6.4%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	52	7.4%
13	Production and specialised services managers	82	11.6%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	9	1.3%
20	Professionals	3	0.4%
21	Science and engineering professionals	58	8.2%
22	Health professionals	22	3.1%
23	Teaching professionals	33	4.7%
24	Business and administration professionals	43	6.1%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	33	4.7%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	35	5.0%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	11	1.6%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	39	5.5%
32	Health associate professionals	5	0.7%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	41	5.8%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	6	0.8%
35	Information and communications technicians	4	0.6%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	17	2.4%
42	Customer services clerks	10	1.4%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	22	3.1%
44	Other clerical support workers	6	0.8%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	13	1.8%
52	Sales workers	4	0.6%
53	Personal care workers	2	0.3%
54	Protective services workers	8	1.1%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	22	3.1%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	1	0.1%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	

# ISCO08prof_p_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	17	<div></div> 2.4%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	15	<div></div> 2.1%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	2	<div></div> 0.3%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	8	<div></div> 1.1%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	6	<div></div> 0.8%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	3	<div></div> 0.4%
82	Assemblers	2	<div></div> 0.3%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	13	<div></div> 1.8%
90	Elementary occupations	0	
91	Cleaners and helpers	2	<div></div> 0.3%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	3	<div></div> 0.4%
94	Food preparation assistants	1	<div></div> 0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	1	<div></div> 0.1%
-99	No answer	127	
Sysmiss		4200	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ISCO08prof_p_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Partner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=185 -/] [Invalid=4848 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	<div></div> 0.5%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
10	Managers	1	<div></div> 0.5%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	21	<div></div> 11.4%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	12	<div></div> 6.5%
13	Production and specialised services managers	26	<div></div> 14.1%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	0	
20	Professionals	1	<div></div> 0.5%
21	Science and engineering professionals	16	<div></div> 8.6%
22	Health professionals	7	<div></div> 3.8%
23	Teaching professionals	13	<div></div> 7.0%
24	Business and administration professionals	16	<div></div> 8.6%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	3	<div></div> 1.6%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	1	<div></div> 0.5%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	1	<div></div> 0.5%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	11	<div></div> 5.9%
32	Health associate professionals	0	
33	Business and administration associate professionals	12	<div></div> 6.5%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	4	<div></div> 2.2%

ISCO08prof_p_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Partner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35	Information and communications technicians	2	<div></div> 1.1%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	5	<div></div> 2.7%
42	Customer services clerks	2	<div></div> 1.1%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	8	<div></div> 4.3%
44	Other clerical support workers	0	
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	1	<div></div> 0.5%
52	Sales workers	1	<div></div> 0.5%
53	Personal care workers	0	
54	Protective services workers	1	<div></div> 0.5%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	0	
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	0	
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	2	<div></div> 1.1%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	2	<div></div> 1.1%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	1	<div></div> 0.5%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	2	<div></div> 1.1%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	1	<div></div> 0.5%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	1	<div></div> 0.5%
82	Assemblers	0	
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	5	<div></div> 2.7%
90	Elementary occupations	1	<div></div> 0.5%
91	Cleaners and helpers	1	<div></div> 0.5%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	2	<div></div> 1.1%
94	Food preparation assistants	1	<div></div> 0.5%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	0	
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		4835	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f22300_f22700: Does/did partner work in the private or public sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=835 -/] [Invalid=4198 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	474	<div></div> 56.8%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	295	<div></div> 35.3%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	44	<div></div> 5.3%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	10	<div></div> 1.2%
98	Don't know	12	<div></div> 1.4%

# f22300_f22700: Does/did partner work in the private or public sector			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	7	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4191	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f22350_f22750: The main activity sector of partner's company (current or past)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=986 /-] [Invalid=4047 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	158	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
2	Retail and wholesale	48	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
3	Healthcare and social sector	80	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	70	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
5	Construction	143	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
6	Teaching and education	81	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
7	Tourism and business related services	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
8	Financial services	114	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
9	Hotel and restaurant	22	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
10	Transportation	49	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	103	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	26	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
14	Information and communication	42	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
15	Other	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
-99	No answer	22	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	24	
Sysmiss		4001	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f22910: Highest level of education - Main earner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=252 /-] [Invalid=4781 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2	Primary school	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
3	Secondary school	9	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
4	Basic vocational training (EBA, AFP)	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	52	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
6	Upper secondary specialised school (Fachmittelschule, Ecole	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
7	Trade school	10	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
8	Vocational or specialised baccalaureate	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
9	Baccalaureate	12	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	23	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%

