

Swiss Election Study (Selects) 2023

Panel Survey (waves 1-4)

Dataset description

Selects2023_Panel_V2.0

February 3, 2025



Selects

Swiss
Election
Study

Table of Contents

<u>Overview</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Files Description</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Selects2023_Panel_v2.0</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Variables List</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Selects2023_Panel_v2.0</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Variables Description</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Selects2023_Panel_v2.0</u>	<u>35</u>

Selects Panel Survey (waves 1 - 4)

Overview

Identification	Temp1
-----------------------	-------

Countries

Depositor(s)

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Selects2023_Panel_v2.0	
# Cases	8197
# Variable(s)	608

Variables List

Dataset contains 608 variable(s)

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	ID	Panel survey ID	continuous	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
2	W1_inst..	Start Date	discrete	character-20	8197	-	-
3	W1_intend	End Date	discrete	character-20	8197	-	-
4	W1_total..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	8197	0	-
5	W1_finis..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	8197	0	-
6	W1_mobile	Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
7	W1_langint	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
8	W1_birth..	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
9	W1_age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
10	W1_agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
11	W1_sex	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-40.0	8184	13	-
12	W1_f10000	Canton in which R currently has the right to vote	discrete	numeric-40.0	8187	10	-
13	W1_f13700	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8184	13	-
14	W1_Q04b	Most politicians do not care about the people	discrete	numeric-40.0	8135	62	-
15	W1_Q04c	Most politicians are trustworthy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8141	56	-
16	W1_Q04f	The people should make our most important policy decisions	discrete	numeric-40.0	8122	75	-
17	W1_Q04g	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful	discrete	numeric-40.0	8111	86	-
18	W1_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	7692	505	-
19	W1_f1270..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	7692	505	-
20	W1_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	7671	526	-
21	W1_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	7444	753	-
22	W1_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	7426	771	-
23	W1_f1273..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	7426	771	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
24	W1_f1274 ..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	7345	852	-
25	W1_f1274 ..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	7141	1056	-
26	W1_f14610	Evolution of the economy in past 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	8138	59	-
27	W1_f14651	Opinion on privatizing profits and socializing losses	discrete	numeric-40.0	8164	33	-
28	W1_f14652	Opinion on banking regulation	discrete	numeric-40.0	8122	75	-
29	W1_f15383	Opinion on Swiss war material re-export	discrete	numeric-40.0	8146	51	-
30	W1_f15381	Opinion on access for migrants	discrete	numeric-40.0	8168	29	-
31	W1_f15382	Opinion on gender-sensitive language	discrete	numeric-40.0	8155	42	-
32	W1_f10100	R's interest in politics	discrete	numeric-40.0	8178	19	-
33	W1_f1020 ..	Participation in national election 2019, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	8157	40	-
34	W1_f10250	Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous election (2019)	discrete	numeric-40.0	6451	1746	-
35	W1_f1030 ..	Party R voted for in national elections in 2019	discrete	numeric-8.0	6431	1766	-
36	W1_f1030 ..	Party R voted for in national elections in 2019, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	6407	1790	-
37	W1_f10800	Intention to take part in national elections in 2023	discrete	numeric-40.0	8171	26	-
38	W1_f1085 ..	Vote intention 2023, likely voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	7992	205	-
39	W1_f1085 ..	Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	7907	290	-
40	W1_f1086 ..	Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1878	6319	-
41	W1_f1086 ..	Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	1872	6325	-
42	W1_f1090 ..	Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	179	8018	-
43	W1_f1090 ..	Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	176	8021	-
44	W1_f1085 ..	Vote intention 2023, combined	discrete	numeric-8.0	8084	113	-
45	W1_f1085 ..	Vote intention 2023, combined, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	8058	139	-
46	W1_f10950	Certainty to vote for mentioned party in 2023, likely voters	discrete	numeric-40.0	6010	2187	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
47	W1_f12500	R's participation rate in federal votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	8161	36	-
48	W1_f12501	Number of times on the losing side in federal votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	7857	340	-
49	W1_f10750	R's participation in the federal vote of June 18, 2023	discrete	numeric-40.0	8174	23	-
50	W1_f10751a	Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies	discrete	numeric-40.0	5807	2390	-
51	W1_f10751b	Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security	discrete	numeric-40.0	5806	2391	-
52	W1_f10751c	Amendment to the COVID-19 Act	discrete	numeric-40.0	5809	2388	-
53	W1_f10756a	Hypothetical vote on Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies	discrete	numeric-40.0	2233	5964	-
54	W1_f10756b	Hypothetical vote on Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security	discrete	numeric-40.0	2226	5971	-
55	W1_f10756c	Hypothetical vote on Amendment to the COVID-19 Act	discrete	numeric-40.0	2227	5970	-
56	W1_f10758a	Personal importance of vote: Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies	discrete	numeric-40.0	5781	2416	-
57	W1_f10758b	Personal importance of vote: Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security	discrete	numeric-40.0	5755	2442	-
58	W1_f10758c	Personal importance of vote: Amendment to the COVID-19 Act	discrete	numeric-40.0	5750	2447	-
59	W1_f19101a	Perception of families	discrete	numeric-40.0	8039	158	-
60	W1_f19101b	Perception of workers	discrete	numeric-40.0	8027	170	-
61	W1_f19101c	Perception of rich people	discrete	numeric-40.0	8010	187	-
62	W1_f19101d	Perception of feminists	discrete	numeric-40.0	8034	163	-
63	W1_f19101e	Perception of migrants	discrete	numeric-40.0	8025	172	-
64	W1_f19101f	Perception of climate activists	discrete	numeric-40.0	8038	159	-
65	W1_f19101g	Perception of entrepreneurs	discrete	numeric-40.0	8016	181	-
66	W1_f1910_..	Party best representing families	discrete	numeric-8.0	8094	103	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
67	W1_f1910..	Party best representing workers	discrete	numeric-8.0	8100	97	-
68	W1_f1910..	Party best representing rich people	discrete	numeric-8.0	8096	101	-
69	W1_f1910..	Party best representing feminists	discrete	numeric-8.0	8063	134	-
70	W1_f1910..	Party best representing migrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	8079	118	-
71	W1_f1910..	Party best representing climate activists	discrete	numeric-8.0	8110	87	-
72	W1_f1910..	Party best representing entrepreneurs	discrete	numeric-8.0	8100	97	-
73	W1_f14000	R feels close to a party	discrete	numeric-40.0	8169	28	-
74	W1_f14050	R feels somewhat close to a party	discrete	numeric-40.0	4421	3776	-
75	W1_f1401..	Party R feels close to	discrete	numeric-8.0	6259	1938	-
76	W1_f1401..	Party R feels close to, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	6259	1938	-
77	W1_f14070	How close does R feel to this party?	discrete	numeric-40.0	6242	1955	-
78	W1_f14175a	I'm interested in what other people think of this party.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6091	2106	-
79	W1_f14175b	When other people criticize this party, I take it as a personal insult.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6092	2105	-
80	W1_f14175c	I have a lot in common with the people who support this party.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6101	2096	-
81	W1_f14175d	When I meet someone who supports this party, I feel connected to that person.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6096	2101	-
82	W1_f14175e	When other people praise this party, it makes me happy.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6092	2105	-
83	W1_f14400a	Probability to vote for FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7909	288	-
84	W1_f14400j	Probability to vote for The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7881	316	-
85	W1_f14400c	Probability to vote for SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7869	328	-
86	W1_f14400d	Probability to vote for SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7917	280	-
87	W1_f14400e	Probability to vote for GPS/PES - Green Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7859	338	-
88	W1_f14400f	Probability to vote for GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7834	363	-
89	W1_f14400h	Probability to vote for Lega - Ticino League	discrete	numeric-40.0	324	7873	-
90	W1_f14400i	Probability to vote for MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	discrete	numeric-40.0	342	7855	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
91	W1_f15130a	Left-right placement: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7985	212	-
92	W1_f15130j	Left-right placement: The Centre (former CVP/BDP)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7959	238	-
93	W1_f15130c	Left-right placement: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7965	232	-
94	W1_f15130d	Left-right placement: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7982	215	-
95	W1_f15130e	Left-right placement: GPS/PES - Green Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7956	241	-
96	W1_f15130f	Left-right placement: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7933	264	-
97	W1_f15130h	Left-right placement: Lega - Ticino League	discrete	numeric-40.0	337	7860	-
98	W1_f15130i	Left-right placement: MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	discrete	numeric-40.0	346	7851	-
99	W1_f15200	Left-right self-placement	discrete	numeric-40.0	8136	61	-
100	W1_f15310a	Personal importance of European policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8123	74	-
101	W1_f15310b	Personal importance of migration policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8098	99	-
102	W1_f15310c	Personal importance of social policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8090	107	-
103	W1_f15310d	Personal importance of environmental policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8104	93	-
104	W1_f15310e	Personal importance of economic policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8099	98	-
105	W1_f15310f	Personal importance of health policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8110	87	-
106	W1_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8039	158	-
107	W1_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8045	152	-
108	W1_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8060	137	-
109	W1_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8070	127	-
110	W1_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8046	151	-
111	W1_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8004	193	-
112	W1_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7981	216	-
113	W1_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7994	203	-
114	W1_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7974	223	-
115	W1_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8008	189	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
116	W1_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8009	188	-
117	W1_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7941	256	-
118	W1_f15340b	Own opinion on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-40.0	8112	85	-
119	W1_f15340c	Own opinion on increasing public funding for out-of-home childcare	discrete	numeric-40.0	8102	95	-
120	W1_f15340d	Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-40.0	8114	83	-
121	W1_f15340e	Own opinion on strengthening the competitiveness of the Swiss economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8101	96	-
122	W1_f15340f	Own opinion on raising the standard deductible for compulsory health insurance	discrete	numeric-40.0	8110	87	-
123	W1_f15420	Opinion on social expenses	discrete	numeric-40.0	8106	91	-
124	W1_f15425	Opinion on European integration	discrete	numeric-40.0	8136	61	-
125	W1_f15431	Opinion on EU membership	discrete	numeric-40.0	5595	2602	-
126	W1_f15435	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8091	106	-
127	W1_f15440	Opinion on chances for foreigners	discrete	numeric-40.0	8091	106	-
128	W1_f15478	Too many worries about the environment instead of costs and prices	discrete	numeric-40.0	8134	63	-
129	W1_f15475	Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality	discrete	numeric-40.0	8112	85	-
130	W1_f15480	Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	numeric-40.0	8129	68	-
131	W1_f15485	Opinion on traditions	discrete	numeric-40.0	8125	72	-
132	W1_f15815	Opinion on current political topics - minimum wage	discrete	numeric-40.0	8120	77	-
133	W1_f15811	Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age	discrete	numeric-40.0	8108	89	-
134	W1_f15816	Opinion on current political topics - foreigners' voting rights	discrete	numeric-40.0	8121	76	-
135	W1_f15817	Opinion on current political topics - equal rights for same-sex couples	discrete	numeric-40.0	8090	107	-
136	W1_f2021 ..	R's nationality at birth - Country 1	discrete	numeric-4.0	8120	77	-
137	W1_f2021 ..	R's nationality at birth - Country 2	discrete	numeric-4.0	497	7700	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
138	W1_f2021 ..	R's nationality at birth - Country 3	discrete	numeric-4.0	22	8175	-
139	W1_f20211	Parents both born in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-40.0	8136	61	-
140	W1_f2021 ..	Mother's country of birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	8103	94	-
141	W1_f2021 ..	Father's country of birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	8101	96	-
142	W1_f2076 ..	Religious denomination	discrete	numeric-8.0	8125	72	-
143	W1_f20900	Church attendance	discrete	numeric-40.0	5546	2651	-
144	W1_f2131 ..	R's highest level of education	discrete	numeric-8.0	8127	70	-
145	W1_f21400	R's current working situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	8126	71	-
146	W1_f21500	R's current position at work	discrete	numeric-40.0	4991	3206	-
147	W1_f2160 ..	R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits	discrete	numeric-8.0	4854	3343	-
148	W1_f21700	R's current working sector	discrete	numeric-40.0	4978	3219	-
149	W1_f21750	Industry in which R's current company is active	discrete	numeric-8.0	4989	3208	-
150	W1_f26100	R's previous working situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	2548	5649	-
151	W1_f24900	R's previous position at work	discrete	numeric-40.0	2075	6122	-
152	W1_f2500 ..	R's last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits	discrete	numeric-8.0	2022	6175	-
153	W1_ISCO0 ..	R's current or last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits	discrete	numeric-8.0	6785	1412	-
154	W1_f25100	R's previous working sector	discrete	numeric-40.0	2040	6157	-
155	W1_f25150	Industry in which R's previous company is active	discrete	numeric-8.0	2073	6124	-
156	W1_f28910	Gross monthly household income	discrete	numeric-40.0	7679	518	-
157	W1_f29100	Can R manage with this income (after fixed expenses)	discrete	numeric-40.0	8087	110	-
158	W1_f30021	Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting	discrete	numeric-40.0	7981	216	-
159	W1_f30022	Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate	discrete	numeric-40.0	7965	232	-
160	W1_f30023	Survey experience: the questions were understandable	discrete	numeric-40.0	7968	229	-
161	W1_f30024	Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete	discrete	numeric-40.0	7973	224	-
162	W1_hhsiz ..	Household size from FSO sample	continuous	numeric-2.0	8197	0	-
163	W1_marit ..	Marital status from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	8197	0	-
164	W1_canto ..	Canton from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-2.0	8197	0	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
165	W1_bigre ..	Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	8197	0	-
166	W1_munil ..	Municipality language from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-2.0	8197	0	-
167	W1_borne ..	Born in Switzerland from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
168	W1_smt3	Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
169	W1_smt9	Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
170	W1_class ..	Respondent's Oesch class position - 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6786	1411	-
171	W1_class ..	Respondent's Oesch class position - 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6786	1411	-
172	W1_class ..	Respondent's Oesch class position - 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6786	1411	-
173	W2_intst ..	Start Date	discrete	character-20	6077	-	-
174	W2_intend	End Date	discrete	character-20	6077	-	-
175	W2_total ..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	6077	2120	-
176	W2_finis ..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	6077	2120	-
177	W2_mobile	Mobile versus Desktop	discrete	numeric-8.2	6077	2120	-
178	W2_langint	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	6077	2120	-
179	W2_birth ..	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	6055	2142	-
180	W2_age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	6055	2142	-
181	W2_agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	6055	2142	-
182	W2_sex	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-40.0	6071	2126	-
183	W2_f13400a	Attention to political news on TV	discrete	numeric-8.0	5962	2235	-
184	W2_f13400f	Attention to political news in radio	discrete	numeric-8.0	5888	2309	-
185	W2_f13400b	Attention to political news in newspapers (print or e-paper)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5951	2246	-
186	W2_f13400c	Attention to political news in free newspapers like 20 Minuten (print or e-paper)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5915	2282	-
187	W2_f13400e	Attention to political news on online newssites (e.g., watson.ch, srf.ch)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5913	2284	-
188	W2_f13400d	Attention to political news on social media (e.g., Facebook, X)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5876	2321	-
189	W2_f13409a	Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 1st mention	discrete	numeric-4.0	5205	2992	-
190	W2_f13409b	Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted	discrete	numeric-4.0	3583	4614	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		most often in past days, 2nd mention					
191	W2_f13409c	Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 3rd mention	discrete	numeric-4.0	2044	6153	-
192	W2_f10100	R's interest in politics	discrete	numeric-40.0	6069	2128	-
193	W2_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	5809	2388	-
194	W2_f1270 ..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5809	2388	-
195	W2_f1272 ..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	5856	2341	-
196	W2_f1272 ..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5763	2434	-
197	W2_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	5655	2542	-
198	W2_f1273 ..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5655	2542	-
199	W2_f1274 ..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	5678	2519	-
200	W2_f1274 ..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5588	2609	-
201	W2_f18101a	Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower	discrete	numeric-8.0	2949	5248	-
202	W2_f18101b	Poverty attributions: Unlucky	discrete	numeric-8.0	2954	5243	-
203	W2_f18101c	Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society	discrete	numeric-8.0	2985	5212	-
204	W2_f18101d	Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society	discrete	numeric-8.0	2998	5199	-
205	W2_f18102a	Poverty attributions: Poor people can't handle money	discrete	numeric-8.0	2984	5213	-
206	W2_f18102b	Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and live on society's back	discrete	numeric-8.0	2980	5217	-
207	W2_f18102c	Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence	discrete	numeric-8.0	2987	5210	-
208	W2_f18102d	Poverty attributions: Poor people are victims of misfortunes such as illness and disability	discrete	numeric-8.0	3005	5192	-
209	W2_f18102e	Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups	discrete	numeric-8.0	2978	5219	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty					
210	W2_f18102f	Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty	discrete	numeric-8.0	2978	5219	-
211	W2_f18102g	Poverty attributions: Poverty is an inevitable consequence of the evolution of modern society	discrete	numeric-8.0	3000	5197	-
212	W2_f10160	Attention to election campaign	discrete	numeric-40.0	6066	2131	-
213	W2_f13310	Frequency of political talk with others last week (days per week)	discrete	numeric-8.0	6069	2128	-
214	W2_f10700	Did R already receive voting material?	discrete	numeric-8.0	714	7483	-
215	W2_f10701	Participation in the 2023 election	discrete	numeric-40.0	571	7626	-
216	W2_f10800	Intention to take part in 2023 national election	discrete	numeric-40.0	6004	2193	-
217	W2_f1085 ..	Vote intention 2023, likely voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	5820	2377	-
218	W2_f1085 ..	Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5769	2428	-
219	W2_f1086 ..	Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1003	7194	-
220	W2_f1086 ..	Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	997	7200	-
221	W2_f1090 ..	Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	184	8013	-
222	W2_f1090 ..	Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	182	8015	-
223	W2_f1085 ..	Vote intention 2023, combined	discrete	numeric-8.0	5956	2241	-
224	W2_f1085 ..	Vote intention 2023, combined, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5940	2257	-
225	W2_f10950	Certainty to vote in 2023 for mentioned party (likely voters)	discrete	numeric-40.0	4770	3427	-
226	W2_f1180 ..	Party R voted in national elections 2023	discrete	numeric-8.0	62	8135	-
227	W2_f1180 ..	Party R voted in national elections 2023, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	63	8134	-
228	W2_f1181 ..	Vote for or against a party/candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	59	8138	-
229	W2_f1181 ..	Party R voted against	discrete	numeric-8.0	11	8186	-
230	W2_f1181 ..	Party R voted against, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	11	8186	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
231	W2_f12010	Modification of voting list	discrete	numeric-40.0	57	8140	-
232	W2_f12020a	Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	27	8170	-
233	W2_f12020b	Did R cross out candidates?	discrete	numeric-8.0	26	8171	-
234	W2_f12020c	Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	29	8168	-
235	W2_f12030a	Panachage - FDP/PLR	discrete	numeric-8.0	20	8177	-
236	W2_f12030b	Panachage - Centre	discrete	numeric-8.0	21	8176	-
237	W2_f12030c	Panachage - SP/PS	discrete	numeric-8.0	19	8178	-
238	W2_f12030d	Panachage - SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	17	8180	-
239	W2_f12030e	Panachage - GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-8.0	18	8179	-
240	W2_f12030f	Panachage - GLP/PVL	discrete	numeric-8.0	20	8177	-
241	W2_f12030h	Panachage - Lega	discrete	numeric-8.0	1	8196	-
242	W2_f12030i	Panachage - MCG	discrete	numeric-8.0	0	8197	-
243	W2_f12030j	Panachage - CSP/PCS	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
244	W2_f12030k	Panachage - EVP/PEV	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
245	W2_f12030l	Panachage - EDU/UDF	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
246	W2_f12030m	Panachage - PdA/PdT	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
247	W2_f12030n	Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS	discrete	numeric-8.0	21	8176	-
248	W2_f12030o	Panachage - Other party/candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
249	W2_f12025	More men or women on the list after modification	discrete	numeric-40.0	30	8167	-
250	W2_f12301	Council of States: First candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	59	8138	-
251	W2_f12301p	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	59	8138	-
252	W2_f1230 ..	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	54	8143	-
253	W2_f12302	Council of States: Second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	44	8153	-
254	W2_f12302p	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	44	8153	-
255	W2_f1230 ..	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	29	8168	-
256	W2_f14177a	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2935	5262	-
257	W2_f14177b	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2929	5268	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
258	W2_f14177c	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	discrete	numeric-8.0	2908	5289	-
259	W2_f14177d	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2932	5265	-
260	W2_f14177e	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2922	5275	-
261	W2_f14177f	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/ PVL - Green Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2917	5280	-
262	W2_f14178a	Feelings towards different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2995	5202	-
263	W2_f14178b	Feelings towards different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2984	5213	-
264	W2_f14178c	Feelings towards different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	discrete	numeric-8.0	2984	5213	-
265	W2_f14178d	Feelings towards different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2982	5215	-
266	W2_f14178e	Feelings towards different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2982	5215	-
267	W2_f14178f	Feelings towards different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2976	5221	-
268	W2_f1417_..	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	2012	6185	-
269	W2_f1417_..	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC and often talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	2014	6183	-
270	W2_f1417_..	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC but rarely talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	2008	6189	-
271	W2_f1417_..	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-8.0	2010	6187	-
272	W2_f1417_..	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES and often talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	2016	6181	-
273	W2_f1417_..	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES but rarely talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	2005	6192	-
274	W2_f1417_..	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	7105	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		who votes the party with which R identifies					
275	W2_f1417..	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies and often talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	1058	7139	-
276	W2_f1417..	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies but rarely talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	1120	7077	-
277	W2_f15386a	Feelings towards people who are in favor of easier access for migrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	5995	2202	-
278	W2_f15386b	Feelings towards people who are in favor of more restrictive access for migrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	5982	2215	-
279	W2_f15387a	Feelings towards people who are in favor of using inclusive language	discrete	numeric-8.0	5979	2218	-
280	W2_f15387b	Feelings towards people who are against the use of inclusive language	discrete	numeric-8.0	5948	2249	-
281	W2_f15388a	Feelings towards people who are in favor of the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine	discrete	numeric-8.0	5971	2226	-
282	W2_f15388b	Feelings towards people who are against the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine	discrete	numeric-8.0	5945	2252	-
283	W2_f1533..	Party that is most competent with European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5955	2242	-
284	W2_f1533..	Party that is most competent with migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5960	2237	-
285	W2_f1533..	Party that is most competent with social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5970	2227	-
286	W2_f1533..	Party that is most competent with environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5974	2223	-
287	W2_f1533..	Party that is most competent with economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5966	2231	-
288	W2_f1533..	Party that is most competent with health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5920	2277	-
289	W2_f15900	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council)	discrete	numeric-40.0	6040	2157	-
290	W2_f1590..	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	6040	2157	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
291	W2_f16310	Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council	discrete	numeric-40.0	6014	2183	-
292	W2_f1631..	Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	6014	2183	-
293	W2_f16312	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893	discrete	numeric-40.0	6035	2162	-
294	W2_f1631..	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	6035	2162	-
295	W2_f16313	Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council)	discrete	numeric-40.0	6014	2183	-
296	W2_f1631..	Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	6014	2183	-
297	W2_f1631..	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Alain Berset	discrete	numeric-40.0	862	7335	-
298	W2_f1631..	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Albert RÄ¶sti	discrete	numeric-40.0	866	7331	-
299	W2_f1631..	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Elisabeth Baume-Schneider	discrete	numeric-40.0	863	7334	-
300	W2_f1631..	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Guy Parmelin	discrete	numeric-40.0	858	7339	-
301	W2_f1631..	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Ignazio Cassis	discrete	numeric-40.0	855	7342	-
302	W2_f1631..	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Karin Keller-Sutter	discrete	numeric-40.0	862	7335	-
303	W2_f1631..	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Viola Amherd	discrete	numeric-40.0	858	7339	-
304	W2_f30021	Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting	discrete	numeric-40.0	6003	2194	-
305	W2_f30022	Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate	discrete	numeric-40.0	5976	2221	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
306	W2_f30023	Survey experience: the questions were understandable	discrete	numeric-40.0	5990	2207	-
307	W2_f30024	Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete	discrete	numeric-40.0	5989	2208	-
308	W2_longw ..	Longitudinal weight, W2	continuous	numeric-4.2	8197	0	-
309	W3_intst ..	Start Date	discrete	character-20	5579	-	-
310	W3_intend	End Date	discrete	character-20	5579	-	-
311	W3_total ..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	5579	2618	-
312	W3_finis ..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	5579	2618	-
313	W3_mobile	Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop	discrete	numeric-8.0	5579	2618	-
314	W3_langint	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	5579	2618	-
315	W3_birth ..	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	5557	2640	-
316	W3_age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	5557	2640	-
317	W3_agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	5557	2640	-
318	W3_sex	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-40.0	5562	2635	-
319	W3_f12800a	Trust: Federal Council	continuous	numeric-8.0	5543	2654	-
320	W3_f12800b	Trust: Federal Assembly	continuous	numeric-8.0	5551	2646	-
321	W3_f12800c	Trust: Political parties at national level	continuous	numeric-8.0	5550	2647	-
322	W3_f12800f	Trust: Swiss National Bank	continuous	numeric-8.0	5546	2651	-
323	W3_f12800g	Trust: Justice/ Courts	continuous	numeric-8.0	5554	2643	-
324	W3_f12800h	Trust: Police	continuous	numeric-8.0	5555	2642	-
325	W3_f12800j	Trust: Scientists	continuous	numeric-8.0	5538	2659	-
326	W3_f12800k	Trust: Media	continuous	numeric-8.0	5551	2646	-
327	W3_f10100	R's interests in politics	discrete	numeric-40.0	5570	2627	-
328	W3_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	5420	2777	-
329	W3_f1270 ..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5420	2777	-
330	W3_f1272 ..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	5439	2758	-
331	W3_f1272 ..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5368	2829	-
332	W3_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	5324	2873	-
333	W3_f1273 ..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5324	2873	-
334	W3_f1274 ..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	5350	2847	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
335	W3_f1274 ..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5283	2914	-
336	W3_f11100	Participation in the 2023 election	discrete	numeric-40.0	5496	2701	-
337	W2_3_voted	Participation in the 2023 election, W2&3 combined	discrete	numeric-8.0	5643	2554	-
338	W3_f11301	Reason nonvoting: Could not decide	discrete	numeric-8.0	957	7240	-
339	W3_f11302	Reason nonvoting: Not interested in politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	971	7226	-
340	W3_f11303	Reason nonvoting: Elections too complicated	discrete	numeric-8.0	969	7228	-
341	W3_f11304	Reason non voting: Not convinced by any party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	968	7229	-
342	W3_f11305	Reason non voting: Preferred candidate had no chance of being elected	discrete	numeric-8.0	931	7266	-
343	W3_f11306	Reason non voting: Did not know candidates well enough	discrete	numeric-8.0	993	7204	-
344	W3_f11307	Reason non voting: Was prevented (e.g., illness, accident, absence)	discrete	numeric-8.0	969	7228	-
345	W3_f11308	Reason non voting: Elections have no effect on composition of government	discrete	numeric-8.0	928	7269	-
346	W3_f11309	Reason non voting: Elections do not change anything	discrete	numeric-8.0	962	7235	-
347	W3_f11310	Reason non voting: Can exert greater influence on politics with referendums	discrete	numeric-8.0	939	7258	-
348	W3_f11312	Reason non voting: Other reason	discrete	numeric-8.0	421	7776	-
349	W3_f1140 ..	Party R would have voted for, all non voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1103	7094	-
350	W3_f1140 ..	Party R would have voted for, all non voters, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	865	7332	-
351	W3_f11600	How R votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	4378	3819	-
352	W3_f11605	Moment when R sent his ballot away	discrete	numeric-40.0	4376	3821	-
353	W3_f1180 ..	Party R voted in national elections 2023	discrete	numeric-8.0	4357	3840	-
354	W3_f1180 ..	Party R voted in national elections 2023, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4380	3817	-
355	W2_3_f11 ..	Party R voted in national elections 2023, main parties, W2&3 combined	discrete	numeric-8.0	4405	3792	-
356	W3_f1181 ..	Vote for or against a party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	4284	3913	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
357	W3_f1181..	Party R voted against	discrete	numeric-8.0	699	7498	-
358	W3_f1181..	Party R voted against, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	699	7498	-
359	W3_f11900	Moment when R decided whom to vote for in National council elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4357	3840	-
360	W3_f12010	Modification of voting list	discrete	numeric-40.0	4255	3942	-
361	W3_f12020a	Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	2130	6067	-
362	W3_f12020b	Did R cross out candidates?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1933	6264	-
363	W3_f12020c	Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	2212	5985	-
364	W3_f12030a	Panachage - FDP/PLR	discrete	numeric-8.0	1569	6628	-
365	W3_f12030b	Panachage - CVP/PDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	1501	6696	-
366	W3_f12030c	Panachage - SP/PS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1388	6809	-
367	W3_f12030d	Panachage - SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	1500	6697	-
368	W3_f12030e	Panachage - GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-8.0	1604	6593	-
369	W3_f12030f	Panachage - GLP/PVL	discrete	numeric-8.0	1599	6598	-
370	W3_f12030h	Panachage - Lega	discrete	numeric-8.0	61	8136	-
371	W3_f12030i	Panachage - MCG	discrete	numeric-8.0	56	8141	-
372	W3_f12030j	Panachage - CSP/PCS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1786	6411	-
373	W3_f12030k	Panachage - EVP/PEV	discrete	numeric-8.0	1765	6432	-
374	W3_f12030l	Panachage - EDU/UDF	discrete	numeric-8.0	1779	6418	-
375	W3_f12030m	Panachage - PdA/PdT	discrete	numeric-8.0	1784	6413	-
376	W3_f12030n	Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1784	6413	-
377	W3_f12030o	Panachage - Other party/candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	1789	6408	-
378	W3_f12025	Did R vote more female/male candidates than original list	discrete	numeric-40.0	2403	5794	-
379	W3_f12340a	Did R think about voting for FDP	discrete	numeric-2.0	2962	5235	-
380	W3_f12340b	Did R think about voting for CVP	discrete	numeric-2.0	2872	5325	-
381	W3_f12340c	Did R think about voting for SP	discrete	numeric-2.0	2464	5733	-
382	W3_f12340d	Did R think about voting for SVP	discrete	numeric-2.0	2903	5294	-
383	W3_f12340e	Did R think about voting for GPS	discrete	numeric-2.0	3040	5157	-
384	W3_f12340f	Did R think about voting for GLP	discrete	numeric-2.0	3144	5053	-
385	W3_f12340h	Did R think about voting for Lega	discrete	numeric-2.0	150	8047	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
386	W3_f12340i	Did R think about voting for MCG	discrete	numeric-2.0	124	8073	-
387	W3_f12301	Council of States: First candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	4313	3884	-
388	W3_f12301p	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	4313	3884	-
389	W3_f1230 ..	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	4164	4033	-
390	W3_f12302	Council of States: Second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	3789	4408	-
391	W3_f12302p	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	3789	4408	-
392	W3_f1230 ..	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	3182	5015	-
393	W3_f13660a	Sources of information: ads/letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox	discrete	numeric-8.0	3985	4212	-
394	W3_f13660i	Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio	discrete	numeric-8.0	4193	4004	-
395	W3_f13660n	Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	4057	4140	-
396	W3_f13660d	Sources of information: party stands in the streets	discrete	numeric-8.0	3793	4404	-
397	W3_f13660j	Sources of information: discussions at work or school	discrete	numeric-8.0	3815	4382	-
398	W3_f13660e	Sources of information: political events of parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3794	4403	-
399	W3_f13660g	Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote	discrete	numeric-8.0	3855	4342	-
400	W3_f13660k	Sources of information: discussions with family and friends	discrete	numeric-8.0	4129	4068	-
401	W3_f13660f	Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	3837	4360	-
402	W3_f13660c	Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	3835	4362	-
403	W3_f13660l	Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election	discrete	numeric-8.0	3828	4369	-
404	W3_f13660b	Sources of information: posters in the street	discrete	numeric-8.0	3817	4380	-
405	W3_f13660m	Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	3780	4417	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
406	W3_f12610a	Signed a petition, popular initiative or a referendum (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5535	2662	-
407	W3_f12610b	Contacted a politician or civil servant to express your views (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5373	2824	-
408	W3_f12610d	Contacted or appeared in the media to express my views (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5355	2842	-
409	W3_f12610g	Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5396	2801	-
410	W3_f12610i	Took part in a demonstration (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5239	2958	-
411	W3_n13_0..	Union or employee organization: Member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5405	2792	-
412	W3_n13_0..	Union or employee association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5405	2792	-
413	W3_n13_0..	Union or employee association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5405	2792	-
414	W3_n13_0..	Union or employee association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5405	2792	-
415	W3_n13_0..	Economic, employers' or farmers' association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
416	W3_n13_0..	Economic, employers' or farmers' association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
417	W3_n13_0..	Economic, employers' or farmers' association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
418	W3_n13_0..	Economic, employers' or farmers' association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
419	W3_n13_0..	Consumer organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5275	2922	-
420	W3_n13_0..	Consumer organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5275	2922	-
421	W3_n13_0..	Consumer organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5275	2922	-
422	W3_n13_0..	Consumer organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5275	2922	-
423	W3_n13_0..	Human rights or peace organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5366	2831	-
424	W3_n13_0..	Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5366	2831	-
425	W3_n13_0..	Human rights or peace organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5366	2831	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
426	W3_n13_0..	Human rights or peace organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5366	2831	-
427	W3_n13_0..	Environmental organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5322	2875	-
428	W3_n13_0..	Environmental organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5322	2875	-
429	W3_n13_0..	Environmental organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5322	2875	-
430	W3_n13_0..	Environmental organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5322	2875	-
431	W3_n13_0..	Religious or church association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
432	W3_n13_0..	Religious or church association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
433	W3_n13_0..	Religious or church association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
434	W3_n13_0..	Religious or church association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
435	W3_n13_0..	Political party: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5285	2912	-
436	W3_n13_0..	Political party: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5285	2912	-
437	W3_n13_0..	Political party: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5285	2912	-
438	W3_n13_0..	Political party: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5285	2912	-
439	W3_f1408..	Member of a political party	discrete	numeric-8.0	353	7844	-
440	W3_f1408..	Member of a political party, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	353	7844	-
441	W3_f13759a	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: defense and security policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5534	2663	-
442	W3_f13759b	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5541	2656	-
443	W3_f13759c	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5538	2659	-
444	W3_f13759d	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: migration and asylum policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5543	2654	-
445	W3_f13759e	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: gender equality policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5535	2662	-
446	W3_f13760a	Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: ambitious	discrete	numeric-8.0	5507	2690	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
447	W3_f13760b	Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: consensus-building	discrete	numeric-8.0	5499	2698	-
448	W3_f13760c	Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: assertive	discrete	numeric-8.0	5510	2687	-
449	W3_f13760d	Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: compassionate	discrete	numeric-8.0	5506	2691	-
450	W3_f19101a	Perception of families	discrete	numeric-40.0	5525	2672	-
451	W3_f19101b	Perception of workers	discrete	numeric-40.0	5508	2689	-
452	W3_f19101c	Perception of rich people	discrete	numeric-40.0	5507	2690	-
453	W3_f19101d	Perception of feminists	discrete	numeric-40.0	5513	2684	-
454	W3_f19101e	Perception of migrants	discrete	numeric-40.0	5507	2690	-
455	W3_f19101f	Perception of climate activists	discrete	numeric-40.0	5522	2675	-
456	W3_f19101g	Perception of entrepreneurs	discrete	numeric-40.0	5519	2678	-
457	W3_f1910..	Party best representing families	discrete	numeric-8.0	5544	2653	-
458	W3_f1910..	Party best representing workers	discrete	numeric-8.0	5542	2655	-
459	W3_f1910..	Party best representing rich people	discrete	numeric-8.0	5527	2670	-
460	W3_f1910..	Party best representing feminists	discrete	numeric-8.0	5527	2670	-
461	W3_f1910..	Party best representing migrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	5544	2653	-
462	W3_f1910..	Party best representing climate activists	discrete	numeric-8.0	5532	2665	-
463	W3_f1910..	Party best representing entrepreneurs	discrete	numeric-8.0	5544	2653	-
464	W3_f18101a	Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower	discrete	numeric-40.0	2747	5450	-
465	W3_f18101b	Poverty attributions: Unlucky	discrete	numeric-40.0	2753	5444	-
466	W3_f18101c	Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society	discrete	numeric-40.0	2767	5430	-
467	W3_f18101d	Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society	discrete	numeric-40.0	2771	5426	-
468	W3_f18102a	Poverty attributions: Poor people can't handle money	discrete	numeric-40.0	2754	5443	-
469	W3_f18102b	Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and to live on society's back	discrete	numeric-40.0	2753	5444	-
470	W3_f18102c	Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence	discrete	numeric-40.0	2756	5441	-
471	W3_f18102d	Poverty attributions: Poor people are victims of	discrete	numeric-40.0	2761	5436	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		misfortunes such as illness and disability					
472	W3_f18102e	Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty	discrete	numeric-40.0	2745	5452	-
473	W3_f18102f	Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty	discrete	numeric-40.0	2755	5442	-
474	W3_f18102g	Poverty attributions: Poverty is an inevitable consequence of the evolution of modern society	discrete	numeric-40.0	2762	5435	-
475	W3_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5498	2699	-
476	W3_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5479	2718	-
477	W3_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5516	2681	-
478	W3_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5509	2688	-
479	W3_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5517	2680	-
480	W3_f1533 ..	Party that is most competent with health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5466	2731	-
481	W3_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5503	2694	-
482	W3_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5505	2692	-
483	W3_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5507	2690	-
484	W3_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5518	2679	-
485	W3_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5511	2686	-
486	W3_f1532 ..	Party which cares most about health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5493	2704	-
487	W3_f30021	R's feedback: Interest of the questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	5545	2652	-
488	W3_f30022	R's feedback: Questionnaire's lenght	discrete	numeric-40.0	5539	2658	-
489	W3_f30023	R's feedback: Questionnaire's comprehension	discrete	numeric-40.0	5531	2666	-
490	W3_f30024	R's feedback: Easy-to-answer questions	discrete	numeric-40.0	5543	2654	-
491	weight_t	Weight: Turnout, W2&W3 combined	continuous	numeric-8.5	8197	0	-
492	weight_p	Weight: Party choice, W2&W3 combined	continuous	numeric-8.5	8197	0	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
493	weight_tot	Weight: Combined weight_t*weight_p, W2&W3 combined	continuous	numeric-8.5	8197	0	-
494	W3_longw ..	Longitudinal weight, W3	continuous	numeric-4.2	8197	0	-
495	W4_inst ..	Start Date	discrete	character-20	4919	-	-
496	W4_intend	End Date	discrete	character-20	4919	-	-
497	W4_total ..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	4919	3278	-
498	W4_finis ..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	4919	3278	-
499	W4_mobile	Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop	discrete	numeric-8.0	4919	3278	-
500	W4_langint	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	4919	3278	-
501	W4_birth ..	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	4896	3301	-
502	W4_age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	4896	3301	-
503	W4_agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	4896	3301	-
504	W4_sex	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-40.0	4897	3300	-
505	W4_f10000	Canton in which R currently has the right to vote	discrete	numeric-40.0	4910	3287	-
506	W4_f13700	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-40.0	4912	3285	-
507	W4_Q04b	Most politicians do not care about the people	discrete	numeric-40.0	4909	3288	-
508	W4_Q04f	The people should make the most important policy decisions	discrete	numeric-40.0	4909	3288	-
509	W4_Q04h	Ordinary people share common values and interests	discrete	numeric-40.0	4877	3320	-
510	W4_f10100	R's interest in politics	discrete	numeric-40.0	4904	3293	-
511	W4_f12800a	Trust: Federal Council	continuous	numeric-8.0	4901	3296	-
512	W4_f12800b	Trust: Federal Assembly	continuous	numeric-8.0	4908	3289	-
513	W4_f12800c	Trust: Political parties at national level	continuous	numeric-8.0	4905	3292	-
514	W4_f12800f	Trust: Swiss National Bank	continuous	numeric-8.0	4897	3300	-
515	W4_f12800g	Trust: Justice/ Courts	continuous	numeric-8.0	4904	3293	-
516	W4_f12800h	Trust: Police	continuous	numeric-8.0	4904	3293	-
517	W4_f12800j	Trust: Scientists	continuous	numeric-8.0	4901	3296	-
518	W4_f12800k	Trust: Media	continuous	numeric-8.0	4903	3294	-
519	W4_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4734	3463	-
520	W4_f1270 ..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4734	3463	-
521	W4_f1272 ..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	4758	3439	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
522	W4_f1272 ..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	3513	-
523	W4_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	4630	3567	-
524	W4_f1273 ..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4630	3567	-
525	W4_f1274 ..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	4656	3541	-
526	W4_f1274 ..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4592	3605	-
527	W4_f1085 ..	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday	discrete	numeric-8.0	4397	3800	-
528	W4_f1085 ..	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4397	3800	-
529	W4_f10951	Certainty to vote for mentioned party	discrete	numeric-40.0	4391	3806	-
530	W4_f10750	Participation in federal vote of September 22, 2024	discrete	numeric-40.0	4904	3293	-
531	W4_f10751a	Vote choice: Biodiversity Initiative	discrete	numeric-40.0	4011	4186	-
532	W4_f10751b	Vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform	discrete	numeric-40.0	4000	4197	-
533	W4_f10756a	Hypothetical vote choice: Biodiversity Initiative	discrete	numeric-40.0	874	7323	-
534	W4_f10756b	Hypothetical vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform	discrete	numeric-40.0	865	7332	-
535	W4_f10758a	Personal importance of vote: Biodiversity Initiative	continuous	numeric-40.0	4004	4193	-
536	W4_f10758b	Personal importance of vote: Occupational Pension Reform	continuous	numeric-40.0	3968	4229	-
537	W4_f10790	Participation in federal vote on the 13th OASI pension payment (March 2024)	discrete	numeric-40.0	4909	3288	-
538	W4_f10791	Vote choice: Introduction of a 13th OASI pension payment	discrete	numeric-40.0	4446	3751	-
539	W4_f10798	Personal importance of vote: 13th OASI pension payment	continuous	numeric-40.0	4441	3756	-
540	W4_f14181a	Feelings towards people who voted for a 13th OASI pension payment	continuous	numeric-8.0	4867	3330	-
541	W4_f14181b	Feelings towards people who voted against a 13th OASI pension payment	continuous	numeric-8.0	4857	3340	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
542	W4_f14182a	Feelings towards people who voted for the biodiversity initiative	continuous	numeric-8.0	4847	3350	-
543	W4_f14182b	Feelings towards people who voted against the biodiversity initiative	continuous	numeric-8.0	4838	3359	-
544	W4_f10770	Participation in federal vote of June 9, 2024	discrete	numeric-40.0	4905	3292	-
545	W4_f10771a	Vote choice: Premium Relief Initiative	discrete	numeric-8.0	3608	4589	-
546	W4_f10771b	Vote choice: Electricity Law	discrete	numeric-8.0	3624	4573	-
547	W4_f10771c	Vote choice: Initiative â€œFor freedom and physical integrityâ€™	discrete	numeric-8.0	3611	4586	-
548	W4_f10759a	Personal importance of vote: Premium Relief Initiative	continuous	numeric-40.0	3549	4648	-
549	W4_f10759b	Personal importance of vote: Electricity Law	continuous	numeric-40.0	3555	4642	-
550	W4_f10759c	Personal importance of vote: Initiative â€œFor freedom and physical integrityâ€™	continuous	numeric-40.0	3502	4695	-
551	W4_f13740	Satisfaction with 2023 election outcome	discrete	numeric-40.0	4769	3428	-
552	W4_f13741	Satisfaction with own vote choice in 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	3885	4312	-
553	W4_f13742	Satisfaction with own decision not to participate in 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	851	7346	-
554	W4_f13743a	Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4814	3383	-
555	W4_f13743b	Satisfaction with performance of Centre since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4760	3437	-
556	W4_f13743c	Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4803	3394	-
557	W4_f13743d	Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4806	3391	-
558	W4_f13743e	Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4806	3391	-
559	W4_f13743f	Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4795	3402	-
560	W4_f15200	Left-right self-placement	continuous	numeric-40.0	4914	3283	-
561	W4_f14177a	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4854	3343	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
562	W4_f14177b	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4847	3350	-
563	W4_f14177c	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre	continuous	numeric-8.0	4819	3378	-
564	W4_f14177d	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4844	3353	-
565	W4_f14177e	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4842	3355	-
566	W4_f14177f	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/ PVL - Green Liberal Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4838	3359	-
567	W4_f15656	Expected national economic situation next year	discrete	numeric-40.0	4908	3289	-
568	W4_f29100	Making ends meet with income	discrete	numeric-40.0	4897	3300	-
569	W4_f29120a	With prices rising, worried that my standard of living will deteriorate	discrete	numeric-40.0	4903	3294	-
570	W4_f29120b	Economic situation of people like me doesn't get enough political attention	discrete	numeric-40.0	4894	3303	-
571	W4_f15475	Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality	discrete	numeric-40.0	4905	3292	-
572	W4_f15435	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	4896	3301	-
573	W4_f15478	Too many worries about the environment instead of costs and prices	discrete	numeric-40.0	4907	3290	-
574	W4_f15815	Opinion on current political topics - minimum wage	discrete	numeric-40.0	4907	3290	-
575	W4_f15811	Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age	discrete	numeric-40.0	4890	3307	-
576	W4_f15816	Opinion on current political topics - foreigners' voting rights	discrete	numeric-40.0	4898	3299	-
577	W4_f15817	Opinion on current political topics - equal rights for same-sex couples	discrete	numeric-40.0	4894	3303	-
578	W4_E11	Current marital status	discrete	numeric-40.0	4911	3286	-
579	W4_f20500	Household size, including R	continuous	numeric-40.2	4906	3291	-
580	W4_f20710	Number of children under 18 in the household	continuous	numeric-40.2	3959	4238	-
581	W4_f2131..	R's highest level of education	discrete	numeric-4.0	4894	3303	-
582	W4_f21300	Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)	discrete	numeric-40.0	4902	3295	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
583	W4_f21400	R's current working situation, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	1115	7082	-
584	W4_f21500	R's current position at work, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	689	7508	-
585	W4_f21550	Number of persons employed at company, including R, if change in professional situation	continuous	numeric-8.0	64	8133	-
586	W4_f2160..	R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-8.0	717	7480	-
587	W4_f21700	R's current working sector, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	728	7469	-
588	W4_f21750	Industry in which R's current company is active, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-4.0	724	7473	-
589	W4_f2155..	Asked to self-employed from W1 if no change in professional situation: number of persons employed at company, including R	continuous	numeric-8.0	220	7977	-
590	W4_f28910	Gross monthly household income	continuous	numeric-40.0	4816	3381	-
591	W4_f30021	Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting	discrete	numeric-40.0	4887	3310	-
592	W4_f30022	Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate	discrete	numeric-40.0	4874	3323	-
593	W4_f30023	Survey experience: the questions were understandable	discrete	numeric-40.0	4872	3325	-
594	W4_f30024	Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete	discrete	numeric-40.0	4877	3320	-
595	W4_marit..	Marital status from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	4919	3278	-
596	W4_canto..	Canton from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-2.0	4919	3278	-
597	W4_bigre..	Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	4919	3278	-
598	W4_munil..	Municipality language from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-2.0	4919	3278	-
599	W4_smt3	Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4919	3278	-
600	W4_smt9	Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4919	3278	-
601	W1_4_f21..	R's current working situation, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-2.0	8129	68	-
602	W1_4_f21..	R's current position at work, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-2.0	5042	3155	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
603	W1_4_f21..	Number of persons employed at company, including R, proxy for W1 updated with W4	continuous	numeric-8.2	284	7913	-
604	W1_4_f21..	R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-8.0	4978	3219	-
605	W1_4_f21..	R's current working sector, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-2.0	5097	3100	-
606	W1_4_f21..	Industry in which R's current company is active, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-40.0	5076	3121	-
607	W4_longw..	Longitudinal weight, W4	continuous	numeric-4.2	8197	0	-
608	W4_weigh..	Cross-sectional weight, party choice if elections next Sunday, W4	continuous	numeric-8.2	8197	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 608 variable(s)

