

# **Swiss Election Study (Selects) 2023**

## **Panel Survey (waves 1-4)**

### **Dataset description**

#### **Selects2023\_Panel\_V2.0**

February 3, 2025



# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Files Description.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Selects2023_Panel_v2.0.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Variables List.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Selects2023_Panel_v2.0.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Variables Description.....</a>	<a href="#">34</a>
<a href="#">Selects2023_Panel_v2.0.....</a>	<a href="#">35</a>

**Selects Panel Survey (waves 1 - 4)****Overview****Identification**

Temp1

**Countries****Depositor(s)**

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Selects2023_Panel_v2.0	
# Cases	8197
# Variable(s)	608

# Variables List

Dataset contains 608 variable(s)

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	<a href="#">ID</a>	Panel survey ID	continuous	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
2	<a href="#">W1_intst..</a>	Start Date	discrete	character-20	8197	-	-
3	<a href="#">W1_intend</a>	End Date	discrete	character-20	8197	-	-
4	<a href="#">W1_total..</a>	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	8197	0	-
5	<a href="#">W1_finis..</a>	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	8197	0	-
6	<a href="#">W1_mobile</a>	Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
7	<a href="#">W1_langint</a>	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
8	<a href="#">W1_birth..</a>	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
9	<a href="#">W1_age</a>	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
10	<a href="#">W1_agecat</a>	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
11	<a href="#">W1_sex</a>	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-40.0	8184	13	-
12	<a href="#">W1_f10000</a>	Canton in which R currently has the right to vote	discrete	numeric-40.0	8187	10	-
13	<a href="#">W1_f13700</a>	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8184	13	-
14	<a href="#">W1_Q04b</a>	Most politicians do not care about the people	discrete	numeric-40.0	8135	62	-
15	<a href="#">W1_Q04c</a>	Most politicians are trustworthy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8141	56	-
16	<a href="#">W1_Q04f</a>	The people should make our most important policy decisions	discrete	numeric-40.0	8122	75	-
17	<a href="#">W1_Q04g</a>	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful	discrete	numeric-40.0	8111	86	-
18	<a href="#">W1_f12700c</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	7692	505	-
19	<a href="#">W1_f1270..</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	7692	505	-
20	<a href="#">W1_f1272..</a>	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	7671	526	-
21	<a href="#">W1_f1272..</a>	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	7444	753	-
22	<a href="#">W1_f12730c</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	7426	771	-
23	<a href="#">W1_f1273..</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	7426	771	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
24	<a href="#">W1_f1274..</a>	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	7345	852	-
25	<a href="#">W1_f1274..</a>	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	7141	1056	-
26	<a href="#">W1_f14610</a>	Evolution of the economy in past 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	8138	59	-
27	<a href="#">W1_f14651</a>	Opinion on privatizing profits and socializing losses	discrete	numeric-40.0	8164	33	-
28	<a href="#">W1_f14652</a>	Opinion on banking regulation	discrete	numeric-40.0	8122	75	-
29	<a href="#">W1_f15383</a>	Opinion on Swiss war material re-export	discrete	numeric-40.0	8146	51	-
30	<a href="#">W1_f15381</a>	Opinion on access for migrants	discrete	numeric-40.0	8168	29	-
31	<a href="#">W1_f15382</a>	Opinion on gender-sensitive language	discrete	numeric-40.0	8155	42	-
32	<a href="#">W1_f10100</a>	R's interest in politics	discrete	numeric-40.0	8178	19	-
33	<a href="#">W1_f1020..</a>	Participation in national election 2019, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	8157	40	-
34	<a href="#">W1_f10250</a>	Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous election (2019)	discrete	numeric-40.0	6451	1746	-
35	<a href="#">W1_f1030..</a>	Party R voted for in national elections in 2019	discrete	numeric-8.0	6431	1766	-
36	<a href="#">W1_f1030..</a>	Party R voted for in national elections in 2019, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	6407	1790	-
37	<a href="#">W1_f10800</a>	Intention to take part in national elections in 2023	discrete	numeric-40.0	8171	26	-
38	<a href="#">W1_f1085..</a>	Vote intention 2023, likely voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	7992	205	-
39	<a href="#">W1_f1085..</a>	Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	7907	290	-
40	<a href="#">W1_f1086..</a>	Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1878	6319	-
41	<a href="#">W1_f1086..</a>	Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	1872	6325	-
42	<a href="#">W1_f1090..</a>	Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	179	8018	-
43	<a href="#">W1_f1090..</a>	Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	176	8021	-
44	<a href="#">W1_f1085..</a>	Vote intention 2023, combined	discrete	numeric-8.0	8084	113	-
45	<a href="#">W1_f1085..</a>	Vote intention 2023, combined, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	8058	139	-
46	<a href="#">W1_f10950</a>	Certainty to vote for mentioned party in 2023, likely voters	discrete	numeric-40.0	6010	2187	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
47	<a href="#">W1_f12500</a>	R's participation rate in federal votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	8161	36	-
48	<a href="#">W1_f12501</a>	Number of times on the losing side in federal votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	7857	340	-
49	<a href="#">W1_f10750</a>	R's participation in the federal vote of June 18, 2023	discrete	numeric-40.0	8174	23	-
50	<a href="#">W1_f10751a</a>	Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies	discrete	numeric-40.0	5807	2390	-
51	<a href="#">W1_f10751b</a>	Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security	discrete	numeric-40.0	5806	2391	-
52	<a href="#">W1_f10751c</a>	Amendment to the COVID-19 Act	discrete	numeric-40.0	5809	2388	-
53	<a href="#">W1_f10756a</a>	Hypothetical vote on Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies	discrete	numeric-40.0	2233	5964	-
54	<a href="#">W1_f10756b</a>	Hypothetical vote on Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security	discrete	numeric-40.0	2226	5971	-
55	<a href="#">W1_f10756c</a>	Hypothetical vote on Amendment to the COVID-19 Act	discrete	numeric-40.0	2227	5970	-
56	<a href="#">W1_f10758a</a>	Personal importance of vote: Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies	discrete	numeric-40.0	5781	2416	-
57	<a href="#">W1_f10758b</a>	Personal importance of vote: Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security	discrete	numeric-40.0	5755	2442	-
58	<a href="#">W1_f10758c</a>	Personal importance of vote: Amendment to the COVID-19 Act	discrete	numeric-40.0	5750	2447	-
59	<a href="#">W1_f19101a</a>	Perception of families	discrete	numeric-40.0	8039	158	-
60	<a href="#">W1_f19101b</a>	Perception of workers	discrete	numeric-40.0	8027	170	-
61	<a href="#">W1_f19101c</a>	Perception of rich people	discrete	numeric-40.0	8010	187	-
62	<a href="#">W1_f19101d</a>	Perception of feminists	discrete	numeric-40.0	8034	163	-
63	<a href="#">W1_f19101e</a>	Perception of migrants	discrete	numeric-40.0	8025	172	-
64	<a href="#">W1_f19101f</a>	Perception of climate activists	discrete	numeric-40.0	8038	159	-
65	<a href="#">W1_f19101g</a>	Perception of entrepreneurs	discrete	numeric-40.0	8016	181	-
66	<a href="#">W1_f1910..</a>	Party best representing families	discrete	numeric-8.0	8094	103	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
67	<a href="#">W1_f1910..</a>	Party best representing workers	discrete	numeric-8.0	8100	97	-
68	<a href="#">W1_f1910..</a>	Party best representing rich people	discrete	numeric-8.0	8096	101	-
69	<a href="#">W1_f1910..</a>	Party best representing feminists	discrete	numeric-8.0	8063	134	-
70	<a href="#">W1_f1910..</a>	Party best representing migrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	8079	118	-
71	<a href="#">W1_f1910..</a>	Party best representing climate activists	discrete	numeric-8.0	8110	87	-
72	<a href="#">W1_f1910..</a>	Party best representing entrepreneurs	discrete	numeric-8.0	8100	97	-
73	<a href="#">W1_f14000</a>	R feels close to a party	discrete	numeric-40.0	8169	28	-
74	<a href="#">W1_f14050</a>	R feels somewhat close to a party	discrete	numeric-40.0	4421	3776	-
75	<a href="#">W1_f1401..</a>	Party R feels close to	discrete	numeric-8.0	6259	1938	-
76	<a href="#">W1_f1401..</a>	Party R feels close to, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	6259	1938	-
77	<a href="#">W1_f14070</a>	How close does R feel to this party?	discrete	numeric-40.0	6242	1955	-
78	<a href="#">W1_f14175a</a>	I'm interested in what other people think of this party.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6091	2106	-
79	<a href="#">W1_f14175b</a>	When other people criticize this party, I take it as a personal insult.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6092	2105	-
80	<a href="#">W1_f14175c</a>	I have a lot in common with the people who support this party.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6101	2096	-
81	<a href="#">W1_f14175d</a>	When I meet someone who supports this party, I feel connected to that person.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6096	2101	-
82	<a href="#">W1_f14175e</a>	When other people praise this party, it makes me happy.	discrete	numeric-40.0	6092	2105	-
83	<a href="#">W1_f14400a</a>	Probability to vote for FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7909	288	-
84	<a href="#">W1_f14400j</a>	Probability to vote for The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7881	316	-
85	<a href="#">W1_f14400c</a>	Probability to vote for SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7869	328	-
86	<a href="#">W1_f14400d</a>	Probability to vote for SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7917	280	-
87	<a href="#">W1_f14400e</a>	Probability to vote for GPS/PES - Green Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7859	338	-
88	<a href="#">W1_f14400f</a>	Probability to vote for GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7834	363	-
89	<a href="#">W1_f14400h</a>	Probability to vote for Lega - Ticino League	discrete	numeric-40.0	324	7873	-
90	<a href="#">W1_f14400i</a>	Probability to vote for MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	discrete	numeric-40.0	342	7855	-