# f22910: Highest level of education - Main earner			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	College of higher education	19	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	21	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
13	University, Federal Institute of Technology	70	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
14	Other	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	19	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
-99	No answer	4	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4776	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# E6b_lev_main_earner: Highest diploma - Main earner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=110 /-] [Invalid=4923 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bachelor or equivalent	24	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
2	Master, licentiate or equivalent	51	<div><div></div></div> 46.4%
3	Doctorate	24	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
98	Don't know	11	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
-99	No answer	0	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4923	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f23000_f23400: Employment situation (current or past) - Main earner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=244 /-] [Invalid=4789 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full-time employment (at least 40 hours/week)	194	<div><div></div></div> 79.5%
2	Part-time employment (15-39 hours/week)	29	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
3	Part-time employment (less than 15 hours/week)	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
4	Employee of own family business	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
5	In training (student, trainee)	7	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
6	Housemaker	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
7	Retired (AHV)	0	
8	Retired (IV or other)	0	
9	Unemployed	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	Other (professional training, holidays, etc.)	0	
98	Don't know	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	6	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# f23100_f23500: Position at work (current or past) - Main earner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=231 -] [Invalid=4802 -]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	54	23.4%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	35	15.2%
3	Employee with operative function	73	31.6%
4	Self-employed	39	16.9%
98	Don't know	30	13.0%
-99	No answer	0	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4802	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f23150_f23550: Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - Main earner			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-40] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34 -] [Invalid=4999 -] [Mean=4.471 -] [StdDev=6.938 -]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	5	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ISCO08prof_me_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=190 -] [Invalid=4843 -]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
10	Managers	0	
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	19	10.0%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	14	7.4%
13	Production and specialised services managers	10	5.3%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	2	1.1%
20	Professionals	1	0.5%
21	Science and engineering professionals	21	11.1%
22	Health professionals	15	7.9%
23	Teaching professionals	11	5.8%
24	Business and administration professionals	14	7.4%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	7	3.7%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	9	4.7%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	1	0.5%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	6	3.2%
32	Health associate professionals	3	1.6%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	12	6.3%

# ISCO08prof_me_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
35	Information and communications technicians	0	
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	4	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
42	Customer services clerks	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
44	Other clerical support workers	0	
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	6	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
52	Sales workers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
53	Personal care workers	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
54	Protective services workers	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	0	
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
82	Assemblers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
90	Elementary occupations	0	
91	Cleaners and helpers	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
94	Food preparation assistants	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	0	
-99	No answer	41	
Sysmiss		4802	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ISCO08prof_me_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=163 /-] [Invalid=4870 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
10	Managers	0	

ISCO08prof_me_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	13	8.0%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	14	8.6%
13	Production and specialised services managers	9	5.5%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	2	1.2%
20	Professionals	1	0.6%
21	Science and engineering professionals	19	11.7%
22	Health professionals	11	6.7%
23	Teaching professionals	8	4.9%
24	Business and administration professionals	13	8.0%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	7	4.3%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	9	5.5%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	1	0.6%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	6	3.7%
32	Health associate professionals	3	1.8%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	12	7.4%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	1	0.6%
35	Information and communications technicians	0	
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	3	1.8%
42	Customer services clerks	2	1.2%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	2	1.2%
44	Other clerical support workers	0	
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	4	2.5%
52	Sales workers	1	0.6%
53	Personal care workers	2	1.2%
54	Protective services workers	3	1.8%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	1	0.6%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	1	0.6%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	4	2.5%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	1	0.6%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	1	0.6%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	1	0.6%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	0	
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	1	0.6%
82	Assemblers	0	
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	3	1.8%
90	Elementary occupations	0	
91	Cleaners and helpers	2	1.2%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	1	0.6%