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

ID: Panel survey ID

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10001-18197] [Missing= *]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14099 /-] [StdDev=2366.414 /-]

W1_intstart: Start Date

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing= *]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8197 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-06-18		2	0.0%
2023-06-19		1270	15.5%
2023-06-20		733	8.9%
2023-06-21		459	5.6%
2023-06-22		328	4.0%
2023-06-23		251	3.1%
2023-06-24		167	2.0%
2023-06-25		203	2.5%
2023-06-26		232	2.8%
2023-06-27		142	1.7%
2023-06-28		100	1.2%
2023-06-29		103	1.3%
2023-06-30		790	9.6%
2023-07-01		411	5.0%
2023-07-02		429	5.2%
2023-07-03		348	4.2%
2023-07-04		197	2.4%
2023-07-05		156	1.9%
2023-07-06		112	1.4%
2023-07-07		79	1.0%
2023-07-08		51	0.6%
2023-07-09		80	1.0%
2023-07-10		436	5.3%
2023-07-11		259	3.2%
2023-07-12		170	2.1%
2023-07-13		100	1.2%
2023-07-14		79	1.0%
2023-07-15		37	0.5%
2023-07-16		62	0.8%
2023-07-17		48	0.6%
2023-07-18		49	0.6%
2023-07-19		32	0.4%
2023-07-20		24	0.3%
2023-07-21		21	0.3%
2023-07-22		12	0.1%
2023-07-23		24	0.3%
2023-07-24		25	0.3%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_intstart: Start Date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-07-25		21	0.3%
2023-07-26		21	0.3%
2023-07-27		14	0.2%
2023-07-28		7	0.1%
2023-07-29		15	0.2%
2023-07-30		13	0.2%
2023-07-31		9	0.1%
2023-08-01		12	0.1%
2023-08-02		9	0.1%
2023-08-03		10	0.1%
2023-08-04		4	0.0%
2023-08-05		6	0.1%
2023-08-06		11	0.1%
2023-08-07		5	0.1%
2023-08-08		4	0.0%
2023-08-09		3	0.0%
2023-08-10		3	0.0%
2023-08-12		2	0.0%
2023-08-13		3	0.0%
2023-08-14		2	0.0%
2023-08-15		1	0.0%
2023-08-16		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_intend: End Date

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing= *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-06-19		1232	15.0%
2023-06-20		725	8.8%
2023-06-21		453	5.5%
2023-06-22		323	3.9%
2023-06-23		253	3.1%
2023-06-24		164	2.0%
2023-06-25		204	2.5%
2023-06-26		226	2.8%
2023-06-27		144	1.8%
2023-06-28		102	1.2%
2023-06-29		102	1.2%
2023-06-30		790	9.6%
2023-07-01		415	5.1%
2023-07-02		427	5.2%
2023-07-03		353	4.3%
2023-07-04		195	2.4%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_intend: End Date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-07-05		165	2.0%
2023-07-06		113	1.4%
2023-07-07		79	1.0%
2023-07-08		47	0.6%
2023-07-09		78	1.0%
2023-07-10		451	5.5%
2023-07-11		269	3.3%
2023-07-12		178	2.2%
2023-07-13		101	1.2%
2023-07-14		80	1.0%
2023-07-15		41	0.5%
2023-07-16		63	0.8%
2023-07-17		49	0.6%
2023-07-18		50	0.6%
2023-07-19		33	0.4%
2023-07-20		23	0.3%
2023-07-21		20	0.2%
2023-07-22		13	0.2%
2023-07-23		25	0.3%
2023-07-24		25	0.3%
2023-07-25		22	0.3%
2023-07-26		23	0.3%
2023-07-27		15	0.2%
2023-07-28		7	0.1%
2023-07-29		15	0.2%
2023-07-30		15	0.2%
2023-07-31		11	0.1%
2023-08-01		12	0.1%
2023-08-02		9	0.1%
2023-08-03		11	0.1%
2023-08-04		4	0.0%
2023-08-05		5	0.1%
2023-08-06		12	0.1%
2023-08-07		5	0.1%
2023-08-08		4	0.0%
2023-08-09		3	0.0%
2023-08-10		3	0.0%
2023-08-12		2	0.0%
2023-08-13		3	0.0%
2023-08-14		3	0.0%
2023-08-15		1	0.0%
2023-08-16		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_totaltime: Total interview length in minutes

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6.85-52981.85] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=284.239 /-] [StdDev=2256.636 /-]


W1_finished: Completion of questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]




W1_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]



W1_langint: Language of interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]



W1_birthyear: Year of birth


Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1927-2005] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1972.32 /-] [StdDev=17.813 /-]

W1_age: Age in years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.68 /-] [StdDev=17.813 /-]

W1_agecat: Age in categories

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]



File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_agecat: Age in categories

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	65-74	1297	15.8%
7	75+	790	9.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_sex: Sex according to official documents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8184 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	4133	50.5%
2	Female	4051	49.5%
-99	No answer	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8187 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	1482	18.1%
2	Bern	1112	13.6%
3	Lucerne	445	5.4%
4	Uri	31	0.4%
5	Schwyz	137	1.7%
6	Obwalden	38	0.5%
7	Nidwalden	52	0.6%
8	Glarus	32	0.4%
9	Zug	123	1.5%
10	Fribourg	300	3.7%
11	Solothurn	265	3.2%
12	Basel-Stadt	157	1.9%
13	Basel-Landschaft	263	3.2%
14	Schaffhausen	75	0.9%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	62	0.8%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	16	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	515	6.3%
18	Graubünden	199	2.4%
19	Aargau	622	7.6%
20	Thurgau	251	3.1%
21	Ticino	362	4.4%
22	Vaud	743	9.1%
23	Valais	328	4.0%
24	Neuchâtel	158	1.9%
25	Geneva	358	4.4%
26	Jura	61	0.7%
-99	No answer	10	

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f13700: Satisfaction with democracy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8184 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	176	2.2%
2	Not very satisfied	888	10.9%
3	Fairly satisfied	5098	62.3%
4	Very satisfied	2022	24.7%
-99	No answer	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_Q04b: Most politicians do not care about the people

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8135 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	665	8.2%
2	Rather disagree	3336	41.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1454	17.9%
4	Rather agree	2347	28.9%
5	Strongly agree	333	4.1%
-99	No answer	62	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_Q04c: Most politicians are trustworthy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8141 /-] [Invalid=56 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	348	4.3%
2	Rather disagree	1785	21.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1963	24.1%
4	Rather agree	3764	46.2%
5	Strongly agree	281	3.5%
-99	No answer	56	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_Q04f: The people should make our most important policy decisions

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8122 /-] [Invalid=75 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	361	4.4%
2	Rather disagree	1738	21.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1287	15.8%
4	Rather agree	2871	35.3%
5	Strongly agree	1865	23.0%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_Q04f: The people should make our most important policy decisions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	75	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_Q04g: Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8111 /-] [Invalid=86 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	848	10.5%
2	Rather disagree	2400	29.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1804	22.2%
4	Rather agree	2343	28.9%
5	Strongly agree	716	8.8%
-99	No answer	86	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7692 /-] [Invalid=505 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	127	1.7%
2	Other old age provisions	196	2.5%
3	Unemployment	9	0.1%
4	Poverty	60	0.8%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	238	3.1%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	701	9.1%
7	Drugs, addictions	1	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	429	5.6%
9	Extremism	16	0.2%
10	Finances	24	0.3%
11	Refugees	133	1.7%
12	Xenophobia, racism	10	0.1%
13	Health system	207	2.7%
14	Globalisation	3	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	3	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	22	0.3%
17	Climate	1398	18.2%
18	Consociationalism	1	0.0%
19	Health insurance	134	1.7%
20	Crime	13	0.2%
21	Neutrality	472	6.1%
22	Politicians	116	1.5%
23	Political style	6	0.1%
24	Political system	120	1.6%
25	Direct democracy	10	0.1%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27	Party polarisation	58	0.8%
28	Security	20	0.3%
29	Social security	11	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	63	0.8%
31	Taxes	16	0.2%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	450	5.9%
34	Economic development	13	0.2%
35	Media	19	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	26	0.3%
37	Economic/financial crisis	14	0.2%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	2	0.0%
39	Nuclear energy	2	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	17	0.2%
41	Banks, banking crisis	24	0.3%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	92	1.2%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	5	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	41	0.5%
45	Economy, economic policy	43	0.6%
46	Education	36	0.5%
47	Social policy, welfare state	113	1.5%
48	Family policy, upbringing	19	0.2%
49	Labour market, workplace security	94	1.2%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	80	1.0%
51	Fear, politics of fear	2	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	0	
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	66	0.9%
54	Vandalism, violence	4	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	19	0.2%
59	Work for young people	1	0.0%
60	Overpopulation	136	1.8%
61	Energy, energy policy	365	4.7%
62	Cross-border commuters	14	0.2%
63	Swiss People's Party	15	0.2%
64	Equal rights	73	0.9%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	39	0.5%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	30	0.4%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	11	0.1%
68	Society in general	35	0.5%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	99	1.3%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	50	0.7%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	17	0.2%
73	Army	23	0.3%
74	Aging population, demographics	60	0.8%
75	Bureaucracy	16	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	10	0.1%
80	Shift to the right	18	0.2%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	6	0.1%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	40	0.5%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	220	2.9%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	18	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	63	0.8%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	2	0.0%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	0	
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	2	0.0%
96	Other	72	0.9%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	16	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	32	0.4%
102	Capitalism	26	0.3%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	5	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	9	0.1%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	12	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	8	0.1%
108	Data protection	2	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	40	0.5%
110	Wars, peace policy	214	2.8%
111	Islam, islamism	0	
112	Corruption, cronyism	21	0.3%
113	Populism	19	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	3	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	6	0.1%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	22	0.3%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	14	0.2%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	1	0.0%
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	4	0.1%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	4	0.1%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	418	
98	Don't know	86	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7692 /-] [Invalid=505 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	17	0.2%
2	Economy	347	4.5%
3	Education & culture	39	0.5%
4	Environment & energy	2251	29.3%
5	EU, Europe	502	6.5%
6	Finances & taxes	41	0.5%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	106	1.4%
8	Immigration & asylum	1118	14.5%
9	Foreign policy & defense	857	11.1%
10	Labour market	119	1.5%
11	Law & order	55	0.7%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	607	7.9%
13	Public health	342	4.4%
14	Public services & infrastructure	89	1.2%
15	Regions & national cohesion	114	1.5%
16	Social security/welfare state	937	12.2%
17	Other problems	112	1.5%
18	No problem	16	0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	23	0.3%
-99	No answer	418	
98	Don't know	86	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7671 /-] [Invalid=526 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	877	11.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	695	9.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1048	13.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1305	17.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	862	11.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	681	8.9%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80	Lega - Ticino League	22	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	33	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	51	0.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	45	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	83	1.1%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	5	0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	4	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	2	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	10	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	5	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	202	2.6%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	42	0.5%
405	All parties together, all	129	1.7%
406	Left parties (several)	4	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	6	0.1%
995	Other answer	25	0.3%
998	Don't know	1525	19.9%
-99	No answer	526	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7444 /-] [Invalid=753 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	877	11.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	695	9.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1048	14.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1305	17.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	862	11.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	681	9.1%
350	Other party, several/all parties	451	6.1%
998	Don't know	1525	20.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	753	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7426 /-] [Invalid=771 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	211	2.8%
2	Other old age provisions	288	3.9%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Unemployment	15	0.2%
4	Poverty	87	1.2%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	171	2.3%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	498	6.7%
7	Drugs, addictions	3	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	278	3.7%
9	Extremism	6	0.1%
10	Finances	75	1.0%
11	Refugees	130	1.8%
12	Xenophobia, racism	9	0.1%
13	Health system	324	4.4%
14	Globalisation	9	0.1%
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	53	0.7%
17	Climate	788	10.6%
18	Consociationalism	1	0.0%
19	Health insurance	218	2.9%
20	Crime	22	0.3%
21	Neutrality	188	2.5%
22	Politicians	77	1.0%
23	Political style	1	0.0%
24	Political system	137	1.8%
25	Direct democracy	7	0.1%
27	Party polarisation	35	0.5%
28	Security	54	0.7%
29	Social security	12	0.2%
30	Social inequalities	96	1.3%
31	Taxes	63	0.8%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	448	6.0%
34	Economic development	12	0.2%
35	Media	12	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	32	0.4%
37	Economic/financial crisis	7	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	3	0.0%
39	Nuclear energy	3	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	60	0.8%
41	Banks, banking crisis	33	0.4%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	49	0.7%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	15	0.2%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	17	0.2%
45	Economy, economic policy	100	1.3%
46	Education	89	1.2%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47	Social policy, welfare state	201	2.7%
48	Family policy, upbringing	46	0.6%
49	Labour market, workplace security	143	1.9%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	160	2.2%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	2	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	43	0.6%
54	Vandalism, violence	12	0.2%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	8	0.1%
59	Work for young people	5	0.1%
60	Overpopulation	101	1.4%
61	Energy, energy policy	413	5.6%
62	Cross-border commuters	10	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	12	0.2%
64	Equal rights	165	2.2%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	46	0.6%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	25	0.3%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	10	0.1%
68	Society in general	21	0.3%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	91	1.2%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	126	1.7%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	23	0.3%
73	Army	38	0.5%
74	Aging population, demographics	67	0.9%
75	Bureaucracy	26	0.4%
78	Bank secrecy	2	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	20	0.3%
80	Shift to the right	24	0.3%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	12	0.2%
82	Invalidity insurance	1	0.0%
84	Independence, autonomy	24	0.3%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	257	3.5%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	12	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	49	0.7%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	9	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	4	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	9	0.1%
96	Other	75	1.0%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	12	0.2%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	20	0.3%
102	Capitalism	33	0.4%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	10	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	11	0.1%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	18	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	16	0.2%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	36	0.5%
110	Wars, peace policy	162	2.2%
111	Islam, islamism	0	
112	Corruption, cronyism	9	0.1%
113	Populism	12	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	2	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	14	0.2%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	8	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	20	0.3%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	2	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	7	0.1%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	4	0.1%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	663	
98	Don't know	107	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7426 /-] [Invalid=771 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	23	0.3%
2	Economy	463	6.2%
3	Education & culture	91	1.2%
4	Environment & energy	1709	23.0%
5	EU, Europe	319	4.3%
6	Finances & taxes	140	1.9%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	183	2.5%
8	Immigration & asylum	871	11.7%
9	Foreign policy & defense	514	6.9%
10	Labour market	183	2.5%
11	Law & order	103	1.4%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Political system, parties & politicians	478	6.4%
13	Public health	545	7.3%
14	Public services & infrastructure	226	3.0%
15	Regions & national cohesion	112	1.5%
16	Social security/welfare state	1325	17.8%
17	Other problems	96	1.3%
18	No problem	12	0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	33	0.4%
-99	No answer	663	
98	Don't know	107	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7345 /-] [Invalid=852 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	807	11.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	671	9.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1405	19.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1012	13.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	584	8.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	517	7.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	23	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	12	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	31	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	48	0.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	41	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	88	1.2%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	4	0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	3	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	9	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	1	0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	157	2.1%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	37	0.5%
405	All parties together, all	125	1.7%
406	Left parties (several)	5	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	3	0.0%
995	Other answer	47	0.6%
998	Don't know	1713	23.3%
-99	No answer	799	

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		53	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7141 /-] [Invalid=1056 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	807	11.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	671	9.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1405	19.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1012	14.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	584	8.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	517	7.2%
350	Other party, several/all parties	432	6.0%
998	Don't know	1713	24.0%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	1003	
Sysmiss		53	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14610: Evolution of the economy in past 12 months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8138 /-] [Invalid=59 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worsened significantly	1263	15.5%
2	Worsened slightly	3747	46.0%
3	Remained the same	1760	21.6%
4	Improved slightly	1222	15.0%
5	Improved significantly	146	1.8%
-99	No answer	59	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14651: Opinion on privatizing profits and socializing losses

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8164 /-] [Invalid=33 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	203	2.5%
2	Rather disagree	667	8.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	870	10.7%
4	Rather agree	3471	42.5%
5	Strongly agree	2953	36.2%
-99	No answer	33	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14652: Opinion on banking regulation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
-------------	--

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f14652: Opinion on banking regulation

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8122 /-] [Invalid=75 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	247	3.0%
2	Rather disagree	810	10.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	940	11.6%
4	Rather agree	3410	42.0%
5	Strongly agree	2715	33.4%
-99	No answer	75	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15383: Opinion on Swiss war material re-export

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8146 /-] [Invalid=51 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	In favor of the re-export of Swiss war material	1720	21.1%
1		272	3.3%
2		523	6.4%
3		672	8.2%
4		402	4.9%
5		908	11.1%
6		240	2.9%
7		384	4.7%
8		484	5.9%
9		198	2.4%
10	Against the re-export of Swiss war material	2343	28.8%
-99	No answer	51	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15381: Opinion on access for migrants

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8168 /-] [Invalid=29 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	In favor of easier access	577	7.1%
1		136	1.7%
2		433	5.3%
3		655	8.0%
4		512	6.3%
5		1687	20.7%
6		517	6.3%
7		701	8.6%
8		775	9.5%
9		314	3.8%
10	In favor of more restrictive access	1861	22.8%
-99	No answer	29	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f15382: Opinion on gender-sensitive language

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8155 /-] [Invalid=42 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	In favor of gender-neutral language	1313	16.1%
1		207	2.5%
2		307	3.8%
3		356	4.4%
4		260	3.2%
5		1216	14.9%
6		223	2.7%
7		387	4.7%
8		566	6.9%
9		289	3.5%
10	Against gender-neutral language	3031	37.2%
-99	No answer	42	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10100: R's interest in politics

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8178 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	338	4.1%
2	Rather not interested	1962	24.0%
3	Rather interested	4138	50.6%
4	Very interested	1740	21.3%
-99	No answer	19	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10200rec: Participation in national election 2019, recoded

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8157 /-] [Invalid=40 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	1130	13.9%
1	Voted	6449	79.1%
2	No voting right: other	163	2.0%
3	No voting right: too young	415	5.1%
-99	No answer	40	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10250: Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous election (2019)

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6451 /-] [Invalid=1746 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	1215	18.8%
2	Bern	883	13.7%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f10250: Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous election (2019)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Lucerne	356	5.5%
4	Uri	24	0.4%
5	Schwyz	121	1.9%
6	Obwalden	28	0.4%
7	Nidwalden	40	0.6%
8	Glarus	23	0.4%
9	Zug	105	1.6%
10	Fribourg	241	3.7%
11	Solothurn	215	3.3%
12	Basel-Stadt	133	2.1%
13	Basel-Landschaft	216	3.3%
14	Schaffhausen	69	1.1%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	51	0.8%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	12	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	399	6.2%
18	Graubünden	156	2.4%
19	Aargau	474	7.3%
20	Thurgau	207	3.2%
21	Ticino	275	4.3%
22	Vaud	535	8.3%
23	Valais	259	4.0%
24	Neuchâtel	106	1.6%
25	Geneva	263	4.1%
26	Jura	45	0.7%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		1739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10300rec: Party R voted for in national elections in 2019

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6431 /-] [Invalid=1766 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1025	15.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	490	7.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1369	21.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1082	16.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	604	9.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	615	9.6%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	88	1.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	36	0.6%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	19	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	24	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	103	1.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	33	0.5%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f10300rec: Party R voted for in national elections in 2019

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	51	0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄG - Ensemble Ä	5	0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	5	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	5	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	3	0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	93	1.4%
403	Would vote blank	4	0.1%
404	Several parties	48	0.7%
405	All parties together, all	4	0.1%
406	Left parties (several)	7	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	13	0.2%
995	Other answer	20	0.3%
998	Don't know	685	10.7%
-99	No answer	27	
Sysmiss		1739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10300main6: Party R voted for in national elections in 2019, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6407 /-] [Invalid=1790 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1025	16.0%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	490	7.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1369	21.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1082	16.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	604	9.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	615	9.6%
350	Other party, several/all parties	537	8.4%
998	Don't know	685	10.7%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	51	
Sysmiss		1739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10800: Intention to take part in national elections in 2023

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8171 /-] [Invalid=26 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly not	179	2.2%
2	Rather not	544	6.7%
3	Rather yes	1686	20.6%
4	Certainly yes	5762	70.5%
-99	No answer	26	

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f10800: Intention to take part in national elections in 2023

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10850rec: Vote intention 2023, likely voters

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing= *]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7992 /-] [Invalid=205 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	61	0.8%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	939	11.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	658	8.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1304	16.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1202	15.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	690	8.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	702	8.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	31	0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	21	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	31	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	103	1.3%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	39	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	75	0.9%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	3	0.0%
201	PP - Pirate Party	10	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	19	0.2%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	5	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	111	1.4%
403	Would vote blank	4	0.1%
404	Several parties	51	0.6%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	8	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	6	0.1%
995	Other answer	20	0.3%
998	Don't know	1897	23.7%
Sysmiss		205	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10850main6: Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7907 /-] [Invalid=290 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	939	11.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	658	8.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1304	16.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1202	15.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	690	8.7%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f10850main6: Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	702	8.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	515	6.5%
998	Don't know	1897	24.0%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	85	
Sysmiss		205	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10860rec: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing= *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1878 /-] [Invalid=6319 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	4	0.2%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	216	11.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	206	11.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	184	9.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	203	10.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	109	5.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	157	8.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	11	0.6%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	17	0.9%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	9	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	16	0.9%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	1	0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	3	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.2%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	17	0.9%
403	Would vote blank	1	0.1%
404	Several parties	6	0.3%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	4	0.2%
995	Other answer	1	0.1%
998	Don't know	702	37.4%
Sysmiss		6319	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10860main6: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1872 /-] [Invalid=6325 /-]

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f10860main6: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	216	11.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	206	11.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	184	9.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	203	10.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	109	5.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	157	8.4%
350	Other party, several/all parties	95	5.1%
998	Don't know	702	37.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	6	
Sysmiss		6319	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10900rec: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing= *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=179 /-] [Invalid=8018 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	3	1.7%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	5.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	9	5.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	12	6.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	28	15.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	8	4.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	8	4.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	1	0.6%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.6%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	2	1.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	2	1.1%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	3	1.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.6%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	23	12.8%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	2	1.1%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	65	36.3%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f10900rec: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8018	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10900main6: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=176 /-] [Invalid=8021 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	5.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	9	5.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	12	6.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	28	15.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	8	4.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	8	4.5%
350	Other party, several/all parties	36	20.5%
998	Don't know	65	36.9%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	3	
Sysmiss		8018	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f1085_90: Vote intention 2023, combined

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8084 /-] [Invalid=113 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1165	14.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	873	10.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1500	18.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1433	17.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	807	10.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	867	10.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	34	0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	28	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	44	0.5%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	122	1.5%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	49	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	94	1.2%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	4	0.0%
201	PP - Pirate Party	13	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	2	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	22	0.3%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	5	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	151	1.9%
403	Would vote blank	5	0.1%
404	Several parties	59	0.7%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f1085_90: Vote intention 2023, combined

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	8	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	10	0.1%
995	Other answer	21	0.3%
998	Don't know	767	9.5%
-99	No answer	68	
Sysmiss		45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f1085_90main6: Vote intention 2023, combined, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8058 /-] [Invalid=139 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1165	14.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	873	10.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1500	18.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1433	17.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	807	10.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	867	10.8%
350	Other party, several/all parties	646	8.0%
998	Don't know	767	9.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	94	
Sysmiss		45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10950: Certainty to vote for mentioned party in 2023, likely voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6010 /-] [Invalid=2187 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very uncertain	82	1.4%
2	Rather uncertain	393	6.5%
3	Rather certain	3251	54.1%
4	Very certain	2284	38.0%
-99	No answer	46	
Sysmiss		2141	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12500: R's participation rate in federal votes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8161 /-] [Invalid=36 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 out of 10	258	3.2%
1		88	1.1%
2		178	2.2%

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f12500: R's participation rate in federal votes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		243	3.0%
4		194	2.4%
5		586	7.2%
6		343	4.2%
7		611	7.5%
8		981	12.0%
9		869	10.6%
10	10 out of 10	3810	46.7%
-99	No answer	36	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12501: Number of times on the losing side in federal votes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7857 /-] [Invalid=340 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 out of 10	58	0.7%
1		154	2.0%
2		562	7.2%
3		1414	18.0%
4		1236	15.7%
5		2370	30.2%
6		794	10.1%
7		659	8.4%
8		397	5.1%
9		113	1.4%
10	10 out of 10	100	1.3%
-99	No answer	82	
Sysmiss		258	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10750: R's participation in the federal vote of June 18, 2023

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8174 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Didn't participate	2150	26.3%
1	Participated	5881	71.9%
8	Don't know	143	1.7%
-99	No answer	23	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10751a: Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5807 /-] [Invalid=2390 /-]

File : Selects2023_Panel_v2.0

W1_f10751a: Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	4557	78.5%
2	No (rejected)	1010	17.4%
3	Blank	240	4.1%
8	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	74	
Sysmiss		2316	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f10751b: Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5806 /-] [Invalid=2391 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes (accepted)	4130	71.1%	
2	No (rejected)	1603	27.6%	
3	Blank	73	1.3%	
8	Don't know	0		
-99	No answer	75		
Sysmiss		2316		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f10751c: Amendment to the COVID-19 Act				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5809 /-] [Invalid=2388 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes (accepted)	4079	70.2%	
2	No (rejected)	1629	28.0%	
3	Blank	101	1.7%	
8	Don't know	0		
-99	No answer	72		
Sysmiss		2316		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f10756a: Hypothetical vote on Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2233 /-] [Invalid=5964 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Certainly against	113	5.1%	
2	Rather against	186	8.3%	
3	Rather in favor	514	23.0%	
4	Certainly in favor	593	26.6%	
8	Don't know	827	37.0%	
-99	No answer	60		
Sysmiss		5904		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f10756b: Hypothetical vote on Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2226 /-] [Invalid=5971 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Certainly against	248	11.1%	
2	Rather against	258	11.6%	
3	Rather in favor	523	23.5%	
4	Certainly in favor	761	34.2%	
8	Don't know	436	19.6%	

W1_f10756b: Hypothetical vote on Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	67	
Sysmiss		5904	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10756c: Hypothetical vote on Amendment to the COVID-19 Act

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2227 /-] [Invalid=5970 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly against	480	21.6%
2	Rather against	284	12.8%
3	Rather in favor	379	17.0%
4	Certainly in favor	581	26.1%
8	Don't know	503	22.6%
-99	No answer	66	
Sysmiss		5904	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10758a: Personal importance of vote: Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5781 /-] [Invalid=2416 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	211	3.6%
1		101	1.7%
2		296	5.1%
3		283	4.9%
4		254	4.4%
5		1054	18.2%
6		525	9.1%
7		691	12.0%
8		962	16.6%
9		314	5.4%
10	Very important	1090	18.9%
-99	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		2390	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5755 /-] [Invalid=2442 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	76	1.3%
1		29	0.5%
2		83	1.4%

W1_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		71	1.2%
4		96	1.7%
5		456	7.9%
6		247	4.3%
7		431	7.5%
8		857	14.9%
9		541	9.4%
10	Very important	2868	49.8%
-99	No answer	51	
Sysmiss		2391	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10758c: Personal importance of vote: Amendment to the COVID-19 Act

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5750/-] [Invalid=2447/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	393	6.8%
1		186	3.2%
2		361	6.3%
3		270	4.7%
4		262	4.6%
5		957	16.6%
6		415	7.2%
7		517	9.0%
8		714	12.4%
9		333	5.8%
10	Very important	1342	23.3%
-99	No answer	59	
Sysmiss		2388	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.


W1_f19101a: Perception of families

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8039/-] [Invalid=158/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	28	0.3%
1		21	0.3%
2		57	0.7%
3		92	1.1%
4		120	1.5%
5		782	9.7%
6		447	5.6%
7		869	10.8%
8		1653	20.6%
9		1159	14.4%


# W1_f19101a: Perception of families						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
10	Very likeable	2811	35.0%			
-99	No answer	158				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_f19101b: Perception of workers						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8027 /-] [Invalid=170 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Not at all likeable	34	0.4%			
1		22	0.3%			
2		30	0.4%			
3		72	0.9%			
4		109	1.4%			
5		853	10.6%			
6		573	7.1%			
7		1081	13.5%			
8		1882	23.4%			
9		1194	14.9%			
10	Very likeable	2177	27.1%			
-99	No answer	170				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_f19101c: Perception of rich people						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8010 /-] [Invalid=187 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Not at all likeable	736	9.2%			
1		519	6.5%			
2		843	10.5%			
3		887	11.1%			
4		761	9.5%			
5		2222	27.7%			
6		627	7.8%			
7		608	7.6%			
8		497	6.2%			
9		132	1.6%			
10	Very likeable	178	2.2%			
-99	No answer	187				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_f19101d: Perception of feminists						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8034 /-] [Invalid=163 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Not at all likeable	1403	17.5%			
1		618	7.7%			

W1_f19101d: Perception of feminists




Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f19101e: Perception of migrants



Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f19101f: Perception of climate activists



# W1_f19101f: Perception of climate activists						
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
# W1_f19101g: Perception of entrepreneurs						
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]					
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8016/-] [Invalid=181/-]					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Not at all likeable	134	1.7%			
1		93	1.2%			
2		270	3.4%			
3		357	4.5%			
4		433	5.4%			
5		1689	21.1%			
6		944	11.8%			
7		1237	15.4%			
8		1453	18.1%			
9		568	7.1%			
10	Very likeable	838	10.5%			
-99	No answer	181				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
# W1_f19102arec: Party best representing families						
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]					
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8094/-] [Invalid=103/-]					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	400	4.9%			
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	2588	32.0%			
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2733	33.8%			
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	674	8.3%			
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	139	1.7%			
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	155	1.9%			
80	Lega - Ticino League	29	0.4%			
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	20	0.2%			
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	12	0.1%			
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	25	0.3%			
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	51	0.6%			
350	Other party	0				
402	No party/ rather persons	35	0.4%			
403	Would vote blank	0				
404	Several parties	20	0.2%			
405	All parties together, all	4	0.0%			
406	Left parties (several)	3	0.0%			
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%			
995	Other answer	1	0.0%			
998	Don't know	1204	14.9%			
-99	No answer	103				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						

# W1_f19102brec: Party best representing workers				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8100 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	501	6.2%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	673	8.3%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4024	49.7%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1182	14.6%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	49	0.6%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	146	1.8%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	30	0.4%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	35	0.4%	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	114	1.4%	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	8	0.1%	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	10	0.1%	
350	Other party	0		
402	No party/ rather persons	55	0.7%	
403	Would vote blank	0		
404	Several parties	8	0.1%	
405	All parties together, all	2	0.0%	
406	Left parties (several)	2	0.0%	
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%	
995	Other answer	6	0.1%	
998	Don't know	1254	15.5%	
-99	No answer	97		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f19102crec: Party best representing rich people				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8096 /-] [Invalid=101 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	3657	45.2%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	219	2.7%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	187	2.3%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	2518	31.1%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	35	0.4%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	55	0.7%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	15	0.2%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	13	0.2%	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	0.0%	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	0.0%	
350	Other party	0		
402	No party/ rather persons	8	0.1%	
403	Would vote blank	0		
404	Several parties	5	0.1%	
405	All parties together, all	23	0.3%	

W1_f19102crec: Party best representing rich people

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	19	0.2%
995	Other answer	2	0.0%
998	Don't know	1336	16.5%
-99	No answer	101	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f19102drec: Party best representing feminists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8063 /-] [Invalid=134 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	234	2.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	109	1.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4189	52.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	108	1.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	909	11.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	325	4.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	6	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	38	0.5%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	18	0.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.0%
405	All parties together, all	2	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	17	0.2%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	2098	26.0%
-99	No answer	134	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f19102erec: Party best representing migrants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8079 /-] [Invalid=118 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	220	2.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	341	4.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	5127	63.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	240	3.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	453	5.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	203	2.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.0%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	0.1%

W1_f19102erec: Party best representing migrants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	46	0.6%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	21	0.3%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	24	0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	8	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	3	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	14	0.2%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	1	0.0%
998	Don't know	1365	16.9%
-99	No answer	118	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f19102frec: Party best representing climate activists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8110 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	69	0.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	57	0.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	347	4.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	123	1.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	5823	71.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	701	8.6%
80	Lega - Ticino League	5	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	19	0.2%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	3	0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	24	0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.0%
405	All parties together, all	2	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	9	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	923	11.4%
-99	No answer	87	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f19102grec: Party best representing entrepreneurs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8100 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]

W1_f19102grec: Party best representing entrepreneurs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	4448	54.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	371	4.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	187	2.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1666	20.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	37	0.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	155	1.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	16	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	13	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	3	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	1	0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	9	0.1%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	5	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	1	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	18	0.2%
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	1167	14.4%
-99	No answer	97	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14000: R feels close to a party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<-/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8169 /-] [Invalid=28 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4445	54.4%
1	Yes	3724	45.6%
-99	No answer	28	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14050: R feels somewhat close to a party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<-/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4421 /-] [Invalid=3776 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1853	41.9%
1	Yes	2568	58.1%
-99	No answer	24	
Sysmiss		3752	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14010rec: Party R feels close to

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*<-/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6259 /-] [Invalid=1938 /-]

W1_f14010rec: Party R feels close to

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1000	16.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	636	10.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1533	24.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1285	20.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	642	10.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	694	11.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	45	0.7%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	16	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	27	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	108	1.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	48	0.8%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	32	0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	62	1.0%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	131	2.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	0	
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		1905	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14010main6: Party R feels close to, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*⁻99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6259 /-] [Invalid=1938 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1000	16.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	636	10.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1533	24.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1285	20.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	642	10.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	694	11.1%
350	Other party, several/all parties	469	7.5%
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	33	
Sysmiss		1905	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14070: How close does R feel to this party?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6242 /-] [Invalid=1955 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not very close	2321	37.2%
2	Rather close	3332	53.4%
3	Very close	589	9.4%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		1938	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14175a: I'm interested in what other people think of this party.