**File Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
91	<a href="#">W1_f15130a</a>	Left-right placement: FDP/ PLR - Liberal Radical Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7985	212	-
92	<a href="#">W1_f15130j</a>	Left-right placement: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7959	238	-
93	<a href="#">W1_f15130c</a>	Left-right placement: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7965	232	-
94	<a href="#">W1_f15130d</a>	Left-right placement: SVP/ UDC - Swiss People's Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7982	215	-
95	<a href="#">W1_f15130e</a>	Left-right placement: GPS/ PES - Green Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7956	241	-
96	<a href="#">W1_f15130f</a>	Left-right placement: GLP/ PVL - Green Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-40.0	7933	264	-
97	<a href="#">W1_f15130h</a>	Left-right placement: Lega - Ticino League	discrete	numeric-40.0	337	7860	-
98	<a href="#">W1_f15130i</a>	Left-right placement: MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	discrete	numeric-40.0	346	7851	-
99	<a href="#">W1_f15200</a>	Left-right self-placement	discrete	numeric-40.0	8136	61	-
100	<a href="#">W1_f15310a</a>	Personal importance of European policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8123	74	-
101	<a href="#">W1_f15310b</a>	Personal importance of migration policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8098	99	-
102	<a href="#">W1_f15310c</a>	Personal importance of social policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8090	107	-
103	<a href="#">W1_f15310d</a>	Personal importance of environmental policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8104	93	-
104	<a href="#">W1_f15310e</a>	Personal importance of economic policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8099	98	-
105	<a href="#">W1_f15310f</a>	Personal importance of health policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8110	87	-
106	<a href="#">W1_f1532_..</a>	Party which cares most about European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8039	158	-
107	<a href="#">W1_f1532_..</a>	Party which cares most about migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8045	152	-
108	<a href="#">W1_f1532_..</a>	Party which cares most about social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8060	137	-
109	<a href="#">W1_f1532_..</a>	Party which cares most about environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8070	127	-
110	<a href="#">W1_f1532_..</a>	Party which cares most about economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8046	151	-
111	<a href="#">W1_f1532_..</a>	Party which cares most about health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8004	193	-
112	<a href="#">W1_f1533_..</a>	Party that is most competent with European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7981	216	-
113	<a href="#">W1_f1533_..</a>	Party that is most competent with migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7994	203	-
114	<a href="#">W1_f1533_..</a>	Party that is most competent with social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7974	223	-
115	<a href="#">W1_f1533_..</a>	Party that is most competent with environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8008	189	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
116	<a href="#">W1_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	8009	188	-
117	<a href="#">W1_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7941	256	-
118	<a href="#">W1_f15340b</a>	Own opinion on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-40.0	8112	85	-
119	<a href="#">W1_f15340c</a>	Own opinion on increasing public funding for out-of-home childcare	discrete	numeric-40.0	8102	95	-
120	<a href="#">W1_f15340d</a>	Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-40.0	8114	83	-
121	<a href="#">W1_f15340e</a>	Own opinion on strengthening the competitiveness of the Swiss economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8101	96	-
122	<a href="#">W1_f15340f</a>	Own opinion on raising the standard deductible for compulsory health insurance	discrete	numeric-40.0	8110	87	-
123	<a href="#">W1_f15420</a>	Opinion on social expenses	discrete	numeric-40.0	8106	91	-
124	<a href="#">W1_f15425</a>	Opinion on European integration	discrete	numeric-40.0	8136	61	-
125	<a href="#">W1_f15431</a>	Opinion on EU membership	discrete	numeric-40.0	5595	2602	-
126	<a href="#">W1_f15435</a>	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	8091	106	-
127	<a href="#">W1_f15440</a>	Opinion on chances for foreigners	discrete	numeric-40.0	8091	106	-
128	<a href="#">W1_f15478</a>	Too many worries about the environment instead of costs and prices	discrete	numeric-40.0	8134	63	-
129	<a href="#">W1_f15475</a>	Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality	discrete	numeric-40.0	8112	85	-
130	<a href="#">W1_f15480</a>	Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	numeric-40.0	8129	68	-
131	<a href="#">W1_f15485</a>	Opinion on traditions	discrete	numeric-40.0	8125	72	-
132	<a href="#">W1_f15815</a>	Opinion on current political topics - minimum wage	discrete	numeric-40.0	8120	77	-
133	<a href="#">W1_f15811</a>	Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age	discrete	numeric-40.0	8108	89	-
134	<a href="#">W1_f15816</a>	Opinion on current political topics - foreigners' voting rights	discrete	numeric-40.0	8121	76	-
135	<a href="#">W1_f15817</a>	Opinion on current political topics - equal rights for same-sex couples	discrete	numeric-40.0	8090	107	-
136	<a href="#">W1_f2021..</a>	R's nationality at birth - Country 1	discrete	numeric-4.0	8120	77	-
137	<a href="#">W1_f2021..</a>	R's nationality at birth - Country 2	discrete	numeric-4.0	497	7700	-