ISCO08prof_me_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
94	Food preparation assistants	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	0	
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		4832	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ISCO08prof_me_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27 /-] [Invalid=5006 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
10	Managers	0	
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	6	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	0	
13	Production and specialised services managers	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	0	
20	Professionals	0	
21	Science and engineering professionals	2	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
22	Health professionals	4	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
23	Teaching professionals	3	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
24	Business and administration professionals	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	0	
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	0	
30	Technicians and associate professionals	0	
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	0	
32	Health associate professionals	0	
33	Business and administration associate professionals	0	
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
35	Information and communications technicians	0	
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
42	Customer services clerks	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	0	
44	Other clerical support workers	0	
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	2	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
52	Sales workers	0	
53	Personal care workers	0	
54	Protective services workers	0	
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	0	
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	0	

# ISCO08prof_me_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	1	<div></div> 3.7%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	0	
73	Handicraft and printing workers	1	<div></div> 3.7%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	0	
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	0	
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	1	<div></div> 3.7%
82	Assemblers	1	<div></div> 3.7%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	0	
90	Elementary occupations	0	
91	Cleaners and helpers	1	<div></div> 3.7%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	0	
94	Food preparation assistants	0	
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	0	
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		5004	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f23300_f23700: Does/did main earner work in the private or public sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=161 -/] [Invalid=4872 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	86	<div></div> 53.4%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	48	<div></div> 29.8%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	8	<div></div> 5.0%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	5	<div></div> 3.1%
98	Don't know	14	<div></div> 8.7%
-99	No answer	1	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4871	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f23350_f23750: The main activity sector of main earner's company (current or past)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=204 -/] [Invalid=4829 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	41	<div></div> 20.1%
2	Retail and wholesale	5	<div></div> 2.5%
3	Healthcare and social sector	40	<div></div> 19.6%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	9	<div></div> 4.4%
5	Construction	26	<div></div> 12.7%

f23350_f23750: The main activity sector of main earner's company (current or past)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Teaching and education	14	6.9%
7	Tourism and business related services	11	5.4%
8	Financial services	24	11.8%
9	Hotel and restaurant	3	1.5%
10	Transportation	8	3.9%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	10	4.9%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	3	1.5%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	5	2.5%
14	Information and communication	5	2.5%
15	Other	0	
-99	No answer	1	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	26	
Sysmiss		4802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f28910: Total gross monthly income of R's household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4744 /-] [Invalid=289 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 2000 CHF	142	3.0%
2	2001-3000 CHF	212	4.5%
3	3001-4000 CHF	328	6.9%
4	4001-5000 CHF	464	9.8%
5	5001-6000 CHF	474	10.0%
6	6001-7000 CHF	431	9.1%
7	7001-8000 CHF	420	8.9%
8	8001-9000 CHF	390	8.2%
9	9001-10000 CHF	344	7.3%
10	10001-11000 CHF	297	6.3%
11	11001-12000 CHF	196	4.1%
12	12001-13000 CHF	184	3.9%
13	13001-14000 CHF	123	2.6%
14	14001-15000 CHF	144	3.0%
15	15001-16000 CHF	115	2.4%
16	16001-17000 CHF	79	1.7%
17	17001-18000 CHF	55	1.2%
18	18001-19000 CHF	59	1.2%
19	19001-20000 CHF	54	1.1%
20	More than 20000 CHF	233	4.9%
-99	No answer	285	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# f29100: Can R manage with this income (after fixed expenses)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4925 /-] [Invalid=108 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2594	<div><div></div></div> 52.7%
2	More or less	1772	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
3	No	494	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
98	Don't know	65	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	105	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f21200: Does R rent or own the house or apartment he/she lives in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4935 /-] [Invalid=98 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tenant/Lease	2293	<div><div></div></div> 46.5%
2	Owner	2545	<div><div></div></div> 51.6%
3	Other (housing cooperative, free housing, housing service)	97	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	97	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# class16_r: Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3973 /-] [Invalid=1060 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Large employers	49	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2	Self-employed professionals	116	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
3	Small business owners with employees	276	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
4	Small business owners without employees	36	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
5	Technical experts	280	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
6	Technicians	256	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
7	Skilled manual	256	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	Low-skilled manual	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	797	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	326	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
11	Skilled clerks	423	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
12	Unskilled clerks	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
13	Socio-cultural professionals	286	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	454	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
15	Skilled service	224	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
16	Low-skilled service	127	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
Sysmiss		1060	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# class8_r: Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3973 /-] [Invalid=1060 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	165	<div><div></div></div>	4.2%
2	Small business owners	312	<div><div></div></div>	7.9%
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	536	<div><div></div></div>	13.5%
4	Production workers	310	<div><div></div></div>	7.8%
5	(Associate) managers	1123	<div><div></div></div>	28.3%
6	Clerks	436	<div><div></div></div>	11.0%
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	740	<div><div></div></div>	18.6%
8	Service workers	351	<div><div></div></div>	8.8%
Sysmiss		1060		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# class5_r: Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3973 /-] [Invalid=1060 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Higher-grade service class	1528	<div><div></div></div>	38.5%
2	Lower-grade service class	1036	<div><div></div></div>	26.1%
3	Small business owners	312	<div><div></div></div>	7.9%
4	Skilled workers	903	<div><div></div></div>	22.7%
5	Unskilled workers	194	<div><div></div></div>	4.9%
Sysmiss		1060		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# class16_p: Partner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=895 /-] [Invalid=4138 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Large employers	30	<div><div></div></div>	3.4%
2	Self-employed professionals	33	<div><div></div></div>	3.7%
3	Small business owners with employees	64	<div><div></div></div>	7.2%
4	Small business owners without employees	10	<div><div></div></div>	1.1%
5	Technical experts	93	<div><div></div></div>	10.4%
6	Technicians	56	<div><div></div></div>	6.3%
7	Skilled manual	61	<div><div></div></div>	6.8%
8	Low-skilled manual	11	<div><div></div></div>	1.2%
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	269	<div><div></div></div>	30.1%
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	65	<div><div></div></div>	7.3%
11	Skilled clerks	72	<div><div></div></div>	8.0%
12	Unskilled clerks	0		
13	Socio-cultural professionals	55	<div><div></div></div>	6.1%
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	37	<div><div></div></div>	4.1%
15	Skilled service	22	<div><div></div></div>	2.5%
16	Low-skilled service	17	<div><div></div></div>	1.9%