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6091 /-] [Invalid=2106 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1156	19.0%
2	Rather disagree	1782	29.3%
3	Rather agree	2250	36.9%
4	Strongly agree	661	10.9%
8	Don't know	242	4.0%
-99	No answer	37	
Sysmiss		2069	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14175b: When other people criticize this party, I take it as a personal insult.

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6092 /-] [Invalid=2105 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2857	46.9%
2	Rather disagree	2203	36.2%
3	Rather agree	751	12.3%
4	Strongly agree	115	1.9%
8	Don't know	166	2.7%
-99	No answer	36	
Sysmiss		2069	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14175c: I have a lot in common with the people who support this party.

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6101 /-] [Invalid=2096 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	286	4.7%
2	Rather disagree	764	12.5%
3	Rather agree	3564	58.4%
4	Strongly agree	1006	16.5%
8	Don't know	481	7.9%
-99	No answer	27	

W1_f14175c: I have a lot in common with the people who support this party.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2069	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14175d: When I meet someone who supports this party, I feel connected to that person.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6096 /-] [Invalid=2101 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	788	12.9%
2	Rather disagree	1265	20.8%
3	Rather agree	2976	48.8%
4	Strongly agree	672	11.0%
8	Don't know	395	6.5%
-99	No answer	32	
Sysmiss		2069	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14175e: When other people praise this party, it makes me happy.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6092 /-] [Invalid=2105 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	721	11.8%
2	Rather disagree	1045	17.2%
3	Rather agree	2867	47.1%
4	Strongly agree	1029	16.9%
8	Don't know	430	7.1%
-99	No answer	36	
Sysmiss		2069	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14400a: Probability to vote for FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7909 /-] [Invalid=288 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1424	18.0%
1		450	5.7%
2		636	8.0%
3		538	6.8%
4		440	5.6%
5		1303	16.5%
6		563	7.1%
7		668	8.4%
8		812	10.3%
9		343	4.3%
10	Very high probability	732	9.3%
-99	No answer	288	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f14400j: Probability to vote for The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7881 /-] [Invalid=316 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Very low probability	1181	15.0%	
1		348	4.4%	
2		576	7.3%	
3		566	7.2%	
4		625	7.9%	
5		1490	18.9%	
6		717	9.1%	
7		775	9.8%	
8		805	10.2%	
9		330	4.2%	
10	Very high probability	468	5.9%	
-99	No answer	316		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f14400c: Probability to vote for SP/PS - Social Democratic Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7869 /-] [Invalid=328 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Very low probability	1389	17.7%	
1		518	6.6%	
2		560	7.1%	
3		477	6.1%	
4		437	5.6%	
5		986	12.5%	
6		515	6.5%	
7		568	7.2%	
8		769	9.8%	
9		468	5.9%	
10	Very high probability	1182	15.0%	
-99	No answer	328		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f14400d: Probability to vote for SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7917 /-] [Invalid=280 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Very low probability	3001	37.9%	
1		594	7.5%	
2		492	6.2%	
3		348	4.4%	
4		309	3.9%	
5		815	10.3%	
6		361	4.6%	

# W1_f14400d: Probability to vote for SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
7		411	5.2%	
8		534	6.7%	
9		323	4.1%	
10	Very high probability	729	9.2%	
-99	No answer	280		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f14400e: Probability to vote for GPS/PES - Green Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7859 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0		Very low probability	1754	22.3%
1			582	7.4%
2			588	7.5%
3			547	7.0%
4			439	5.6%
5			959	12.2%
6			510	6.5%
7			551	7.0%
8			680	8.7%
9			400	5.1%
10		Very high probability	849	10.8%
-99		No answer	338	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f14400f: Probability to vote for GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7834 /-] [Invalid=363 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0		Very low probability	1528	19.5%
1			497	6.3%
2			610	7.8%
3			587	7.5%
4			516	6.6%
5			1203	15.4%
6			602	7.7%
7			706	9.0%
8			704	9.0%
9			411	5.2%
10		Very high probability	470	6.0%
-99		No answer	363	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f14400h: Probability to vote for Lega - Ticino League				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=324 /-] [Invalid=7873 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
7			5.2%	

W1_f14400h: Probability to vote for Lega - Ticino League

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	111	34.3%
1		19	5.9%
2		24	7.4%
3		17	5.2%
4		14	4.3%
5		32	9.9%
6		13	4.0%
7		22	6.8%
8		23	7.1%
9		12	3.7%
10	Very high probability	37	11.4%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		7835	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14400i: Probability to vote for MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=342 /-] [Invalid=7855 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	119	34.8%
1		20	5.8%
2		23	6.7%
3		26	7.6%
4		20	5.8%
5		46	13.5%
6		13	3.8%
7		14	4.1%
8		26	7.6%
9		12	3.5%
10	Very high probability	23	6.7%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		7839	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15130a: Left-right placement: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7985 /-] [Invalid=212 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	141	1.8%
1		52	0.7%
2		130	1.6%
3		222	2.8%
4		265	3.3%
5		1019	12.8%
6		813	10.2%

# W1_f15130a: Left-right placement: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
7		1441		18.0%
8		1854		23.2%
9		704		8.8%
10	Right	720		9.0%
98	Don't know	624		7.8%
-99	No answer	212		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15130j: Left-right placement: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7959 /-] [Invalid=238 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0	Left	85		1.1%
1		36		0.5%
2		100		1.3%
3		175		2.2%
4		454		5.7%
5		3420		43.0%
6		1387		17.4%
7		913		11.5%
8		514		6.5%
9		141		1.8%
10	Right	168		2.1%
98	Don't know	566		7.1%
-99	No answer	238		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15130c: Left-right placement: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7965 /-] [Invalid=232 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0	Left	2638		33.1%
1		1591		20.0%
2		1521		19.1%
3		687		8.6%
4		332		4.2%
5		315		4.0%
6		120		1.5%
7		72		0.9%
8		86		1.1%
9		47		0.6%
10	Right	68		0.9%
98	Don't know	488		6.1%
-99	No answer	232		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15130d: Left-right placement: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7982 /-] [Invalid=215 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Left	113	1.4%	
1		43	0.5%	
2		43	0.5%	
3		45	0.6%	
4		63	0.8%	
5		326	4.1%	
6		134	1.7%	
7		193	2.4%	
8		584	7.3%	
9		1186	14.9%	
10	Right	3861	48.4%	
98	Don't know	1391	17.4%	
-99	No answer	215		

<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# W1_f15130e: Left-right placement: GPS/PES - Green Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7956 /-] [Invalid=241 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Left	1869	23.5%	
1		1538	19.3%	
2		1565	19.7%	
3		1017	12.8%	
4		498	6.3%	
5		544	6.8%	
6		131	1.6%	
7		66	0.8%	
8		74	0.9%	
9		44	0.6%	
10	Right	50	0.6%	
98	Don't know	560	7.0%	
-99	No answer	241		

<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# W1_f15130f: Left-right placement: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7933 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Left	664	8.4%	
1		544	6.9%	
2		806	10.2%	
3		983	12.4%	
4		1139	14.4%	

W1_f15130f: Left-right placement: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		1349	17.0%
6		703	8.9%
7		524	6.6%
8		352	4.4%
9		120	1.5%
10	Right	100	1.3%
98	Don't know	649	8.2%
-99	No answer	264	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15130h: Left-right placement: Lega - Ticino League

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=337 /-] [Invalid=7860 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	5	1.5%
1		5	1.5%
2		2	0.6%
3		3	0.9%
4		3	0.9%
5		28	8.3%
6		8	2.4%
7		21	6.2%
8		33	9.8%
9		58	17.2%
10	Right	114	33.8%
98	Don't know	57	16.9%
-99	No answer	25	
Sysmiss		7835	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15130i: Left-right placement: MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=346 /-] [Invalid=7851 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	3	0.9%
1		4	1.2%
2		5	1.4%
3		6	1.7%
4		3	0.9%
5		33	9.5%
6		21	6.1%
7		32	9.2%
8		32	9.2%
9		37	10.7%
10	Right	103	29.8%
98	Don't know	67	19.4%

# W1_f15130i: Left-right placement: MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	12				
Sysmiss		7839				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_f15200: Left-right self-placement						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8136 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Left	322	4.0%			
1		305	3.7%			
2		668	8.2%			
3		924	11.4%			
4		703	8.6%			
5		1431	17.6%			
6		861	10.6%			
7		1029	12.6%			
8		929	11.4%			
9		218	2.7%			
10	Right	225	2.8%			
98	Don't know	521	6.4%			
-99	No answer	61				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_f15310a: Personal importance of European policy						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8123 /-] [Invalid=74 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Rather not important	898	11.1%			
2	Rather important	3280	40.4%			
3	Very important	2906	35.8%			
4	Extremely important	1039	12.8%			
-99	No answer	74				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						

# W1_f15310b: Personal importance of migration policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8098 /-] [Invalid=99 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Rather not important	442	5.5%	
2	Rather important	2751	34.0%	
3	Very important	3496	43.2%	
4	Extremely important	1409	17.4%	
-99	No answer	99		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15310c: Personal importance of social policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8090 /-] [Invalid=107 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Rather not important	325	4.0%	
2	Rather important	2312	28.6%	
3	Very important	3707	45.8%	
4	Extremely important	1746	21.6%	
-99	No answer	107		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15310d: Personal importance of environmental policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8104 /-] [Invalid=93 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Rather not important	457	5.6%	
2	Rather important	1923	23.7%	
3	Very important	3027	37.4%	
4	Extremely important	2697	33.3%	
-99	No answer	93		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15310e: Personal importance of economic policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8099 /-] [Invalid=98 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Rather not important	517	6.4%	
2	Rather important	2715	33.5%	
3	Very important	3611	44.6%	
4	Extremely important	1256	15.5%	
-99	No answer	98		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15310f: Personal importance of health policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8110 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	

W1_f15310f: Personal importance of health policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rather not important	152	1.9%
2	Rather important	1434	17.7%
3	Very important	3854	47.5%
4	Extremely important	2670	32.9%
-99	No answer	87	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15320arec: Party which cares most about European policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8039 /-] [Invalid=158 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1934	24.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	646	8.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1316	16.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1408	17.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	71	0.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	254	3.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	14	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	49	0.6%
998	Don't know	2341	29.1%
-99	No answer, no party	158	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15320brec: Party which cares most about migration policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8045 /-] [Invalid=152 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	188	2.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	239	3.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2782	34.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	2957	36.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	183	2.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	95	1.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	27	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	21	0.3%
350	Other party, all/several parties	54	0.7%
998	Don't know	1499	18.6%
-99	No answer, no party	152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15320crec: Party which cares most about social policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8060 /-] [Invalid=137 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	167	2.1%

W1_f15320crec: Party which cares most about social policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	492	6.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	5760	71.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	239	3.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	85	1.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	79	1.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	12	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	63	0.8%
998	Don't know	1156	14.3%
-99	No answer, no party	137	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15320drec: Party which cares most about environmental policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8070 /-] [Invalid=127 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	93	1.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	81	1.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	174	2.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	157	1.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	5722	70.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	875	10.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.0%
350	Other party, all/several parties	44	0.5%
998	Don't know	916	11.4%
-99	No answer, no party	127	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15320erec: Party which cares most about economic policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8046 /-] [Invalid=151 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	4577	56.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	321	4.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	274	3.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1318	16.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	34	0.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	123	1.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	13	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	12	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	42	0.5%
998	Don't know	1332	16.6%
-99	No answer, no party	151	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15320freq: Party which cares most about health policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8004 /-] [Invalid=193 /-]		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party		528	6.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)		1653	20.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party		3064	38.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party		298	3.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party		108	1.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party		120	1.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League		13	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement		10	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties		58	0.7%
998	Don't know		2152	26.9%
-99	No answer, no party		193	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15330arec: Party that is most competent with European policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7981 /-] [Invalid=216 /-]		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party		1841	23.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)		875	11.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party		922	11.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party		1046	13.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party		92	1.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party		341	4.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League		14	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement		5	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties		35	0.4%
998	Don't know		2810	35.2%
-99	No answer, no party		214	
Sysmiss			2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7994 /-] [Invalid=203 /-]		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party		487	6.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)		856	10.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party		2249	28.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party		1578	19.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party		178	2.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party		212	2.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League		22	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement		9	0.1%

# W1_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
350	Other party, all/several parties	60	0.8%	
998	Don't know	2343		29.3%
-99	No answer, no party	202		
Sysmiss		1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15330crec: Party that is most competent with social policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7974 /-] [Invalid=223 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	362	4.5%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	959	12.0%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4175		52.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	392	4.9%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	101	1.3%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	186	2.3%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	11	0.1%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	0.1%	
350	Other party, all/several parties	63	0.8%	
998	Don't know	1714		21.5%
-99	No answer, no party	221		
Sysmiss		2		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15330drec: Party that is most competent with environmental policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8008 /-] [Invalid=189 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	317	4.0%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	279	3.5%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	267	3.3%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	401	5.0%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	3458		43.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1586		19.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	6	0.1%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.0%	
350	Other party, all/several parties	38	0.5%	
998	Don't know	1655		20.7%
-99	No answer, no party	188		
Sysmiss		1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15330erec: Party that is most competent with economic policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8009 /-] [Invalid=188 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	

W1_f15330erec: Party that is most competent with economic policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	3286	41.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	525	6.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	568	7.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1014	12.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	78	1.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	401	5.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	39	0.5%
998	Don't know	2083	26.0%
-99	No answer, no party	185	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15330frec: Party that is most competent with health policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*<99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7941 /-] [Invalid=256 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	598	7.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1586	20.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2359	29.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	393	4.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	109	1.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	180	2.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	13	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	53	0.7%
998	Don't know	2639	33.2%
-99	No answer, no party	253	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*<99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8112 /-] [Invalid=85 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	642	7.9%
2	Rather against	1628	20.1%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1674	20.6%
4	Rather in favor	2534	31.2%
5	Strongly in favor	1634	20.1%
-99	No answer	70	
Sysmiss		15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15340c: Own opinion on increasing public funding for out-of-home childcare

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8102 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	597	7.4%
2	Rather against	1404	17.3%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1543	19.0%
4	Rather in favor	2933	36.2%
5	Strongly in favor	1625	20.1%
-99	No answer	80	
Sysmiss		15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15340d: Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection in Switzerland

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8114 /-] [Invalid=83 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	214	2.6%
2	Rather against	542	6.7%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1269	15.6%
4	Rather in favor	3016	37.2%
5	Strongly in favor	3073	37.9%
-99	No answer	68	
Sysmiss		15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15340e: Own opinion on strengthening the competitiveness of the Swiss economy

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8101 /-] [Invalid=96 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	76	0.9%
2	Rather against	374	4.6%
3	Neither in favor nor against	2025	25.0%
4	Rather in favor	3819	47.1%
5	Strongly in favor	1807	22.3%
-99	No answer	81	
Sysmiss		15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15340f: Own opinion on raising the standard deductible for compulsory health insurance

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8110 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	2284	28.2%
2	Rather against	2393	29.5%
3	Neither in favor nor against	2147	26.5%
4	Rather in favor	980	12.1%

# W1_f15340f: Own opinion on raising the standard deductible for compulsory health insurance				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
5	Strongly in favor	306	3.8%	
-99	No answer	72		
Sysmiss		15		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# W1_f15420: Opinion on social expenses				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8106 /-] [Invalid=91 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly in favor of a reduction	493	6.1%	
2	Rather in favor of a reduction	1238	15.3%	
3	Neither nor	2938	36.2%	
4	Rather in favor of an increase	2720	33.6%	
5	Strongly in favor of an increase	717	8.8%	
-99	No answer	76		
Sysmiss		15		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# W1_f15425: Opinion on European integration				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8136 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly in favor of closer cooperation with the EU	1368	16.8%	
2	Rather in favor of closer cooperation with the EU	2735	33.6%	
3	Neither nor	1501	18.4%	
4	Rather in favor of reinforcing independence	1651	20.3%	
5	Strongly in favor of reinforcing independence	881	10.8%	
-99	No answer	40		
Sysmiss		21		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# W1_f15431: Opinion on EU membership				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5595 /-] [Invalid=2602 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly against	1255	22.4%	
2	Rather against	1806	32.3%	
3	Neither in favor nor against	1045	18.7%	
4	Rather in favor	1177	21.0%	
5	Strongly in favor	312	5.6%	
-99	No answer	9		
Sysmiss		2593		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# W1_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8091 /-] [Invalid=106 /-]		

W1_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much in favor of more state intervention in the economy	427	5.3%
2	Rather in favor of more state intervention in the economy	1583	19.6%
3	Neither nor	2589	32.0%
4	Rather in favor of more competition in the economy	2820	34.9%
5	Very much in favor of more competition in the economy	672	8.3%
-99	No answer	81	
Sysmiss		25	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15440: Opinion on chances for foreigners

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8091 /-] [Invalid=106 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor of equal chances	1946	24.1%
2	Rather in favor of equal chances	2503	30.9%
3	Neither nor	1168	14.4%
4	Rather in favor of better chances for Swiss people	1813	22.4%
5	Strongly in favor of better chances for Swiss people	661	8.2%
-99	No answer	76	
Sysmiss		30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15478: Too many worries about the environment instead of costs and prices

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8134 /-] [Invalid=63 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1497	18.4%
2	Rather disagree	2046	25.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1548	19.0%
4	Rather agree	2089	25.7%
5	Strongly agree	954	11.7%
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8112 /-] [Invalid=85 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have already gone much too far	272	3.4%
2	Have rather gone too far	757	9.3%
3	Are just about right	2769	34.1%
4	Don't go far enough	3204	39.5%
5	Don't nearly go far enough	1110	13.7%
-99	No answer	55	
Sysmiss		30	

W1_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15480: Opinion on taxes on high incomes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8129 /-] [Invalid=68 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor of an increase	1989	24.5%
2	Rather in favor of an increase	3170	39.0%
3	Neither nor	2240	27.6%
4	Rather in favor of a reduction	542	6.7%
5	Strongly in favor of a reduction	188	2.3%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15485: Opinion on traditions

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8125 /-] [Invalid=72 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor of a modern Switzerland	1206	14.8%
2	Rather in favor of a modern Switzerland	2687	33.1%
3	Neither nor	1762	21.7%
4	Rather in favor of a Switzerland that preserves its tradition	2012	24.8%
5	Strongly in favor of a Switzerland that preserves its tradition	458	5.6%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		34	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15815: Opinion on current political topics - minimum wage

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8120 /-] [Invalid=77 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	611	7.5%
2	Rather against	1238	15.2%
3	Rather in favor	3306	40.7%
4	Strongly in favor	2965	36.5%
-99	No answer	40	
Sysmiss		37	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15811: Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8108 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	2941	36.3%
2	Rather against	2738	33.8%
3	Rather in favor	1856	22.9%

# W1_f15811: Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
4	Strongly in favor	573	7.1%	
-99	No answer	51		
Sysmiss		38		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15816: Opinion on current political topics - foreigners' voting rights				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8121 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1		1177	14.5%	
2		1876	23.1%	
3		3187	39.2%	
4		1881	23.2%	
-99		38		
Sysmiss		38		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15817: Opinion on current political topics - equal rights for same-sex couples				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8090 /-] [Invalid=107 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1		700	8.7%	
2		1222	15.1%	
3		2781	34.4%	
4		3387	41.9%	
-99		66		
Sysmiss		41		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 98-9999] [Missing=*/0/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8120 /-] [Invalid=77 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
98		0		
8100		6930	85.3%	
8201		0		
8202		0		
8204		8	0.1%	
8205		3	0.0%	
8206		2	0.0%	
8207		225	2.8%	
8208		0		
8209		0		
8210		0		
8211		7	0.1%	
8212		86	1.1%	

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8213	Gibraltar	0		
8214	Greece	7	0.1%	
8215	United Kingdom	31	0.4%	
8216	Ireland	4	0.0%	
8217	Iceland	0		
8218	Italy	223	2.7%	
8220	Yugoslavia	13	0.2%	
8222	Liechtenstein	3	0.0%	
8223	Luxembourg	2	0.0%	
8224	Malta	0		
8225	Isle of Man	0		
8226	Monaco	2	0.0%	
8227	Netherlands	15	0.2%	
8228	Norway	0		
8229	Austria	43	0.5%	
8230	Poland	12	0.1%	
8231	Portugal	51	0.6%	
8232	Romania	4	0.0%	
8233	San Marino	0		
8234	Sweden	6	0.1%	
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1	0.0%	
8236	Spain	40	0.5%	
8237	Azores	0		
8238	Czechoslovakia	5	0.1%	
8239	Turkey	48	0.6%	
8240	Hungary	13	0.2%	
8241	Vatican City	0		
8242	Cyprus	0		
8243	Slovakia	2	0.0%	
8244	Czech Republic	3	0.0%	
8248	Serbia	40	0.5%	
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0		
8250	Croatia	15	0.2%	
8251	Slovenia	0		
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	20	0.2%	
8253	Central Serbia	0		
8254	Montenegro	2	0.0%	
8255	North Macedonia	14	0.2%	
8256	Kosovo	45	0.6%	
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0		
8260	Estonia	0		
8261	Latvia	0		
8262	Lithuania	1	0.0%	
8263	Moldova	1	0.0%	
8264	Russia	9	0.1%	

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8265	Ukraine	5	0.1%
8266	Belarus	1	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	1	0.0%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	4	0.0%
8305	Angola	1	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	1	0.0%
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	2	0.0%
8317	Cameroon	3	0.0%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	1	0.0%
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	1	0.0%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	4	0.0%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	1	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	2	0.0%

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	2	0.0%
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	1	0.0%
8348	Somalia	2	0.0%
8349	South Africa	4	0.0%
8350	Sudan	1	0.0%
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	1	0.0%
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	6	0.1%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	0	
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	4	0.0%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	13	0.2%
8407	Chile	2	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	2	0.0%
8410	Ecuador	1	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	1	0.0%
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	1	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	12	0.1%
8424	Colombia	2	0.0%
8425	Cuba	3	0.0%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	5	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	5	0.1%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	0	
8439	United States of America	10	0.1%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barth�lemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Cura�ao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8501	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	11	0.1%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	3	0.0%
8508	China	6	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	8	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	1	0.0%
8513	Iran	2	0.0%
8514	Israel	3	0.0%
8515	Japan	0	
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	5	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	2	0.0%
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	2	0.0%
8534	Philippines	7	0.1%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	2	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	0	
8542	Thailand	5	0.1%
8543	Tibet	1	0.0%
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	6	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	1	0.0%

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	2	0.0%
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	3	0.0%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	1	0.0%
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	

# W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	4	0.0%
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		77	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 98-9999] [Missing= */0/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=497 /-] [Invalid=7700 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not applicable to family situation	0	
8100	Switzerland	14	2.8%
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	4	0.8%
8205	Bulgaria	0	
8206	Denmark	2	0.4%
8207	Germany	66	13.3%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	5	1.0%
8212	France	77	15.5%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	5	1.0%
8215	United Kingdom	14	2.8%
8216	Ireland	2	0.4%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	115	23.1%
8220	Yugoslavia	1	0.2%

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8222	Liechtenstein	4	0.8%
8223	Luxembourg	0	
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	5	1.0%
8228	Norway	1	0.2%
8229	Austria	14	2.8%
8230	Poland	2	0.4%
8231	Portugal	22	4.4%
8232	Romania	0	
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	4	0.8%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0	
8236	Spain	29	5.8%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	3	0.6%
8239	Turkey	8	1.6%
8240	Hungary	1	0.2%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	1	0.2%
8244	Czech Republic	0	
8248	Serbia	9	1.8%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	3	0.6%
8251	Slovenia	1	0.2%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	4	0.8%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	2	0.4%
8256	Kosovo	5	1.0%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	1	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	1	0.2%
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	2	0.4%
8305	Angola	0	
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	0	
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	0	
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	0	
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	1	0.2%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	0	
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	0	
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	1	0.2%
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	2	0.4%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	2	0.4%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	1	0.2%
8360	Central African Republic	1	0.2%
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	2	0.4%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	2	0.4%
8406	Brazil	4	0.8%
8407	Chile	1	0.2%
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.2%
8409	Dominican Republic	0	
8410	Ecuador	0	
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	1	0.2%
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	8	1.6%
8424	Colombia	1	0.2%
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	1	0.2%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	3	0.6%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	1	0.2%
8439	United States of America	15	3.0%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-BarthÃ©lemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.2%
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	1	0.2%
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	CuraÃ§ao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	0	
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	2	0.4%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.2%

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8508	China	1	0.2%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	1	0.2%
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	0	
8513	Iran	2	0.4%
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	1	0.2%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	1	0.2%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	0	
8534	Philippines	1	0.2%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	1	0.2%
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.2%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	0	
8542	Thailand	1	0.2%
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	0	
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayev-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	4	0.8%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	2	0.4%
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	1	0.2%
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7700	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 98-9999] [Missing=*/0/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not applicable to family situation	0	
8100	Switzerland	0	
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	1	4.5%
8205	Bulgaria	0	
8206	Denmark	0	
8207	Germany	0	
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	0	
8212	France	2	9.1%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	0	
8215	United Kingdom	2	9.1%
8216	Ireland	0	
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	0	
8220	Yugoslavia	0	
8222	Liechtenstein	0	
8223	Luxembourg	0	
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	0	
8228	Norway	1	4.5%

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8229	Austria	1	4.5%
8230	Poland	1	4.5%
8231	Portugal	0	
8232	Romania	0	
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	0	
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0	
8236	Spain	3	13.6%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	0	
8239	Turkey	0	
8240	Hungary	0	
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	0	
8244	Czech Republic	0	
8248	Serbia	0	
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	0	
8251	Slovenia	0	
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	0	
8256	Kosovo	0	
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	0	
8265	Ukraine	0	
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	0	
8305	Angola	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	0	
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	0	
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	0	
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	0	
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	1	4.5%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	0	
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	0	
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	0	
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	0	
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	0	
8407	Chile	0	
8408	Costa Rica	0	
8409	Dominican Republic	0	
8410	Ecuador	0	
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	1	4.5%
8424	Colombia	0	
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	1	4.5%
8429	Nicaragua	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	2	9.1%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	0	
8439	United States of America	4	18.2%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-BarthÃ©lemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	CuraÃ§ao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	0	
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	0	
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	0	
8508	China	0	
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	0	
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	0	
8513	Iran	1	4.5%
8514	Israel	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8515	Japan	0	
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	0	
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	0	
8534	Philippines	0	
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	0	
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	1	4.5%
8542	Thailand	0	
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	0	
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevо-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	0	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f20211: Parents both born in Switzerland

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8136 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5713	70.2%
2	No	2423	29.8%
-99	No answer	18	
Sysmiss		43	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9999] [Missing=*/-99/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8103 /-] [Invalid=94 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	6182	76.3%
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	20	0.2%
8205	Bulgaria	3	0.0%
8206	Denmark	7	0.1%
8207	Germany	361	4.5%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	12	0.1%
8212	France	181	2.2%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	10	0.1%
8215	United Kingdom	37	0.5%
8216	Ireland	6	0.1%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	275	3.4%
8220	Yugoslavia	17	0.2%
8222	Liechtenstein	2	0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	2	0.0%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	1	0.0%
8227	Netherlands	34	0.4%

W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	119	1.5%
8230	Poland	27	0.3%
8231	Portugal	61	0.8%
8232	Romania	11	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	12	0.1%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1	0.0%
8236	Spain	59	0.7%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	11	0.1%
8239	Turkey	61	0.8%
8240	Hungary	24	0.3%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	1	0.0%
8243	Slovakia	4	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	7	0.1%
8248	Serbia	54	0.7%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	19	0.2%
8251	Slovenia	2	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	35	0.4%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	4	0.0%
8255	North Macedonia	14	0.2%
8256	Kosovo	62	0.8%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	1	0.0%
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	4	0.0%
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	14	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	9	0.1%
8266	Belarus	2	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	1	0.0%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	9	0.1%

W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8305	Angola	2	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	1	0.0%
8313	Ghana	4	0.0%
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	3	0.0%
8317	Cameroon	2	0.0%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	2	0.0%
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	1	0.0%
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	6	0.1%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	1	0.0%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	1	0.0%
8331	Morocco	9	0.1%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	2	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	1	0.0%
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	2	0.0%
8346	Seychelles	1	0.0%
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	2	0.0%
8349	South Africa	9	0.1%
8350	Sudan	1	0.0%
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	

W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	1	0.0%
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	11	0.1%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	6	0.1%
8360	Central African Republic	1	0.0%
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	2	0.0%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	7	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	2	0.0%
8406	Brazil	21	0.3%
8407	Chile	5	0.1%
8408	Costa Rica	3	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	5	0.1%
8410	Ecuador	4	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	1	0.0%
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	2	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	15	0.2%
8424	Colombia	4	0.0%
8425	Cuba	5	0.1%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	8	0.1%

W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	12	0.1%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	1	0.0%
8437	Uruguay	1	0.0%
8438	Venezuela	2	0.0%
8439	United States of America	20	0.2%
8440	Domínica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-BarthÃ©lemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	CuraÃ§ao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	19	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	4	0.0%
8508	China	13	0.2%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	15	0.2%
8511	Indonesia	5	0.1%
8512	Iraq	3	0.0%
8513	Iran	4	0.0%

W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	3	0.0%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	2	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	1	0.0%
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	6	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	2	0.0%
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	2	0.0%
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	2	0.0%
8534	Philippines	17	0.2%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	2	0.0%
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	1	0.0%
8542	Thailand	13	0.2%
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	8	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	1	0.0%
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	1	0.0%
8563	Kazakhstan	3	0.0%
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	

W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevо-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	2	0.0%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	1	0.0%
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	

W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	1	
98	Not applicable to family situation	20	
Sysmiss		73	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9999] [Missing= */-99/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8101 /-] [Invalid=96 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	6337	78.2%
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	12	0.1%
8205	Bulgaria	2	0.0%
8206	Denmark	5	0.1%
8207	Germany	329	4.1%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	8	0.1%
8212	France	134	1.7%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	14	0.2%
8215	United Kingdom	38	0.5%
8216	Ireland	6	0.1%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	343	4.2%
8220	Yugoslavia	17	0.2%
8222	Liechtenstein	4	0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	2	0.0%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	1	0.0%
8227	Netherlands	22	0.3%
8228	Norway	1	0.0%
8229	Austria	62	0.8%
8230	Poland	16	0.2%
8231	Portugal	69	0.9%
8232	Romania	6	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	9	0.1%

W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	2	0.0%
8236	Spain	52	0.6%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	14	0.2%
8239	Turkey	68	0.8%
8240	Hungary	24	0.3%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	2	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	7	0.1%
8248	Serbia	54	0.7%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	21	0.3%
8251	Slovenia	1	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	34	0.4%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	3	0.0%
8255	North Macedonia	16	0.2%
8256	Kosovo	61	0.8%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	1	0.0%
8263	Moldova	1	0.0%
8264	Russia	11	0.1%
8265	Ukraine	4	0.0%
8266	Belarus	3	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	1	0.0%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	10	0.1%
8305	Angola	1	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	0	

W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
		Cases	Percentage	
8312	Gambia	1	0.0%	
8313	Ghana	3	0.0%	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0		
8315	Guinea	2	0.0%	
8317	Cameroon	2	0.0%	
8318	Canary Islands	0		
8319	Cabo Verde	2	0.0%	
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%	
8321	Comoros	0		
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0		
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	5	0.1%	
8324	Lesotho	0		
8325	Liberia	0		
8326	Libya	0		
8327	Madagascar	2	0.0%	
8328	Madeira	0		
8329	Malawi	0		
8330	Mali	0		
8331	Morocco	14	0.2%	
8332	Mauritania	0		
8333	Mauritius	1	0.0%	
8334	Mozambique	0		
8335	Niger	0		
8336	Nigeria	2	0.0%	
8337	Burkina Faso	2	0.0%	
8339	Meeting	0		
8340	Zimbabwe	0		
8341	Rwanda	0		
8343	Zambia	0		
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0		
8345	Senegal	3	0.0%	
8346	Seychelles	0		
8347	Sierra Leone	0		
8348	Somalia	2	0.0%	
8349	South Africa	6	0.1%	
8350	Sudan	1	0.0%	
8351	Namibia	0		
8352	Eswatini	0		
8353	Tanzania	0		
8354	Togo	1	0.0%	
8356	Chad	0		
8357	Tunisia	13	0.2%	
8358	Uganda	0		
8359	Egypt	8	0.1%	
8360	Central African Republic	0		

W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	2	0.0%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	7	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	13	0.2%
8407	Chile	4	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	2	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	3	0.0%
8410	Ecuador	2	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	2	0.0%
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	1	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	14	0.2%
8424	Colombia	2	0.0%
8425	Cuba	3	0.0%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	5	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	1	0.0%
8432	Peru	9	0.1%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	

W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	1	0.0%
8439	United States of America	17	0.2%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-BarthÃ©lemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.0%
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	CuraÃ§ao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	1	0.0%
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	20	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	2	0.0%
8508	China	11	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	12	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	4	0.0%
8512	Iraq	3	0.0%
8513	Iran	5	0.1%
8514	Israel	4	0.0%
8515	Japan	0	
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	1	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	1	0.0%

W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	6	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	2	0.0%
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	2	0.0%
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	2	0.0%
8534	Philippines	7	0.1%
8535	Saudi Arabia	1	0.0%
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	2	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	2	0.0%
8542	Thailand	5	0.1%
8543	Tibet	1	0.0%
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	6	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	1	0.0%
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	2	0.0%
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	1	0.0%
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayev-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	

W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	4	0.0%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	2	0.0%
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	4	
98	Not applicable to family situation	23	
Sysmiss		69	


W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f20760rec: Religious denomination

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8125 /-] [Invalid=72 /-]




Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f20900: Church attendance

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5546 /-] [Invalid=2651 /-]




Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f21310rec: R's highest level of education

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8127 /-] [Invalid=70 /-]



W1_f21310rec: R's highest level of education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	College of higher education	577	7.1%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	998	12.3%
13	University, Federal Institute of technology	1613	19.8%
14	Other	31	0.4%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		48	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f21400: R's current working situation

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8126 /-] [Invalid=71 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	2884	35.5%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	2107	25.9%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	561	6.9%
4	Housemaker	198	2.4%
5	Retired (AHV)	1965	24.2%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	180	2.2%
7	Unemployed	78	1.0%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	153	1.9%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		49	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f21500: R's current position at work

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-4] [Missing= *]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4991 /-] [Invalid=3206 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	31	0.6%
1	Employee with executive function	603	12.1%
2	Employee with supervision function / trainer	1407	28.2%
3	Employee with operative function	2440	48.9%
4	Self-employed	510	10.2%
Sysmiss		3206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4854 /-] [Invalid=3343 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	2	0.0%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	4	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	0.0%
10	Managers	30	0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	222	4.6%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	257	5.3%

W1_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Production and specialised services managers	256	5.3%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	58	1.2%
20	Professionals	32	0.7%
21	Science and engineering professionals	323	6.7%
22	Health professionals	333	6.9%
23	Teaching professionals	398	8.2%
24	Business and administration professionals	310	6.4%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	208	4.3%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	267	5.5%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	68	1.4%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	228	4.7%
32	Health associate professionals	151	3.1%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	301	6.2%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	121	2.5%
35	Information and communications technicians	29	0.6%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	212	4.4%
42	Customer services clerks	77	1.6%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	96	2.0%
44	Other clerical support workers	55	1.1%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	127	2.6%
52	Sales workers	107	2.2%
53	Personal care workers	83	1.7%
54	Protective services workers	58	1.2%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	1	0.0%
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	63	1.3%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	2	0.0%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	1	0.0%
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	61	1.3%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	77	1.6%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	22	0.5%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	35	0.7%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	30	0.6%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	21	0.4%
82	Assemblers	2	0.0%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	65	1.3%
90	Elementary occupations	2	0.0%
91	Cleaners and helpers	12	0.2%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	7	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	29	0.6%
94	Food preparation assistants	6	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	

# W1_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	4	0.1%	
-99	No answer	142		
Sysmiss		3201		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f21700: R's current working sector				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4978 /-] [Invalid=3219 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Private sector	3028	60.8%	
2	Public sector	1530	30.7%	
3	Other (mixed)	420	8.4%	
-99	No answer	11		
Sysmiss		3208		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-15] [Missing=/*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4989 /-] [Invalid=3208 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-99	No answer	29	0.6%	
1	Product manufacturing (industry)	683	13.7%	
2	Retail and wholesale	344	6.9%	
3	Healthcare and social sector	930	18.6%	
4	Services such as consulting, auditing, research & developmen	289	5.8%	
5	Construction	426	8.5%	
6	Teaching and education	596	11.9%	
7	Services such as travel agency, security service, employment	162	3.2%	
8	Financial services (banks, insurances, etc.)	329	6.6%	
9	Hospitality and gastronomy	108	2.2%	
10	Transport and shipping services	180	3.6%	
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	405	8.1%	
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	95	1.9%	
13	Personal services (e.g. hairdresser), member organizations (105	2.1%	
14	Information and communication	273	5.5%	
15	Other	35	0.7%	
Sysmiss		3208		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f26100: R's previous working situation				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2548 /-] [Invalid=5649 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	1265	49.6%	
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	810	31.8%	
3	In training (apprentice, student)	55	2.2%	