**File Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
138	<a href="#">W1_f2021..</a>	R's nationality at birth - Country 3	discrete	numeric-4.0	22	8175	-
139	<a href="#">W1_f20211</a>	Parents both born in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-40.0	8136	61	-
140	<a href="#">W1_f2021..</a>	Mother's country of birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	8103	94	-
141	<a href="#">W1_f2021..</a>	Father's country of birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	8101	96	-
142	<a href="#">W1_f2076..</a>	Religious denomination	discrete	numeric-8.0	8125	72	-
143	<a href="#">W1_f20900</a>	Church attendance	discrete	numeric-40.0	5546	2651	-
144	<a href="#">W1_f2131..</a>	R's highest level of education	discrete	numeric-8.0	8127	70	-
145	<a href="#">W1_f21400</a>	R's current working situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	8126	71	-
146	<a href="#">W1_f21500</a>	R's current position at work	discrete	numeric-40.0	4991	3206	-
147	<a href="#">W1_f2160..</a>	R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits	discrete	numeric-8.0	4854	3343	-
148	<a href="#">W1_f21700</a>	R's current working sector	discrete	numeric-40.0	4978	3219	-
149	<a href="#">W1_f21750</a>	Industry in which R's current company is active	discrete	numeric-8.0	4989	3208	-
150	<a href="#">W1_f26100</a>	R's previous working situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	2548	5649	-
151	<a href="#">W1_f24900</a>	R's previous position at work	discrete	numeric-40.0	2075	6122	-
152	<a href="#">W1_f2500..</a>	R's last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits	discrete	numeric-8.0	2022	6175	-
153	<a href="#">W1_ISCO0..</a>	R's current or last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits	discrete	numeric-8.0	6785	1412	-
154	<a href="#">W1_f25100</a>	R's previous working sector	discrete	numeric-40.0	2040	6157	-
155	<a href="#">W1_f25150</a>	Industry in which R's previous company is active	discrete	numeric-8.0	2073	6124	-
156	<a href="#">W1_f28910</a>	Gross monthly household income	discrete	numeric-40.0	7679	518	-
157	<a href="#">W1_f29100</a>	Can R manage with this income (after fixed expenses)	discrete	numeric-40.0	8087	110	-
158	<a href="#">W1_f30021</a>	Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting	discrete	numeric-40.0	7981	216	-
159	<a href="#">W1_f30022</a>	Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate	discrete	numeric-40.0	7965	232	-
160	<a href="#">W1_f30023</a>	Survey experience: the questions were understandable	discrete	numeric-40.0	7968	229	-
161	<a href="#">W1_f30024</a>	Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete	discrete	numeric-40.0	7973	224	-
162	<a href="#">W1_hhsiz..</a>	Household size from FSO sample	continuous	numeric-2.0	8197	0	-
163	<a href="#">W1_marit..</a>	Marital status from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	8197	0	-
164	<a href="#">W1_canto..</a>	Canton from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-2.0	8197	0	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
165	<a href="#">W1_bigre..</a>	Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	8197	0	-
166	<a href="#">W1_munil..</a>	Municipality language from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-2.0	8197	0	-
167	<a href="#">W1_bornc..</a>	Born in Switzerland from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
168	<a href="#">W1_smt3</a>	Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
169	<a href="#">W1_smt9</a>	Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	8197	0	-
170	<a href="#">W1_class..</a>	Respondent's Oesch class position - 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6786	1411	-
171	<a href="#">W1_class..</a>	Respondent's Oesch class position - 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6786	1411	-
172	<a href="#">W1_class..</a>	Respondent's Oesch class position - 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	6786	1411	-
173	<a href="#">W2_intst..</a>	Start Date	discrete	character-20	6077	-	-
174	<a href="#">W2_intend</a>	End Date	discrete	character-20	6077	-	-
175	<a href="#">W2_total..</a>	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	6077	2120	-
176	<a href="#">W2_finis..</a>	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	6077	2120	-
177	<a href="#">W2_mobile</a>	Mobile versus Desktop	discrete	numeric-8.2	6077	2120	-
178	<a href="#">W2_langint</a>	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	6077	2120	-
179	<a href="#">W2_birth..</a>	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	6055	2142	-
180	<a href="#">W2_age</a>	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	6055	2142	-
181	<a href="#">W2_agecat</a>	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	6055	2142	-
182	<a href="#">W2_sex</a>	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-40.0	6071	2126	-
183	<a href="#">W2_f13400a</a>	Attention to political news on TV	discrete	numeric-8.0	5962	2235	-
184	<a href="#">W2_f13400f</a>	Attention to political news in radio	discrete	numeric-8.0	5888	2309	-
185	<a href="#">W2_f13400b</a>	Attention to political news in newspapers (print or e-paper)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5951	2246	-
186	<a href="#">W2_f13400c</a>	Attention to political news in free newspapers like 20 Minuten (print or e-paper)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5915	2282	-
187	<a href="#">W2_f13400e</a>	Attention to political news on online newssites (e.g., watson.ch, srf.ch)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5913	2284	-
188	<a href="#">W2_f13400d</a>	Attention to political news on social media (e.g., Facebook, X)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5876	2321	-
189	<a href="#">W2_f13409a</a>	Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 1st mention	discrete	numeric-4.0	5205	2992	-
190	<a href="#">W2_f13409b</a>	Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted	discrete	numeric-4.0	3583	4614	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		most often in past days, 2nd mention					
191	<a href="#">W2_f13409c</a>	Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 3rd mention	discrete	numeric-4.0	2044	6153	-
192	<a href="#">W2_f10100</a>	R's interest in politics	discrete	numeric-40.0	6069	2128	-
193	<a href="#">W2_f12700c</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	5809	2388	-
194	<a href="#">W2_f1270..</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5809	2388	-
195	<a href="#">W2_f1272..</a>	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	5856	2341	-
196	<a href="#">W2_f1272..</a>	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5763	2434	-
197	<a href="#">W2_f12730c</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	5655	2542	-
198	<a href="#">W2_f1273..</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5655	2542	-
199	<a href="#">W2_f1274..</a>	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	5678	2519	-
200	<a href="#">W2_f1274..</a>	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5588	2609	-
201	<a href="#">W2_f18101a</a>	Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower	discrete	numeric-8.0	2949	5248	-
202	<a href="#">W2_f18101b</a>	Poverty attributions: Unlucky	discrete	numeric-8.0	2954	5243	-
203	<a href="#">W2_f18101c</a>	Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society	discrete	numeric-8.0	2985	5212	-
204	<a href="#">W2_f18101d</a>	Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society	discrete	numeric-8.0	2998	5199	-
205	<a href="#">W2_f18102a</a>	Poverty attributions: Poor people can't handle money	discrete	numeric-8.0	2984	5213	-
206	<a href="#">W2_f18102b</a>	Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and live on society's back	discrete	numeric-8.0	2980	5217	-
207	<a href="#">W2_f18102c</a>	Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence	discrete	numeric-8.0	2987	5210	-
208	<a href="#">W2_f18102d</a>	Poverty attributions: Poor people are victims of misfortunes such as illness and disability	discrete	numeric-8.0	3005	5192	-
209	<a href="#">W2_f18102e</a>	Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups	discrete	numeric-8.0	2978	5219	-