# class16_p: Partner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		4138	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# class8_p: Partner's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=895 /-] [Invalid=4138 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	63	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
2	Small business owners	74	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	149	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
4	Production workers	72	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
5	(Associate) managers	334	<div><div></div></div> 37.3%
6	Clerks	72	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	92	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
8	Service workers	39	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
Sysmiss		4138	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# class5_p: Partner's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=895 /-] [Invalid=4138 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher-grade service class	480	<div><div></div></div> 53.6%
2	Lower-grade service class	158	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
3	Small business owners	74	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
4	Skilled workers	155	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
5	Unskilled workers	28	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
Sysmiss		4138	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# class16_h: Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=191 /-] [Invalid=4842 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Large employers	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	Self-employed professionals	11	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
3	Small business owners with employees	14	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4	Small business owners without employees	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
5	Technical experts	26	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
6	Technicians	7	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
7	Skilled manual	11	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
8	Low-skilled manual	4	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	47	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	12	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
11	Skilled clerks	9	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
12	Unskilled clerks	0	

class16_h: Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Socio-cultural professionals	13	6.8%
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	16	8.4%
15	Skilled service	8	4.2%
16	Low-skilled service	8	4.2%
Sysmiss		4842	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class8_h: Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=191 /-] [Invalid=4842 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	14	7.3%
2	Small business owners	16	8.4%
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	33	17.3%
4	Production workers	15	7.9%
5	(Associate) managers	59	30.9%
6	Clerks	9	4.7%
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	29	15.2%
8	Service workers	16	8.4%
Sysmiss		4842	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class5_h: Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=191 /-] [Invalid=4842 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher-grade service class	100	52.4%
2	Lower-grade service class	35	18.3%
3	Small business owners	16	8.4%
4	Skilled workers	28	14.7%
5	Unskilled workers	12	6.3%
Sysmiss		4842	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class16: Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 16 classes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4249 /-] [Invalid=784 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Large employers	55	1.3%
2	Self-employed professionals	130	3.1%
3	Small business owners with employees	294	6.9%
4	Small business owners without employees	40	0.9%
5	Technical experts	314	7.4%
6	Technicians	269	6.3%
7	Skilled manual	272	6.4%
8	Low-skilled manual	59	1.4%