# W1_f26100: R's previous working situation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Housemaker	134	5.3%
5	Retired (AHV)	181	7.1%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	37	1.5%
7	Unemployed	26	1.0%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	40	1.6%
-99	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		5623	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f24900: R's previous position at work				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-4] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=6122 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-99	No answer	34	1.6%	
1	Employee with executive function	267	12.9%	
2	Employee with supervision function / trainer	634	30.6%	
3	Employee with operative function	862	41.5%	
4	Self-employed	278	13.4%	
Sysmiss		6122		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f25000_2dig: R's last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2022 /-] [Invalid=6175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0		
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0		
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	0.0%	
10	Managers	11	0.5%	
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	114	5.6%	
12	Administrative and commercial managers	117	5.8%	
13	Production and specialised services managers	137	6.8%	
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	30	1.5%	
20	Professionals	13	0.6%	
21	Science and engineering professionals	128	6.3%	
22	Health professionals	113	5.6%	
23	Teaching professionals	209	10.3%	
24	Business and administration professionals	89	4.4%	
25	Information and communications technology professionals	45	2.2%	
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	92	4.5%	
30	Technicians and associate professionals	19	0.9%	
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	91	4.5%	
32	Health associate professionals	64	3.2%	
33	Business and administration associate professionals	175	8.7%	
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	38	1.9%	
35	Information and communications technicians	10	0.5%	
40	Clerical support workers	0		
41	General and keyboard clerks	119	5.9%	
42	Customer services clerks	43	2.1%	
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	44	2.2%	
44	Other clerical support workers	18	0.9%	
50	Service and sales workers	0		
51	Personal service workers	52	2.6%	
52	Sales workers	56	2.8%	
53	Personal care workers	32	1.6%	
54	Protective services workers	9	0.4%	

W1_f25000_2dig: R's last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	28	1.4%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	1	0.0%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	1	0.0%
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	12	0.6%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	26	1.3%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	16	0.8%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	14	0.7%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	15	0.7%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	7	0.3%
82	Assemblers	1	0.0%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	18	0.9%
90	Elementary occupations	2	0.1%
91	Cleaners and helpers	2	0.1%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	6	0.3%
94	Food preparation assistants	3	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	58	
Sysmiss		6117	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_ISCO08prof_2dig: R's current or last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6785 /-] [Invalid=1412 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	2	0.0%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	4	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	0.0%
10	Managers	39	0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	326	4.8%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	366	5.4%
13	Production and specialised services managers	392	5.8%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	86	1.3%
20	Professionals	45	0.7%
21	Science and engineering professionals	448	6.6%
22	Health professionals	440	6.5%
23	Teaching professionals	601	8.9%
24	Business and administration professionals	391	5.8%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	248	3.7%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	356	5.2%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	87	1.3%

W1_ISCO08prof_2dig: R's current or last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	315	4.6%
32	Health associate professionals	213	3.1%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	469	6.9%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	155	2.3%
35	Information and communications technicians	39	0.6%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	330	4.9%
42	Customer services clerks	119	1.8%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	139	2.0%
44	Other clerical support workers	71	1.0%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	178	2.6%
52	Sales workers	162	2.4%
53	Personal care workers	111	1.6%
54	Protective services workers	67	1.0%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	1	0.0%
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	91	1.3%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	3	0.0%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	2	0.0%
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	70	1.0%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	102	1.5%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	37	0.5%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	48	0.7%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	44	0.6%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	27	0.4%
82	Assemblers	3	0.0%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	82	1.2%
90	Elementary occupations	4	0.1%
91	Cleaners and helpers	14	0.2%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	7	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	35	0.5%
94	Food preparation assistants	9	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	5	0.1%
-99	No answer	200	
Sysmiss		1212	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f25100: R's previous working sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=-/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2040 /-] [Invalid=6157 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	1204	59.0%

# W1_f25100: R's previous working sector				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	Public sector	684	33.5%	
3	Other (mixed)	152	7.5%	
-99	No answer	34		
Sysmiss		6123		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f25150: Industry in which R's previous company is active				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-15] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2073 /-] [Invalid=6124 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-99	No answer	16	0.8%	
1	Product manufacturing (industry)	298	14.4%	
2	Retail and wholesale	198	9.6%	
3	Healthcare and social sector	331	16.0%	
4	Services such as consulting, auditing, research & development	111	5.4%	
5	Construction	164	7.9%	
6	Teaching and education	274	13.2%	
7	Services such as travel agency, security service, employment	75	3.6%	
8	Financial services (banks, insurances, etc.)	152	7.3%	
9	Hospitality and gastronomy	52	2.5%	
10	Transport and shipping services	82	4.0%	
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	159	7.7%	
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	32	1.5%	
13	Personal services (e.g. hairdresser), member organizations (33	1.6%	
14	Information and communication	85	4.1%	
15	Other	11	0.5%	
Sysmiss		6124		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f28910: Gross monthly household income				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7679 /-] [Invalid=518 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Less than 2'000 CHF	354	4.6%	
2	2'001-3'000 CHF	334	4.3%	
3	3'001-4'000 CHF	475	6.2%	
4	4'001-5'000 CHF	643	8.4%	
5	5'001-6'000 CHF	770	10.0%	
6	6'001-7'000 CHF	688	9.0%	
7	7'001-8'000 CHF	715	9.3%	
8	8'001-9'000 CHF	608	7.9%	
9	9'001-10'000 CHF	560	7.3%	
10	10'001-11'000 CHF	450	5.9%	
11	11'001-12'000 CHF	346	4.5%	
12	12'001-13'000 CHF	332	4.3%	
13	13'001-14'000 CHF	228	3.0%	

W1_f28910: Gross monthly household income

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	14'001-15'000 CHF	257	3.3%
15	15'001-16'000 CHF	180	2.3%
16	16'001-17'000 CHF	121	1.6%
17	17'001-18'000 CHF	97	1.3%
18	18'001-19'000 CHF	64	0.8%
19	19'001-20'000 CHF	108	1.4%
20	More than 20'000 CHF	349	4.5%
-99	No answer	458	
Sysmiss		60	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f29100: Can R manage with this income (after fixed expenses)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8087 /-] [Invalid=110 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4825	59.7%
2	More or less	2408	29.8%
3	No	743	9.2%
8	Don't know	111	1.4%
-99	No answer	49	
Sysmiss		61	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f30021: Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7981 /-] [Invalid=216 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	190	2.4%
2	Rather disagree	809	10.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1512	18.9%
4	Rather agree	4194	52.5%
5	Strongly agree	1276	16.0%
-99	No answer	88	
Sysmiss		128	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f30022: Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7965 /-] [Invalid=232 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	258	3.2%
2	Rather disagree	1390	17.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	963	12.1%
4	Rather agree	3869	48.6%
5	Strongly agree	1485	18.6%
-99	No answer	104	

# W1_f30022: Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
Sysmiss		128				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_f30023: Survey experience: the questions were understandable						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7968 /-] [Invalid=229 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Strongly disagree	61	0.8%			
2	Rather disagree	278	3.5%			
3	Neither agree nor disagree	436	5.5%			
4	Rather agree	3545	44.5%			
5	Strongly agree	3648	45.8%			
-99	No answer	101				
Sysmiss		128				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_f30024: Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7973 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Strongly disagree	79	1.0%			
2	Rather disagree	466	5.8%			
3	Neither agree nor disagree	530	6.6%			
4	Rather agree	3095	38.8%			
5	Strongly agree	3803	47.7%			
-99	No answer	96				
Sysmiss		128				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_hhszie_sample: Household size from FSO sample						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing= *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.653 /-] [StdDev=1.281 /-]				
# W1_marital_sample: Marital status from FSO sample						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Married, registered partnership	4340	52.9%			
2	Single	2732	33.3%			
3	Widowed	250	3.0%			
4	Divorced, dissolved partnership	875	10.7%			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing= *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				

W1_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	1489	18.2%
2	Bern	1111	13.6%
3	Lucerne	440	5.4%
4	Uri	31	0.4%
5	Schwyz	137	1.7%
6	Obwalden	38	0.5%
7	Nidwalden	53	0.6%
8	Glarus	31	0.4%
9	Zug	124	1.5%
10	Fribourg	299	3.6%
11	Solothurn	268	3.3%
12	Basel-Stadt	152	1.9%
13	Basel-Landschaft	265	3.2%
14	Schaffhausen	74	0.9%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	63	0.8%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	14	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	513	6.3%
18	Graubünden	199	2.4%
19	Aargau	626	7.6%
20	Thurgau	253	3.1%
21	Ticino	363	4.4%
22	Vaud	743	9.1%
23	Valais	331	4.0%
24	Neuchâtel	162	2.0%
25	Geneva	358	4.4%
26	Jura	60	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_bigregion_sample: Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lake Geneva region	1432	17.5%
2	Espace Mittelland	1900	23.2%
3	North-West Switzerland	1043	12.7%
4	Zurich region	1489	18.2%
5	Eastern Switzerland	1147	14.0%
6	Central Switzerland	823	10.0%
7	Ticino	363	4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_munilang_sample: Municipality language from FSO sample

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# W1_munilang_sample: Municipality language from FSO sample				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	German	5961	72.7%	
2	French	1845	22.5%	
3	Italian	373	4.6%	
4	Romansh	18	0.2%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_bornch_sample: Born in Switzerland from FSO sample				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0	Born abroad	1044	12.7%	
1	Born in Switzerland	7153	87.3%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_smt3: Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Urban	5218	63.7%	
2	Intermediate (dense suburbs and rural centres)	1835	22.4%	
3	Rural	1144	14.0%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_smt9: Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-33] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
11	Urban municipality of a large agglomeration	2382	29.1%	
12	Urban municipality of a medium-sized agglomeration	1952	23.8%	
13	Urban municipality of a small agglomeration or outside	884	10.8%	
21	High density periurban municipality	542	6.6%	
22	Medium density periurban municipality	966	11.8%	
23	Low density periurban municipality	403	4.9%	
31	Rural centre municipality	327	4.0%	
32	Rural centrally located municipality	549	6.7%	
33	Rural peripheral municipality	192	2.3%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_class16_r: Respondent's Oesch class position - 16 classes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6786 /-] [Invalid=1411 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Large employers	26	0.4%	
2	Self-employed professionals	206	3.0%	
3	Small business owners with employees	55	0.8%	
4	Small business owners without employees	465	6.9%	

# W1_class16_r: Respondent's Oesch class position - 16 classes				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
5	Technical experts	599	8.8%	
6	Technicians	457	6.7%	
7	Skilled manual	365	5.4%	
8	Low-skilled manual	74	1.1%	
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	1400	20.6%	
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	548	8.1%	
11	Skilled clerks	673	9.9%	
12	Unskilled clerks	23	0.3%	
13	Socio-cultural professionals	563	8.3%	
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	809	11.9%	
15	Skilled service	343	5.1%	
16	Low-skilled service	180	2.7%	
Sysmiss		1411		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_class8_r: Respondent's Oesch class position - 8 classes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6786 /-] [Invalid=1411 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	232	3.4%	
2	Small business owners	520	7.7%	
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	1056	15.6%	
4	Production workers	439	6.5%	
5	(Associate) managers	1948	28.7%	
6	Clerks	696	10.3%	
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	1372	20.2%	
8	Service workers	523	7.7%	
Sysmiss		1411		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_class5_r: Respondent's Oesch class position - 5 classes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6786 /-] [Invalid=1411 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Higher-grade service class	2794	41.2%	
2	Lower-grade service class	1814	26.7%	
3	Small business owners	520	7.7%	
4	Skilled workers	1381	20.4%	
5	Unskilled workers	277	4.1%	
Sysmiss		1411		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_intstart: Start Date				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6077 /-]		

# W2_intstart: Start Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-09-05		954	15.7%
2023-09-06		647	10.6%
2023-09-07		395	6.5%
2023-09-08		593	9.8%
2023-09-09		394	6.5%
2023-09-10		328	5.4%
2023-09-11		362	6.0%
2023-09-12		198	3.3%
2023-09-13		203	3.3%
2023-09-14		125	2.1%
2023-09-15		95	1.6%
2023-09-16		83	1.4%
2023-09-17		90	1.5%
2023-09-18		139	2.3%
2023-09-19		68	1.1%
2023-09-20		57	0.9%
2023-09-21		284	4.7%
2023-09-22		185	3.0%
2023-09-23		83	1.4%
2023-09-24		79	1.3%
2023-09-25		80	1.3%
2023-09-26		63	1.0%
2023-09-27		46	0.8%
2023-09-28		45	0.7%
2023-09-29		120	2.0%
2023-09-30		74	1.2%
2023-10-01		96	1.6%
2023-10-02		77	1.3%
2023-10-03		60	1.0%
2023-10-04		39	0.6%
2023-10-05		15	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_intend: End Date			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing= *]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6077 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-09-05		938	15.4%
2023-09-06		651	10.7%
2023-09-07		394	6.5%
2023-09-08		583	9.6%
2023-09-09		396	6.5%
2023-09-10		327	5.4%
2023-09-11		356	5.9%
2023-09-12		201	3.3%

# W2_intend: End Date				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2023-09-13		206		3.4%
2023-09-14		121		2.0%
2023-09-15		97		1.6%
2023-09-16		80		1.3%
2023-09-17		90		1.5%
2023-09-18		139		2.3%
2023-09-19		66		1.1%
2023-09-20		56		0.9%
2023-09-21		283		4.7%
2023-09-22		190		3.1%
2023-09-23		84		1.4%
2023-09-24		81		1.3%
2023-09-25		81		1.3%
2023-09-26		61		1.0%
2023-09-27		49		0.8%
2023-09-28		45		0.7%
2023-09-29		127		2.1%
2023-09-30		77		1.3%
2023-10-01		101		1.7%
2023-10-02		77		1.3%
2023-10-03		62		1.0%
2023-10-04		40		0.7%
2023-10-05		18		0.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# W2_totaltime: Total interview length in minutes				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.783333333333-35861.7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6077 /-] [Invalid=2120 /-] [Mean=157.51 /-] [StdDev=1659.805 /-]		
# W2_finished: Completion of questionnaire				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6077 /-] [Invalid=2120 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	37		0.6%
1	Complete questionnaire	6040		99.4%
Sysmiss		2120		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# W2_mobile: Mobile versus Desktop				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6077 /-] [Invalid=2120 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0		1	0.0%	
1		1726	28.4%	
2		4350	71.6%	
Sysmiss		2120		

# W2_mobile: Mobile versus Desktop								
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>								
# W2_langint: Language of interview								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Information</td><td>[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*-]</td></tr> <tr> <td>Statistics [NW/ W]</td><td>[Valid=6077/-] [Invalid=2120/-]</td></tr> </table>					Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*-]	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6077/-] [Invalid=2120/-]
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*-]							
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6077/-] [Invalid=2120/-]							
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage					
1	German	4420	72.7%					
2	French	1373	22.6%					
3	Italian	284	4.7%					
Sysmiss		2120						
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>								
# W2_birthyear: Year of birth								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Information</td><td>[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1927-2005] [Missing=*/-99]</td></tr> <tr> <td>Statistics [NW/ W]</td><td>[Valid=6055/-] [Invalid=2142/-] [Mean=1971.267/-] [StdDev=17.747/-]</td></tr> </table>					Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1927-2005] [Missing=*/-99]	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6055/-] [Invalid=2142/-] [Mean=1971.267/-] [StdDev=17.747/-]
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1927-2005] [Missing=*/-99]							
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6055/-] [Invalid=2142/-] [Mean=1971.267/-] [StdDev=17.747/-]							
# W2_age: Age in years								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Information</td><td>[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-96] [Missing=*/-99]</td></tr> <tr> <td>Statistics [NW/ W]</td><td>[Valid=6055/-] [Invalid=2142/-] [Mean=51.733/-] [StdDev=17.747/-]</td></tr> </table>					Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-96] [Missing=*/-99]	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6055/-] [Invalid=2142/-] [Mean=51.733/-] [StdDev=17.747/-]
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-96] [Missing=*/-99]							
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6055/-] [Invalid=2142/-] [Mean=51.733/-] [StdDev=17.747/-]							
# W2_agecat: Age in categories								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Information</td><td>[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]</td></tr> <tr> <td>Statistics [NW/ W]</td><td>[Valid=6055/-] [Invalid=2142/-]</td></tr> </table>					Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6055/-] [Invalid=2142/-]
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]							
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6055/-] [Invalid=2142/-]							
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage					
1	18-24	492	8.1%					
2	25-34	792	13.1%					
3	35-44	875	14.5%					
4	45-54	1001	16.5%					
5	55-64	1211	20.0%					
6	65-74	1054	17.4%					
7	75+	630	10.4%					
-99		22						
Sysmiss		2120						
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>								
# W2_sex: Sex according to official documents								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Information</td><td>[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]</td></tr> <tr> <td>Statistics [NW/ W]</td><td>[Valid=6071/-] [Invalid=2126/-]</td></tr> </table>					Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6071/-] [Invalid=2126/-]
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]							
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6071/-] [Invalid=2126/-]							
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage					
1	Male	3148	51.9%					
2	Female	2923	48.1%					
-99	No answer	6						
Sysmiss		2120						
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>								
# W2_f13400a: Attention to political news on TV								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Information</td><td>[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]</td></tr> <tr> <td>Statistics [NW/ W]</td><td>[Valid=5962/-] [Invalid=2235/-]</td></tr> </table>					Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5962/-] [Invalid=2235/-]
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]							
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5962/-] [Invalid=2235/-]							

W2_f13400a: Attention to political news on TV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	736	12.3%
2	Rather not attentive	1423	23.9%
3	Rather attentive	2098	35.2%
4	Very attentive	1158	19.4%
5	I don't use this medium at all	547	9.2%
-99	No answer	115	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13400f: Attention to political news in radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5888 /-] [Invalid=2309 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	915	15.5%
2	Rather not attentive	1663	28.2%
3	Rather attentive	1892	32.1%
4	Very attentive	931	15.8%
5	I don't use this medium at all	487	8.3%
-99	No answer	189	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13400b: Attention to political news in newspapers (print or e-paper)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5951 /-] [Invalid=2246 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	751	12.6%
2	Rather not attentive	1306	21.9%
3	Rather attentive	1859	31.2%
4	Very attentive	1646	27.7%
5	I don't use this medium at all	389	6.5%
-99	No answer	126	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13400c: Attention to political news in free newspapers like 20 Minuten (print or e-paper)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5915 /-] [Invalid=2282 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	1611	27.2%
2	Rather not attentive	1761	29.8%
3	Rather attentive	1125	19.0%
4	Very attentive	419	7.1%
5	I don't use this medium at all	999	16.9%
-99	No answer	162	
Sysmiss		2120	

W2_f13400c: Attention to political news in free newspapers like 20 Minuten (print or e-paper)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13400e: Attention to political news on online newsites (e.g., watson.ch, srf.ch)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5913 /-] [Invalid=2284 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	1320	22.3%
2	Rather not attentive	1458	24.7%
3	Rather attentive	1461	24.7%
4	Very attentive	834	14.1%
5	I don't use this medium at all	840	14.2%
-99	No answer	164	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13400d: Attention to political news on social media (e.g., Facebook, X)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5876 /-] [Invalid=2321 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	2160	36.8%
2	Rather not attentive	1197	20.4%
3	Rather attentive	714	12.2%
4	Very attentive	302	5.1%
5	I don't use this medium at all	1503	25.6%
-99	No answer	201	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13409a: Newspaper (print/online) or newsite that R consulted most often in past days, 1st mention

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-95/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5205 /-] [Invalid=2992 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20 Minuten / 20 minutes / 20 Minuti	888	17.1%
2	24 heures	131	2.5%
3	Aargauer Zeitung	106	2.0%
4	ajour	0	
5	Anzeiger von Uster	5	0.1%
6	Appenzeller Zeitung	19	0.4%
7	Appenzeller Volksfreund	2	0.0%
8	ArcInfo	44	0.8%
9	Badener Tagblatt	13	0.2%
10	Basellandschaftliche Zeitung	11	0.2%
11	Basler Zeitung	86	1.7%
12	Berner Oberländer	12	0.2%
13	Berner Zeitung	120	2.3%
14	Bieler Tagblatt	24	0.5%
15	Blick	227	4.4%

W2_f13409a: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 1st mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16	Bluewin	37	0.7%
17	Bote der Urschweiz	22	0.4%
18	BÄndner Tagblatt	8	0.2%
19	bz Basel	29	0.6%
20	Corriere del Ticino / cdt.ch	67	1.3%
21	Der Bund	134	2.6%
22	Der Landbote	37	0.7%
23	Der Rheintaler	17	0.3%
24	Die Weltwoche	21	0.4%
25	Die Republik	28	0.5%
26	Freiburger Nachrichten	23	0.4%
27	Finanz und Wirtschaft	6	0.1%
28	Grenchner Tagblatt	4	0.1%
29	Heidi.news	2	0.0%
30	HÄfner Volksblatt	2	0.0%
31	Journal21	0	
32	Il CaffÄ della Domenica	0	
33	Il Mattino della Domenica	1	0.0%
34	Infosperber	2	0.0%
35	L'Ajoie	0	
36	L'Agefi	0	
37	La CÄte	5	0.1%
38	La Domenica	1	0.0%
39	La LibertÄ	68	1.3%
40	La Quotidiana	3	0.1%
41	Langenthaler Tagblatt	4	0.1%
42	laRegione	40	0.8%
43	Le Courier	17	0.3%
44	Le Journal du Jura	11	0.2%
45	Le Matin	35	0.7%
46	Le Matin Dimanche	10	0.2%
47	Le Nouvelliste	97	1.9%
48	Le Quotidien jurassien	26	0.5%
49	Le Temps	119	2.3%
50	Limmataler Zeitung	8	0.2%
51	Linth-Zeitung	10	0.2%
52	Luzerner Zeitung	131	2.5%
53	March-Anzeiger	5	0.1%
54	MSN	5	0.1%
55	Nau	24	0.5%
56	Neue ZÄrcher Zeitung	388	7.5%
57	Nidwaldner Zeitung	13	0.2%
58	NZZ am Sonntag	43	0.8%
59	Obwaldner Zeitung	9	0.2%
60	Oltner Tagblatt	14	0.3%

# W2_f13409a: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 1st mention			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61	Ostschweiz am Sonntag	0	
62	Rheintalische Volkszeitung	3	0.1%
63	RTS	191	3.7%
64	RTR	0	
65	RSI	24	0.5%
66	Schaffhauser Nachrichten	28	0.5%
67	Schweiz am Wochenende	0	
68	Solothurner Zeitung	44	0.8%
69	SonntagsBlick	9	0.2%
70	SonntagsZeitung	36	0.7%
71	SRF	378	7.3%
72	St. Galler Tagblatt	89	1.7%
73	SÄ¼dostschweiz Glarus	10	0.2%
74	SÄ¼dostschweiz GraubÄ¼nden	38	0.7%
75	swissinfo	3	0.1%
76	Tages-Anzeiger	446	8.6%
77	Thuner Tagblatt	27	0.5%
78	Thurgauer Zeitung	37	0.7%
79	Ticinonews	6	0.1%
80	Ticinoonline / tio.ch	60	1.2%
81	Toggenburger Tagblatt	6	0.1%
82	Tribune de GenÃ¢ve	66	1.3%
83	Uerner Zeitung	5	0.1%
84	Walliser Bote	18	0.3%
85	Watson	166	3.2%
86	Weltwoche	6	0.1%
87	Werdenberger & Obertoggenburger	6	0.1%
88	Wiler Zeitung	20	0.4%
89	Willisauer Bote	3	0.1%
90	WOZ Die Wochenzeitung	25	0.5%
91	Zentralplus	1	0.0%
92	Zofinger Tagblatt	11	0.2%
93	Zuger Zeitung	22	0.4%
94	ZÄ¼rcher OberLÄ¤nder	25	0.5%
95	ZÄ¼rcher UnterlÄ¤nder	16	0.3%
96	ZÄ¼richsee-Zeitung	32	0.6%
98	Other local/regional/national Swiss media	134	2.6%
-99	No answer	211	
-98	Not applicable/other type of media (social media, TV, radio)	76	
-95	Foreign media	130	
Sysmiss		2575	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f13409b: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 2nd mention	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=-/-95/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3583 /-] [Invalid=4614 /-]

W2_f13409b: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20 Minuten / 20 minutes / 20 Minuti	610	17.0%
2	24 heures	66	1.8%
3	Aargauer Zeitung	36	1.0%
4	ajour	1	0.0%
5	Anzeiger von Uster	4	0.1%
6	Appenzeller Zeitung	4	0.1%
7	Appenzeller Volksfreund	3	0.1%
8	ArcInfo	18	0.5%
9	Badener Tagblatt	8	0.2%
10	Basellandschaftliche Zeitung	10	0.3%
11	Basler Zeitung	31	0.9%
12	Berner Oberländer	7	0.2%
13	Berner Zeitung	33	0.9%
14	Bieler Tagblatt	9	0.3%
15	Blick	365	10.2%
16	Bluewin	66	1.8%
17	Bote der Urschweiz	8	0.2%
18	Bündner Tagblatt	3	0.1%
19	bz Basel	25	0.7%
20	Corriere del Ticino / cdt.ch	28	0.8%
21	Der Bund	34	0.9%
22	Der Landbote	17	0.5%
23	Der Rheintaler	7	0.2%
24	Die Weltwoche	22	0.6%
25	Die Republik	29	0.8%
26	Freiburger Nachrichten	14	0.4%
27	Finanz und Wirtschaft	10	0.3%
28	Grenchner Tagblatt	2	0.1%
29	Heidi.news	7	0.2%
30	Häfner Volksblatt	4	0.1%
31	Journal21	0	
32	Il Caffè della Domenica	1	0.0%
33	Il Mattino della Domenica	1	0.0%
34	Infosperber	4	0.1%
35	L'Ajoie	2	0.1%
36	L'Agefi	2	0.1%
37	La Côte	5	0.1%
38	La Domenica	1	0.0%
39	La Liberté	30	0.8%
40	La Quotidiana	3	0.1%
41	Langenthaler Tagblatt	0	
42	laRegion	23	0.6%
43	Le Courier	11	0.3%
44	Le Journal du Jura	3	0.1%
45	Le Matin	80	2.2%

W2_f13409b: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	Le Matin Dimanche	31	0.9%
47	Le Nouvelliste	30	0.8%
48	Le Quotidien jurassien	6	0.2%
49	Le Temps	94	2.6%
50	Limmataler Zeitung	4	0.1%
51	Linth-Zeitung	5	0.1%
52	Luzerner Zeitung	41	1.1%
53	March-Anzeiger	5	0.1%
54	MSN	9	0.3%
55	Nau	32	0.9%
56	Neue Zürcher Zeitung	192	5.4%
57	Nidwaldner Zeitung	2	0.1%
58	NZZ am Sonntag	100	2.8%
59	Obwaldner Zeitung	3	0.1%
60	Oltner Tagblatt	10	0.3%
61	Ostschweiz am Sonntag	0	
62	Rheintalische Volkszeitung	1	0.0%
63	RTS	131	3.7%
64	RTR	1	0.0%
65	RSI	29	0.8%
66	Schaffhauser Nachrichten	9	0.3%
67	Schweiz am Wochenende	5	0.1%
68	Solothurner Zeitung	15	0.4%
69	SonntagsBlick	16	0.4%
70	SonntagsZeitung	99	2.8%
71	SRF	324	9.0%
72	St. Galler Tagblatt	31	0.9%
73	Südostschweiz Glarus	5	0.1%
74	Südostschweiz Graubünden	17	0.5%
75	swissinfo	5	0.1%
76	Tages-Anzeiger	192	5.4%
77	Thuner Tagblatt	6	0.2%
78	Thurgauer Zeitung	10	0.3%
79	Ticinonews	16	0.4%
80	Ticinoonline / tio.ch	26	0.7%
81	Toggenburger Tagblatt	1	0.0%
82	Tribune de Genève	42	1.2%
83	Uerner Zeitung	3	0.1%
84	Walliser Bote	4	0.1%
85	Watson	212	5.9%
86	Weltwoche	14	0.4%
87	Werdenberger & Obertoggenburger	8	0.2%
88	Wiler Zeitung	5	0.1%
89	Willisauer Bote	5	0.1%
90	WOZ Die Wochenzzeitung	29	0.8%

W2_f13409b: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
91	Zentralplus	3	0.1%
92	Zofinger Tagblatt	6	0.2%
93	Zuger Zeitung	13	0.4%
94	Zürcher Oberländer	10	0.3%
95	Zürcher Unterländer	8	0.2%
96	Zürichsee-Zeitung	12	0.3%
98	Other local/regional/national Swiss media	59	1.6%
-99	No answer	407	
-98	Not applicable/other type of media (social media, TV, radio)	61	
-95	Foreign media	69	
Sysmiss		4077	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13409c: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 3rd mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-95/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2044 /-] [Invalid=6153 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20 Minuten / 20 minutes / 20 Minuti	305	14.9%
2	24 heures	32	1.6%
3	Aargauer Zeitung	22	1.1%
4	ajour	0	
5	Anzeiger von Uster	1	0.0%
6	Appenzeller Zeitung	2	0.1%
7	Appenzeller Volksfreund	0	
8	ArcInfo	8	0.4%
9	Badener Tagblatt	0	
10	Basellandschaftliche Zeitung	6	0.3%
11	Basler Zeitung	8	0.4%
12	Berner Oberländer	1	0.0%
13	Berner Zeitung	14	0.7%
14	Bieler Tagblatt	5	0.2%
15	Blick	213	10.4%
16	Bluewin	50	2.4%
17	Bote der Urschweiz	3	0.1%
18	Bündner Tagblatt	0	
19	bz Basel	6	0.3%
20	Corriere del Ticino / cdt.ch	14	0.7%
21	Der Bund	13	0.6%
22	Der Landbote	14	0.7%
23	Der Rheintaler	5	0.2%
24	Die Weltwoche	15	0.7%
25	Die Republik	30	1.5%
26	Freiburger Nachrichten	8	0.4%
27	Finanz und Wirtschaft	14	0.7%
28	Grenchner Tagblatt	1	0.0%

W2_f13409c: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 3rd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	Heidi.news	4	0.2%
30	HÄffner Volksblatt	1	0.0%
31	Journal21	2	0.1%
32	Il CaffÄ della Domenica	1	0.0%
33	Il Mattino della Domenica	4	0.2%
34	Infosperber	9	0.4%
35	L'Ajoie	1	0.0%
36	L'Agefi	1	0.0%
37	La CÄ'te	2	0.1%
38	La Domenica	1	0.0%
39	La LibertÄ©	13	0.6%
40	La Quotidiana	1	0.0%
41	Langenthaler Tagblatt	0	
42	laRegion	11	0.5%
43	Le Courier	6	0.3%
44	Le Journal du Jura	6	0.3%
45	Le Matin	52	2.5%
46	Le Matin Dimanche	24	1.2%
47	Le Nouvelliste	11	0.5%
48	Le Quotidien jurassien	5	0.2%
49	Le Temps	45	2.2%
50	Limmattaler Zeitung	2	0.1%
51	Linth-Zeitung	5	0.2%
52	Luzerner Zeitung	27	1.3%
53	March-Anzeiger	1	0.0%
54	MSN	6	0.3%
55	Nau	26	1.3%
56	Neue ZÄhrcher Zeitung	130	6.4%
57	Nidwaldner Zeitung	1	0.0%
58	NZZ am Sonntag	59	2.9%
59	Obwaldner Zeitung	2	0.1%
60	Oltner Tagblatt	5	0.2%
61	Ostschweiz am Sonntag	0	
62	Rheintalische Volkszeitung	2	0.1%
63	RTS	74	3.6%
64	RTR	0	
65	RSI	8	0.4%
66	Schaffhauser Nachrichten	4	0.2%
67	Schweiz am Wochenende	5	0.2%
68	Solothurner Zeitung	5	0.2%
69	SonntagsBlick	11	0.5%
70	SonntagsZeitung	43	2.1%
71	SRF	173	8.5%
72	St. Galler Tagblatt	12	0.6%
73	SÄdostschweiz Glarus	2	0.1%

# W2_f13409c: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 3rd mention			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
74	SÃ¼dostschweiz GraubÃ¼nden	6	0.3%
75	swissinfo	12	0.6%
76	Tages-Anzeiger	79	3.9%
77	Thuner Tagblatt	2	0.1%
78	Thurgauer Zeitung	11	0.5%
79	Ticinonews	8	0.4%
80	Ticinoonline / tio.ch	26	1.3%
81	Toggenburger Tagblatt	1	0.0%
82	Tribune de GenÃ¨ve	20	1.0%
83	Urner Zeitung	2	0.1%
84	Walliser Bote	9	0.4%
85	Watson	163	8.0%
86	Weltwoche	7	0.3%
87	Werdenberger & Obertoggenburger	2	0.1%
88	Wiler Zeitung	4	0.2%
89	Willisauer Bote	1	0.0%
90	WOZ Die Wochenzeitung	31	1.5%
91	Zentralplus	7	0.3%
92	Zofinger Tagblatt	3	0.1%
93	Zuger Zeitung	7	0.3%
94	ZÃ¼rcher OberÃ¤nder	7	0.3%
95	ZÃ¼rcher UnterlÃ¤nder	5	0.2%
96	ZÃ¼richsee-Zeitung	11	0.5%
98	Other local/regional/national Swiss media	27	1.3%
-99	No answer	515	
-98	Not applicable/other type of media (social media, TV, radio)	26	
-95	Foreign media	46	
Sysmiss		5566	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f10100: R's interest in politics			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*<-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6069 /-] [Invalid=2128 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	261	4.3%
2	Rather not interested	1539	25.4%
3	Rather interested	2943	48.5%
4	Very interested	1326	21.8%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*</98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5809 /-] [Invalid=2388 /-]	

W2_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	76	1.3%
2	Other old age provisions	95	1.6%
3	Unemployment	3	0.1%
4	Poverty	32	0.6%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	151	2.6%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	689	11.9%
7	Drugs, addictions	2	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	284	4.9%
9	Extremism	2	0.0%
10	Finances	14	0.2%
11	Refugees	82	1.4%
12	Xenophobia, racism	5	0.1%
13	Health system	304	5.2%
14	Globalisation	4	0.1%
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	12	0.2%
17	Climate	981	16.9%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	333	5.7%
20	Crime	8	0.1%
21	Neutrality	142	2.4%
22	Politicians	54	0.9%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	103	1.8%
25	Direct democracy	4	0.1%
27	Party polarisation	71	1.2%
28	Security	8	0.1%
29	Social security	6	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	45	0.8%
31	Taxes	6	0.1%
32	National fiscal equalisation	3	0.1%
33	Environment	279	4.8%
34	Economic development	7	0.1%
35	Media	14	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	12	0.2%
37	Economic/financial crisis	9	0.2%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	2	0.0%
39	Nuclear energy	1	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	12	0.2%
41	Banks, banking crisis	11	0.2%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	15	0.3%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	1	0.0%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	15	0.3%
45	Economy, economic policy	61	1.1%
46	Education	13	0.2%

W2_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47	Social policy, welfare state	72	1.2%
48	Family policy, upbringing	12	0.2%
49	Labour market, workplace security	59	1.0%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	58	1.0%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	0	
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	23	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	0	
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	1	0.0%
58	Future	9	0.2%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	108	1.9%
61	Energy, energy policy	335	5.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	7	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	6	0.1%
64	Equal rights	22	0.4%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	47	0.8%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	5	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	3	0.1%
68	Society in general	15	0.3%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	56	1.0%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	47	0.8%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	6	0.1%
73	Army	9	0.2%
74	Aging population, demographics	20	0.3%
75	Bureaucracy	10	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	9	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	23	0.4%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	2	0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	18	0.3%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	546	9.4%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	0	
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	1	0.0%
92	Low political interest and participation	25	0.4%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	0	
94	Open borders, free movement of people	0	
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	46	0.8%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	5	0.1%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	16	0.3%
102	Capitalism	16	0.3%

W2_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	5	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	9	0.2%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	5	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	8	0.1%
108	Data protection	0	
109	Left, socialism	16	0.3%
110	Wars, peace policy	111	1.9%
111	Islam, islamism	1	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	17	0.3%
113	Populism	14	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	1	0.0%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	8	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	3	0.1%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	1	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	212	
98	Don't know	56	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format= numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing= */98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5809 /] [Invalid=2388 /]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	6	0.1%
2	Economy	657	11.3%
3	Education & culture	13	0.2%
4	Environment & energy	1614	27.8%
5	EU, Europe	315	5.4%
6	Finances & taxes	24	0.4%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	33	0.6%
8	Immigration & asylum	947	16.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	345	5.9%
10	Labour market	67	1.2%
11	Law & order	18	0.3%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	357	6.1%
13	Public health	639	11.0%
14	Public services & infrastructure	71	1.2%

W2_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Regions & national cohesion	76	1.3%
16	Social security/welfare state	549	9.5%
17	Other problems	69	1.2%
18	No problem	5	0.1%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	4	0.1%
-99	No answer	212	
98	Don't know	56	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5856 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	687	11.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	584	10.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	929	15.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1032	17.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	698	11.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	501	8.6%
80	Lega - Ticino League	17	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	18	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	36	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	19	0.3%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	30	0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	32	0.5%
201	PP - Pirate Party	1	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	9	0.2%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	4	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	87	1.5%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	26	0.4%
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	2	0.0%
995	Other answer	6	0.1%
998	Don't know	1121	19.1%
-99	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		2320	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
-------------	---

W2_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5763 /-] [Invalid=2434 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	687	11.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	584	10.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	929	16.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1032	17.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	698	12.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	501	8.7%
350	Other party, several/all parties	211	3.7%
998	Don't know	1121	19.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	114	
Sysmiss		2320	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-333] [Missing=*/98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5655 /-] [Invalid=2542 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	104	1.8%
2	Other old age provisions	196	3.5%
3	Unemployment	9	0.2%
4	Poverty	49	0.9%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	102	1.8%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	565	10.0%
7	Drugs, addictions	2	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	185	3.3%
9	Extremism	2	0.0%
10	Finances	52	0.9%
11	Refugees	95	1.7%
12	Xenophobia, racism	5	0.1%
13	Health system	345	6.1%
14	Globalisation	1	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	32	0.6%
17	Climate	697	12.3%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	344	6.1%
20	Crime	11	0.2%
21	Neutrality	85	1.5%
22	Politicians	49	0.9%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	56	1.0%
25	Direct democracy	2	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	18	0.3%
28	Security	20	0.4%
29	Social security	12	0.2%

W2_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	Social inequalities	74	1.3%
31	Taxes	26	0.5%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	331	5.9%
34	Economic development	4	0.1%
35	Media	9	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	18	0.3%
37	Economic/financial crisis	3	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	2	0.0%
39	Nuclear energy	0	
40	Transportation, traffic	40	0.7%
41	Banks, banking crisis	17	0.3%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	24	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	6	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	12	0.2%
45	Economy, economic policy	99	1.8%
46	Education	68	1.2%
47	Social policy, welfare state	109	1.9%
48	Family policy, upbringing	18	0.3%
49	Labour market, workplace security	82	1.5%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	72	1.3%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	2	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	17	0.3%
54	Vandalism, violence	5	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	4	0.1%
59	Work for young people	1	0.0%
60	Overpopulation	71	1.3%
61	Energy, energy policy	362	6.4%
62	Cross-border commuters	7	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	12	0.2%
64	Equal rights	87	1.5%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	38	0.7%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	8	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	7	0.1%
68	Society in general	7	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	38	0.7%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	109	1.9%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	22	0.4%
73	Army	18	0.3%
74	Aging population, demographics	17	0.3%
75	Bureaucracy	13	0.2%