**File Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty					
210	<a href="#">W2_f18102f</a>	Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty	discrete	numeric-8.0	2978	5219	-
211	<a href="#">W2_f18102g</a>	Poverty attributions: Poverty is an inevitable consequence of the evolution of modern society	discrete	numeric-8.0	3000	5197	-
212	<a href="#">W2_f10160</a>	Attention to election campaign	discrete	numeric-40.0	6066	2131	-
213	<a href="#">W2_f13310</a>	Frequency of political talk with others last week (days per week)	discrete	numeric-8.0	6069	2128	-
214	<a href="#">W2_f10700</a>	Did R already receive voting material?	discrete	numeric-8.0	714	7483	-
215	<a href="#">W2_f10701</a>	Participation in the 2023 election	discrete	numeric-40.0	571	7626	-
216	<a href="#">W2_f10800</a>	Intention to take part in 2023 national election	discrete	numeric-40.0	6004	2193	-
217	<a href="#">W2_f1085..</a>	Vote intention 2023, likely voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	5820	2377	-
218	<a href="#">W2_f1085..</a>	Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5769	2428	-
219	<a href="#">W2_f1086..</a>	Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1003	7194	-
220	<a href="#">W2_f1086..</a>	Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	997	7200	-
221	<a href="#">W2_f1090..</a>	Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	184	8013	-
222	<a href="#">W2_f1090..</a>	Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	182	8015	-
223	<a href="#">W2_f1085..</a>	Vote intention 2023, combined	discrete	numeric-8.0	5956	2241	-
224	<a href="#">W2_f1085..</a>	Vote intention 2023, combined, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5940	2257	-
225	<a href="#">W2_f10950</a>	Certainty to vote in 2023 for mentioned party (likely voters)	discrete	numeric-40.0	4770	3427	-
226	<a href="#">W2_f1180..</a>	Party R voted in national elections 2023	discrete	numeric-8.0	62	8135	-
227	<a href="#">W2_f1180..</a>	Party R voted in national elections 2023, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	63	8134	-
228	<a href="#">W2_f1181..</a>	Vote for or against a party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	59	8138	-
229	<a href="#">W2_f1181..</a>	Party R voted against	discrete	numeric-8.0	11	8186	-
230	<a href="#">W2_f1181..</a>	Party R voted against, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	11	8186	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
231	<a href="#">W2_f12010</a>	Modification of voting list	discrete	numeric-40.0	57	8140	-
232	<a href="#">W2_f12020a</a>	Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	27	8170	-
233	<a href="#">W2_f12020b</a>	Did R cross out candidates?	discrete	numeric-8.0	26	8171	-
234	<a href="#">W2_f12020c</a>	Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	29	8168	-
235	<a href="#">W2_f12030a</a>	Panachage - FDP/PLR	discrete	numeric-8.0	20	8177	-
236	<a href="#">W2_f12030b</a>	Panachage - Centre	discrete	numeric-8.0	21	8176	-
237	<a href="#">W2_f12030c</a>	Panachage - SP/PS	discrete	numeric-8.0	19	8178	-
238	<a href="#">W2_f12030d</a>	Panachage - SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	17	8180	-
239	<a href="#">W2_f12030e</a>	Panachage - GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-8.0	18	8179	-
240	<a href="#">W2_f12030f</a>	Panachage - GLP/PVL	discrete	numeric-8.0	20	8177	-
241	<a href="#">W2_f12030h</a>	Panachage - Lega	discrete	numeric-8.0	1	8196	-
242	<a href="#">W2_f12030i</a>	Panachage - MCG	discrete	numeric-8.0	0	8197	-
243	<a href="#">W2_f12030j</a>	Panachage - CSP/PCS	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
244	<a href="#">W2_f12030k</a>	Panachage - EVP/PEV	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
245	<a href="#">W2_f12030l</a>	Panachage - EDU/UDF	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
246	<a href="#">W2_f12030m</a>	Panachage - PdA/PdT	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
247	<a href="#">W2_f12030n</a>	Panachage - AL - Sol - E� G - MPS	discrete	numeric-8.0	21	8176	-
248	<a href="#">W2_f12030o</a>	Panachage - Other party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	8175	-
249	<a href="#">W2_f12025</a>	More men or women on the list after modification	discrete	numeric-40.0	30	8167	-
250	<a href="#">W2_f12301</a>	Council of States: First candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	59	8138	-
251	<a href="#">W2_f12301p</a>	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	59	8138	-
252	<a href="#">W2_f1230..</a>	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	54	8143	-
253	<a href="#">W2_f12302</a>	Council of States: Second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	44	8153	-
254	<a href="#">W2_f12302p</a>	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	44	8153	-
255	<a href="#">W2_f1230..</a>	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	29	8168	-
256	<a href="#">W2_f14177a</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/ UDC - Swiss People's Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2935	5262	-
257	<a href="#">W2_f14177b</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2929	5268	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
258	<a href="#">W2_f14177c</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	discrete	numeric-8.0	2908	5289	-
259	<a href="#">W2_f14177d</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2932	5265	-
260	<a href="#">W2_f14177e</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2922	5275	-
261	<a href="#">W2_f14177f</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/ PVL - Green Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2917	5280	-
262	<a href="#">W2_f14178a</a>	Feelings towards different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2995	5202	-
263	<a href="#">W2_f14178b</a>	Feelings towards different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2984	5213	-
264	<a href="#">W2_f14178c</a>	Feelings towards different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	discrete	numeric-8.0	2984	5213	-
265	<a href="#">W2_f14178d</a>	Feelings towards different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2982	5215	-
266	<a href="#">W2_f14178e</a>	Feelings towards different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2982	5215	-
267	<a href="#">W2_f14178f</a>	Feelings towards different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2976	5221	-
268	<a href="#">W2_f1417..</a>	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	2012	6185	-
269	<a href="#">W2_f1417..</a>	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC and often talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	2014	6183	-
270	<a href="#">W2_f1417..</a>	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC but rarely talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	2008	6189	-
271	<a href="#">W2_f1417..</a>	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-8.0	2010	6187	-
272	<a href="#">W2_f1417..</a>	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES and often talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	2016	6181	-
273	<a href="#">W2_f1417..</a>	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES but rarely talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	2005	6192	-
274	<a href="#">W2_f1417..</a>	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone	discrete	numeric-8.0	1092	7105	-