# class16: Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 16 classes			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	872	<div></div> 20.5%
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	345	<div></div> 8.1%
11	Skilled clerks	438	<div></div> 10.3%
12	Unskilled clerks	13	<div></div> 0.3%
13	Socio-cultural professionals	304	<div></div> 7.2%
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	473	<div></div> 11.1%
15	Skilled service	234	<div></div> 5.5%
16	Low-skilled service	137	<div></div> 3.2%
Sysmiss		784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# class8: Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 8 classes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4249 /-] [Invalid=784 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	185	<div></div> 4.4%
2	Small business owners	334	<div></div> 7.9%
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	583	<div></div> 13.7%
4	Production workers	331	<div></div> 7.8%
5	(Associate) managers	1217	<div></div> 28.6%
6	Clerks	451	<div></div> 10.6%
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	777	<div></div> 18.3%
8	Service workers	371	<div></div> 8.7%
Sysmiss		784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# class5: Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 5 classes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4249 /-] [Invalid=784 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher-grade service class	1675	<div></div> 39.4%
2	Lower-grade service class	1087	<div></div> 25.6%
3	Small business owners	334	<div></div> 7.9%
4	Skilled workers	944	<div></div> 22.2%
5	Unskilled workers	209	<div></div> 4.9%
Sysmiss		784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	4270	<div></div> 84.8%
8201	Albania	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	9	<div></div> 0.2%

# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8205	Bulgaria	2	0.0%
8206	Denmark	2	0.0%
8207	Germany	97	1.9%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	3	0.1%
8212	France	77	1.5%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	1	0.0%
8215	United Kingdom	11	0.2%
8216	Ireland	2	0.0%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	101	2.0%
8220	Yugoslavia	8	0.2%
8222	Liechtenstein	1	0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.0%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	7	0.1%
8228	Norway	1	0.0%
8229	Austria	12	0.2%
8230	Poland	7	0.1%
8231	Portugal	30	0.6%
8232	Romania	6	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	4	0.1%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	2	0.0%
8236	Spain	27	0.5%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	2	0.0%
8239	Turkey	15	0.3%
8240	Hungary	7	0.1%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	2	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	7	0.1%
8248	Serbia	18	0.4%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	13	0.3%
8250	Croatia	8	0.2%
8251	Slovenia	1	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	17	0.3%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	1	0.0%

# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8255	North Macedonia	15	0.3%
8256	Kosovo	16	0.3%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	8	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	6	0.1%
8266	Belarus	1	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	4	0.1%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	6	0.1%
8305	Angola	2	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	1	0.0%
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	3	0.1%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	1	0.0%
8320	Kenya	2	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	5	0.1%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	1	0.0%
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	2	0.0%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	

# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	9	0.2%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	1	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	1	0.0%
8335	Niger	1	0.0%
8336	Nigeria	1	0.0%
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	1	0.0%
8343	Zambia	1	0.0%
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	1	0.0%
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	3	0.1%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	3	0.1%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	5	0.1%
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	1	0.0%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	4	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	2	0.0%

# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8406	Brazil	13	0.3%
8407	Chile	1	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	4	0.1%
8410	Ecuador	4	0.1%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	2	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%
8423	Canada	6	0.1%
8424	Colombia	12	0.2%
8425	Cuba	2	0.0%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	3	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	1	0.0%
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	9	0.2%
8433	Puerto Rico	1	0.0%
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	3	0.1%
8438	Venezuela	2	0.0%
8439	United States of America	21	0.4%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	

# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	2	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	4	0.1%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.0%
8508	China	4	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	4	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	1	0.0%
8512	Iraq	2	0.0%
8513	Iran	5	0.1%
8514	Israel	1	0.0%
8515	Japan	1	0.0%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	2	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	7	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	1	0.0%
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	3	0.1%
8534	Philippines	4	0.1%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	

# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	2	0.0%
8542	Thailand	9	0.2%
8543	Tibet	1	0.0%
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	2	0.0%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	1	0.0%
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	1	0.0%
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	4	0.1%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	

# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8618	Micronesia	0	<div></div>
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# hhsize_sample: Household size from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.677 /-] [StdDev=1.307 /-]		
# lingreg_sample: Linguistic region from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
de		3025	<div></div> 60.1%
fr		1307	<div></div> 26.0%
it		682	<div></div> 13.6%
rm		19	<div></div> 0.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
AG		225	<div></div> 4.5%

# canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
AI		109	<div></div>	2.2%
AR		102	<div></div>	2.0%
BE		432	<div></div>	8.6%
BL		159	<div></div>	3.2%
BS		78	<div></div>	1.5%
FR		115	<div></div>	2.3%
GE		672	<div></div>	13.4%
GL		85	<div></div>	1.7%
GR		140	<div></div>	2.8%
JU		73	<div></div>	1.5%
LU		175	<div></div>	3.5%
NE		108	<div></div>	2.1%
NW		98	<div></div>	1.9%
OW		109	<div></div>	2.2%
SG		166	<div></div>	3.3%
SH		92	<div></div>	1.8%
SO		138	<div></div>	2.7%
SZ		103	<div></div>	2.0%
TG		98	<div></div>	1.9%
TI		671	<div></div>	13.3%
UR		83	<div></div>	1.6%
VD		219	<div></div>	4.4%
VS		147	<div></div>	2.9%
ZG		88	<div></div>	1.7%
ZH		548	<div></div>	10.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# bigregion_sample: Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Lake Geneva (VD, VS, GE)	1038	<div></div>	20.6%
2	Middleland (BE, FR, SO, NE, JU)	866	<div></div>	17.2%
3	North-west Switzerland (BS, BL, AG)	462	<div></div>	9.2%
4	Zurich	548	<div></div>	10.9%
5	East Switzerland (GL, SH, AR, AI, SG, GR, TG)	792	<div></div>	15.7%
6	Central Switzerland (LU, UR, SZ, OW, NW, ZG)	656	<div></div>	13.0%
7	Ticino	671	<div></div>	13.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# smt3: Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Urban	3194	<div></div>	63.5%
2	Intermediate (dense suburbs and rural centres)	1066	<div></div>	21.2%

# smt3: Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Rural	773	<div></div> 15.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# smt9: Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-33] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Urban municipality of a large agglomeration	1425	<div></div> 28.3%
12	Urban municipality of a medium-sized agglomeration	1171	<div></div> 23.3%
13	Urban municipality of a small agglomeration or outside	598	<div></div> 11.9%
21	High density periurban municipality	299	<div></div> 5.9%
22	Medium density periurban municipality	539	<div></div> 10.7%
23	Low density periurban municipality	239	<div></div> 4.7%
31	Rural centre municipality	228	<div></div> 4.5%
32	Rural centrally located municipality	368	<div></div> 7.3%
33	Rural peripheral municipality	166	<div></div> 3.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# msize: Municipality size (8 categories, FSO 2022)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	> 100'000 Inhabitants	552	<div></div> 11.0%
2	50'000 - 99'999 Inhabitants	154	<div></div> 3.1%
3	20'000 - 49'999 Inhabitants	647	<div></div> 12.9%
4	10'000 - 19'999 Inhabitants	908	<div></div> 18.0%
5	5'000 - 9'999 Inhabitants	1062	<div></div> 21.1%
6	2'000 - 4'999 Inhabitants	1021	<div></div> 20.3%
7	1'000 - 1'999 Inhabitants	476	<div></div> 9.5%
8	< 1'000 Inhabitants	213	<div></div> 4.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# weight_d: Design weight: cantonal oversampling			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.101251965651625-1.9620866084225] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.611 /-]		
# weight_d_t: Weight: Design * Turnout			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.0707236647039828-3.15224008953354] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.787 /-]		
# weight_d_p: Weight: Design * Party choice			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.0951184570084094-2.49586797085087] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.622 /-]		
# weight_tot: Total weight: design * turnout * party choice			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.0664394593954728-3.15224008953354] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.791 /-]		

# weight_t_zh: Turnout weight for canton of Zurich	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.669342457-1.776943797] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.167 /-]
# weight_p_zh: Party weight for canton of Zurich	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.775-1.85625] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.0755 /-]
# weight_tot_zh: Total weight for canton of Zurich: turnout * party choice	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.518740404175-1.776943797] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.174 /-]

# weight_t_ti: Turnout weight for canton of Ticino	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.749547555-1.445131866] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.121 /-]
# weight_p_ti: Party weight for canton of Ticino	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.707698795-2.500714286] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.117 /-]
# weight_tot_ti: Total weight for canton of Ticino: turnout * party choice	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.530453901468696-1.87440427882487] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.15 /-]
# weight_t_ge: Turnout weight for canton of Geneva	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.665520953-1.49920369] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.148 /-]
# weight_p_ge: Party weight for canton of Geneva	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.675-1.192153846] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.0474 /-]
# weight_tot_ge: Total weight for canton of Geneva: turnout * party choice	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.449226643275-1.49920369] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.152 /-]