W2_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	11	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	22	0.4%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	5	0.1%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	8	0.1%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	398	7.0%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	3	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	11	0.2%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	4	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	3	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	39	0.7%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	9	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	3	0.1%
102	Capitalism	17	0.3%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	3	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	16	0.3%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	8	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	15	0.3%
108	Data protection	2	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	26	0.5%
110	Wars, peace policy	125	2.2%
111	Islam, islamism	0	
112	Corruption, cronyism	4	0.1%
113	Populism	7	0.1%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	4	0.1%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	4	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	5	0.1%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	1	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	1	0.0%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
333		1	0.0%
-99	No answer	159	
98	Don't know	63	
Sysmiss		2320	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-333] [Missing= */98/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5655 /-] [Invalid=2542 /-]				
Value		Cases	Percentage			
1	Agriculture	22	0.4%			
2	Economy	542	9.6%			
3	Education & culture	69	1.2%			
4	Environment & energy	1417	25.1%			
5	EU, Europe	203	3.6%			
6	Finances & taxes	78	1.4%			
7	Gender issues & discrimination	98	1.7%			
8	Immigration & asylum	806	14.3%			
9	Foreign policy & defense	290	5.1%			
10	Labour market	102	1.8%			
11	Law & order	42	0.7%			
12	Political system, parties & politicians	250	4.4%			
13	Public health	691	12.2%			
14	Public services & infrastructure	166	2.9%			
15	Regions & national cohesion	64	1.1%			
16	Social security/welfare state	744	13.2%			
17	Other problems	54	1.0%			
18	No problem	9	0.2%			
19	COVID-19 pandemic	7	0.1%			
333		1	0.0%			
-99	No answer	159				
98	Don't know	63				
Sysmiss		2320				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
# W2_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5678 /-] [Invalid=2519 /-]				
Value		Cases	Percentage			
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	660	11.6%			
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	534	9.4%			
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1229	21.6%			
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	823	14.5%			
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	543	9.6%			
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	443	7.8%			
80	Lega - Ticino League	16	0.3%			
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	12	0.2%			
98		1	0.0%			
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	21	0.4%			
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	33	0.6%			
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	17	0.3%			
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	26	0.5%			
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	23	0.4%			

W2_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	8	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	81	1.4%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	28	0.5%
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%
995	Other answer	9	0.2%
998	Don't know	1163	20.5%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		2497	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=-*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5588 /-] [Invalid=2609 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	660	11.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	534	9.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1229	22.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	823	14.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	543	9.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	443	7.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	193	3.5%
998	Don't know	1163	20.8%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	112	
Sysmiss		2497	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f18101a: Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2949 /-] [Invalid=5248 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Strongly disagree	664	22.5%	
1		419	14.2%	
2		480	16.3%	
3		324	11.0%	
4		212	7.2%	
5		346	11.7%	
6		170	5.8%	
7		140	4.7%	
8		114	3.9%	
9		31	1.1%	
10	Strongly agree	49	1.7%	
-99	No answer	91		
Sysmiss		5157		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f18101b: Poverty attributions: Unlucky				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2954 /-] [Invalid=5243 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Strongly disagree	477	16.1%	
1		230	7.8%	
2		376	12.7%	
3		290	9.8%	
4		234	7.9%	
5		502	17.0%	
6		267	9.0%	
7		251	8.5%	
8		187	6.3%	
9		57	1.9%	
10	Strongly agree	83	2.8%	
-99	No answer	86		
Sysmiss		5157		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f18101c: Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2985 /-] [Invalid=5212 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Strongly disagree	75	2.5%	
1		83	2.8%	
2		207	6.9%	
3		201	6.7%	
4		182	6.1%	

# W2_f18101c: Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
5		355		11.9%
6		293		9.8%
7		400		13.4%
8		485		16.2%
9		233		7.8%
10	Strongly agree	471		15.8%
-99	No answer	55		
Sysmiss		5157		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f18101d: Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2998/-] [Invalid=5199/-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0		Strongly disagree	300	10.0%
1			159	5.3%
2			284	9.5%
3			271	9.0%
4			188	6.3%
5			417	13.9%
6			298	9.9%
7			350	11.7%
8			380	12.7%
9			149	5.0%
10		Strongly agree	202	6.7%
-99		No answer	42	
Sysmiss			5157	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f18102a: Poverty attributions: Poor people can't handle money				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2984/-] [Invalid=5213/-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1		Strongly disagree	628	21.0%
2		Disagree	990	33.2%
3		Rather disagree	778	26.1%
4		Rather agree	463	15.5%
5		Agree	100	3.4%
6		Strongly agree	25	0.8%
-99		No answer	53	
Sysmiss			5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f18102b: Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and live on society's back				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2980/-] [Invalid=5217/-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	

W2_f18102b: Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and live on society's back

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	865	29.0%
2	Disagree	964	32.3%
3	Rather disagree	720	24.2%
4	Rather agree	323	10.8%
5	Agree	66	2.2%
6	Strongly agree	42	1.4%
-99	No answer	57	
Sysmiss		5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f18102c: Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2987 /-] [Invalid=5210 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	835	28.0%
2	Disagree	940	31.5%
3	Rather disagree	668	22.4%
4	Rather agree	440	14.7%
5	Agree	89	3.0%
6	Strongly agree	15	0.5%
-99	No answer	50	
Sysmiss		5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f18102d: Poverty attributions: Poor people are victims of misfortunes such as illness and disability

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3005 /-] [Invalid=5192 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	169	5.6%
2	Disagree	381	12.7%
3	Rather disagree	531	17.7%
4	Rather agree	1263	42.0%
5	Agree	539	17.9%
6	Strongly agree	122	4.1%
-99	No answer	32	
Sysmiss		5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f18102e: Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2978 /-] [Invalid=5219 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	255	8.6%
2	Disagree	614	20.6%
3	Rather disagree	669	22.5%

W2_f18102e: Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather agree	961	32.3%
5	Agree	374	12.6%
6	Strongly agree	105	3.5%
-99	No answer	59	
Sysmiss		5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f18102f: Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2978 /-] [Invalid=5219 /-]
Value	Label
1	Strongly disagree
2	Disagree
3	Rather disagree
4	Rather agree
5	Agree
6	Strongly agree
-99	No answer
Sysmiss	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f18102g: Poverty attributions: Poverty is an inevitable consequence of the evolution of modern society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3000 /-] [Invalid=5197 /-]
Value	Label
1	Strongly disagree
2	Disagree
3	Rather disagree
4	Rather agree
5	Agree
6	Strongly agree
-99	No answer
Sysmiss	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f10160: Attention to election campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6066 /-] [Invalid=2131 /-]
Value	Label
1	Not at all attentive
2	Rather not attentive
3	Rather attentive
4	Very attentive
-99	No answer
Sysmiss	

# W2_f10160: Attention to election campaign																																																
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>																																																
# W2_f13310: Frequency of political talk with others last week (days per week)																																																
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/-99]																																															
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6069 /-] [Invalid=2128 /-]																																															
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Value</th><th>Label</th><th>Cases</th><th>Percentage</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>0</td><td>0 days</td><td>2187</td><td>36.0%</td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td></td><td>1413</td><td>23.3%</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td></td><td>1099</td><td>18.1%</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td></td><td>671</td><td>11.1%</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td></td><td>345</td><td>5.7%</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td></td><td>205</td><td>3.4%</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td></td><td>58</td><td>1.0%</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>7 days</td><td>91</td><td>1.5%</td></tr> <tr><td>-99</td><td>No answer</td><td>8</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Sysmiss</td><td></td><td>2120</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>					Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	0	0 days	2187	36.0%	1		1413	23.3%	2		1099	18.1%	3		671	11.1%	4		345	5.7%	5		205	3.4%	6		58	1.0%	7	7 days	91	1.5%	-99	No answer	8		Sysmiss		2120	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage																																													
0	0 days	2187	36.0%																																													
1		1413	23.3%																																													
2		1099	18.1%																																													
3		671	11.1%																																													
4		345	5.7%																																													
5		205	3.4%																																													
6		58	1.0%																																													
7	7 days	91	1.5%																																													
-99	No answer	8																																														
Sysmiss		2120																																														
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>																																																
# W2_f10700: Did R already receive voting material?																																																
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]																																															
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=714 /-] [Invalid=7483 /-]																																															
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Value</th><th>Label</th><th>Cases</th><th>Percentage</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>0</td><td>No</td><td>143</td><td>20.0%</td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>Yes</td><td>571</td><td>80.0%</td></tr> <tr><td>-99</td><td>No answer</td><td>2</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Sysmiss</td><td></td><td>7481</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>					Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	0	No	143	20.0%	1	Yes	571	80.0%	-99	No answer	2		Sysmiss		7481																									
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage																																													
0	No	143	20.0%																																													
1	Yes	571	80.0%																																													
-99	No answer	2																																														
Sysmiss		7481																																														
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>																																																
# W2_f10701: Participation in the 2023 election																																																
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-1] [Missing=*]																																															
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=571 /-] [Invalid=7626 /-]																																															
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Value</th><th>Label</th><th>Cases</th><th>Percentage</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>-99</td><td>No answer</td><td>1</td><td>0.2%</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>No</td><td>507</td><td>88.8%</td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>Yes</td><td>63</td><td>11.0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Sysmiss</td><td></td><td>7626</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>					Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	-99	No answer	1	0.2%	0	No	507	88.8%	1	Yes	63	11.0%	Sysmiss		7626																									
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage																																													
-99	No answer	1	0.2%																																													
0	No	507	88.8%																																													
1	Yes	63	11.0%																																													
Sysmiss		7626																																														
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>																																																
# W2_f10800: Intention to take part in 2023 national election																																																
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]																																															
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6004 /-] [Invalid=2193 /-]																																															
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Value</th><th>Label</th><th>Cases</th><th>Percentage</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1</td><td>Certainly not</td><td>184</td><td>3.1%</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>Rather not</td><td>586</td><td>9.8%</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>Rather yes</td><td>1281</td><td>21.3%</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>Certainly yes</td><td>3953</td><td>65.8%</td></tr> <tr><td>-99</td><td>No answer</td><td>10</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>					Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	1	Certainly not	184	3.1%	2	Rather not	586	9.8%	3	Rather yes	1281	21.3%	4	Certainly yes	3953	65.8%	-99	No answer	10																					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage																																													
1	Certainly not	184	3.1%																																													
2	Rather not	586	9.8%																																													
3	Rather yes	1281	21.3%																																													
4	Certainly yes	3953	65.8%																																													
-99	No answer	10																																														

# W2_f10800: Intention to take part in 2023 national election						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
Sysmiss		2183				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f10850rec: Vote intention 2023, likely voters						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing= *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5820 /-] [Invalid=2377 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	38	0.7%			
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	790	13.6%			
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	575	9.9%			
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1126	19.3%			
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	913	15.7%			
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	516	8.9%			
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	571	9.8%			
80	Lega - Ticino League	13	0.2%			
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	0.2%			
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	21	0.4%			
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	70	1.2%			
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	27	0.5%			
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	51	0.9%			
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	2	0.0%			
201	PP - Pirate Party	9	0.2%			
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0				
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	15	0.3%			
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0				
350	Other party	2	0.0%			
402	No party/ rather persons	34	0.6%			
403	Would vote blank	6	0.1%			
404	Several parties	11	0.2%			
405	All parties together, all	0				
406	Left parties (several)	3	0.1%			
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%			
995	Other answer	7	0.1%			
998	Don't know	1008	17.3%			
Sysmiss		2377				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f10850main6: Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5769 /-] [Invalid=2428 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	790	13.7%			
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	575	10.0%			
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1126	19.5%			
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	913	15.8%			
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	516	8.9%			

W2_f10850main6: Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	571	9.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	270	4.7%
998	Don't know	1008	17.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	51	
Sysmiss		2377	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f10860rec: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing= *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1003 /-] [Invalid=7194 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	5	0.5%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	87	8.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	125	12.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	99	9.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	107	10.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	58	5.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	75	7.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	4	0.4%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	1	0.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	11	1.1%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	4	0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	10	1.0%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	1	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	2	0.2%
402	No party/ rather persons	3	0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	5	0.5%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	1	0.1%
998	Don't know	403	40.2%
Sysmiss		7194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f10860main6: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=997 /-] [Invalid=7200 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	87	8.7%

W2_f10860main6: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	125	12.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	99	9.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	107	10.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	58	5.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	75	7.5%
350	Other party, several/all parties	43	4.3%
998	Don't know	403	40.4%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	6	
Sysmiss		7194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f10900rec: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=184 /-] [Invalid=8013 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	0	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	22	12.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	10	5.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	18	9.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	30	16.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	12	6.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	9	4.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	1	0.5%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.5%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	3	1.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	4	2.2%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	0.5%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	11	6.0%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.5%
995	Other answer	2	1.1%
998	Don't know	58	31.5%
Sysmiss		8013	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f10900main6: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters, 6 main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=182 /-] [Invalid=8015 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	22	12.1%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	10	5.5%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	18	9.9%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	30	16.5%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	12	6.6%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	9	4.9%	
350	Other party, several/all parties	23	12.6%	
998	Don't know	58	31.9%	
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	2		
Sysmiss		8013		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f1085_90: Vote intention 2023, combined				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5956 /-] [Invalid=2241 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	899	15.1%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	710	11.9%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1243	20.9%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1050	17.6%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	586	9.8%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	655	11.0%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	16	0.3%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	16	0.3%	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	22	0.4%	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	84	1.4%	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	32	0.5%	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	65	1.1%	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	2	0.0%	
201	PP - Pirate Party	10	0.2%	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	16	0.3%	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0		
350	Other party	4	0.1%	
402	No party/ rather persons	48	0.8%	
403	Would vote blank	6	0.1%	
404	Several parties	16	0.3%	
405	All parties together, all	0		
406	Left parties (several)	3	0.1%	
407	Right parties (several)	2	0.0%	
995	Other answer	10	0.2%	
998	Don't know	461	7.7%	
-99	No answer	43		

# W2_f1085_90: Vote intention 2023, combined						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
Sysmiss		2198				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f1085_90main6: Vote intention 2023, combined, 6 main parties						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5940 /-] [Invalid=2257 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	899	15.1%			
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	710	12.0%			
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1243	20.9%			
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1050	17.7%			
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	586	9.9%			
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	655	11.0%			
350	Other party, several/all parties	336	5.7%			
998	Don't know	461	7.8%			
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	59				
Sysmiss		2198				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f10950: Certainty to vote in 2023 for mentioned party (likely voters)						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4770 /-] [Invalid=3427 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Very uncertain	95	2.0%			
2	Rather uncertain	284	6.0%			
3	Rather certain	2343	49.1%			
4	Very certain	2048	42.9%			
-99	No answer	13				
Sysmiss		3414				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f11800rec: Party R voted in national elections 2023						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=62 /-] [Invalid=8135 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	16.1%			
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	7	11.3%			
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	11	17.7%			
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	21	33.9%			
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	7	11.3%			
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	3.2%			
80	Lega - Ticino League	0				
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0				
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0				
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	1	1.6%			
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0				

W2_f11800rec: Party R voted in national elections 2023

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	0	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	1	1.6%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	0	
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	2	3.2%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		8134	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f11800main6: Party R voted in national elections 2023, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-350] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=63 /-] [Invalid=8134 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	1	1.6%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	15.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	7	11.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	11	17.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	21	33.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	7	11.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	3.2%
350	Other	4	6.3%
Sysmiss		8134	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f11811rec: Vote for or against a party/candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing= *-/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=8138 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rather a decision for this party/candidate	48	81.4%
2	Rather a decision against this party/candidate	11	18.6%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f11812rec: Party R voted against

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= *-/99]
-------------	--

W2_f11812rec: Party R voted against

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=8186 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	0	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1	9.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2	18.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	6	54.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	1	9.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	9.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	0	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	0	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	0	
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8186	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f11812main6: Party R voted against, 6 main parties

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=8186 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	0	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1	9.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2	18.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	6	54.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	1	9.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	9.1%
350	Other party, several/all parties	0	
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	0	
Sysmiss		8186	

W2_f11812main6: Party R voted against, 6 main parties

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12010: Modification of voting list

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=57 /-] [Invalid=8140 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unmodified list	27	47.4%
2	Modified or blank list	30	52.6%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8140	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12020a: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=27 /-] [Invalid=8170 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	18	66.7%
2	No	8	29.6%
8	Don't know	1	3.7%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		8167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12020b: Did R cross out candidates?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=26 /-] [Invalid=8171 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	14	53.8%
2	No	12	46.2%
8	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		8167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12020c: Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=8168 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	22	75.9%
2	No	6	20.7%
8	Don't know	1	3.4%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		8167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=20 /-] [Invalid=8177 /-]

W2_f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	14	70.0%
1	Yes	6	30.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8177	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12030b: Panachage - Centre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=8176 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	15	71.4%
1	Yes	6	28.6%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8176	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19 /-] [Invalid=8178 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	12	63.2%
1	Yes	7	36.8%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8178	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12030d: Panachage - SVP/UDC

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17 /-] [Invalid=8180 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	13	76.5%
1	Yes	4	23.5%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8180	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12030e: Panachage - GPS/PES

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18 /-] [Invalid=8179 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	13	72.2%
1	Yes	5	27.8%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8179	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12030f: Panachage - GLP/PVL				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=20 /-] [Invalid=8177 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	17	85.0%	
1	Yes	3	15.0%	
-99	No answer	0		
Sysmiss		8177		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12030h: Panachage - Lega				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=8196 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	0		
1	Yes	1	100.0%	
-99	No answer	0		
Sysmiss		8196		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12030i: Panachage - MCG				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=8197 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	0		
1	Yes	0		
-99	No answer	0		
Sysmiss		8197		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12030j: Panachage - CSP/PCS				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	21	95.5%	
1	Yes	1	4.5%	
-99	No answer	0		
Sysmiss		8175		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	19	86.4%	
1	Yes	3	13.6%	
-99	No answer	0		
Sysmiss		8175		

W2_f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12030l: Panachage - EDU/UDF

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	21	95.5%
1	Yes	1	4.5%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PdT

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	21	95.5%
1	Yes	1	4.5%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=8176 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	20	95.2%
1	Yes	1	4.8%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8176	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12030o: Panachage - Other party/candidate

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	22	100.0%
1	Yes	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12025: More men or women on the list after modification

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=8167 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More men on the list	8	26.7%
2	About as many men as women on the list	11	36.7%

W2_f12025: More men or women on the list after modification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	More women on the list	8	26.7%
8	Don't know	3	10.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-997] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=8138 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	1	1.7%
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	2	3.4%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	0	
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	1	1.7%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	0	
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	0	
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	0	
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	0	
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	0	
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	0	
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	0	
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	0	
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	0	
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	0	
16	Grossen JÄrg (GLP/PVL)	1	1.7%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	0	
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	3	5.1%
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	1	1.7%
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	0	
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	0	
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0	
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	0	
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0	
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	0	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	0	
31	GmÄr-SchÄnenberger Andrea (The Center)	1	1.7%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	0	
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	0	
34	MÄller Damian (FDP/PLR)	0	
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	0	

W2_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	0	
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	1	1.7%
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	0	
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	0	
41	GÄ¶ssi Petra (FDP/PLR)	0	
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	0	
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	2	3.4%
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
45	Ettlin Erich (The Center)	0	
46	Lang Urs (Aufrecht)	1	1.7%
47	Wicki Hans (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
48	Zwyssig Benedikt (Aufrecht)	0	
49	MÄ¼hlemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	0	
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	0	
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	0	
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0	
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	0	
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	0	
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	0	
56	ThÄ¶ni Stefan (PARAT)	0	
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	0	
58	Weichert Manuela (Green party)	0	
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	0	
60	Zimmermann RenÄ© (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	0	
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	0	
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	0	
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	0	
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	0	
66	Page Pierre-AndrÄ© (SVP/UDC)	0	
67	Rey AlizÄ©e (SP/PS)	0	
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	1	1.7%
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	0	
71	KÄ¼nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	0	
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	2	3.4%
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	0	
74	Herter Balz (The Center)	0	
75	Herzog Eva (SP/PS)	4	6.8%
76	Messerli Pascal (SVP/UDC)	2	3.4%
77	Weber Eric (VA)	0	
78	Graf Maya (Green party)	0	
79	InÄ¤bnit Sven (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
80	BrÄ¼hlmann Lisa (Young green party)	0	

W2_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	0	
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	1	1.7%
83	SchÄ¤rrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	0	
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	0	
85	Caroni Andrea (FDP/PLR)	0	
86	Fischer Manuel (Independent)	0	
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	0	
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	3	5.1%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	1	1.7%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteifrei SG)	1	1.7%
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	0	
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	0	
94	Seger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	0	
95	WÄ¼rth Benedikt (The Center)	0	
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	2	3.4%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	0	
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	0	
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	0	
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	0	
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	0	
103	KÄ¤lin IrÄ�ne (Green party)	0	
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	0	
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	0	
106	SchÄ¶ni Theres (LOVB)	0	
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	0	
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	0	
110	HÄ�berli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	0	
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	0	
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	0	
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	0	
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	0	
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	0	
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	0	
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	0	
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	0	
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	2	3.4%
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	0	
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	0	
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	0	
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%

W2_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
127	Buclin Hadrien (Al/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	0	
128	Buffat MichaËl (SVP/UDC)	0	
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (Al/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	0	
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	1	1.7%
131	GÃ©taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	0	
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	0	
133	Mahaim Raphaël (Green party)	0	
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	2	3.4%
135	Meylan FranÃ§ois (Les IndÃ©pendants vaudois)	0	
136	Timofte AnaÃ´s (PdA/PdT)	0	
137	Weber CÃ©line (GLP/PVL)	1	1.7%
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	0	
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	0	
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	0	
142	Dessimoz CÃ©line (Green party)	0	
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	0	
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	0	
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	0	
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	0	
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	0	
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	0	
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	0	
151	Cario GrÃ©goire (SVP/UDC)	0	
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	0	
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	0	
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	0	
155	Huguenin-Elie ThÃ©o (SP/PS)	0	
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	0	
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	0	
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	0	
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara CÃ©line (Green party)	0	
161	Amaudruz CÃ©line (SVP/UDC)	0	
162	Cruchon Pablo (EÄ G - LUP)	0	
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	0	
164	MaÃ®tre Vincent (The Center)	0	
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	0	
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	0	
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
168	Parmentier Danielle (EÄ G - LUP)	0	
169	Pini Jessica (EÄ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	0	
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	2	3.4%

W2_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
172	Thion Guillaume (EÄ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	0	
175	Crevoisier Crelier Mathilde (SP/PS)	0	
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	0	
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	0	
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	1	1.7%
179	Monin FranÃ§ois (The Center)	0	
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	0	
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	0	
182	SchÃ¤rer Alec AndrÃ© (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	0	
184	Vuillaume IsmaÃ«l (GLP/PVL)	0	
350	Other person	0	
997	Nobody	5	8.5%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		8136	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=8138 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	16.9%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	7	11.9%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	14	23.7%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	10	16.9%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	3	5.1%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	3.4%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	0		
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0		
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0		
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	2	3.4%	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0		
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	1.7%	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	0		
201	PP - Pirate Party	0		
350	Other party	3	5.1%	
402	No party/independent candidate	2	3.4%	
993	Voted blank	0		
997	Nobody	5	8.5%	
998	Don't know	0		
-99	No answer	2		
Sysmiss		8136		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12301pmain6: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=54 /-] [Invalid=8143 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	18.5%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	7	13.0%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	14	25.9%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	10	18.5%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	3	5.6%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	3.7%	
350	Other party, several/all parties	8	14.8%	
-99	No answer, blank, DK, other answer	7		
Sysmiss		8136		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-997] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=8153 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	0		

W2_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	1	2.3%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	0	
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	1	2.3%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	0	
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	0	
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	0	
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	0	
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	0	
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	1	2.3%
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	0	
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	0	
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	0	
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	0	
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	0	
16	Grossen JÃ¼rg (GLP/PVL)	1	2.3%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	0	
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	0	
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	0	
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	0	
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	0	
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0	
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	1	2.3%
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0	
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	1	2.3%
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	0	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	0	
31	GmÃ¼r-SchÃ¶nenberger Andrea (The Center)	1	2.3%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	0	
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	0	
34	MÃ¼ller Damian (FDP/PLR)	1	2.3%
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	0	
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	0	
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	0	
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	0	
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	0	
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	0	
41	GÃ¶ssi Petra (FDP/PLR)	1	2.3%
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	0	
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	0	
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	0	
49	MÃ¼hlemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	0	
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	0	

W2_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	0	
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0	
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	0	
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	0	
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	0	
56	ThÄ¶ni Stefan (PARAT)	0	
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	0	
58	Weichelt Manuela (Green party)	0	
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	0	
60	Zimmermann RenÄ© (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	0	
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	0	
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	0	
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	0	
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	0	
66	Page Pierre-AndrÄ© (SVP/UDC)	0	
67	Rey AlizÄ©e (SP/PS)	0	
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	0	
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	0	
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
71	KÄ¼nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	0	
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	0	
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	0	
80	BrÄ¼hlmann Lisa (Young green party)	0	
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	0	
83	SchÄ¤rrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	0	
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	0	
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	1	2.3%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	0	
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	0	
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteifrei SG)	0	
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	0	
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	0	
94	Seger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	0	
95	WÄ¼rth Benedikt (The Center)	3	6.8%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	1	2.3%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	2	4.5%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	0	
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	0	
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	0	
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	0	
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	0	
103	KÄ¤lin IrÄ©ne (Green party)	1	2.3%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	0	

W2_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	0	
106	SchÄ¶ni Theres (LOVΒ)	0	
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	0	
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	0	
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	0	
110	HÄrberli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	0	
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	0	
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	0	
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	0	
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	0	
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	0	
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	0	
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	0	
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	0	
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	0	
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	0	
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	0	
125	Stormi Bruno (SP/PS)	0	
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	1	2.3%
127	Buclin Hadrien (Al/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	0	
128	Buffat MichaÄll (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (Al/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	0	
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	0	
131	GÄ@taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	0	
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	0	
133	Mahaim RaphaÄ«l (Green party)	1	2.3%
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	1	2.3%
135	Meylan FranÄ§ois (Les IndÄ©pendants vaudois)	0	
136	Timofte AnaÄ“s (PdA/PdT)	1	2.3%
137	Weber CÄ@line (GLP/PVL)	0	
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	0	
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	0	
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	0	
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	0	
142	Dessimoz CÄ@line (Green party)	0	
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	0	
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	1	2.3%
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	0	
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	0	
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	0	
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	0	
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	0	

W2_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	0	
151	Cario GrÃ©goire (SVP/UDC)	0	
152	De la Reussile Denis (PdA/PdT)	0	
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	0	
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	0	
155	Huguenin-Elie ThÃ©o (SP/PS)	0	
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	0	
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	0	
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	0	
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara CÃ©line (Green party)	0	
161	Amaudruz CÃ©line (SVP/UDC)	0	
162	Cruchon Pablo (EÃ©G - LUP)	0	
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	0	
164	MaÃ©tre Vincent (The Center)	0	
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	0	
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	2	4.5%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
168	Parmentier Danielle (EÃ©G - LUP)	0	
169	Pini Jessica (EÃ©G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	0	
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	0	
172	Thion Guillaume (EÃ©G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	0	
175	Crevoisier Crelier Mathilde (SP/PS)	0	
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	0	
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	0	
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	0	
179	Monin FranÃ§ois (The Center)	0	
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	0	
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	0	
182	SchÃ¤rer Alec AndrÃ© (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	0	
184	Vuillaume IsmaÃ«l (GLP/PVL)	0	
350	Other person	0	
997	Nobody	15	34.1%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		8152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=-/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=8153 /-]

W2_f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	6	13.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	6	13.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4	9.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	5	11.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	6	13.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	2.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	0	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	2.3%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/independent candidate	0	
993	Voted blank	0	
997	Nobody	15	34.1%
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		8152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12302pmain6: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=8168 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	6	20.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	6	20.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4	13.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	5	17.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	6	20.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	3.4%
350	Other party, several/all parties	1	3.4%
-99	No answer, blank, DK, other answer	16	
Sysmiss		8152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14177a: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2935 /-] [Invalid=5262 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	665	22.7%
1		312	10.6%
2		341	11.6%

W2_f14177a: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		226	7.7%
4		176	6.0%
5		325	11.1%
6		165	5.6%
7		193	6.6%
8		206	7.0%
9		138	4.7%
10	Positive and warm feelings	188	6.4%
-99	No answer	82	
Sysmiss		5180	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14177b: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2929 /-] [Invalid=5268 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	255	8.7%
1		194	6.6%
2		226	7.7%
3		234	8.0%
4		216	7.4%
5		452	15.4%
6		229	7.8%
7		258	8.8%
8		369	12.6%
9		236	8.1%
10	Positive and warm feelings	260	8.9%
-99	No answer	88	
Sysmiss		5180	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14177c: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2908 /-] [Invalid=5289 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	90	3.1%
1		64	2.2%
2		133	4.6%
3		194	6.7%
4		296	10.2%
5		778	26.8%
6		399	13.7%
7		386	13.3%
8		318	10.9%
9		134	4.6%
10	Positive and warm feelings	116	4.0%

# W2_f14177c: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	109				
Sysmiss		5180				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f14177d: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2932/-] [Invalid=5265/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Negative and cold feelings	168	5.7%			
1		115	3.9%			
2		205	7.0%			
3		284	9.7%			
4		272	9.3%			
5		623	21.2%			
6		333	11.4%			
7		322	11.0%			
8		316	10.8%			
9		169	5.8%			
10	Positive and warm feelings	125	4.3%			
-99	No answer	85				
Sysmiss		5180				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f14177e: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2922/-] [Invalid=5275/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Negative and cold feelings	338	11.6%			
1		210	7.2%			
2		247	8.5%			
3		217	7.4%			
4		216	7.4%			
5		454	15.5%			
6		233	8.0%			
7		279	9.5%			
8		317	10.8%			
9		195	6.7%			
10	Positive and warm feelings	216	7.4%			
-99	No answer	95				
Sysmiss		5180				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f14177f: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2917/-] [Invalid=5280/-]				

W2_f14177f: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	202	6.9%
1		146	5.0%
2		217	7.4%
3		269	9.2%
4		275	9.4%
5		507	17.4%
6		352	12.1%
7		337	11.6%
8		342	11.7%
9		159	5.5%
10	Positive and warm feelings	111	3.8%
-99	No answer	100	
Sysmiss		5180	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14178a: Feelings towards different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2995 /-] [Invalid=5202 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	956	31.9%
1		275	9.2%
2		261	8.7%
3		203	6.8%
4		147	4.9%
5		287	9.6%
6		164	5.5%
7		198	6.6%
8		214	7.1%
9		135	4.5%
10	Positive and warm feelings	155	5.2%
-99	No answer	60	
Sysmiss		5142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14178b: Feelings towards different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2984 /-] [Invalid=5213 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	323	10.8%
1		226	7.6%
2		250	8.4%
3		220	7.4%
4		197	6.6%
5		384	12.9%
6		251	8.4%

W2_f14178b: Feelings towards different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		293	9.8%
8		352	11.8%
9		251	8.4%
10	Positive and warm feelings	237	7.9%
-99	No answer	71	
Sysmiss		5142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14178c: Feelings towards different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2984 /-] [Invalid=5213 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	168	5.6%
1		104	3.5%
2		200	6.7%
3		268	9.0%
4		316	10.6%
5		731	24.5%
6		352	11.8%
7		360	12.1%
8		303	10.2%
9		115	3.9%
10	Positive and warm feelings	67	2.2%
-99	No answer	71	
Sysmiss		5142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14178d: Feelings towards different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2982 /-] [Invalid=5215 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	251	8.4%
1		190	6.4%
2		281	9.4%
3		287	9.6%
4		305	10.2%
5		522	17.5%
6		316	10.6%
7		288	9.7%
8		288	9.7%
9		159	5.3%
10	Positive and warm feelings	95	3.2%
-99	No answer	73	
Sysmiss		5142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f14178e: Feelings towards different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2982/-] [Invalid=5215/-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Negative and cold feelings	426	14.3%	
1		246	8.2%	
2		265	8.9%	
3		257	8.6%	
4		227	7.6%	
5		353	11.8%	
6		243	8.1%	
7		255	8.6%	
8		310	10.4%	
9		210	7.0%	
10	Positive and warm feelings	190	6.4%	
-99	No answer	73		
Sysmiss		5142		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f14178f: Feelings towards different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2976/-] [Invalid=5221/-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Negative and cold feelings	289	9.7%	
1		177	5.9%	
2		256	8.6%	
3		286	9.6%	
4		271	9.1%	
5		497	16.7%	
6		338	11.4%	
7		324	10.9%	
8		288	9.7%	
9		167	5.6%	
10	Positive and warm feelings	83	2.8%	
-99	No answer	79		
Sysmiss		5142		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f14179a1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2012/-] [Invalid=6185/-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very unhappy	152	7.6%	
2	Rather unhappy	367	18.2%	
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1100	54.7%	
4	Rather happy	134	6.7%	
5	Very happy	129	6.4%	

# W2_f14179a1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8	Don't know	130	6.5%	
-99	No answer	11		
Sysmiss		6174		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f14179a2: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC and often talks about politics				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2014 /-] [Invalid=6183 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very unhappy	186	9.2%	
2	Rather unhappy	427	21.2%	
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1025	50.9%	
4	Rather happy	165	8.2%	
5	Very happy	102	5.1%	
8	Don't know	109	5.4%	
-99	No answer	13		
Sysmiss		6170		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f14179a3: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC but rarely talks about politics				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2008 /-] [Invalid=6189 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very unhappy	120	6.0%	
2	Rather unhappy	333	16.6%	
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1176	58.6%	
4	Rather happy	128	6.4%	
5	Very happy	112	5.6%	
8	Don't know	139	6.9%	
-99	No answer	13		
Sysmiss		6176		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f14179b1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2010 /-] [Invalid=6187 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very unhappy	58	2.9%	
2	Rather unhappy	121	6.0%	
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1202	59.8%	
4	Rather happy	294	14.6%	
5	Very happy	202	10.0%	
8	Don't know	133	6.6%	
-99	No answer	13		

# W2_f14179b1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
Sysmiss		6174				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f14179b2: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES and often talks about politics						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2016/-] [Invalid=6181/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Very unhappy	50	2.5%			
2	Rather unhappy	177	8.8%			
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1192	59.1%			
4	Rather happy	320	15.9%			
5	Very happy	173	8.6%			
8	Don't know	104	5.2%			
-99	No answer	11				
Sysmiss		6170				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f14179b3: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES but rarely talks about politics						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2005/-] [Invalid=6192/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Very unhappy	34	1.7%			
2	Rather unhappy	116	5.8%			
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1295	64.6%			
4	Rather happy	257	12.8%			
5	Very happy	150	7.5%			
8	Don't know	153	7.6%			
-99	No answer	17				
Sysmiss		6175				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f14179c1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1092/-] [Invalid=7105/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Very unhappy	7	0.6%			
2	Rather unhappy	5	0.5%			
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	557	51.0%			
4	Rather happy	269	24.6%			
5	Very happy	216	19.8%			
8	Don't know	38	3.5%			
-99	No answer	7				
Sysmiss		7098				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						

W2_f14179c2: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies and often talks about politics

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1058 /-] [Invalid=7139 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	6	0.6%
2	Rather unhappy	8	0.8%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	517	48.9%
4	Rather happy	319	30.2%
5	Very happy	169	16.0%
8	Don't know	39	3.7%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14179c3: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies but rarely talks about politics

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1120 /-] [Invalid=7077 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	5	0.4%
2	Rather unhappy	10	0.9%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	629	56.2%
4	Rather happy	288	25.7%
5	Very happy	130	11.6%
8	Don't know	58	5.2%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		7070	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15386a: Feelings towards people who are in favor of easier access for migrants

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5995 /-] [Invalid=2202 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	699	11.7%
1		389	6.5%
2		667	11.1%
3		600	10.0%
4		426	7.1%
5		1154	19.2%
6		458	7.6%
7		543	9.1%
8		540	9.0%
9		211	3.5%
10	Positive and warm feelings	308	5.1%
-99	No answer	74	
Sysmiss		2128	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15386b: Feelings towards people who are in favor of more restrictive access for migrants				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5982/-] [Invalid=2215/-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Negative and cold feelings	366	6.1%	
1		330	5.5%	
2		551	9.2%	
3		564	9.4%	
4		500	8.4%	
5		1109	18.5%	
6		509	8.5%	
7		526	8.8%	
8		655	10.9%	
9		307	5.1%	
10	Positive and warm feelings	565	9.4%	
-99	No answer	87		
Sysmiss		2128		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15387a: Feelings towards people who are in favor of using inclusive language				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5979/-] [Invalid=2218/-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Negative and cold feelings	1421	23.8%	
1		443	7.4%	
2		544	9.1%	
3		426	7.1%	
4		314	5.3%	
5		1100	18.4%	
6		306	5.1%	
7		317	5.3%	
8		421	7.0%	
9		246	4.1%	
10	Positive and warm feelings	441	7.4%	
-99	No answer	89		
Sysmiss		2129		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15387b: Feelings towards people who are against the use of inclusive language				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5948/-] [Invalid=2249/-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Negative and cold feelings	461	7.8%	
1		246	4.1%	
2		393	6.6%	
3		433	7.3%	
4		376	6.3%	

W2_f15387b: Feelings towards people who are against the use of inclusive language

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		1290	21.7%
6		380	6.4%
7		420	7.1%
8		545	9.2%
9		334	5.6%
10	Positive and warm feelings	1070	18.0%
-99	No answer	120	
Sysmiss		2129	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15388a: Feelings towards people who are in favor of the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5971/-] [Invalid=2226/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	934	15.6%
1		269	4.5%
2		379	6.3%
3		298	5.0%
4		298	5.0%
5		1224	20.5%
6		433	7.3%
7		508	8.5%
8		753	12.6%
9		310	5.2%
10	Positive and warm feelings	565	9.5%
-99	No answer	95	
Sysmiss		2131	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15388b: Feelings towards people who are against the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5945/-] [Invalid=2252/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	567	9.5%
1		344	5.8%
2		576	9.7%
3		548	9.2%
4		535	9.0%
5		1402	23.6%
6		331	5.6%
7		328	5.5%
8		368	6.2%
9		233	3.9%
10	Positive and warm feelings	713	12.0%
-99	No answer	121	
Sysmiss		2131	

W2_f15388b: Feelings towards people who are against the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15330arec: Party that is most competent with European policy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5955/-] [Invalid=2242/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1274	21.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	763	12.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	924	15.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1016	17.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	115	1.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	356	6.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	13	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	0.1%
350	Other party	33	0.6%
998	Don't know	1455	24.4%
-99	No answer	108	
Sysmiss		2134	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5960/-] [Invalid=2237/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	559	9.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	795	13.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1412	23.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1526	25.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	124	2.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	230	3.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	23	0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	15	0.3%
350	Other party	50	0.8%
998	Don't know	1226	20.6%
-99	No answer	101	
Sysmiss		2136	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15330crec: Party that is most competent with social policy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5970/-] [Invalid=2227/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	415	7.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	943	15.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2946	49.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	385	6.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	118	2.0%