File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		who votes the party with which R identifies					
275	<a href="#">W2_f1417..</a>	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies and often talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	1058	7139	-
276	<a href="#">W2_f1417..</a>	R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies but rarely talks about politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	1120	7077	-
277	<a href="#">W2_f15386a</a>	Feelings towards people who are in favor of easier access for migrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	5995	2202	-
278	<a href="#">W2_f15386b</a>	Feelings towards people who are in favor of more restrictive access for migrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	5982	2215	-
279	<a href="#">W2_f15387a</a>	Feelings towards people who are in favor of using inclusive language	discrete	numeric-8.0	5979	2218	-
280	<a href="#">W2_f15387b</a>	Feelings towards people who are against the use of inclusive language	discrete	numeric-8.0	5948	2249	-
281	<a href="#">W2_f15388a</a>	Feelings towards people who are in favor of the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine	discrete	numeric-8.0	5971	2226	-
282	<a href="#">W2_f15388b</a>	Feelings towards people who are against the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine	discrete	numeric-8.0	5945	2252	-
283	<a href="#">W2_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5955	2242	-
284	<a href="#">W2_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5960	2237	-
285	<a href="#">W2_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5970	2227	-
286	<a href="#">W2_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5974	2223	-
287	<a href="#">W2_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5966	2231	-
288	<a href="#">W2_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5920	2277	-
289	<a href="#">W2_f15900</a>	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council)	discrete	numeric-40.0	6040	2157	-
290	<a href="#">W2_f1590..</a>	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	6040	2157	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
291	<a href="#">W2_f16310</a>	Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council	discrete	numeric-40.0	6014	2183	-
292	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	6014	2183	-
293	<a href="#">W2_f16312</a>	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893	discrete	numeric-40.0	6035	2162	-
294	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	6035	2162	-
295	<a href="#">W2_f16313</a>	Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council)	discrete	numeric-40.0	6014	2183	-
296	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	6014	2183	-
297	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Alain Berset	discrete	numeric-40.0	862	7335	-
298	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Albert Rösti	discrete	numeric-40.0	866	7331	-
299	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Elisabeth Baume-Schneider	discrete	numeric-40.0	863	7334	-
300	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Guy Parmelin	discrete	numeric-40.0	858	7339	-
301	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Ignazio Cassis	discrete	numeric-40.0	855	7342	-
302	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Karin Keller-Sutter	discrete	numeric-40.0	862	7335	-
303	<a href="#">W2_f1631..</a>	Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Viola Amherd	discrete	numeric-40.0	858	7339	-
304	<a href="#">W2_f30021</a>	Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting	discrete	numeric-40.0	6003	2194	-
305	<a href="#">W2_f30022</a>	Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate	discrete	numeric-40.0	5976	2221	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
306	<a href="#">W2_f30023</a>	Survey experience: the questions were understandable	discrete	numeric-40.0	5990	2207	-
307	<a href="#">W2_f30024</a>	Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete	discrete	numeric-40.0	5989	2208	-
308	<a href="#">W2_longw..</a>	Longitudinal weight, W2	continuous	numeric-4.2	8197	0	-
309	<a href="#">W3_intst..</a>	Start Date	discrete	character-20	5579	-	-
310	<a href="#">W3_intend</a>	End Date	discrete	character-20	5579	-	-
311	<a href="#">W3_total..</a>	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	5579	2618	-
312	<a href="#">W3_finis..</a>	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	5579	2618	-
313	<a href="#">W3_mobile</a>	Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop	discrete	numeric-8.0	5579	2618	-
314	<a href="#">W3_langint</a>	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	5579	2618	-
315	<a href="#">W3_birth..</a>	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	5557	2640	-
316	<a href="#">W3_age</a>	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	5557	2640	-
317	<a href="#">W3_agecat</a>	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	5557	2640	-
318	<a href="#">W3_sex</a>	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-40.0	5562	2635	-
319	<a href="#">W3_f12800a</a>	Trust: Federal Council	continuous	numeric-8.0	5543	2654	-
320	<a href="#">W3_f12800b</a>	Trust: Federal Assembly	continuous	numeric-8.0	5551	2646	-
321	<a href="#">W3_f12800c</a>	Trust: Political parties at national level	continuous	numeric-8.0	5550	2647	-
322	<a href="#">W3_f12800f</a>	Trust: Swiss National Bank	continuous	numeric-8.0	5546	2651	-
323	<a href="#">W3_f12800g</a>	Trust: Justice/ Courts	continuous	numeric-8.0	5554	2643	-
324	<a href="#">W3_f12800h</a>	Trust: Police	continuous	numeric-8.0	5555	2642	-
325	<a href="#">W3_f12800j</a>	Trust: Scientists	continuous	numeric-8.0	5538	2659	-
326	<a href="#">W3_f12800k</a>	Trust: Media	continuous	numeric-8.0	5551	2646	-
327	<a href="#">W3_f10100</a>	R's interests in politics	discrete	numeric-40.0	5570	2627	-
328	<a href="#">W3_f12700c</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	5420	2777	-
329	<a href="#">W3_f1270..</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5420	2777	-
330	<a href="#">W3_f1272..</a>	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	5439	2758	-
331	<a href="#">W3_f1272..</a>	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5368	2829	-
332	<a href="#">W3_f12730c</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	5324	2873	-
333	<a href="#">W3_f1273..</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5324	2873	-
334	<a href="#">W3_f1274..</a>	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	5350	2847	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
335	<a href="#">W3_f1274..</a>	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5283	2914	-
336	<a href="#">W3_f11100</a>	Participation in the 2023 election	discrete	numeric-40.0	5496	2701	-
337	<a href="#">W2_3_voted</a>	Participation in the 2023 election, W2&3 combined	discrete	numeric-8.0	5643	2554	-
338	<a href="#">W3_f11301</a>	Reason nonvoting: Could not decide	discrete	numeric-8.0	957	7240	-
339	<a href="#">W3_f11302</a>	Reason nonvoting: Not interested in politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	971	7226	-
340	<a href="#">W3_f11303</a>	Reason nonvoting: Elections too complicated	discrete	numeric-8.0	969	7228	-
341	<a href="#">W3_f11304</a>	Reason non voting: Not convinced by any party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	968	7229	-
342	<a href="#">W3_f11305</a>	Reason non voting: Preferred candidate had no chance of being elected	discrete	numeric-8.0	931	7266	-
343	<a href="#">W3_f11306</a>	Reason non voting: Did not know candidates well enough	discrete	numeric-8.0	993	7204	-
344	<a href="#">W3_f11307</a>	Reason non voting: Was prevented (e.g., illness, accident, absence)	discrete	numeric-8.0	969	7228	-
345	<a href="#">W3_f11308</a>	Reason non voting: Elections have no effect on composition of government	discrete	numeric-8.0	928	7269	-
346	<a href="#">W3_f11309</a>	Reason non voting: Elections do not change anything	discrete	numeric-8.0	962	7235	-
347	<a href="#">W3_f11310</a>	Reason non voting: Can exert greater influence on politics with referendums	discrete	numeric-8.0	939	7258	-
348	<a href="#">W3_f11312</a>	Reason non voting: Other reason	discrete	numeric-8.0	421	7776	-
349	<a href="#">W3_f1140..</a>	Party R would have voted for, all non voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1103	7094	-
350	<a href="#">W3_f1140..</a>	Party R would have voted for, all non voters, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	865	7332	-
351	<a href="#">W3_f11600</a>	How R votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	4378	3819	-
352	<a href="#">W3_f11605</a>	Moment when R sent his ballot away	discrete	numeric-40.0	4376	3821	-
353	<a href="#">W3_f1180..</a>	Party R voted in national elections 2023	discrete	numeric-8.0	4357	3840	-
354	<a href="#">W3_f1180..</a>	Party R voted in national elections 2023, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4380	3817	-
355	<a href="#">W2_3_f11..</a>	Party R voted in national elections 2023, main parties, W2&3 combined	discrete	numeric-8.0	4405	3792	-
356	<a href="#">W3_f1181..</a>	Vote for or against a party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	4284	3913	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
357	<a href="#">W3_f1181..</a>	Party R voted against	discrete	numeric-8.0	699	7498	-
358	<a href="#">W3_f1181..</a>	Party R voted against, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	699	7498	-
359	<a href="#">W3_f11900</a>	Moment when R decided whom to vote for in National council elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4357	3840	-
360	<a href="#">W3_f12010</a>	Modification of voting list	discrete	numeric-40.0	4255	3942	-
361	<a href="#">W3_f12020a</a>	Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	2130	6067	-
362	<a href="#">W3_f12020b</a>	Did R cross out candidates?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1933	6264	-
363	<a href="#">W3_f12020c</a>	Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	2212	5985	-
364	<a href="#">W3_f12030a</a>	Panachage - FDP/PLR	discrete	numeric-8.0	1569	6628	-
365	<a href="#">W3_f12030b</a>	Panachage - CVP/PDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	1501	6696	-
366	<a href="#">W3_f12030c</a>	Panachage - SP/PS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1388	6809	-
367	<a href="#">W3_f12030d</a>	Panachage - SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	1500	6697	-
368	<a href="#">W3_f12030e</a>	Panachage - GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-8.0	1604	6593	-
369	<a href="#">W3_f12030f</a>	Panachage - GLP/PVL	discrete	numeric-8.0	1599	6598	-
370	<a href="#">W3_f12030h</a>	Panachage - Lega	discrete	numeric-8.0	61	8136	-
371	<a href="#">W3_f12030i</a>	Panachage - MCG	discrete	numeric-8.0	56	8141	-
372	<a href="#">W3_f12030j</a>	Panachage - CSP/PCS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1786	6411	-
373	<a href="#">W3_f12030k</a>	Panachage - EVP/PEV	discrete	numeric-8.0	1765	6432	-
374	<a href="#">W3_f12030l</a>	Panachage - EDU/UDF	discrete	numeric-8.0	1779	6418	-
375	<a href="#">W3_f12030m</a>	Panachage - PdA/PdT	discrete	numeric-8.0	1784	6413	-
376	<a href="#">W3_f12030n</a>	Panachage - AL - Sol - E�� G - MPS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1784	6413	-
377	<a href="#">W3_f12030o</a>	Panachage - Other party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	1789	6408	-
378	<a href="#">W3_f12025</a>	Did R vote more female/male candidates than original list	discrete	numeric-40.0	2403	5794	-
379	<a href="#">W3_f12340a</a>	Did R think about voting for FDP	discrete	numeric-2.0	2962	5235	-
380	<a href="#">W3_f12340b</a>	Did R think about voting for CVP	discrete	numeric-2.0	2872	5325	-
381	<a href="#">W3_f12340c</a>	Did R think about voting for SP	discrete	numeric-2.0	2464	5733	-
382	<a href="#">W3_f12340d</a>	Did R think about voting for SVP	discrete	numeric-2.0	2903	5294	-
383	<a href="#">W3_f12340e</a>	Did R think about voting for GPS	discrete	numeric-2.0	3040	5157	-
384	<a href="#">W3_f12340f</a>	Did R think about voting for GLP	discrete	numeric-2.0	3144	5053	-
385	<a href="#">W3_f12340h</a>	Did R think about voting for Lega	discrete	numeric-2.0	150	8047	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
386	<a href="#">W3_f12340i</a>	Did R think about voting for MCG	discrete	numeric-2.0	124	8073	-
387	<a href="#">W3_f12301</a>	Council of States: First candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	4313	3884	-
388	<a href="#">W3_f12301p</a>	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	4313	3884	-
389	<a href="#">W3_f1230..</a>	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	4164	4033	-
390	<a href="#">W3_f12302</a>	Council of States: Second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	3789	4408	-
391	<a href="#">W3_f12302p</a>	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-3.0	3789	4408	-
392	<a href="#">W3_f1230..</a>	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	3182	5015	-
393	<a href="#">W3_f13660a</a>	Sources of information: ads/ letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox	discrete	numeric-8.0	3985	4212	-
394	<a href="#">W3_f13660i</a>	Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio	discrete	numeric-8.0	4193	4004	-
395	<a href="#">W3_f13660n</a>	Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	4057	4140	-
396	<a href="#">W3_f13660d</a>	Sources of information: party stands in the streets	discrete	numeric-8.0	3793	4404	-
397	<a href="#">W3_f13660j</a>	Sources of information: discussions at work or school	discrete	numeric-8.0	3815	4382	-
398	<a href="#">W3_f13660e</a>	Sources of information: political events of parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3794	4403	-
399	<a href="#">W3_f13660g</a>	Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote	discrete	numeric-8.0	3855	4342	-
400	<a href="#">W3_f13660k</a>	Sources of information: discussions with family and friends	discrete	numeric-8.0	4129	4068	-
401	<a href="#">W3_f13660f</a>	Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	3837	4360	-
402	<a href="#">W3_f13660c</a>	Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	3835	4362	-
403	<a href="#">W3_f13660l</a>	Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election	discrete	numeric-8.0	3828	4369	-
404	<a href="#">W3_f13660b</a>	Sources of information: posters in the street	discrete	numeric-8.0	3817	4380	-
405	<a href="#">W3_f13660m</a>	Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	3780	4417	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
406	<a href="#">W3_f12610a</a>	Signed a petition, popular initiative or a referendum (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5535	2662	-
407	<a href="#">W3_f12610b</a>	Contacted a politician or civil servant to express your views (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5373	2824	-
408	<a href="#">W3_f12610d</a>	Contacted or appeared in the media to express my views (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5355	2842	-
409	<a href="#">W3_f12610g</a>	Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5396	2801	-
410	<a href="#">W3_f12610i</a>	Took part in a demonstration (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5239	2958	-
411	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Union or employee organization: Member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5405	2792	-
412	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Union or employee association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5405	2792	-
413	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Union or employee association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5405	2792	-
414	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Union or employee association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5405	2792	-
415	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Economic, employers' or farmers' association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
416	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Economic, employers' or farmers' association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
417	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Economic, employers' or farmers' association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
418	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Economic, employers' or farmers' association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
419	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Consumer organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5275	2922	-
420	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Consumer organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5275	2922	-
421	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Consumer organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5275	2922	-
422	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Consumer organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5275	2922	-
423	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Human rights or peace organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5366	2831	-
424	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5366	2831	-
425	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Human rights or peace organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5366	2831	-

**File Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
426	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Human rights or peace organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5366	2831	-
427	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Environmental organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5322	2875	-
428	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Environmental organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5322	2875	-
429	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Environmental organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5322	2875	-
430	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Environmental organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5322	2875	-
431	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Religious or church association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
432	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Religious or church association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
433	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Religious or church association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
434	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Religious or church association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5304	2893	-
435	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Political party: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	5285	2912	-
436	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Political party: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5285	2912	-
437	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Political party: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	5285	2912	-
438	<a href="#">W3_n13_0..</a>	Political party: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	5285	2912	-
439	<a href="#">W3_f1408..</a>	Member of a political party	discrete	numeric-8.0	353	7844	-
440	<a href="#">W3_f1408..</a>	Member of a political party, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	353	7844	-
441	<a href="#">W3_f13759a</a>	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: defense and security policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5534	2663	-
442	<a href="#">W3_f13759b</a>	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5541	2656	-
443	<a href="#">W3_f13759c</a>	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5538	2659	-
444	<a href="#">W3_f13759d</a>	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: migration and asylum policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5543	2654	-
445	<a href="#">W3_f13759e</a>	Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: gender equality policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5535	2662	-
446	<a href="#">W3_f13760a</a>	Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: ambitious	discrete	numeric-8.0	5507	2690	-