# W2_f15330crec: Party that is most competent with social policy				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	192	3.2%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	11	0.2%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	0.2%	
350	Other party	77	1.3%	
998	Don't know	873	14.6%	
-99	No answer	91		
Sysmiss		2136		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15330drec: Party that is most competent with environmental policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5974 /-] [Invalid=2223 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	333	5.6%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	342	5.7%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	320	5.4%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	367	6.1%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	2272	38.0%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1447	24.2%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.1%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%	
350	Other party	35	0.6%	
998	Don't know	847	14.2%	
-99	No answer	88		
Sysmiss		2135		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15330erec: Party that is most competent with economic policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5966 /-] [Invalid=2231 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	2283	38.3%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	572	9.6%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	692	11.6%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	734	12.3%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	104	1.7%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	469	7.9%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	9	0.2%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%	
350	Other party	40	0.7%	
998	Don't know	1056	17.7%	
-99	No answer	96		
Sysmiss		2135		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15330freq: Party that is most competent with health policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5920 /-] [Invalid=2277 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	595	10.1%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1257	21.2%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1916	32.4%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	394	6.7%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	116	2.0%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	154	2.6%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	11	0.2%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	0.2%	
350	Other party	46	0.8%	
998	Don't know	1421	24.0%	
-99	No answer	141		
Sysmiss		2136		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15900: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6040 /-] [Invalid=2157 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	2 parties	15	0.2%	
2	3 parties	501	8.3%	
3	4 parties	3816	63.2%	
4	5 parties	708	11.7%	
5	6 parties	382	6.3%	
8	Don't know	618	10.2%	
-99	No answer	18		
Sysmiss		2139		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15900rec: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6040 /-] [Invalid=2157 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	2224	36.8%	
1	Correct answer	3816	63.2%	
-99	No answer	18		
Sysmiss		2139		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f16310: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6014 /-] [Invalid=2183 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	427	7.1%	

# W2_f16310: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	199	3.3%	
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	369	6.1%	
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	4016		66.8%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	21	0.3%	
8	Don't know	982	16.3%	
-99	No answer	44		
Sysmiss		2139		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f16310rec: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council, recoded				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6014 /-] [Invalid=2183 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0		1998	33.2%	
1		4016	66.8%	
-99		44		
Sysmiss		2139		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f16312: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6035 /-] [Invalid=2162 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1		639	10.6%	
2		1013	16.8%	
3		1145	19.0%	
4		892	14.8%	
5		373	6.2%	
8		1973	32.7%	
-99		23		
Sysmiss		2139		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f16312rec: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6035 /-] [Invalid=2162 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0		5396	89.4%	
1		639	10.6%	
-99		23		
Sysmiss		2139		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f16313: Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6014 /-] [Invalid=2183 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0		5396	89.4%	
1		639	10.6%	
-99		23		
Sysmiss		2139		

W2_f16313: Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Swiss citizens/the people	227	3.8%
2	National Council (200 MPs)	694	11.5%
3	Council of States (46 MPs)	229	3.8%
4	United Federal Assembly (National Council and Council of Sta	4490	74.7%
5	Party delegates	45	0.7%
8	Don't know	329	5.5%
-99	No answer	42	
Sysmiss		2141	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f16313rec: Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6014/-] [Invalid=2183/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1524	25.3%
1	Correct answer	4490	74.7%
-99	No answer	42	
Sysmiss		2141	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f16314_AB: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Alain Berset

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=862/-] [Invalid=7335/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	31	3.6%
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	19	2.2%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	15	1.7%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	744	86.3%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	0.1%
8	Don't know	52	6.0%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		7331	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f16314_AR: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Albert RÄ¶sti

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=866/-] [Invalid=7331/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	17	2.0%
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	11	1.3%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	649	74.9%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	6	0.7%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	0.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	2	0.2%
8	Don't know	179	20.7%
-99	No answer	3	

# W2_f16314_AR: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Albert RÄ¶sti						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
Sysmiss		7328				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f16314_EBS: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Elisabeth Baume-Schneider						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=863/-] [Invalid=7334/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	21	2.4%			
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	46	5.3%			
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	6	0.7%			
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	539	62.5%			
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	6	0.7%			
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	5	0.6%			
8	Don't know	240	27.8%			
-99	No answer	5				
Sysmiss		7329				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W2_f16314_GP: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Guy Parmelin						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=858/-] [Invalid=7339/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	74	8.6%			
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	35	4.1%			
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	562	65.5%			
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	37	4.3%			
8	Don't know	150	17.5%			
-99	No answer	7				
Sysmiss		7332				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						

# W2_f16314_IC: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Ignazio Cassis				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=855 /-] [Invalid=7342 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	566	66.2%	
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	42	4.9%	
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	46	5.4%	
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	38	4.4%	
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	0.1%	
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	3	0.4%	
8	Don't know	159	18.6%	
-99	No answer	5		
Sysmiss		7337		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f16314_KKS: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Karin Keller-Sutter				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=862 /-] [Invalid=7335 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	602	69.8%	
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	41	4.8%	
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	21	2.4%	
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	42	4.9%	
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	0.2%	
8	Don't know	154	17.9%	
-99	No answer	1		
Sysmiss		7334		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f16314_VA: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Viola Amherd				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=858 /-] [Invalid=7339 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	49	5.7%	
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	497	57.9%	
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	82	9.6%	
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	43	5.0%	
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	3	0.3%	
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	1	0.1%	
8	Don't know	183	21.3%	
-99	No answer	5		
Sysmiss		7334		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f30021: Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6003 /-] [Invalid=2194 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases		

W2_f30021: Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	223	3.7%
2	Rather disagree	622	10.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1378	23.0%
4	Rather agree	2905	48.4%
5	Strongly agree	875	14.6%
-99	No answer	46	
Sysmiss		2148	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f30022: Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5976 /-] [Invalid=2221 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	65	1.1%
2	Rather disagree	279	4.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	515	8.6%
4	Rather agree	3003	50.3%
5	Strongly agree	2114	35.4%
-99	No answer	73	
Sysmiss		2148	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f30023: Survey experience: the questions were understandable

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5990 /-] [Invalid=2207 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	40	0.7%
2	Rather disagree	150	2.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	256	4.3%
4	Rather agree	2536	42.3%
5	Strongly agree	3008	50.2%
-99	No answer	59	
Sysmiss		2148	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f30024: Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5989 /-] [Invalid=2208 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	67	1.1%
2	Rather disagree	315	5.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	471	7.9%
4	Rather agree	2261	37.8%
5	Strongly agree	2875	48.0%
-99	No answer	60	
Sysmiss		2148	

W2_f30024: Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_longweight: Longitudinal weight, W2

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3.54500938643095] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.629 /-]

W3_intstart: Start Date

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5579 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-10-22		1	0.0%
2023-10-23		1038	18.6%
2023-10-24		772	13.8%
2023-10-25		864	15.5%
2023-10-26		525	9.4%
2023-10-27		265	4.7%
2023-10-28		178	3.2%
2023-10-29		254	4.6%
2023-10-30		209	3.7%
2023-10-31		163	2.9%
2023-11-01		127	2.3%
2023-11-02		94	1.7%
2023-11-03		177	3.2%
2023-11-04		135	2.4%
2023-11-05		127	2.3%
2023-11-06		110	2.0%
2023-11-07		57	1.0%
2023-11-08		45	0.8%
2023-11-09		46	0.8%
2023-11-10		40	0.7%
2023-11-11		27	0.5%
2023-11-12		28	0.5%
2023-11-13		23	0.4%
2023-11-14		14	0.3%
2023-11-15		18	0.3%
2023-11-16		61	1.1%
2023-11-17		31	0.6%
2023-11-18		22	0.4%
2023-11-19		29	0.5%
2023-11-20		20	0.4%
2023-11-21		23	0.4%
2023-11-22		9	0.2%
2023-11-23		7	0.1%
2023-11-24		6	0.1%
2023-11-25		10	0.2%
2023-11-26		9	0.2%
2023-11-27		12	0.2%

# W3_intstart: Start Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-11-28		3	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# W3_intend: End Date			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5579 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-10-22		1	0.0%
2023-10-23		1023	18.3%
2023-10-24		774	13.9%
2023-10-25		855	15.3%
2023-10-26		523	9.4%
2023-10-27		261	4.7%
2023-10-28		180	3.2%
2023-10-29		254	4.6%
2023-10-30		210	3.8%
2023-10-31		164	2.9%
2023-11-01		126	2.3%
2023-11-02		95	1.7%
2023-11-03		169	3.0%
2023-11-04		137	2.5%
2023-11-05		129	2.3%
2023-11-06		107	1.9%
2023-11-07		61	1.1%
2023-11-08		44	0.8%
2023-11-09		47	0.8%
2023-11-10		41	0.7%
2023-11-11		28	0.5%
2023-11-12		29	0.5%
2023-11-13		26	0.5%
2023-11-14		13	0.2%
2023-11-15		19	0.3%
2023-11-16		61	1.1%
2023-11-17		34	0.6%
2023-11-18		24	0.4%
2023-11-19		33	0.6%
2023-11-20		22	0.4%
2023-11-21		24	0.4%
2023-11-22		10	0.2%
2023-11-23		9	0.2%
2023-11-24		7	0.1%
2023-11-25		10	0.2%
2023-11-26		9	0.2%
2023-11-27		15	0.3%
2023-11-28		5	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# W3_totaltime: Total interview length in minutes						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.933333333333-41760.283333333] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5579 /-] [Invalid=2618 /-] [Mean=198.689 /-] [StdDev=2065.417 /-]				
# W3_finished: Completion of questionnaire						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5579 /-] [Invalid=2618 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
0	Uncompleted questionnaire		15	0.3%		
1	Complete questionnaire		5564	99.7%		
Sysmiss			2618			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5579 /-] [Invalid=2618 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
0	Unknown		1	0.0%		
1	Mobile		1488	26.7%		
2	Desktop		4090	73.3%		
Sysmiss			2618			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_langint: Language of interview						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5579 /-] [Invalid=2618 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	German		4087	73.3%		
2	French		1236	22.2%		
3	Italian		256	4.6%		
Sysmiss			2618			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_birthyear: Year of birth						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1927-2005] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5557 /-] [Invalid=2640 /-] [Mean=1970.541 /-] [StdDev=17.649 /-]				
# W3_age: Age in years						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-96] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5557 /-] [Invalid=2640 /-] [Mean=52.459 /-] [StdDev=17.649 /-]				
# W3_agecat: Age in categories						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5557 /-] [Invalid=2640 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	18-24		416	7.5%		
2	25-34		691	12.4%		
3	35-44		793	14.3%		
4	45-54		905	16.3%		

# W3_agecat: Age in categories				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
5	55-64	1131	20.4%	
6	65-74	1014	18.2%	
7	75+	607	10.9%	
-99		22		
Sysmiss		2618		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_sex: Sex according to official documents				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5562 /-] [Invalid=2635 /-]		
Value		Cases		
1		2907		
2		2655		
-99		17		
Sysmiss		2618		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5543 /-] [Invalid=2654 /-]		
Value		Cases		
0		51		
10		264		
-99		36		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5551 /-] [Invalid=2646 /-]		
Value		Cases		
0		47		
10		135		
-99		28		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5550 /-] [Invalid=2647 /-]		
Value		Cases		
0		70		
10		51		
-99		29		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f12800f: Trust: Swiss National Bank				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5546 /-] [Invalid=2651 /-]		
Value		Cases		
0		-		

W3_f12800f: Trust: Swiss National Bank

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	73	17.2%
10	Full trust	352	82.8%
-99	No answer	33	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12800g: Trust: Justice/ Courts

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5554 /-] [Invalid=2643 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	48	10.9%
10	Full trust	392	89.1%
-99	No answer	25	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12800h: Trust: Police

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5555 /-] [Invalid=2642 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	32	7.4%
10	Full trust	402	92.6%
-99	No answer	24	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12800j: Trust: Scientists

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5538 /-] [Invalid=2659 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	33	5.8%
10	Full trust	540	94.2%
-99	No answer	41	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12800k: Trust: Media

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5551 /-] [Invalid=2646 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	265	96.0%
10	Full trust	11	4.0%
-99	No answer	28	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f10100: R's interests in politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5570 /-] [Invalid=2627 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	223	4.0%

W3_f10100: R's interests in politics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rather not interested	1265	22.7%
3	Rather interested	2893	51.9%
4	Very interested	1189	21.3%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		2618	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5420 /-] [Invalid=2777 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	42	0.8%
2	Other old age provisions	85	1.6%
3	Unemployment	2	0.0%
4	Poverty	43	0.8%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	174	3.2%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	1025	18.9%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	233	4.3%
9	Extremism	4	0.1%
10	Finances	10	0.2%
11	Refugees	95	1.8%
12	Xenophobia, racism	11	0.2%
13	Health system	334	6.2%
14	Globalisation	2	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	1	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	8	0.1%
17	Climate	792	14.6%
18	Consociationalism	1	0.0%
19	Health insurance	306	5.6%
20	Crime	6	0.1%
21	Neutrality	126	2.3%
22	Politicians	40	0.7%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	51	0.9%
25	Direct democracy	0	
27	Party polarisation	27	0.5%
28	Security	26	0.5%
29	Social security	4	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	35	0.6%
31	Taxes	4	0.1%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	212	3.9%
34	Economic development	4	0.1%
35	Media	7	0.1%

W3_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36	Renewable energies	4	0.1%
37	Economic/financial crisis	10	0.2%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	3	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	0	
40	Transportation, traffic	3	0.1%
41	Banks, banking crisis	2	0.0%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	33	0.6%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	3	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	18	0.3%
45	Economy, economic policy	76	1.4%
46	Education	10	0.2%
47	Social policy, welfare state	69	1.3%
48	Family policy, upbringing	5	0.1%
49	Labour market, workplace security	49	0.9%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	46	0.8%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	28	0.5%
54	Vandalism, violence	0	
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	3	0.1%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	5	0.1%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	111	2.0%
61	Energy, energy policy	159	2.9%
62	Cross-border commuters	2	0.0%
63	Swiss People's Party	18	0.3%
64	Equal rights	19	0.4%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	37	0.7%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	2	0.0%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	6	0.1%
68	Society in general	12	0.2%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	64	1.2%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	29	0.5%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	3	0.1%
73	Army	3	0.1%
74	Aging population, demographics	20	0.4%
75	Bureaucracy	6	0.1%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	14	0.3%
80	Shift to the right	62	1.1%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	0	
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	16	0.3%

W3_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	404	7.5%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	2	0.0%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	18	0.3%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	4	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	3	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	23	0.4%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	2	0.0%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	14	0.3%
102	Capitalism	12	0.2%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	1	0.0%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	24	0.4%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	4	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	9	0.2%
108	Data protection	0	
109	Left, socialism	8	0.1%
110	Wars, peace policy	184	3.4%
111	Islam, islamism	3	0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	13	0.2%
113	Populism	20	0.4%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	0	
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	5	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	1	0.0%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	2	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	132	
98	Don't know	24	
Sysmiss		2621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5420 /-] [Invalid=2777 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	3	0.1%
2	Economy	513	9.5%
3	Education & culture	11	0.2%

W3_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Environment & energy	1171	21.6%
5	EU, Europe	268	4.9%
6	Finances & taxes	15	0.3%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	30	0.6%
8	Immigration & asylum	1318	24.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	417	7.7%
10	Labour market	57	1.1%
11	Law & order	43	0.8%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	303	5.6%
13	Public health	640	11.8%
14	Public services & infrastructure	38	0.7%
15	Regions & national cohesion	65	1.2%
16	Social security/welfare state	488	9.0%
17	Other problems	35	0.6%
18	No problem	2	0.0%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	3	0.1%
-99	No answer	132	
98	Don't know	24	
Sysmiss		2621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5439 /] [Invalid=2758 /]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	503	9.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	693	12.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1060	19.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1285	23.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	592	10.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	379	7.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	8	0.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	31	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	31	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	21	0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	14	0.3%
201	PP - Pirate Party	5	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	12	0.2%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	63	1.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	

# W3_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
404	Several parties	4	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	18	0.3%
406	Left parties (several)	3	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	4	0.1%
995	Other answer	8	0.1%
998	Don't know	690	12.7%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		2745	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5368 /-] [Invalid=2829 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	503	9.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	693	12.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1060	19.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1285	23.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	592	11.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	379	7.1%
350	Other party, several/all parties	166	3.1%
998	Don't know	690	12.9%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	84	
Sysmiss		2745	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5324 /-] [Invalid=2873 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	115	2.2%
2	Other old age provisions	164	3.1%
3	Unemployment	10	0.2%
4	Poverty	54	1.0%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	96	1.8%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	671	12.6%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	160	3.0%
9	Extremism	4	0.1%
10	Finances	26	0.5%
11	Refugees	56	1.1%
12	Xenophobia, racism	12	0.2%
13	Health system	414	7.8%
14	Globalisation	1	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	2	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	21	0.4%

W3_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Climate	625	11.7%
18	Consociationalism	8	0.2%
19	Health insurance	396	7.4%
20	Crime	9	0.2%
21	Neutrality	83	1.6%
22	Politicians	40	0.8%
23	Political style	1	0.0%
24	Political system	41	0.8%
25	Direct democracy	1	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	16	0.3%
28	Security	35	0.7%
29	Social security	10	0.2%
30	Social inequalities	72	1.4%
31	Taxes	17	0.3%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	335	6.3%
34	Economic development	6	0.1%
35	Media	8	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	8	0.2%
37	Economic/financial crisis	6	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	6	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	0	
40	Transportation, traffic	29	0.5%
41	Banks, banking crisis	9	0.2%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	12	0.2%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	5	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	7	0.1%
45	Economy, economic policy	99	1.9%
46	Education	44	0.8%
47	Social policy, welfare state	94	1.8%
48	Family policy, upbringing	16	0.3%
49	Labour market, workplace security	62	1.2%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	80	1.5%
51	Fear, politics of fear	4	0.1%
52	Labour market for 50+	2	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	17	0.3%
54	Vandalism, violence	2	0.0%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	1	0.0%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	4	0.1%
59	Work for young people	1	0.0%
60	Overpopulation	60	1.1%
61	Energy, energy policy	257	4.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	4	0.1%

W3_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63	Swiss People's Party	11	0.2%
64	Equal rights	39	0.7%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	26	0.5%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	1	0.0%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	4	0.1%
68	Society in general	6	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	53	1.0%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	81	1.5%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	9	0.2%
73	Army	5	0.1%
74	Aging population, demographics	25	0.5%
75	Bureaucracy	12	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	6	0.1%
80	Shift to the right	24	0.5%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	8	0.2%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	10	0.2%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	368	6.9%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	2	0.0%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	8	0.2%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	7	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	0	
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	42	0.8%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	5	0.1%
102	Capitalism	12	0.2%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	6	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	20	0.4%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	8	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	20	0.4%
108	Data protection	3	0.1%
109	Left, socialism	15	0.3%
110	Wars, peace policy	109	2.0%
111	Islam, islamism	2	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	11	0.2%
113	Populism	11	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	3	0.1%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	4	0.1%

# W3_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	4	0.1%	
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0		
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	1	0.0%	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0		
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0		
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	1	0.0%	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0		
-99	No answer	212		
98	Don't know	41		
Sysmiss		2620		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5324 /-] [Invalid=2873 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Agriculture	9	0.2%	
2	Economy	508	9.5%	
3	Education & culture	45	0.8%	
4	Environment & energy	1236	23.2%	
5	EU, Europe	172	3.2%	
6	Finances & taxes	43	0.8%	
7	Gender issues & discrimination	47	0.9%	
8	Immigration & asylum	862	16.2%	
9	Foreign policy & defense	280	5.3%	
10	Labour market	85	1.6%	
11	Law & order	58	1.1%	
12	Political system, parties & politicians	225	4.2%	
13	Public health	810	15.2%	
14	Public services & infrastructure	125	2.3%	
15	Regions & national cohesion	39	0.7%	
16	Social security/welfare state	715	13.4%	
17	Other problems	57	1.1%	
18	No problem	1	0.0%	
19	COVID-19 pandemic	6	0.1%	
99		1	0.0%	
-99	No answer	212		
98	Don't know	41		
Sysmiss		2620		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5350 /-] [Invalid=2847 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	584	10.9%	

W3_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	755	14.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1248	23.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	916	17.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	484	9.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	383	7.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	11	0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	32	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	18	0.3%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	18	0.3%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	16	0.3%
201	PP - Pirate Party	4	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	6	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	3	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	63	1.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	19	0.4%
406	Left parties (several)	2	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	4	0.1%
998	Don't know	764	14.3%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		2836	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=-*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5283 /-] [Invalid=2914 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	584	11.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	755	14.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1248	23.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	916	17.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	484	9.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	383	7.2%
350	Other party, several/all parties	149	2.8%
998	Don't know	764	14.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	78	
Sysmiss		2836	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11100: Participation in the 2023 election				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5496 /-] [Invalid=2701 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Did not vote	458	8.3%	
2	Tought about it but did not vote	319	5.8%	
3	Usually votes but not this time	339	6.2%	
4	Voted	4380	79.7%	
-99	No answer	26		
Sysmiss		2675		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_3_voted: Participation in the 2023 election, W2&3 combined				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5643 /-] [Invalid=2554 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Didn't vote	1200	21.3%	
1	Voted	4443	78.7%	
-99	No answer	26		
Sysmiss		2528		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11301: Reason nonvoting: Could not decide				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=957 /-] [Invalid=7240 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	422	44.1%	
2	Does not apply	535	55.9%	
99	No answer	0		
-99		159		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11302: Reason nonvoting: Not interested in politics				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=971 /-] [Invalid=7226 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	474	48.8%	
2	Does not apply	497	51.2%	
99	No answer	0		
-99		145		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11303: Reason nonvoting: Elections too complicated				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=969 /-] [Invalid=7228 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	474	48.8%	
2	Does not apply	497	51.2%	
99	No answer	0		
-99		145		
Sysmiss		7081		

# W3_f11303: Reason nonvoting: Elections too complicated				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	466		48.1%
2	Does not apply	503		51.9%
99	No answer	0		
-99		147		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11304: Reason non voting: Not convinced by any party/candidate				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=968 /-] [Invalid=7229 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	519		53.6%
2	Does not apply	449		46.4%
99	No answer	0		
-99		148		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11305: Reason non voting: Preferred candidate had no chance of being elected				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=931 /-] [Invalid=7266 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	103		11.1%
2	Does not apply	828		88.9%
99	No answer	0		
-99		185		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11306: Reason non voting: Did not know candidates well enough				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=993 /-] [Invalid=7204 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	706		71.1%
2	Does not apply	287		28.9%
99	No answer	0		
-99		123		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11307: Reason non voting: Was prevented (e.g., illness, accident, absence)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=969 /-] [Invalid=7228 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	278		28.7%
2	Does not apply	691		71.3%

# W3_f11307: Reason non voting: Was prevented (e.g., illness, accident, absence)				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
99	No answer	0		
-99		147		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11308: Reason non voting: Elections have no effect on composition of government				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=928 /-] [Invalid=7269 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	215	23.2%	
2	Does not apply	713	76.8%	
99	No answer	0		
-99		188		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11309: Reason non voting: Elections do not change anything				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=962 /-] [Invalid=7235 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	306	31.8%	
2	Does not apply	656	68.2%	
99	No answer	0		
-99		154		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11310: Reason non voting: Can exert greater influence on politics with referendums				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=939 /-] [Invalid=7258 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	482	51.3%	
2	Does not apply	457	48.7%	
99	No answer	0		
-99		177		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11312: Reason non voting: Other reason				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=7776 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	147	34.9%	
2	Does not apply	274	65.1%	
99	No answer	0		
-99		695		

# W3_f11312: Reason non voting: Other reason						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
Sysmiss		7081				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f11400rec: Party R would have voted for, all non voters						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1103 /-] [Invalid=7094 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	135	12.2%			
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	155	14.1%			
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	150	13.6%			
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	253	22.9%			
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	52	4.7%			
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	61	5.5%			
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.2%			
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	0.3%			
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	4	0.4%			
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	9	0.8%			
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	6	0.5%			
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	7	0.6%			
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	5	0.5%			
201	PP - Pirate Party	0				
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0				
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	0.1%			
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0				
350	Other party	0				
402	No party/ rather persons	18	1.6%			
403	Would vote blank	2	0.2%			
404	Several parties	4	0.4%			
405	All parties together, all	0				
406	Left parties (several)	0				
407	Right parties (several)	0				
995	Other answer	3	0.3%			
998	Don't know	233	21.1%			
-99	No answer	13				
Sysmiss		7081				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f11400main6: Party R would have voted for, all non voters, main parties						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=865 /-] [Invalid=7332 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	135	15.6%			
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	155	17.9%			
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	150	17.3%			
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	253	29.2%			
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	52	6.0%			

W3_f11400main6: Party R would have voted for, all non voters, main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	61	 7.1%	7.1%
350	Other	59	 6.8%	6.8%
-99	No answer	251		
Sysmiss		7081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11600: How R votes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4378 /-] [Invalid=3819 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	At the ballot box in the polling station	187	4.3%	
2	In the municipal letterbox	2313	52.8%	
3	Voted by letter (postal voting)	1878	42.9%	
-99	No answer	2		
Sysmiss		3817		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11605: Moment when R sent his ballot away				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4376 /-] [Invalid=3821 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Immediately after receiving voting material	566	12.9%	
2	A few weeks before the election	1376	31.4%	
3	A few days before the election	2115	48.3%	
4	On election day	292	6.7%	
5	Can't remember	27	0.6%	
-99	No answer	2		
Sysmiss		3819		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11800rec: Party R voted in national elections 2023				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4357 /-] [Invalid=3840 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	574	13.2%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	660	15.1%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1027	23.6%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	896	20.6%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	452	10.4%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	441	10.1%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	14	0.3%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	17	0.4%	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	10	0.2%	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	80	1.8%	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	32	0.7%	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	15	0.3%	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	13	0.3%	
201	PP - Pirate Party	11	0.3%	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.0%	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	24	0.6%	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	3	0.1%	
350	Other party	8	0.2%	
402	No party/ rather persons	36	0.8%	

# W3_f11800rec: Party R voted in national elections 2023				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
403	Would vote blank	6	0.1%	
404	Several parties	22	0.5%	
405	All parties together, all	2	0.0%	
406	Left parties (several)	4	0.1%	
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%	
995	Other answer	7	0.2%	
998	Don't know	1	0.0%	
-99	No answer	23		
Sysmiss		3817		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11800main6: Party R voted in national elections 2023, main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-350] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4380 /-] [Invalid=3817 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
-99		37	0.8%	
10		574	13.1%	
21		660	15.1%	
30		1027	23.4%	
40		896	20.5%	
50		452	10.3%	
60		441	10.1%	
350		293	6.7%	
Sysmiss		3817		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_3_f11800main6: Party R voted in national elections 2023, main parties, W2&3 combined				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4405 /-] [Invalid=3792 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10		584	13.3%	
21		667	15.1%	
30		1038	23.6%	
40		917	20.8%	
50		459	10.4%	
60		443	10.1%	
350		297	6.7%	
-99		38		
Sysmiss		3754		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11811rec: Vote for or against a party/candidate				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4284 /-] [Invalid=3913 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1		Rather a decision for this party/candidate	3574	83.4%

# W3_f11811rec: Vote for or against a party/candidate				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	Rather a decision against this party/candidate	710	16.6%	
-99	No answer	25		
Sysmiss		3888		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11812rec: Party R voted against				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=699 /-] [Invalid=7498 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	42	6.0%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	16	2.3%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	134	19.2%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	359	51.4%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	110	15.7%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	12	1.7%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	0		
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0		
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	1	0.1%	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	0		
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	0.1%	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	0		
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	1	0.1%	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0		
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0		
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0		
350	Other party	0		
402	No party/ rather persons	2	0.3%	
403	Would vote blank	0		
404	Several parties	5	0.7%	
405	All parties together, all	16	2.3%	
406	Left parties (several)	0		
407	Right parties (several)	0		
995	Other answer	0		
998	Don't know	0		
-99	No answer	11		
Sysmiss		7487		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11812main6: Party R voted against, 6 main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=699 /-] [Invalid=7498 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	42	6.0%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	16	2.3%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	134	19.2%	

W3_f11812main6: Party R voted against, 6 main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	359	51.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	110	15.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	12	1.7%
350	Other party, several/all parties	26	3.7%
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	11	
Sysmiss		7487	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f11900: Moment when R decided whom to vote for in National council elections

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*<0/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4357 /-] [Invalid=3840 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Knew it all the time	1681	38.6%
2	A few weeks before the election	1557	35.7%
3	A few days before the election	857	19.7%
4	In the last moment	181	4.2%
5	Can't remember	81	1.9%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		3836	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12010: Modification of voting list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*<0/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4255 /-] [Invalid=3942 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unmodified list	1842	43.3%
2	Modified or blank list	2413	56.7%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		3940	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12020a: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*<0/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2130 /-] [Invalid=6067 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1551	72.8%
2	No	566	26.6%
8	Don't know	13	0.6%
-99	No answer	283	
Sysmiss		5784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12020b: Did R cross out candidates?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*<0/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1933 /-] [Invalid=6264 /-]

W3_f12020b: Did R cross out candidates?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1211	62.6%
2	No	696	36.0%
8	Don't know	26	1.3%
-99	No answer	480	
Sysmiss		5784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12020c: Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2212 /-] [Invalid=5985 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1793	81.1%
2	No	404	18.3%
8	Don't know	15	0.7%
-99	No answer	201	
Sysmiss		5784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1569 /-] [Invalid=6628 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1029	65.6%
1	Yes	540	34.4%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		6626	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12030b: Panachage - CVP/PDC

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1501 /-] [Invalid=6696 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	920	61.3%
1	Yes	581	38.7%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		6694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1388 /-] [Invalid=6809 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	779	56.1%
1	Yes	609	43.9%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6806	

W3_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12030d: Panachage - SVP/UDC

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1500 /-] [Invalid=6697 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1226	81.7%
1	Yes	274	18.3%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12030e: Panachage - GPS/PES

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1604 /-] [Invalid=6593 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1080	67.3%
1	Yes	524	32.7%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6590	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12030f: Panachage - GLP/PVL

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1599 /-] [Invalid=6598 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1195	74.7%
1	Yes	404	25.3%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6595	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12030h: Panachage - Lega

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=61 /-] [Invalid=8136 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	52	85.2%
1	Yes	9	14.8%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8136	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12030i: Panachage - MCG

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=56 /-] [Invalid=8141 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	39	69.6%
1	Yes	17	30.4%

# W3_f12030i: Panachage - MCG						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	0				
Sysmiss		8141				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f12030j: Panachage - CSP/PCS						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1786/-] [Invalid=6411/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	1768	99.0%			
1	Yes	18	1.0%			
-99	No answer	3				
Sysmiss		6408				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1765/-] [Invalid=6432/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	1628	92.2%			
1	Yes	137	7.8%			
-99	No answer	3				
Sysmiss		6429				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f12030l: Panachage - EDU/UDF						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1779/-] [Invalid=6418/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	1751	98.4%			
1	Yes	28	1.6%			
-99	No answer	3				
Sysmiss		6415				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PdT						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1784/-] [Invalid=6413/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	1748	98.0%			
1	Yes	36	2.0%			
-99	No answer	3				
Sysmiss		6410				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1784/-] [Invalid=6413/-]				

W3_f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1740	97.5%
1	Yes	44	2.5%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12030o: Panachage - Other party/candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1789 /-] [Invalid=6408 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1717	96.0%
1	Yes	72	4.0%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		6404	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12025: Did R vote more female/male candidates than original list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2403 /-] [Invalid=5794 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More men on the list	658	27.4%
2	About as many men as women on the list	842	35.0%
3	More women on the list	491	20.4%
8	Don't know	412	17.1%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12340a: Did R think about voting for FDP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2962 /-] [Invalid=5235 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2063	69.6%
1	Yes	899	30.4%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5235	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12340b: Did R think about voting for CVP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*⁻⁹⁹]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2872 /-] [Invalid=5325 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1813	63.1%
1	Yes	1059	36.9%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5325	

W3_f12340b: Did R think about voting for CVP

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12340c: Did R think about voting for SP

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2464 /-] [Invalid=5733 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1666	67.6%
1	Yes	798	32.4%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5733	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12340d: Did R think about voting for SVP

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2903 /-] [Invalid=5294 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2528	87.1%
1	Yes	375	12.9%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5294	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12340e: Did R think about voting for GPS

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3040 /-] [Invalid=5157 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2200	72.4%
1	Yes	840	27.6%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5157	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12340f: Did R think about voting for GLP

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3144 /-] [Invalid=5053 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2229	70.9%
1	Yes	915	29.1%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5053	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12340h: Did R think about voting for Lega

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=150 /-] [Invalid=8047 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	122	81.3%
1	Yes	28	18.7%

# W3_f12340h: Did R think about voting for Lega						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No Answer	0				
Sysmiss		8047				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f12340i: Did R think about voting for MCG						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=124 /-] [Invalid=8073 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	90	72.6%			
1	Yes	34	27.4%			
-99	No Answer	0				
Sysmiss		8073				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4313 /-] [Invalid=3884 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	26	0.6%			
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	397	9.2%			
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	42	1.0%			
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	50	1.2%			
5	Maivorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	2	0.0%			
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	84	1.9%			
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	2	0.0%			
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	117	2.7%			
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	6	0.1%			
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	66	1.5%			
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	0				
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	4	0.1%			
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	6	0.1%			
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	4	0.1%			
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	10	0.2%			
16	Grossen JÄrg (GLP/PVL)	53	1.2%			
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	25	0.6%			
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	25	0.6%			
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0				
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	28	0.6%			
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0				
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	3	0.1%			
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	1	0.0%			
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0				
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	46	1.1%			
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	127	2.9%			
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0				
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	269	6.2%			

W3_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	1	0.0%	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	16	0.4%	
31	GmÄ¼r-SchÄ¶nenberger Andrea (The Center)	63	1.5%	
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	3	0.1%	
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	26	0.6%	
34	MÄ¼ller Damian (FDP/PLR)	71	1.6%	
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	3	0.1%	
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	37	0.9%	
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	23	0.5%	
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	6	0.1%	
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	4	0.1%	
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	14	0.3%	
41	GÄ¶ssi Petra (FDP/PLR)	25	0.6%	
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	2	0.0%	
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	15	0.3%	
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	22	0.5%	
45	Ettlin Erich (The Center)	0		
46	Lang Urs (Aufrecht)	1	0.0%	
47	Wicki Hans (FDP/PLR)	17	0.4%	
48	Zwyssig Benedikt (Aufrecht)	1	0.0%	
49	MÄ¼hlemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	5	0.1%	
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%	
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	4	0.1%	
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0		
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	27	0.6%	
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	11	0.3%	
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	2	0.0%	
56	ThÄ¶ni Stefan (PARAT)	0		
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	2	0.0%	
58	Weichert Manuela (Green party)	14	0.3%	
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	6	0.1%	
60	Zimmermann RenÄ© (Aufrecht)	0		
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	26	0.6%	
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	46	1.1%	
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	21	0.5%	
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%	
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	1	0.0%	
66	Page Pierre-AndrÄ© (SVP/UDC)	30	0.7%	
67	Rey AlizÄ©e (SP/PS)	34	0.8%	
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	17	0.4%	
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	57	1.3%	
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	21	0.5%	
71	KÄ¼nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	8	0.2%	
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	46	1.1%	
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	8	0.2%	

W3_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
74	Herter Balz (The Center)	8	0.2%
75	Herzog Eva (SP/PS)	72	1.7%
76	Messerli Pascal (SVP/UDC)	2	0.0%
77	Weber Eric (VA)	1	0.0%
78	Graf Maya (Green party)	80	1.9%
79	InÄ¤bnit Sven (FDP/PLR)	58	1.3%
80	BrÄ¼hlmann Lisa (Young green party)	0	
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	18	0.4%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	5	0.1%
83	SchÄ¤rrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	2	0.0%
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	20	0.5%
85	Caroni Andrea (FDP/PLR)	28	0.6%
86	Fischer Manuel (Independent)	0	
87		1	0.0%
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	44	1.0%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	87	2.0%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	18	0.4%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteifrei SG)	2	0.0%
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	1	0.0%
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	16	0.4%
94	Seger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	10	0.2%
95	WÄ¼rth Benedikt (The Center)	101	2.3%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	61	1.4%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	20	0.5%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	8	0.2%
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	37	0.9%
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	122	2.8%
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	41	1.0%
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	5	0.1%
103	KÄ¤lin IrÄ“ne (Green party)	29	0.7%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	0	
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	18	0.4%
106	SchÄ¶ni Theres (LOVB)	1	0.0%
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	13	0.3%
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	60	1.4%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	1	0.0%
110	HÄ¤berli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	49	1.1%
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	13	0.3%
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	3	0.1%
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	40	0.9%
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	11	0.3%
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	3	0.1%
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	2	0.0%
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	41	1.0%
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	1	0.0%

W3_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	1	0.0%
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	36	0.8%
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	26	0.6%
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	9	0.2%
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	1	0.0%
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	26	0.6%
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	19	0.4%
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	84	1.9%
127	Bucelin Hadrien (AI/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	1	0.0%
128	Buffat MichaÃ«l (SVP/UDC)	20	0.5%
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (AI/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	2	0.0%
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	0	
131	GÃ©taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	4	0.1%
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	2	0.0%
133	Mahaim RaphaÃ«l (Green party)	30	0.7%
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	153	3.5%
135	Meylan FranÃ§ois (Les IndÃ©pendants vaudois)	2	0.0%
136	Timofte AnaÃ±s (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
137	Weber CÃ©line (GLP/PVL)	18	0.4%
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	5	0.1%
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	17	0.4%
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	9	0.2%
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	15	0.3%
142	Dessimoz CÃ©line (Green party)	20	0.5%
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	2	0.0%
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	39	0.9%
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	19	0.4%
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	30	0.7%
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	2	0.0%
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	16	0.4%
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	7	0.2%
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
151	Cario GrÃ©goire (SVP/UDC)	2	0.0%
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	7	0.2%
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
155	Huguenin-Elie ThÃ©o (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	17	0.4%
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	2	0.0%
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara CÃ©line (Green party)	12	0.3%
161	Amaudruz CÃ©line (SVP/UDC)	22	0.5%
162	Cruchon Pablo (EÄ G - LUP)	1	0.0%
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	8	0.2%

W3_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
164	MaÃ®tre Vincent (The Center)	10	0.2%
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	7	0.2%
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	40	0.9%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	1	0.0%
168	Parmentier Danielle (EÃ G - LUP)	0	
169	Pini Jessica (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	51	1.2%
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	23	0.5%
172	Thion Guillaume (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	5	0.1%
175	Crevoisier Crelier Mathilde (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	1	0.0%
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	1	0.0%
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	8	0.2%
179	Monin FranÃ§ois (The Center)	0	
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	0	
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	0	
182	SchÃ¤rer Alec AndrÃ© (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	2	0.0%
184	Vuillaume IsmaÃ«l (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
350	Other person	29	0.7%
997	Nobody	142	3.3%
998	Don't know	7	0.2%
-99	No answer	34	
Sysmiss		3850	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4313 /-] [Invalid=3884 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	681	15.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	649	15.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1242	28.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	649	15.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	441	10.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	249	5.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	51	1.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	67	1.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	11	0.3%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	4	0.1%

# W3_f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
350	Other party	79	1.8%
402	No party/independent candidate	41	1.0%
993	Voted blank	0	
997	Nobody	142	3.3%
998	Don't know	7	0.2%
-99	No answer	34	
Sysmiss		3850	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12301pmain6: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*<99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4164 /-] [Invalid=4033 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	681	16.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	649	15.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1242	29.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	649	15.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	441	10.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	249	6.0%
350	Other party, several/all parties	253	6.1%
-99	No answer, blank, DK, other answer	183	
Sysmiss		3850	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*<99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3789 /-] [Invalid=4408 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	29	0.8%
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	90	2.4%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	63	1.7%
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	153	4.0%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	12	0.3%
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	166	4.4%
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	0	
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	63	1.7%
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	10	0.3%
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	106	2.8%
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	1	0.0%
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	6	0.2%
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	7	0.2%
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	7	0.2%
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	12	0.3%
16	Grossen JÄrg (GLP/PVL)	76	2.0%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	40	1.1%