File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
447	<a href="#">W3_f13760b</a>	Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: consensus-building	discrete	numeric-8.0	5499	2698	-
448	<a href="#">W3_f13760c</a>	Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: assertive	discrete	numeric-8.0	5510	2687	-
449	<a href="#">W3_f13760d</a>	Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: compassionate	discrete	numeric-8.0	5506	2691	-
450	<a href="#">W3_f19101a</a>	Perception of families	discrete	numeric-40.0	5525	2672	-
451	<a href="#">W3_f19101b</a>	Perception of workers	discrete	numeric-40.0	5508	2689	-
452	<a href="#">W3_f19101c</a>	Perception of rich people	discrete	numeric-40.0	5507	2690	-
453	<a href="#">W3_f19101d</a>	Perception of feminists	discrete	numeric-40.0	5513	2684	-
454	<a href="#">W3_f19101e</a>	Perception of migrants	discrete	numeric-40.0	5507	2690	-
455	<a href="#">W3_f19101f</a>	Perception of climate activists	discrete	numeric-40.0	5522	2675	-
456	<a href="#">W3_f19101g</a>	Perception of entrepreneurs	discrete	numeric-40.0	5519	2678	-
457	<a href="#">W3_f1910..</a>	Party best representing families	discrete	numeric-8.0	5544	2653	-
458	<a href="#">W3_f1910..</a>	Party best representing workers	discrete	numeric-8.0	5542	2655	-
459	<a href="#">W3_f1910..</a>	Party best representing rich people	discrete	numeric-8.0	5527	2670	-
460	<a href="#">W3_f1910..</a>	Party best representing feminists	discrete	numeric-8.0	5527	2670	-
461	<a href="#">W3_f1910..</a>	Party best representing migrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	5544	2653	-
462	<a href="#">W3_f1910..</a>	Party best representing climate activists	discrete	numeric-8.0	5532	2665	-
463	<a href="#">W3_f1910..</a>	Party best representing entrepreneurs	discrete	numeric-8.0	5544	2653	-
464	<a href="#">W3_f18101a</a>	Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower	discrete	numeric-40.0	2747	5450	-
465	<a href="#">W3_f18101b</a>	Poverty attributions: Unlucky	discrete	numeric-40.0	2753	5444	-
466	<a href="#">W3_f18101c</a>	Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society	discrete	numeric-40.0	2767	5430	-
467	<a href="#">W3_f18101d</a>	Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society	discrete	numeric-40.0	2771	5426	-
468	<a href="#">W3_f18102a</a>	Poverty attributions: Poor people can't handle money	discrete	numeric-40.0	2754	5443	-
469	<a href="#">W3_f18102b</a>	Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and to live on society's back	discrete	numeric-40.0	2753	5444	-
470	<a href="#">W3_f18102c</a>	Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence	discrete	numeric-40.0	2756	5441	-
471	<a href="#">W3_f18102d</a>	Poverty attributions: Poor people are victims of	discrete	numeric-40.0	2761	5436	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		misfortunes such as illness and disability					
472	<a href="#">W3_f18102e</a>	Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty	discrete	numeric-40.0	2745	5452	-
473	<a href="#">W3_f18102f</a>	Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty	discrete	numeric-40.0	2755	5442	-
474	<a href="#">W3_f18102g</a>	Poverty attributions: Poverty is an inevitable consequence of the evolution of modern society	discrete	numeric-40.0	2762	5435	-
475	<a href="#">W3_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5498	2699	-
476	<a href="#">W3_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5479	2718	-
477	<a href="#">W3_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5516	2681	-
478	<a href="#">W3_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5509	2688	-
479	<a href="#">W3_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5517	2680	-
480	<a href="#">W3_f1533..</a>	Party that is most competent with health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5466	2731	-
481	<a href="#">W3_f1532..</a>	Party which cares most about European policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5503	2694	-
482	<a href="#">W3_f1532..</a>	Party which cares most about migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5505	2692	-
483	<a href="#">W3_f1532..</a>	Party which cares most about social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5507	2690	-
484	<a href="#">W3_f1532..</a>	Party which cares most about environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5518	2679	-
485	<a href="#">W3_f1532..</a>	Party which cares most about economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5511	2686	-
486	<a href="#">W3_f1532..</a>	Party which cares most about health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5493	2704	-
487	<a href="#">W3_f30021</a>	R's feedback: Interest of the questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	5545	2652	-
488	<a href="#">W3_f30022</a>	R's feedback: Questionnaire's length	discrete	numeric-40.0	5539	2658	-
489	<a href="#">W3_f30023</a>	R's feedback: Questionnaire's comprehension	discrete	numeric-40.0	5531	2666	-
490	<a href="#">W3_f30024</a>	R's feedback: Easy-to-answer questions	discrete	numeric-40.0	5543	2654	-
491	<a href="#">weight_t</a>	Weight: Turnout, W2&W3 combined	continuous	numeric-8.5	8197	0	-
492	<a href="#">weight_p</a>	Weight: Party choice, W2&W3 combined	continuous	numeric-8.5	8197	0	-