W3_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	84	2.2%
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	9	0.2%
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	1	0.0%
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	1	0.0%
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0	
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	168	4.4%
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	36	1.0%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	2	0.1%
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	75	2.0%
29	Zbinden Romaïn (Normalos)	0	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	16	0.4%
31	GmÄ¼r-SchÄ¶nenberger Andrea (The Center)	60	1.6%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	3	0.1%
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	9	0.2%
34	MÄ¼ller Damian (FDP/PLR)	56	1.5%
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	2	0.1%
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	22	0.6%
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	26	0.7%
38	Ditli Josef (FDP/PLR)	3	0.1%
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	6	0.2%
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	8	0.2%
41	GÄ¶ssi Petra (FDP/PLR)	18	0.5%
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	11	0.3%
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	19	0.5%
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	7	0.2%
49	MÄ¼hlemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	0	
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	0	
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	4	0.1%
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	1	0.0%
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	11	0.3%
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	20	0.5%
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	0	
56	ThÄ¶ni Stefan (PARAT)	2	0.1%
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	6	0.2%
58	Weichert Manuela (Green party)	9	0.2%
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
60	Zimmermann RenÄ© (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	22	0.6%
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	23	0.6%
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	30	0.8%
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	4	0.1%
66	Page Pierre-AndrÄ© (SVP/UDC)	7	0.2%

W3_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
67	Rey Alizée (SP/PS)	14	0.4%
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	26	0.7%
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	21	0.6%
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	8	0.2%
71	Käünzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	11	0.3%
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	33	0.9%
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	29	0.8%
80	Brühlmann Lisa (Young green party)	9	0.2%
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	7	0.2%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	14	0.4%
83	Schärer Nina (FDP/PLR)	7	0.2%
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	21	0.6%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	27	0.7%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	34	0.9%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteifrei SG)	3	0.1%
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	6	0.2%
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	21	0.6%
94	Seger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	26	0.7%
95	Württh Benedikt (The Center)	69	1.8%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	15	0.4%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	47	1.2%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	8	0.2%
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	60	1.6%
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	39	1.0%
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	56	1.5%
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	1	0.0%
103	Kälin Irène (Green party)	41	1.1%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	1	0.0%
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	22	0.6%
106	Schäni Theres (LOVB)	2	0.1%
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	16	0.4%
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	44	1.2%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	0	
110	Häberli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	35	0.9%
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	15	0.4%
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	1	0.0%
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	23	0.6%
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	19	0.5%
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	2	0.1%
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	13	0.3%
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	1	0.0%
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	13	0.3%

W3_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	19	0.5%
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	12	0.3%
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	3	0.1%
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	14	0.4%
125	Stormi Bruno (SP/PS)	20	0.5%
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	50	1.3%
127	Buclin Hadrien (AI/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	2	0.1%
128	Buffat MichaÃ«l (SVP/UDC)	36	1.0%
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (AI/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	4	0.1%
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	0	
131	GÃ©taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	3	0.1%
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	3	0.1%
133	Mahaim RaphaÃ«l (Green party)	61	1.6%
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	61	1.6%
135	Meylan FranÃ§ois (Les IndÃ©pendants vaudois)	5	0.1%
136	Timofte AnaÃ«s (PdA/PdT)	3	0.1%
137	Weber CÃ©line (GLP/PVL)	13	0.3%
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	12	0.3%
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	5	0.1%
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	15	0.4%
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	14	0.4%
142	Dessimoz CÃ©line (Green party)	11	0.3%
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	5	0.1%
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	31	0.8%
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	12	0.3%
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	33	0.9%
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	7	0.2%
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	3	0.1%
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	4	0.1%
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
151	Cario GrÃ©goire (SVP/UDC)	6	0.2%
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	4	0.1%
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	10	0.3%
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%
155	Huguenin-Elie ThÃ©o (SP/PS)	4	0.1%
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	5	0.1%
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	6	0.2%
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara CÃ©line (Green party)	12	0.3%
161	Amaudruz CÃ©line (SVP/UDC)	17	0.4%
162	Cruchon Pablo (EÄ G - LUP)	0	
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	9	0.2%
164	MaÃ®tre Vincent (The Center)	14	0.4%
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	8	0.2%

W3_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	23	0.6%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
168	Parmentier Danielle (EÄ G - LUP)	1	0.0%
169	Pini Jessica (EÄ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	19	0.5%
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	34	0.9%
172	Thion Guillaume (EÄ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	1	0.0%
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	3	0.1%
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	1	0.0%
175	Crevoisier Crelier Mathilde (SP/PS)	6	0.2%
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	2	0.1%
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	1	0.0%
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	0	
179	Monin FranÃ§ois (The Center)	3	0.1%
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	0	
182	SchÄ¤rer Alec AndrÃ© (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%
184	Vuillaume IsmaÃ«l (GLP/PVL)	0	
350	Other person	33	0.9%
997	Nobody	602	15.9%
998	Don't know	5	0.1%
-99	No answer	118	
Sysmiss		4290	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3789 /-] [Invalid=4408 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	576	15.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	517	13.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	471	12.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	328	8.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	655	17.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	377	9.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	19	0.5%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	54	1.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	30	0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	8	0.2%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
350	Other party	102	2.7%

# W3_f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
402	No party/independent candidate	45	1.2%	
993	Voted blank	0		
997	Nobody	602		15.9%
998	Don't know	5	0.1%	
-99	No answer	118		
Sysmiss		4290		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12302pmain6: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3182 /-] [Invalid=5015 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	576	18.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	517	16.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	471	14.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	328	10.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	655	20.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	377	11.8%
350	Other party, several/all parties	258	8.1%
-99	No answer, blank, DK, other answer	725	
Sysmiss		4290	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f13660a: Sources of information: ads/letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3985 /-] [Invalid=4212 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2611	65.5%
1	Yes	1374	34.5%
-99	No answer	452	
Sysmiss		3760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f13660i: Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4193 /-] [Invalid=4004 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1765	42.1%
1	Yes	2428	57.9%
-99	No answer	244	
Sysmiss		3760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f13660n: Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4057 /-] [Invalid=4140 /-]

W3_f13660n: Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1904	46.9%
1	Yes	2153	53.1%
-99	No answer	380	
Sysmiss		3760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f13660d: Sources of information: party stands in the streets

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3793 /-] [Invalid=4404 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3595	94.8%
1	Yes	198	5.2%
-99	No answer	644	
Sysmiss		3760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f13660j: Sources of information: discussions at work or school

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3815 /-] [Invalid=4382 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2757	72.3%
1	Yes	1058	27.7%
-99	No answer	622	
Sysmiss		3760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f13660e: Sources of information: political events of parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3794 /-] [Invalid=4403 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3612	95.2%
1	Yes	182	4.8%
-99	No answer	643	
Sysmiss		3760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f13660g: Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3855 /-] [Invalid=4342 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2631	68.2%
1	Yes	1224	31.8%
-99	No answer	582	
Sysmiss		3760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f13660k: Sources of information: discussions with family and friends				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4129 /-] [Invalid=4068 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	883	21.4%	
1	Yes	3246	78.6%	
-99	No answer	308		
Sysmiss		3760		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f13660f: Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3837 /-] [Invalid=4360 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	2976	77.6%	
1	Yes	861	22.4%	
-99	No answer	600		
Sysmiss		3760		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f13660c: Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3835 /-] [Invalid=4362 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	2890	75.4%	
1	Yes	945	24.6%	
-99	No answer	602		
Sysmiss		3760		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f13660l: Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3828 /-] [Invalid=4369 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	2932	76.6%	
1	Yes	896	23.4%	
-99	No answer	609		
Sysmiss		3760		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f13660b: Sources of information: posters in the street				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3817 /-] [Invalid=4380 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	3021	79.1%	
1	Yes	796	20.9%	
-99	No answer	620		
Sysmiss		3760		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f13660m: Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3780 /-] [Invalid=4417 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	3733	98.8%	
1	Yes	47	1.2%	
-99	No answer	657		
Sysmiss		3760		

# W3_f13660m: Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates																																			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>																																			
# W3_f12610a: Signed a petition, popular initiative or a referendum (past 5 years)																																			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]																																		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5535 /-] [Invalid=2662 /-]																																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Value</th><th>Label</th><th>Cases</th><th>Percentage</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td><td>No</td><td>1797</td><td>32.5%</td></tr> <tr> <td>2</td><td>Yes, only online</td><td>742</td><td>13.4%</td></tr> <tr> <td>3</td><td>Yes, only offline</td><td>2119</td><td>38.3%</td></tr> <tr> <td>4</td><td>Yes, both online and offline</td><td>716</td><td>12.9%</td></tr> <tr> <td>8</td><td>Don't know</td><td>161</td><td>2.9%</td></tr> <tr> <td>-99</td><td>No answer</td><td>44</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Sysmiss</td><td></td><td>2618</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>				Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	1	No	1797	32.5%	2	Yes, only online	742	13.4%	3	Yes, only offline	2119	38.3%	4	Yes, both online and offline	716	12.9%	8	Don't know	161	2.9%	-99	No answer	44		Sysmiss		2618	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage																																
1	No	1797	32.5%																																
2	Yes, only online	742	13.4%																																
3	Yes, only offline	2119	38.3%																																
4	Yes, both online and offline	716	12.9%																																
8	Don't know	161	2.9%																																
-99	No answer	44																																	
Sysmiss		2618																																	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>																																			
# W3_f12610b: Contacted a politician or civil servant to express your views (past 5 years)																																			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]																																		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5373 /-] [Invalid=2824 /-]																																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Value</th><th>Label</th><th>Cases</th><th>Percentage</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td><td>No</td><td>4672</td><td>87.0%</td></tr> <tr> <td>2</td><td>Yes, only online</td><td>217</td><td>4.0%</td></tr> <tr> <td>3</td><td>Yes, only offline</td><td>363</td><td>6.8%</td></tr> <tr> <td>4</td><td>Yes, both online and offline</td><td>48</td><td>0.9%</td></tr> <tr> <td>8</td><td>Don't know</td><td>73</td><td>1.4%</td></tr> <tr> <td>-99</td><td>No answer</td><td>206</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Sysmiss</td><td></td><td>2618</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>				Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	1	No	4672	87.0%	2	Yes, only online	217	4.0%	3	Yes, only offline	363	6.8%	4	Yes, both online and offline	48	0.9%	8	Don't know	73	1.4%	-99	No answer	206		Sysmiss		2618	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage																																
1	No	4672	87.0%																																
2	Yes, only online	217	4.0%																																
3	Yes, only offline	363	6.8%																																
4	Yes, both online and offline	48	0.9%																																
8	Don't know	73	1.4%																																
-99	No answer	206																																	
Sysmiss		2618																																	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>																																			
# W3_f12610d: Contacted or appeared in the media to express my views (past 5 years)																																			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]																																		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5355 /-] [Invalid=2842 /-]																																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Value</th><th>Label</th><th>Cases</th><th>Percentage</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td><td>No</td><td>4830</td><td>90.2%</td></tr> <tr> <td>2</td><td>Yes, only online</td><td>323</td><td>6.0%</td></tr> <tr> <td>3</td><td>Yes, only offline</td><td>89</td><td>1.7%</td></tr> <tr> <td>4</td><td>Yes, both online and offline</td><td>38</td><td>0.7%</td></tr> <tr> <td>8</td><td>Don't know</td><td>75</td><td>1.4%</td></tr> <tr> <td>-99</td><td>No answer</td><td>224</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Sysmiss</td><td></td><td>2618</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>				Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	1	No	4830	90.2%	2	Yes, only online	323	6.0%	3	Yes, only offline	89	1.7%	4	Yes, both online and offline	38	0.7%	8	Don't know	75	1.4%	-99	No answer	224		Sysmiss		2618	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage																																
1	No	4830	90.2%																																
2	Yes, only online	323	6.0%																																
3	Yes, only offline	89	1.7%																																
4	Yes, both online and offline	38	0.7%																																
8	Don't know	75	1.4%																																
-99	No answer	224																																	
Sysmiss		2618																																	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>																																			
# W3_f12610g: Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)																																			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]																																		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5396 /-] [Invalid=2801 /-]																																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Value</th><th>Label</th><th>Cases</th><th>Percentage</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td><td>No</td><td>2617</td><td>48.5%</td></tr> <tr> <td>2</td><td>Yes, only online</td><td>217</td><td>4.0%</td></tr> </tbody> </table>				Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	1	No	2617	48.5%	2	Yes, only online	217	4.0%																				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage																																
1	No	2617	48.5%																																
2	Yes, only online	217	4.0%																																

# W3_f12610g: Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	Yes, only offline	1780	33.0%	
4	Yes, both online and offline	509	9.4%	
8	Don't know	273	5.1%	
-99	No answer	183		
Sysmiss		2618		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f12610i: Took part in a demonstration (past 5 years)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5239 /-] [Invalid=2958 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	4414	84.3%	
2	Yes, only online	55	1.0%	
3	Yes, only offline	678	12.9%	
4	Yes, both online and offline	31	0.6%	
8	Don't know	61	1.2%	
-99	No answer	340		
Sysmiss		2618		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_n13_030a1: Union or employee organization: Member				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5405 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	4739	87.7%	
1	Yes	666	12.3%	
-99	No answer	172		
Sysmiss		2620		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_n13_030a2: Union or employee association: participated in activities				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5405 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	5267	97.4%	
1	Yes	138	2.6%	
-99	No answer	172		
Sysmiss		2620		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_n13_030a3: Union or employee association: donated money				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5405 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	5243	97.0%	
1	Yes	162	3.0%	

# W3_n13_030a3: Union or employee association: donated money						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	172				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030a4: Union or employee association: honorary position						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5405/-] [Invalid=2792/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	5339	98.8%			
1	Yes	66	1.2%			
-99	No answer	172				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030b1: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: member						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5304/-] [Invalid=2893/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	5083	95.8%			
1	Yes	221	4.2%			
-99	No answer	273				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030b2: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: participated in activities						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5304/-] [Invalid=2893/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	5173	97.5%			
1	Yes	131	2.5%			
-99	No answer	273				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030b3: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: donated money						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5304/-] [Invalid=2893/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	5234	98.7%			
1	Yes	70	1.3%			
-99	No answer	273				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030b4: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: honorary position						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5304/-] [Invalid=2893/-]				

W3_n13_030b4: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: honorary position

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5261	99.2%
1	Yes	43	0.8%
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030c1: Consumer organization: member

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5275 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4997	94.7%
1	Yes	278	5.3%
-99	No answer	302	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030c2: Consumer organization: participated in activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5275 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5241	99.4%
1	Yes	34	0.6%
-99	No answer	302	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030c3: Consumer organization: donated money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5275 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5051	95.8%
1	Yes	224	4.2%
-99	No answer	302	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030c4: Consumer organization: honorary position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5275 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5257	99.7%
1	Yes	18	0.3%
-99	No answer	302	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_n13_030d1: Human rights or peace organization: member				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5366 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	5049	94.1%	
1	Yes	317	5.9%	
-99	No answer	211		
Sysmiss		2620		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_n13_030d2: Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5366 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	5201	96.9%	
1	Yes	165	3.1%	
-99	No answer	211		
Sysmiss		2620		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_n13_030d3: Human rights or peace organization: donated money				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5366 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	3326	62.0%	
1	Yes	2040	38.0%	
-99	No answer	211		
Sysmiss		2620		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_n13_030d4: Human rights or peace organization: honorary position				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5366 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	5241	97.7%	
1	Yes	125	2.3%	
-99	No answer	211		
Sysmiss		2620		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_n13_030e1: Environmental organization: member				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5322 /-] [Invalid=2875 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	4836	90.9%	
1	Yes	486	9.1%	
-99	No answer	255		
Sysmiss		2620		

W3_n13_030e1: Environmental organization: member

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030e2: Environmental organization: participated in activities

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5322 /-] [Invalid=2875 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5120	96.2%
1	Yes	202	3.8%
-99	No answer	255	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030e3: Environmental organization: donated money

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5322 /-] [Invalid=2875 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3856	72.5%
1	Yes	1466	27.5%
-99	No answer	255	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030e4: Environmental organization: honorary position

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5322 /-] [Invalid=2875 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5234	98.3%
1	Yes	88	1.7%
-99	No answer	255	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030f1: Religious or church association: member

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4670	88.0%
1	Yes	634	12.0%
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030f2: Religious or church association: participated in activities

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*<99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4999	94.2%
1	Yes	305	5.8%

# W3_n13_030f2: Religious or church association: participated in activities						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	273				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030f3: Religious or church association: donated money						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	4772	90.0%			
1	Yes	532	10.0%			
-99	No answer	273				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030f4: Religious or church association: honorary position						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	5112	96.4%			
1	Yes	192	3.6%			
-99	No answer	273				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030g1: Political party: member						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5285 /-] [Invalid=2912 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	4920	93.1%			
1	Yes	365	6.9%			
-99	No answer	292				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030g2: Political party: participated in activities						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5285 /-] [Invalid=2912 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	5095	96.4%			
1	Yes	190	3.6%			
-99	No answer	292				
Sysmiss		2620				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_n13_030g3: Political party: donated money						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5285 /-] [Invalid=2912 /-]				

W3_n13_030g3: Political party: donated money

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4905	92.8%
1	Yes	380	7.2%
-99	No answer	292	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_n13_030g4: Political party: honorary position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5285 /-] [Invalid=2912 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5225	98.9%
1	Yes	60	1.1%
-99	No answer	292	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f14081rec: Member of a political party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=353 /-] [Invalid=7844 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	74	21.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	51	14.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	109	30.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	39	11.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	10.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	13	3.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	4	1.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	9	2.5%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	3	0.8%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	0.3%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	0.6%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	3	0.8%
350	Other party	7	2.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	0	
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	

# W3_f14081rec: Member of a political party				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
998	Don't know	0		
-99	No answer	12		
Sysmiss		7832		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f14081main6: Member of a political party, main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=353 /-] [Invalid=7844 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	74	21.0%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	51	14.4%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	109	30.9%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	39	11.0%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	10.8%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	13	3.7%	
350	Other	29	8.2%	
-99	No answer	12		
Sysmiss		7832		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f13759a: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: defense and security policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5534 /-] [Invalid=2663 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Male	481	8.7%	
2		719	13.0%	
3		978	17.7%	
4	Equally male and female	3200	57.8%	
5		87	1.6%	
6		35	0.6%	
7	Female	34	0.6%	
-99	No answer	42		
Sysmiss		2621		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f13759b: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: economic policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5541 /-] [Invalid=2656 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Male	219	4.0%	
2		390	7.0%	
3		811	14.6%	
4	Equally male and female	3971	71.7%	
5		83	1.5%	
6		35	0.6%	
7	Female	32	0.6%	

# W3_f13759b: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: economic policy						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	35				
Sysmiss		2621				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f13759c: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: social policy						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*< -99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5538 /-] [Invalid=2659 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Male	40	0.7%			
2		24	0.4%			
3		54	1.0%			
4	Equally male and female	3654	66.0%			
5		1064	19.2%			
6		460	8.3%			
7	Female	242	4.4%			
-99	No answer	38				
Sysmiss		2621				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f13759d: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: migration and asylum policy						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*< -99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5543 /-] [Invalid=2654 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Male	239	4.3%			
2		220	4.0%			
3		342	6.2%			
4	Equally male and female	4114	74.2%			
5		396	7.1%			
6		147	2.7%			
7	Female	85	1.5%			
-99	No answer	33				
Sysmiss		2621				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f13759e: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: gender equality policy						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*< -99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5535 /-] [Invalid=2662 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Male	31	0.6%			
2		21	0.4%			
3		50	0.9%			
4	Equally male and female	3326	60.1%			
5		814	14.7%			
6		748	13.5%			
7	Female	545	9.8%			
-99	No answer	41				

# W3_f13759e: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: gender equality policy						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
Sysmiss		2621				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f13760a: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: ambitious						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5507 /-] [Invalid=2690 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Male	211	3.8%			
2		427	7.8%			
3		717	13.0%			
4	Equally male and female	3635	66.0%			
5		266	4.8%			
6		154	2.8%			
7	Female	97	1.8%			
-99	No answer	69				
Sysmiss		2621				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f13760b: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: consensus-building						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5499 /-] [Invalid=2698 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Male	65	1.2%			
2		105	1.9%			
3		222	4.0%			
4	Equally male and female	3250	59.1%			
5		1158	21.1%			
6		503	9.1%			
7	Female	196	3.6%			
-99	No answer	77				
Sysmiss		2621				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f13760c: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: assertive						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5510 /-] [Invalid=2687 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Male	245	4.4%			
2		566	10.3%			
3		1126	20.4%			
4	Equally male and female	3196	58.0%			
5		200	3.6%			
6		99	1.8%			
7	Female	78	1.4%			
-99	No answer	66				
Sysmiss		2621				

W3_f13760c: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: assertive

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f13760d: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: compassionate

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5506/-] [Invalid=2691/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	13	0.2%
2		18	0.3%
3		36	0.7%
4	Equally male and female	2173	39.5%
5		1802	32.7%
6		1021	18.5%
7	Female	443	8.0%
-99	No answer	70	
Sysmiss		2621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f19101a: Perception of families

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5525/-] [Invalid=2672/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	23	0.4%
1		11	0.2%
2		17	0.3%
3		53	1.0%
4		80	1.4%
5		480	8.7%
6		269	4.9%
7		548	9.9%
8		1166	21.1%
9		850	15.4%
10	Very likeable	2028	36.7%
-99	No answer	51	
Sysmiss		2621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f19101b: Perception of workers				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5508/-] [Invalid=2689/-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not at all likeable	14	0.3%	
1		3	0.1%	
2		18	0.3%	
3		42	0.8%	
4		73	1.3%	
5		570	10.3%	
6		377	6.8%	
7		775	14.1%	
8		1404	25.5%	
9		840	15.3%	
10	Very likeable	1392	25.3%	
-99	No answer	68		
Sysmiss		2621		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f19101c: Perception of rich people				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5507/-] [Invalid=2690/-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not at all likeable	236	4.3%	
1		280	5.1%	
2		560	10.2%	
3		595	10.8%	
4		623	11.3%	
5		1295	23.5%	
6		556	10.1%	
7		546	9.9%	
8		489	8.9%	
9		163	3.0%	
10	Very likeable	164	3.0%	
-99	No answer	69		
Sysmiss		2621		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f19101d: Perception of feminists				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5513/-] [Invalid=2684/-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not at all likeable	858	15.6%	
1		474	8.6%	
2		629	11.4%	
3		603	10.9%	
4		485	8.8%	

W3_f19101d: Perception of feminists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		842	15.3%
6		415	7.5%
7		404	7.3%
8		365	6.6%
9		195	3.5%
10	Very likeable	243	4.4%
-99	No answer	63	
Sysmiss		2621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f19101e: Perception of migrants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5507 /-] [Invalid=2690 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	336	6.1%
1		208	3.8%
2		372	6.8%
3		430	7.8%
4		460	8.4%
5		1277	23.2%
6		594	10.8%
7		701	12.7%
8		638	11.6%
9		268	4.9%
10	Very likeable	223	4.0%
-99	No answer	69	
Sysmiss		2621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f19101f: Perception of climate activists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5522 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	1233	22.3%
1		494	8.9%
2		562	10.2%
3		485	8.8%
4		374	6.8%
5		684	12.4%
6		401	7.3%
7		406	7.4%
8		395	7.2%
9		249	4.5%
10	Very likeable	239	4.3%
-99	No answer	54	
Sysmiss		2621	


W3_f19101f: Perception of climate activists

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f19101g: Perception of entrepreneurs

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5519 /-] [Invalid=2678 /-]




Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f19102arec: Party best representing families

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5544 /-] [Invalid=2653 /-]



W3_f19102arec: Party best representing families

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f19102brec: Party best representing workers

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5542 /-] [Invalid=2655 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	340	6.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	556	10.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	3134	56.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	659	11.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	35	0.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	79	1.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	20	0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	13	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	75	1.4%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	7	0.1%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	22	0.4%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	3	0.1%
998	Don't know	593	10.7%
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2622	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f19102crec: Party best representing rich people

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5527 /-] [Invalid=2670 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	2787	50.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	143	2.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	115	2.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1671	30.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	12	0.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	20	0.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	5	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	2	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	8	0.1%

W3_f19102crec: Party best representing rich people

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	4	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	5	0.1%
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	9	0.2%
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	738	13.4%
-99	No answer	48	
Sysmiss		2622	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f19102drec: Party best representing feminists

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5527 /-] [Invalid=2670 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	119	2.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	135	2.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	3090	55.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	64	1.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	658	11.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	210	3.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	3	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	20	0.4%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	13	0.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	4	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	7	0.1%
998	Don't know	1195	21.6%
-99	No answer	48	
Sysmiss		2622	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f19102erec: Party best representing migrants

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5544 /-] [Invalid=2653 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	143	2.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	336	6.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	3701	66.8%

# W3_f19102erec: Party best representing migrants			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	234	4.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	247	4.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	110	2.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	17	0.3%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	13	0.2%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	16	0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	4	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	2	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	1	0.0%
998	Don't know	712	12.8%
-99	No answer	31	
Sysmiss		2622	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f19102frec: Party best representing climate activists			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5532 /] [Invalid=2665 /]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	38	0.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	49	0.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	160	2.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	78	1.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	4232	76.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	494	8.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.0%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	9	0.2%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	14	0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	2	0.0%
405	All parties together, all	1	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	450	8.1%

# W3_f19102freq: Party best representing climate activists						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	43				
Sysmiss		2622				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f19102grec: Party best representing entrepreneurs						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5544/-] [Invalid=2653/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	3335	60.2%			
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	306	5.5%			
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	143	2.6%			
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1041	18.8%			
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	19	0.3%			
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	127	2.3%			
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	0.1%			
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	9	0.2%			
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0				
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	4	0.1%			
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%			
350	Other party	0				
402	No party/ rather persons	7	0.1%			
403	Would vote blank	0				
404	Several parties	3	0.1%			
405	All parties together, all	2	0.0%			
406	Left parties (several)	0				
407	Right parties (several)	5	0.1%			
995	Other answer	0				
998	Don't know	534	9.6%			
-99	No answer	31				
Sysmiss		2622				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f18101a: Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2747/-] [Invalid=5450/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Strongly disagree	528	19.2%			
1		363	13.2%			
2		451	16.4%			
3		336	12.2%			
4		218	7.9%			
5		325	11.8%			
6		190	6.9%			
7		148	5.4%			
8		110	4.0%			
9		34	1.2%			

# W3_f18101a: Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	Strongly agree	44	1.6%	
-99	No answer	53		
Sysmiss		5397		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f18101b: Poverty attributions: Unlucky				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2753 /-] [Invalid=5444 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Strongly disagree	273	9.9%	
1		171	6.2%	
2		265	9.6%	
3		251	9.1%	
4		222	8.1%	
5		501	18.2%	
6		340	12.4%	
7		305	11.1%	
8		265	9.6%	
9		75	2.7%	
10	Strongly agree	85	3.1%	
-99	No answer	47		
Sysmiss		5397		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f18101c: Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2767 /-] [Invalid=5430 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Strongly disagree	80	2.9%	
1		65	2.3%	
2		156	5.6%	
3		190	6.9%	
4		147	5.3%	
5		357	12.9%	
6		296	10.7%	
7		419	15.1%	
8		462	16.7%	
9		206	7.4%	
10	Strongly agree	389	14.1%	
-99	No answer	33		
Sysmiss		5397		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f18101d: Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2771 /-] [Invalid=5426 /-]		

W3_f18101d: Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly disagree	209	7.5%
1		108	3.9%
2		219	7.9%
3		209	7.5%
4		190	6.9%
5		408	14.7%
6		339	12.2%
7		376	13.6%
8		399	14.4%
9		136	4.9%
10	Strongly agree	178	6.4%
-99	No answer	29	
Sysmiss		5397	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f18102a: Poverty attributions: Poor people can't handle money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*<99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2754 /-] [Invalid=5443 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	514	18.7%
2	Disagree	883	32.1%
3	Rather disagree	800	29.0%
4	Rather agree	453	16.4%
5	Agree	87	3.2%
6	Strongly agree	17	0.6%
-99	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		5422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f18102b: Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and to live on society's back

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*<99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2753 /-] [Invalid=5444 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	701	25.5%
2	Disagree	917	33.3%
3	Rather disagree	680	24.7%
4	Rather agree	350	12.7%
5	Agree	74	2.7%
6	Strongly agree	31	1.1%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		5422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f18102c: Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*<99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2756 /-] [Invalid=5441 /-]

W3_f18102c: Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	689	25.0%
2	Disagree	807	29.3%
3	Rather disagree	664	24.1%
4	Rather agree	463	16.8%
5	Agree	124	4.5%
6	Strongly agree	9	0.3%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		5422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f18102d: Poverty attributions: Poor people are victims of misfortunes such as illness and disability

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2761 /-] [Invalid=5436 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	110	4.0%
2	Disagree	246	8.9%
3	Rather disagree	510	18.5%
4	Rather agree	1242	45.0%
5	Agree	517	18.7%
6	Strongly agree	136	4.9%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		5422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f18102e: Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2745 /-] [Invalid=5452 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	176	6.4%
2	Disagree	503	18.3%
3	Rather disagree	638	23.2%
4	Rather agree	978	35.6%
5	Agree	342	12.5%
6	Strongly agree	108	3.9%
-99	No answer	30	
Sysmiss		5422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f18102f: Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2755 /-] [Invalid=5442 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	249	9.0%
2	Disagree	629	22.8%
3	Rather disagree	761	27.6%

W3_f18102f: Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather agree	752	27.3%
5	Agree	245	8.9%
6	Strongly agree	119	4.3%
-99	No answer	20	
Sysmiss		5422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f18102g: Poverty attributions: Poverty is an inevitable consequence of the evolution of modern society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2762 /-] [Invalid=5435 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	251	9.1%
2	Disagree	499	18.1%
3	Rather disagree	456	16.5%
4	Rather agree	935	33.9%
5	Agree	428	15.5%
6	Strongly agree	193	7.0%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		5422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f15330arec: Party that is most competent with European policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5498 /-] [Invalid=2699 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1133	20.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	901	16.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	914	16.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	994	18.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	95	1.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	342	6.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	22	0.4%
998	Don't know	1083	19.7%
-99	No answer, no party	76	
Sysmiss		2623	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5479 /-] [Invalid=2718 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	315	5.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	762	13.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1703	31.1%

# W3_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1515		27.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	92	1.7%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	167	3.0%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	10	0.2%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	8	0.1%	
350	Other party, all/several parties	36	0.7%	
998	Don't know	871		15.9%
-99	No answer, no party	95		
Sysmiss		2623		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f15330crec: Party that is most competent with social policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5516 /-] [Invalid=2681 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10		241	4.4%	
21		853	15.5%	
30		3304	59.9%	
40		313	5.7%	
50		64	1.2%	
60		103	1.9%	
80		9	0.2%	
90		9	0.2%	
350		54	1.0%	
998		566	10.3%	
-99		57		
Sysmiss		2624		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f15330drec: Party that is most competent with environmental policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5509 /-] [Invalid=2688 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10		239	4.3%	
21		348	6.3%	
30		280	5.1%	
40		355	6.4%	
50		2424	44.0%	
60		1248	22.7%	
80		3	0.1%	
90		1	0.0%	
350		22	0.4%	
998		589	10.7%	
-99		65		
Sysmiss		2623		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f15330erec: Party that is most competent with economic policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5517 /-] [Invalid=2680 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	2513	45.6%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	486	8.8%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	521	9.4%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	775	14.0%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	72	1.3%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	357	6.5%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	0.1%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	4	0.1%	
350	Other party, all/several parties	16	0.3%	
998	Don't know	765	13.9%	
-99	No answer, no party	56		
Sysmiss		2624		

<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# W3_f15330frec: Party that is most competent with health policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5466 /-] [Invalid=2731 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	450	8.2%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1446	26.5%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1839	33.6%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	356	6.5%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	77	1.4%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	145	2.7%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	0.1%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	15	0.3%	
350	Other party, all/several parties	39	0.7%	
998	Don't know	1091	20.0%	
-99	No answer, no party	108		
Sysmiss		2623		

<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# W3_f15320arec: Party which cares most about European policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5503 /-] [Invalid=2694 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1167	21.2%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	552	10.0%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	862	15.7%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1318	24.0%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	43	0.8%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	289	5.3%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.1%	

# W3_f15320arec: Party which cares most about European policy				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	0.1%	
350	Other party, all/several parties	15	0.3%	
998	Don't know	1247		22.7%
-99	No answer, no party	70		
Sysmiss		2624		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f15320brec: Party which cares most about migration policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5505 /-] [Invalid=2692 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	119	2.2%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	286	5.2%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1422		25.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	2783		50.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	83	1.5%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	51	0.9%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	10	0.2%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	14	0.3%	
350	Other party, all/several parties	19	0.3%	
998	Don't know	718		13.0%
-99	No answer, no party	66		
Sysmiss		2626		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f15320crec: Party which cares most about social policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5507 /-] [Invalid=2690 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	130	2.4%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	408	7.4%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4095		74.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	189	3.4%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	47	0.9%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	37	0.7%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.1%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.1%	
350	Other party, all/several parties	24	0.4%	
998	Don't know	565		10.3%
-99	No answer, no party	64		
Sysmiss		2626		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f15320drec: Party which cares most about environmental policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5518 /-] [Invalid=2679 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	

W3_f15320drec: Party which cares most about environmental policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	100	1.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	135	2.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	153	2.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	172	3.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	3611	65.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	819	14.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	3	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
350	Other party, all/several parties	14	0.3%
998	Don't know	511	9.3%
-99	No answer, no party	53	
Sysmiss		2626	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f15320erec: Party which cares most about economic policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5511 /-] [Invalid=2686 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	3266	59.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	263	4.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	249	4.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	844	15.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	24	0.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	100	1.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	0.0%
350	Other party, all/several parties	12	0.2%
998	Don't know	744	13.5%
-99	No answer, no party	60	
Sysmiss		2626	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f15320frec: Party which cares most about health policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5493 /-] [Invalid=2704 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	332	6.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1330	24.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2214	40.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	249	4.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	63	1.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	73	1.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	6	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	14	0.3%
350	Other party, all/several parties	25	0.5%

# W3_f15320freq: Party which cares most about health policy						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
998	Don't know	1187	21.6%			
-99	No answer, no party	78				
Sysmiss		2626				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f30021: R's feedback: Interest of the questionnaire						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5545 /-] [Invalid=2652 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Not agree at all	90	1.6%			
2	Rather disagree	444	8.0%			
3	Rather Neither	1050	18.9%			
4	Rather agree	2939	53.0%			
5	Totaly agree	1022	18.4%			
-99	No answer	24				
Sysmiss		2628				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f30022: R's feedback: Questionnaire's lenght						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5539 /-] [Invalid=2658 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Not agree at all	48	0.9%			
2	Rather disagree	366	6.6%			
3	Rather Neither	465	8.4%			
4	Rather agree	2637	47.6%			
5	Totaly agree	2023	36.5%			
-99	No answer	30				
Sysmiss		2628				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f30023: R's feedback: Questionnaire's comprehension						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5531 /-] [Invalid=2666 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Not agree at all	18	0.3%			
2	Rather disagree	133	2.4%			
3	Rather Neither	241	4.4%			
4	Rather agree	2238	40.5%			
5	Totaly agree	2901	52.4%			
-99	No answer	38				
Sysmiss		2628				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W3_f30024: R's feedback: Easy-to-answer questions						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5543 /-] [Invalid=2654 /-]				

W3_f30024: R's feedback: Easy-to-answer questions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not agree at all	37	0.7%
2	Rather disagree	204	3.7%
3	Rather Neither	335	6.0%
4	Rather agree	1903	34.3%
5	Totaly agree	3064	55.3%
-99	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		2628	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

weight_t: Weight: Turnout, W2&W3 combined

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.59339263-2.502347418] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.648 /-]

weight_p: Weight: Party choice, W2&W3 combined

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.752475248-1.341346154] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.158 /-]

weight_tot: Weight: Combined weight_t*weight_p, W2&W3 combined

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.446513266420622-2.502347418] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.655 /-]

W3_longweight: Longitudinal weight, W3

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5.54623981963465] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.003 /-] [StdDev=0.752 /-]

W4_intstart: Start Date

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2024-09-23		787	16.0%
2024-09-24		539	11.0%
2024-09-25		342	7.0%
2024-09-26		625	12.7%
2024-09-27		347	7.1%
2024-09-28		208	4.2%
2024-09-29		181	3.7%
2024-09-30		175	3.6%
2024-10-01		138	2.8%
2024-10-02		116	2.4%
2024-10-03		84	1.7%
2024-10-04		69	1.4%
2024-10-05		35	0.7%
2024-10-06		64	1.3%
2024-10-07		66	1.3%
2024-10-08		244	5.0%
2024-10-09		156	3.2%
2024-10-10		91	1.8%

# W4_intstart: Start Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2024-10-11		56	1.1%
2024-10-12		50	1.0%
2024-10-13		80	1.6%
2024-10-14		43	0.9%
2024-10-15		36	0.7%
2024-10-16		35	0.7%
2024-10-17		31	0.6%
2024-10-18		31	0.6%
2024-10-19		22	0.4%
2024-10-20		21	0.4%
2024-10-21		88	1.8%
2024-10-22		34	0.7%
2024-10-23		23	0.5%
2024-10-24		10	0.2%
2024-10-25		15	0.3%
2024-10-26		12	0.2%
2024-10-27		11	0.2%
2024-10-28		16	0.3%
2024-10-29		6	0.1%
2024-10-30		7	0.1%
2024-10-31		4	0.1%
2024-11-01		5	0.1%
2024-11-02		2	0.0%
2024-11-03		6	0.1%
2024-11-04		8	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_intend: End Date			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4919 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2024-09-23		777	15.8%
2024-09-24		534	10.9%
2024-09-25		341	6.9%
2024-09-26		616	12.5%
2024-09-27		349	7.1%
2024-09-28		211	4.3%
2024-09-29		178	3.6%
2024-09-30		176	3.6%
2024-10-01		136	2.8%
2024-10-02		118	2.4%
2024-10-03		84	1.7%
2024-10-04		67	1.4%
2024-10-05		36	0.7%
2024-10-06		63	1.3%

# W4_intend: End Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2024-10-07		67	1.4%
2024-10-08		244	5.0%
2024-10-09		156	3.2%
2024-10-10		96	2.0%
2024-10-11		58	1.2%
2024-10-12		49	1.0%
2024-10-13		82	1.7%
2024-10-14		45	0.9%
2024-10-15		38	0.8%
2024-10-16		36	0.7%
2024-10-17		32	0.7%
2024-10-18		33	0.7%
2024-10-19		20	0.4%
2024-10-20		21	0.4%
2024-10-21		92	1.9%
2024-10-22		34	0.7%
2024-10-23		25	0.5%
2024-10-24		10	0.2%
2024-10-25		16	0.3%
2024-10-26		12	0.2%
2024-10-27		11	0.2%
2024-10-28		17	0.3%
2024-10-29		6	0.1%
2024-10-30		7	0.1%
2024-10-31		4	0.1%
2024-11-01		6	0.1%
2024-11-02		2	0.0%
2024-11-03		6	0.1%
2024-11-04		8	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_totaltime: Total interview length in minutes			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4.6666666666667-54760.4166666667] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-] [Mean=169.375 /-] [StdDev=1892.793 /-]		
# W4_finished: Completion of questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	16	0.3%
1	Complete questionnaire	4903	99.7%
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing= *]		

# W4_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Unknown	0		
1	Mobile	1318	26.8%	
2	Desktop	3601		73.2%
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_langint: Language of interview				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	German	3628	73.8%	
2	French	1079	21.9%	
3	Italian	212	4.3%	
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_birthyear: Year of birth						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1930-2005] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4896 /-] [Invalid=3301 /-] [Mean=1969.697 /-] [StdDev=17.349 /-]				
# W4_age: Age in years						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 19-94] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4896 /-] [Invalid=3301 /-] [Mean=54.303 /-] [StdDev=17.349 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	23				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_agecat: Age in categories						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4896 /-] [Invalid=3301 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	18-24	263	5.4%			
2	25-34	547	11.2%			
3	35-44	697	14.2%			
4	45-54	764	15.6%			
5	55-64	1030	21.0%			
6	65-74	964	19.7%			
7	75+	631	12.9%			
-99	No answer	23				
Sysmiss		3278				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_sex: Sex according to official documents						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4897 /-] [Invalid=3300 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Male	2605	53.2%			
2	Female	2292	46.8%			
-99	No answer	22				
Sysmiss		3278				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4910 /-] [Invalid=3287 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Zurich	900	18.3%			
2	Bern	688	14.0%			
3	Lucerne	275	5.6%			
4	Uri	21	0.4%			
5	Schwyz	82	1.7%			
6	Obwalden	28	0.6%			
7	Nidwalden	38	0.8%			
8	Glarus	19	0.4%			

W4_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Zug	76	1.5%
10	Fribourg	195	4.0%
11	Solothurn	169	3.4%
12	Basel-Stadt	91	1.9%
13	Basel-Landschaft	160	3.3%
14	Schaffhausen	50	1.0%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	43	0.9%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	8	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	299	6.1%
18	Graubünden	121	2.5%
19	Aargau	367	7.5%
20	Thurgau	142	2.9%
21	Ticino	201	4.1%
22	Vaud	412	8.4%
23	Valais	204	4.2%
24	Neuchâtel	94	1.9%
25	Geneva	194	4.0%
26	Jura	33	0.7%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f13700: Satisfaction with democracy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4912/-] [Invalid=3285/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	82	1.7%
2	Not very satisfied	610	12.4%
3	Fairly satisfied	3221	65.6%
4	Very satisfied	999	20.3%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_Q04b: Most politicians do not care about the people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4909/-] [Invalid=3288/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	310	6.3%
2	Rather disagree	2056	41.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	866	17.6%
4	Rather agree	1469	29.9%
5	Strongly agree	208	4.2%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_Q04f: The people should make the most important policy decisions				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4909 /-] [Invalid=3288 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	209	4.3%	
2	Rather disagree	1184	24.1%	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	714	14.5%	
4	Rather agree	1888	38.5%	
5	Strongly agree	914	18.6%	
-99	No answer	10		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_Q04h: Ordinary people share common values and interests				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4877 /-] [Invalid=3320 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	288	5.9%	
2	Rather disagree	878	18.0%	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1277	26.2%	
4	Rather agree	2086	42.8%	
5	Strongly agree	348	7.1%	
-99	No answer	42		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10100: R's interest in politics				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4904 /-] [Invalid=3293 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all interested	162	3.3%	
2	Rather not interested	975	19.9%	
3	Rather interested	2636	53.8%	
4	Very interested	1131	23.1%	
-99	No answer	15		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4901 /-] [Invalid=3296 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No trust	73	29.6%	
10	Full trust	174	70.4%	
-99	No answer	18		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4908 /-] [Invalid=3289 /-]				
Value		Cases	Percentage			
0		57	41.0%			
10		82	59.0%			
-99		11				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=3292 /-]				
Value		Cases	Percentage			
0		82	68.9%			
10		37	31.1%			
-99		14				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f12800f: Trust: Swiss National Bank						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4897 /-] [Invalid=3300 /-]				
Value		Cases	Percentage			
0		86	16.7%			
10		430	83.3%			
-99		22				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f12800g: Trust: Justice/ Courts						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4904 /-] [Invalid=3293 /-]				
Value		Cases	Percentage			
0		61	15.1%			
10		342	84.9%			
-99		15				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f12800h: Trust: Police						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4904 /-] [Invalid=3293 /-]				
Value		Cases	Percentage			
0		43	10.5%			
10		367	89.5%			
-99		15				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f12800j: Trust: Scientists						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4901 /-] [Invalid=3296 /-]				

W4_f12800j: Trust: Scientists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	30	5.1%
10	Full trust	554	94.9%
-99	No answer	18	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f12800k: Trust: Media

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4903 /-] [Invalid=3294 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	254	91.7%
10	Full trust	23	8.3%
-99	No answer	16	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4734 /-] [Invalid=3463 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	78	1.6%
2	Other old age provisions	167	3.5%
3	Unemployment	3	0.1%
4	Poverty	31	0.7%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	277	5.9%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	777	16.4%
7	Drugs, addictions	2	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	215	4.5%
9	Extremism	7	0.1%
10	Finances	98	2.1%
11	Refugees	52	1.1%
12	Xenophobia, racism	7	0.1%
13	Health system	346	7.3%
14	Globalisation	0	
15	Youth unemployment	1	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	15	0.3%
17	Climate	506	10.7%
18	Consociationalism	1	0.0%
19	Health insurance	264	5.6%
20	Crime	8	0.2%
21	Neutrality	109	2.3%
22	Politicians	33	0.7%
23	Political style	1	0.0%
24	Political system	37	0.8%
25	Direct democracy	12	0.3%
27	Party polarisation	51	1.1%
28	Security	30	0.6%

W4_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
29	Social security	1	0.0%	
30	Social inequalities	29	0.6%	
31	Taxes	8	0.2%	
32	National fiscal equalisation	0		
33	Environment	195	4.1%	
34	Economic development	2	0.0%	
35	Media	22	0.5%	
36	Renewable energies	7	0.1%	
37	Economic/financial crisis	0		
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	0		
39	Nuclear energy	5	0.1%	
40	Transportation, traffic	4	0.1%	
41	Banks, banking crisis	1	0.0%	
42	Lobbying, money in politics	45	1.0%	
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	4	0.1%	
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	20	0.4%	
45	Economy, economic policy	68	1.4%	
46	Education	18	0.4%	
47	Social policy, welfare state	87	1.8%	
48	Family policy, upbringing	5	0.1%	
49	Labour market, workplace security	34	0.7%	
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	43	0.9%	
51	Fear, politics of fear	0		
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%	
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	28	0.6%	
54	Vandalism, violence	1	0.0%	
55	Road rowdies	0		
56	Terrorism	0		
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0		
58	Future	0		
59	Work for young people	1	0.0%	
60	Overpopulation	117	2.5%	
61	Energy, energy policy	78	1.6%	
62	Cross-border commuters	3	0.1%	
63	Swiss People's Party	3	0.1%	
64	Equal rights	12	0.3%	
65	Lack of cohesion in society	27	0.6%	
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	5	0.1%	
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	3	0.1%	
68	Society in general	7	0.1%	
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	52	1.1%	
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	44	0.9%	
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	7	0.1%	
73	Army	33	0.7%	
74	Aging population, demographics	41	0.9%	

W4_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
75	Bureaucracy	9	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	21	0.4%
80	Shift to the right	32	0.7%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	2	0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	10	0.2%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	191	4.0%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	3	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	14	0.3%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	3	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	0	
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	36	0.8%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	4	0.1%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	12	0.3%
102	Capitalism	3	0.1%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	4	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	24	0.5%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	7	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	9	0.2%
108	Data protection	0	
109	Left, socialism	7	0.1%
110	Wars, peace policy	131	2.8%
111	Islam, islamism	2	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	3	0.1%
113	Populism	8	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	1	0.0%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	8	0.2%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	1	0.0%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	156	
98	Don't know	24	
Sysmiss		3283	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing= */98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4734 /-] [Invalid=3463 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Agriculture	7	0.1%	
2	Economy	265	5.6%	
3	Education & culture	18	0.4%	
4	Environment & energy	799	16.9%	
5	EU, Europe	247	5.2%	
6	Finances & taxes	106	2.2%	
7	Gender issues & discrimination	23	0.5%	
8	Immigration & asylum	1133	23.9%	
9	Foreign policy & defense	359	7.6%	
10	Labour market	47	1.0%	
11	Law & order	49	1.0%	
12	Political system, parties & politicians	259	5.5%	
13	Public health	612	12.9%	
14	Public services & infrastructure	58	1.2%	
15	Regions & national cohesion	60	1.3%	
16	Social security/welfare state	629	13.3%	
17	Other problems	58	1.2%	
18	No problem	4	0.1%	
19	COVID-19 pandemic	1	0.0%	
-99	No answer	156		
98	Don't know	24		
Sysmiss		3283		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4758 /-] [Invalid=3439 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	515	10.8%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	614	12.9%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	864	18.2%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	955	20.1%	
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	433	9.1%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	277	5.8%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	5	0.1%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	15	0.3%	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	30	0.6%	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	20	0.4%	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	18	0.4%	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	19	0.4%	
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	0.0%	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		

# W4_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	0.0%	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%	
350	Other party	1	0.0%	
402	No party/ rather persons	65	1.4%	
403	Would vote blank	0		
404	Several parties	6	0.1%	
405	All parties together, all	26	0.5%	
406	Left parties (several)	3	0.1%	
407	Right parties (several)	0		
995	Other answer	9	0.2%	
998	Don't know	871	18.3%	
-99	No answer	11		
Sysmiss		3428		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4684 /-] [Invalid=3513 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
10		515	11.0%	
21		614	13.1%	
30		864	18.4%	
40		955	20.4%	
50		433	9.2%	
60		277	5.9%	
350		155	3.3%	
998		871	18.6%	
-99		85		
Sysmiss		3428		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4630 /-] [Invalid=3567 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1		110	2.4%	
2		276	6.0%	
3		10	0.2%	
4		47	1.0%	
5		177	3.8%	
6		494	10.7%	
7		7	0.2%	
8		121	2.6%	
9		2	0.0%	
10		119	2.6%	
11		53	1.1%	

W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Xenophobia, racism	8	0.2%
13	Health system	396	8.6%
14	Globalisation	0	
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	20	0.4%
17	Climate	381	8.2%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	262	5.7%
20	Crime	9	0.2%
21	Neutrality	57	1.2%
22	Politicians	19	0.4%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	30	0.6%
25	Direct democracy	10	0.2%
27	Party polarisation	27	0.6%
28	Security	49	1.1%
29	Social security	4	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	34	0.7%
31	Taxes	31	0.7%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	305	6.6%
34	Economic development	1	0.0%
35	Media	10	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	14	0.3%
37	Economic/financial crisis	0	
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	4	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	3	0.1%
40	Transportation, traffic	39	0.8%
41	Banks, banking crisis	3	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	25	0.5%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	5	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	18	0.4%
45	Economy, economic policy	79	1.7%
46	Education	53	1.1%
47	Social policy, welfare state	119	2.6%
48	Family policy, upbringing	18	0.4%
49	Labour market, workplace security	50	1.1%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	70	1.5%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	2	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	17	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	6	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	1	0.0%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	

W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
58	Future	4	0.1%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	78	1.7%
61	Energy, energy policy	163	3.5%
62	Cross-border commuters	3	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	4	0.1%
64	Equal rights	24	0.5%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	31	0.7%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	5	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	6	0.1%
68	Society in general	2	0.0%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	43	0.9%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	94	2.0%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	17	0.4%
73	Army	56	1.2%
74	Aging population, demographics	27	0.6%
75	Bureaucracy	10	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	4	0.1%
80	Shift to the right	12	0.3%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	4	0.1%
82	Invalidity insurance	1	0.0%
84	Independence, autonomy	6	0.1%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	154	3.3%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	5	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	15	0.3%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	9	0.2%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	4	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	3	0.1%
96	Other	46	1.0%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	7	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	3	0.1%
102	Capitalism	5	0.1%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	5	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	21	0.5%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	9	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	8	0.2%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	18	0.4%
110	Wars, peace policy	94	2.0%
111	Islam, islamism	4	0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	7	0.2%
113	Populism	8	0.2%

W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	7	0.2%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	3	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	3	0.1%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	99	
98	Don't know	36	
Sysmiss		3432	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4630 /-] [Invalid=3567 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	17	0.4%
2	Economy	249	5.4%
3	Education & culture	53	1.1%
4	Environment & energy	876	18.9%
5	EU, Europe	146	3.2%
6	Finances & taxes	151	3.3%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	33	0.7%
8	Immigration & asylum	759	16.4%
9	Foreign policy & defense	277	6.0%
10	Labour market	71	1.5%
11	Law & order	76	1.6%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	189	4.1%
13	Public health	665	14.4%
14	Public services & infrastructure	150	3.2%
15	Regions & national cohesion	42	0.9%
16	Social security/welfare state	805	17.4%
17	Other problems	61	1.3%
18	No problem	7	0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	3	0.1%
-99	No answer	99	
98	Don't know	36	
Sysmiss		3432	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
-------------	---

W4_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4656/-] [Invalid=3541/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	541	11.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	602	12.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1029	22.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	718	15.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	384	8.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	291	6.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	13	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	30	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	19	0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	18	0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	18	0.4%
201	PP - Pirate Party	3	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	57	1.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	20	0.4%
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	7	0.2%
998	Don't know	889	19.1%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		3524	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4592/-] [Invalid=3605/-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	541	11.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	602	13.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1029	22.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	718	15.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	384	8.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	291	6.3%
350	Other party, several/all parties	138	3.0%
998	Don't know	889	19.4%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	81	
Sysmiss		3524	

W4_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f10851rec: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing= */-99/995/998]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4397 /-] [Invalid=3800 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	685	15.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	705	16.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1095	24.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	874	19.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	362	8.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	437	9.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	11	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	8	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	22	0.5%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	56	1.3%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	26	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	37	0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	4	0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	8	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	5	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	1	0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	51	1.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	9	0.2%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
-99	No answer	39	
995	Other answer	3	
998	Don't know	480	
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f10851main6: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, 6 main parties

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing= */-99/998]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4397 /-] [Invalid=3800 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	685	15.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	705	16.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1095	24.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	874	19.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	362	8.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	437	9.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	239	5.4%

# W4_f10851main6: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, 6 main parties				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-99	No answer, other answer	42		
998	Don't know	480		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10951: Certainty to vote for mentioned party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4391 /-] [Invalid=3806 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1		97	2.2%	
2		340	7.7%	
3		2386	54.3%	
4		1568	35.7%	
-99		14		
Sysmiss		3792		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10750: Participation in federal vote of September 22, 2024				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4904 /-] [Invalid=3293 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0		866	17.7%	
1		4023	82.0%	
8		15	0.3%	
-99		15		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10751a: Vote choice: Biodiversity Initiative				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4011 /-] [Invalid=4186 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1		1751	43.7%	
2		2179	54.3%	
3		40	1.0%	
8		41	1.0%	
-99		12		
Sysmiss		4174		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10751b: Vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4000 /-] [Invalid=4197 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1		1150	28.7%	
2		2685	67.1%	

# W4_f10751b: Vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	Blank	106	2.6%	
8	Don't know	59	1.5%	
-99	No answer	23		
Sysmiss		4174		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10756a: Hypothetical vote choice: Biodiversity Initiative				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*⁻-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=874 /-] [Invalid=7323 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Certainly against	180	20.6%	
2	Rather against	200	22.9%	
3	Rather in favor	172	19.7%	
4	Certainly in favor	126	14.4%	
8	Don't know	196	22.4%	
-99	No answer	7		
Sysmiss		7316		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10756b: Hypothetical vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*⁻-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=865 /-] [Invalid=7332 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Certainly against	177	20.5%	
2	Rather against	221	25.5%	
3	Rather in favor	154	17.8%	
4	Certainly in favor	57	6.6%	
8	Don't know	256	29.6%	
-99	No answer	16		
Sysmiss		7316		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10758a: Personal importance of vote: Biodiversity Initiative				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*⁻-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4004 /-] [Invalid=4193 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0	Not at all important	96	9.9%	
10	Very important	870	90.1%	
-99	No answer	7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Occupational Pension Reform				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*⁻-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3968 /-] [Invalid=4229 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
0	Not at all important	109	11.0%	

# W4_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Occupational Pension Reform						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
10	Very important	886	89.0%			
-99	No answer	32				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f10790: Participation in federal vote on the 13th OASI pension payment (March 2024)						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4909 /-] [Invalid=3288 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Did not participate	333	6.8%			
1	Participated	4452	90.7%			
8	Don't remember	124	2.5%			
-99	No answer	10				
Sysmiss		3278				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f10791: Vote choice: Introduction of a 13th OASI pension payment						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4446 /-] [Invalid=3751 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	For a 13th OASI pension payment	2508	56.4%			
2	Against a 13th OASI pension payment	1744	39.2%			
3	Blank	43	1.0%			
8	Don't remember	151	3.4%			
-99	No answer	6				
Sysmiss		3745				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f10798: Personal importance of vote: 13th OASI pension payment						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4441 /-] [Invalid=3756 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Not at all important	94	7.1%			
10	Very important	1230	92.9%			
-99	No answer	5				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f14181a: Feelings towards people who voted for a 13th OASI pension payment						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4867 /-] [Invalid=3330 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	Negative and cold feelings	91	10.5%			
10	Positive and warm feelings	778	89.5%			
-99	No answer	52				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f14181b: Feelings towards people who voted against a 13th OASI pension payment						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				

W4_f14181b: Feelings towards people who voted against a 13th OASI pension payment

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4857 /-] [Invalid=3340 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	226	41.5%
10	Positive and warm feelings	318	58.5%
-99	No answer	62	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f14182a: Feelings towards people who voted for the biodiversity initiative

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4847 /-] [Invalid=3350 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	162	20.6%
10	Positive and warm feelings	626	79.4%
-99	No answer	72	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f14182b: Feelings towards people who voted against the biodiversity initiative

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4838 /-] [Invalid=3359 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	237	39.1%
10	Positive and warm feelings	369	60.9%
-99	No answer	81	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f10770: Participation in federal vote of June 9, 2024

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=3292 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not participate	733	14.9%
1	Participated	3632	74.0%
8	Don't remember	540	11.0%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f10771a: Vote choice: Premium Relief Initiative

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3608 /-] [Invalid=4589 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1505	41.7%
2	No (rejected)	1231	34.1%
3	Blank	63	1.7%
8	Don't remember	809	22.4%
-99	No answer	24	
Sysmiss		4565	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10771b: Vote choice: Electricity Law				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3624 /-] [Invalid=4573 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes (accepted)	2390	65.9%	
2	No (rejected)	689	19.0%	
3	Blank	37	1.0%	
8	Don't remember	508	14.0%	
-99	No answer	8		
Sysmiss		4565		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10771c: Vote choice: Initiative â€˜For freedom and physical integrityâ€™™				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3611 /-] [Invalid=4586 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes (accepted)	777	21.5%	
2	No (rejected)	1980	54.8%	
3	Blank	87	2.4%	
8	Don't remember	767	21.2%	
-99	No answer	21		
Sysmiss		4565		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10759a: Personal importance of vote: Premium Relief Initiative				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3549 /-] [Invalid=4648 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not at all important	110	20.0%	
10	Very important	439	80.0%	
-99	No answer	59		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10759b: Personal importance of vote: Electricity Law				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3555 /-] [Invalid=4642 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not at all important	39	6.2%	
10	Very important	595	93.8%	
-99	No answer	69		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f10759c: Personal importance of vote: Initiative â€˜For freedom and physical integrityâ€™™				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3502 /-] [Invalid=4695 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not at all important	471	55.7%	
10	Very important	375	44.3%	

# W4_f10759c: Personal importance of vote: Initiative "For freedom and physical integrity"			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	109	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# W4_f13740: Satisfaction with 2023 election outcome				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=3428 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	211	4.4%	
2	Not very satisfied	1614	33.8%	
3	Fairly satisfied	2856	59.9%	
4	Very satisfied	88	1.8%	
-99	No answer	150		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f13741: Satisfaction with own vote choice in 2023 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3885 /-] [Invalid=4312 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	61	1.6%	
2	Not very satisfied	722	18.6%	
3	Fairly satisfied	2584	66.5%	
4	Very satisfied	518	13.3%	
-99	No answer	104		
Sysmiss		4208		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f13742: Satisfaction with own decision not to participate in 2023 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=851 /-] [Invalid=7346 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	66	7.8%	
2	Not very satisfied	301	35.4%	
3	Fairly satisfied	417	49.0%	
4	Very satisfied	67	7.9%	
-99	No answer	58		
Sysmiss		7288		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f13743a: Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2023 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4814 /-] [Invalid=3383 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	515	10.7%	
2	Not very satisfied	1233	25.6%	
3	Fairly satisfied	1568	32.6%	
4	Very satisfied	271	5.6%	
8	Don't know	1227	25.5%	
-99	No answer	105		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f13743b: Satisfaction with performance of Centre since 2023 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4760 /-] [Invalid=3437 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	249	5.2%	
2	Not very satisfied	993	20.9%	
3	Fairly satisfied	1879	39.5%	
4	Very satisfied	409	8.6%	
8	Don't know	1230	25.8%	
-99	No answer	159		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f13743c: Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2023 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4803 /-] [Invalid=3394 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	601	12.5%	
2	Not very satisfied	1090	22.7%	
3	Fairly satisfied	1485	30.9%	
4	Very satisfied	649	13.5%	
8	Don't know	978	20.4%	
-99	No answer	116		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f13743d: Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2023 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4806 /-] [Invalid=3391 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	1486	30.9%	
2	Not very satisfied	941	19.6%	
3	Fairly satisfied	969	20.2%	
4	Very satisfied	463	9.6%	
8	Don't know	947	19.7%	
-99	No answer	113		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f13743e: Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2023 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4806 /-] [Invalid=3391 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	851	17.7%	
2	Not very satisfied	1247	25.9%	
3	Fairly satisfied	1280	26.6%	
4	Very satisfied	339	7.1%	

# W4_f13743e: Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2023 elections				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8	Don't know	1089	22.7%	
-99	No answer	113		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f13743f: Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2023 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4795 /-] [Invalid=3402 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	618	12.9%	
2	Not very satisfied	1306	27.2%	
3	Fairly satisfied	1363	28.4%	
4	Very satisfied	255	5.3%	
8	Don't know	1253	26.1%	
-99	No answer	124		
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f15200: Left-right self-placement				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4914 /-] [Invalid=3283 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Left	157	32.5%	
10	Right	132	27.3%	
98	Don't know	194	40.2%	
-99	No answer	5		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f14177a: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4854 /-] [Invalid=3343 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Negative and cold feelings	747	79.3%	
10	Positive and warm feelings	195	20.7%	
-99	No answer	63		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f14177b: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4847 /-] [Invalid=3350 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Negative and cold feelings	269	45.1%	
10	Positive and warm feelings	328	54.9%	
-99	No answer	70		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f14177c: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4819 /-] [Invalid=3378 /-]				
Value		Label		Cases		
0		Negative and cold feelings		72		
10		Positive and warm feelings		127		
-99		No answer		98		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f14177d: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4844 /-] [Invalid=3353 /-]				
Value		Label		Cases		
0		Negative and cold feelings		179		
10		Positive and warm feelings		120		
-99		No answer		73		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f14177e: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4842 /-] [Invalid=3355 /-]				
Value		Label		Cases		
0		Negative and cold feelings		346		
10		Positive and warm feelings		253		
-99		No answer		75		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f14177f: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4838 /-] [Invalid=3359 /-]				
Value		Label		Cases		
0		Negative and cold feelings		229		
10		Positive and warm feelings		118		
-99		No answer		79		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f15656: Expected national economic situation next year						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4908 /-] [Invalid=3289 /-]				
Value		Label		Cases		
1		Worsen significantly		168		
2		Worsen slightly		1336		
3		Remain the same		2948		
4		Improve slightly		446		
5		Improve significantly		10		
-99		No answer		9		
Sysmiss				3280		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						

# W4_f29100: Making ends meet with income				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4897 /-] [Invalid=3300 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all	189	3.9%	
2	Rather not	773	15.8%	
3	Yes, I can manage	2809	57.4%	
4	Yes, very well	1126	23.0%	
-99	No answer	20		
Sysmiss		3280		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f29120a: With prices rising, worried that my standard of living will deteriorate				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4903 /-] [Invalid=3294 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	321	6.5%	
2	Rather disagree	868	17.7%	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	826	16.8%	
4	Rather agree	2015	41.1%	
5	Strongly agree	873	17.8%	
-99	No answer	13		
Sysmiss		3281		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f29120b: Economic situation of people like me doesn't get enough political attention				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=3303 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	269	5.5%	
2	Rather disagree	907	18.5%	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1403	28.7%	
4	Rather agree	1582	32.3%	
5	Strongly agree	733	15.0%	
-99	No answer	22		
Sysmiss		3281		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=3292 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Have already gone much too far	123	2.5%	
2	Have rather gone too far	530	10.8%	
3	Are just about right	1570	32.0%	
4	Don't go far enough	2188	44.6%	
5	Don't nearly go far enough	494	10.1%	

# W4_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	11				
Sysmiss		3281				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4896/-] [Invalid=3301/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Very much in favor of more state intervention in the economy	221	4.5%			
2	Rather in favor of more state intervention in the economy	1143	23.3%			
3	Neither nor	1673	34.2%			
4	Rather in favor of more competition in the economy	1584	32.4%			
5	Very much in favor of more competition in the economy	275	5.6%			
-99	No answer	20				
Sysmiss		3281				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f15478: Too many worries about the environment instead of costs and prices						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4907/-] [Invalid=3290/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Strongly disagree	782	15.9%			
2	Rather disagree	1238	25.2%			
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1105	22.5%			
4	Rather agree	1357	27.7%			
5	Strongly agree	425	8.7%			
-99	No answer	9				
Sysmiss		3281				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f15815: Opinion on current political topics - minimum wage						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4907/-] [Invalid=3290/-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Strongly against	467	9.5%			
2	Rather against	871	17.8%			
3	Rather in favor	2031	41.4%			
4	Strongly in favor	1538	31.3%			
-99	No answer	9				
Sysmiss		3281				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f15811: Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4890/-] [Invalid=3307/-]				

W4_f15811: Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	1648	33.7%
2	Rather against	1719	35.2%
3	Rather in favor	1172	24.0%
4	Strongly in favor	351	7.2%
-99	No answer	25	
Sysmiss		3282	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f15816: Opinion on current political topics - foreigners' voting rights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*<0>/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4898 /-] [Invalid=3299 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	881	18.0%
2	Rather against	1206	24.6%
3	Rather in favor	1814	37.0%
4	Strongly in favor	997	20.4%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		3282	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f15817: Opinion on current political topics - equal rights for same-sex couples

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*<0>/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=3303 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	373	7.6%
2	Rather against	705	14.4%
3	Rather in favor	1781	36.4%
4	Strongly in favor	2035	41.6%
-99	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		3282	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_E11: Current marital status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*<0>/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4911 /-] [Invalid=3286 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or in registered partnership	2831	57.6%
2	Widowed	200	4.1%
3	Divorced or separated	594	12.1%
4	Single	1286	26.2%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		3282	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f20500: Household size, including R

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-40] [Missing=*<0>/-99]
-------------	--

# W4_f20500: Household size, including R						
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4906 /-] [Invalid=3291 /-] [Mean=2.48 /-] [StdDev=1.309 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	9				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f20710: Number of children under 18 in the household						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3959 /-] [Invalid=4238 /-] [Mean=0.502 /-] [StdDev=0.896 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-99	No answer	69				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f21310rec: R's highest level of education						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=3303 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	No completed education	5	0.1%			
2	Primary school	34	0.7%			
3	Secondary school	79	1.6%			
4	Basic vocational training (EBA, AFP)	42	0.9%			
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	1225	25.0%			
6	Upper secondary specialised school (Fachmittelschule, Ecole)	62	1.3%			
7	Trade school	237	4.8%			
8	Vocational or specialised baccalaureate	176	3.6%			
9	Baccalaureate	278	5.7%			
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	639	13.1%			
11	College of higher education	406	8.3%			
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	671	13.7%			
13	University, Federal Institute of technology	1040	21.3%			
14	Other	0				
-99	No answer	20				
Sysmiss		3283				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f21300: Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4902 /-] [Invalid=3295 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	No	3784	77.2%			
1	Yes	1118	22.8%			
-99	No answer	12				
Sysmiss		3283				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_f21400: R's current working situation, if change in professional situation						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1115 /-] [Invalid=7082 /-]				

W4_f21400: R's current working situation, if change in professional situation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	326	29.2%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	403	36.1%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	108	9.7%
4	Homemaker	18	1.6%
5	Retired (AHV)	148	13.3%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	28	2.5%
7	Unemployed	34	3.0%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	50	4.5%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		7079	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f21500: R's current position at work, if change in professional situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=689 /-] [Invalid=7508 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	60	8.7%
2	Employee with supervision function	177	25.7%
3	Employee with operative function	386	56.0%
4	Self-employed	66	9.6%
-99	No answer	40	
Sysmiss		7468	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f21550: Number of persons employed at company, including R, if change in professional situation

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-360000] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=64 /-] [Invalid=8133 /-] [Mean=5635.984 /-] [StdDev=44998.648 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, if change in professional situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=717 /-] [Invalid=7480 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
10	Managers	3	0.4%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	21	2.9%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	28	3.9%
13	Production and specialised services managers	36	5.0%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	4	0.6%
20	Professionals	5	0.7%
21	Science and engineering professionals	40	5.6%
22	Health professionals	61	8.5%

W4_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, if change in professional situation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23	Teaching professionals	73	10.2%
24	Business and administration professionals	51	7.1%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	40	5.6%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	50	7.0%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	19	2.6%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	21	2.9%
32	Health associate professionals	26	3.6%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	40	5.6%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	19	2.6%
35	Information and communications technicians	5	0.7%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	44	6.1%
42	Customer services clerks	6	0.8%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	10	1.4%
44	Other clerical support workers	5	0.7%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	23	3.2%
52	Sales workers	20	2.8%
53	Personal care workers	8	1.1%
54	Protective services workers	4	0.6%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	6	0.8%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	0	
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	5	0.7%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	7	1.0%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	2	0.3%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	9	1.3%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	4	0.6%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	2	0.3%
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	1	0.1%
82	Assemblers	1	0.1%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	7	1.0%
90	Elementary occupations	0	
91	Cleaners and helpers	4	0.6%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	1	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	3	0.4%
94	Food preparation assistants	2	0.3%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	1	0.1%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		7476	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f21700: R's current working sector, if change in professional situation				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=728 /-] [Invalid=7469 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Private sector	425	58.4%	
2	Public sector	238	32.7%	
3	Other (mixed)	65	8.9%	
-99	No answer	0		
Sysmiss		7469		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active, if change in professional situation				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=724 /-] [Invalid=7473 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Product manufacturing (industry)	88	12.2%	
2	Retail and wholesale	43	5.9%	
3	Healthcare and social sector	164	22.7%	
4	Services such as consulting, auditing, research & development	45	6.2%	
5	Construction	52	7.2%	
6	Teaching and education	95	13.1%	
7	Services such as travel agency, security service, employment	25	3.5%	
8	Financial services (banks, insurances, etc.)	48	6.6%	
9	Hospitality and gastronomy	16	2.2%	
10	Transport and shipping services	22	3.0%	
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	58	8.0%	
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	8	1.1%	
13	Personal services (e.g. hairdresser), member organizations (21	2.9%	
14	Information and communication	38	5.2%	
15	Other	1	0.1%	
-99	No answer	4		
Sysmiss		7469		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f21550_W1self: Asked to self-employed from W1 if no change in professional situation: number of persons employed at company, including R				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5500] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=220 /-] [Invalid=7977 /-] [Mean=34.891 /-] [StdDev=371.83 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
-99	No answer	7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f28910: Gross monthly household income				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4816 /-] [Invalid=3381 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Less than 2'000 CHF	164	3.4%	
2	2'001-3'000 CHF	178	3.7%	

W4_f28910: Gross monthly household income

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3'001-4'000 CHF	282	5.9%
4	4'001-5'000 CHF	381	7.9%
5	5'001-6'000 CHF	485	10.1%
6	6'001-7'000 CHF	456	9.5%
7	7'001-8'000 CHF	472	9.8%
8	8'001-9'000 CHF	370	7.7%
9	9'001-10'000 CHF	363	7.5%
10	10'001-11'000 CHF	310	6.4%
11	11'001-12'000 CHF	236	4.9%
12	12'001-13'000 CHF	210	4.4%
13	13'001-14'000 CHF	163	3.4%
14	14'001-15'000 CHF	164	3.4%
15	15'001-16'000 CHF	129	2.7%
16	16'001-17'000 CHF	90	1.9%
17	17'001-18'000 CHF	65	1.3%
18	18'001-19'000 CHF	52	1.1%
19	19'001-20'000 CHF	69	1.4%
20	More than 20'000 CHF	177	3.7%
-99	No answer	93	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f30021: Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4887 /-] [Invalid=3310 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	44	0.9%
2	Rather disagree	311	6.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	798	16.3%
4	Rather agree	2706	55.4%
5	Strongly agree	1028	21.0%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		3291	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f30022: Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4874 /-] [Invalid=3323 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	29	0.6%
2	Rather disagree	192	3.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	276	5.7%
4	Rather agree	2318	47.6%
5	Strongly agree	2059	42.2%
-99	No answer	32	
Sysmiss		3291	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f30023: Survey experience: the questions were understandable				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4872 /-] [Invalid=3325 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	19	0.4%	
2	Rather disagree	89	1.8%	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	158	3.2%	
4	Rather agree	1792	36.8%	
5	Strongly agree	2814	57.8%	
-99	No answer	34		
Sysmiss		3291		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f30024: Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4877 /-] [Invalid=3320 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	35	0.7%	
2	Rather disagree	146	3.0%	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	191	3.9%	
4	Rather agree	1646	33.8%	
5	Strongly agree	2859	58.6%	
-99	No answer	29		
Sysmiss		3291		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_marital_sample: Marital status from FSO sample				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Married, registered partnership	2789	56.7%	
2	Single	1377	28.0%	
3	Widowed	179	3.6%	
4	Divorced, dissolved partnership	574	11.7%	
Sysmiss		3278		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing= *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value		Cases	Percentage	
1	Zurich	900	18.3%	
2	Bern	687	14.0%	
3	Lucerne	274	5.6%	
4	Uri	21	0.4%	
5	Schwyz	83	1.7%	
6	Obwalden	28	0.6%	

W4_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Nidwalden	38	0.8%
8	Glarus	19	0.4%
9	Zug	77	1.6%
10	Fribourg	194	3.9%
11	Solothurn	169	3.4%
12	Basel-Stadt	91	1.8%
13	Basel-Landschaft	159	3.2%
14	Schaffhausen	50	1.0%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	44	0.9%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	8	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	300	6.1%
18	Graubünden	121	2.5%
19	Aargau	369	7.5%
20	Thurgau	143	2.9%
21	Ticino	203	4.1%
22	Vaud	414	8.4%
23	Valais	204	4.1%
24	Neuchâtel	96	2.0%
25	Geneva	194	3.9%
26	Jura	33	0.7%
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_bigregion_sample: Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lake Geneva region	812	16.5%
2	Espace Mittelland	1179	24.0%
3	North-West Switzerland	619	12.6%
4	Zurich region	900	18.3%
5	Eastern Switzerland	685	13.9%
6	Central Switzerland	521	10.6%
7	Ticino	203	4.1%
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_munilang_sample: Municipality language from FSO sample

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	3642	74.0%
2	French	1055	21.4%
3	Italian	210	4.3%
4	Romansh	12	0.2%
Sysmiss		3278	

W4_munilang_sample: Municipality language from FSO sample

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_smt3: Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	3145	63.9%
2	Intermediate (dense suburbs and rural centres)	1110	22.6%
3	Rural	664	13.5%
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_smt9: Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-33] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Urban municipality of a large agglomeration	1432	29.1%
12	Urban municipality of a medium-sized agglomeration	1184	24.1%
13	Urban municipality of a small agglomeration or outside	529	10.8%
21	High density periurban municipality	329	6.7%
22	Medium density periurban municipality	557	11.3%
23	Low density periurban municipality	241	4.9%
31	Rural centre municipality	224	4.6%
32	Rural centrally located municipality	317	6.4%
33	Rural peripheral municipality	106	2.2%
Sysmiss		3278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_4_f21400: R's current working situation, W1 updated with W4					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing= */-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8129 /-] [Invalid=68 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases			Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	2826			34.8%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	2107			25.9%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	511			6.3%
4	Homemaker	190			2.3%
5	Retired (AHV)	2041			25.1%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	191			2.3%
7	Unemployed	91			1.1%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	172			2.1%
-99	No answer	23			
Sysmiss		45			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_4_f21500: R's current position at work, W1 updated with W4					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5042 /-] [Invalid=3155 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases			Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	586			11.6%
2	Employee with supervision function	1401			27.8%
3	Employee with operative function	2525			50.1%
4	Self-employed	530			10.5%
-99	No answer	67			
Sysmiss		3088			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_4_f21550: Number of persons employed at company, including R, proxy for W1 updated with W4					
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-360000] [Missing= */-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=284 /-] [Invalid=7913 /-] [Mean=1297.109 /-] [StdDev=21362.84 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases			Percentage
-99	No answer	9			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_4_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, W1 updated with W4					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing= */-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4978 /-] [Invalid=3219 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases			Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	1			0.0%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	4			0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1			0.0%
10	Managers	31			0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	219			4.4%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	251			5.0%
13	Production and specialised services managers	261			5.2%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	56			1.1%

W1_4_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Professionals	30	0.6%
21	Science and engineering professionals	328	6.6%
22	Health professionals	346	7.0%
23	Teaching professionals	420	8.4%
24	Business and administration professionals	329	6.6%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	223	4.5%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	276	5.5%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	74	1.5%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	227	4.6%
32	Health associate professionals	157	3.2%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	308	6.2%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	124	2.5%
35	Information and communications technicians	31	0.6%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	235	4.7%
42	Customer services clerks	72	1.4%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	97	1.9%
44	Other clerical support workers	53	1.1%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	132	2.7%
52	Sales workers	114	2.3%
53	Personal care workers	78	1.6%
54	Protective services workers	55	1.1%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	1	0.0%
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	63	1.3%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	2	0.0%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	1	0.0%
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	59	1.2%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	77	1.5%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	23	0.5%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	36	0.7%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	30	0.6%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	2	0.0%
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	18	0.4%
82	Assemblers	2	0.0%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	66	1.3%
90	Elementary occupations	2	0.0%
91	Cleaners and helpers	14	0.3%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	7	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	30	0.6%
94	Food preparation assistants	7	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	5	0.1%
-99	No answer	137	

# W1_4_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, W1 updated with W4						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
Sysmiss		3082				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_4_f21700: R's current working sector, W1 updated with W4						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5097 /-] [Invalid=3100 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Private sector	3101	60.8%			
2	Public sector	1570	30.8%			
3	Other (mixed)	426	8.4%			
-99	No answer	10				
Sysmiss		3090				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W1_4_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active, W1 updated with W4						
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5076 /-] [Invalid=3121 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Product fabrication	697	13.7%			
2	Retail and wholesale	351	6.9%			
3	Healthcare and social sector	946	18.6%			
4	Services, expertise, research and development	303	6.0%			
5	Construction	429	8.5%			
6	Teaching and education	616	12.1%			
7	Tourism and business related services	168	3.3%			
8	Financial services	339	6.7%			
9	Hotel and restaurant	110	2.2%			
10	Transportation	183	3.6%			
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	420	8.3%			
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	94	1.9%			
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	115	2.3%			
14	Information and communication	273	5.4%			
15	Other	32	0.6%			
-99	No answer	31				
Sysmiss		3090				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>						
# W4_longweight: Longitudinal weight, W4						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6.99931340694192] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.004 /-] [StdDev=0.929 /-]				
# W4_weight_p: Cross-sectional weight, party choice if elections next Sunday, W4						
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.734939759-1.454545455] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.197 /-]				