**File Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
493	<a href="#">weight_tot</a>	Weight: Combined weight_t*weight_p, W2&W3 combined	continuous	numeric-8.5	8197	0	-
494	<a href="#">W3_longw_..</a>	Longitudinal weight, W3	continuous	numeric-4.2	8197	0	-
495	<a href="#">W4_intst_..</a>	Start Date	discrete	character-20	4919	-	-
496	<a href="#">W4_intend</a>	End Date	discrete	character-20	4919	-	-
497	<a href="#">W4_total_..</a>	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	4919	3278	-
498	<a href="#">W4_finis_..</a>	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	4919	3278	-
499	<a href="#">W4_mobile</a>	Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop	discrete	numeric-8.0	4919	3278	-
500	<a href="#">W4_langint</a>	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	4919	3278	-
501	<a href="#">W4_birth_..</a>	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	4896	3301	-
502	<a href="#">W4_age</a>	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	4896	3301	-
503	<a href="#">W4_agecat</a>	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	4896	3301	-
504	<a href="#">W4_sex</a>	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-40.0	4897	3300	-
505	<a href="#">W4_f10000</a>	Canton in which R currently has the right to vote	discrete	numeric-40.0	4910	3287	-
506	<a href="#">W4_f13700</a>	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-40.0	4912	3285	-
507	<a href="#">W4_Q04b</a>	Most politicians do not care about the people	discrete	numeric-40.0	4909	3288	-
508	<a href="#">W4_Q04f</a>	The people should make the most important policy decisions	discrete	numeric-40.0	4909	3288	-
509	<a href="#">W4_Q04h</a>	Ordinary people share common values and interests	discrete	numeric-40.0	4877	3320	-
510	<a href="#">W4_f10100</a>	R's interest in politics	discrete	numeric-40.0	4904	3293	-
511	<a href="#">W4_f12800a</a>	Trust: Federal Council	continuous	numeric-8.0	4901	3296	-
512	<a href="#">W4_f12800b</a>	Trust: Federal Assembly	continuous	numeric-8.0	4908	3289	-
513	<a href="#">W4_f12800c</a>	Trust: Political parties at national level	continuous	numeric-8.0	4905	3292	-
514	<a href="#">W4_f12800f</a>	Trust: Swiss National Bank	continuous	numeric-8.0	4897	3300	-
515	<a href="#">W4_f12800g</a>	Trust: Justice/ Courts	continuous	numeric-8.0	4904	3293	-
516	<a href="#">W4_f12800h</a>	Trust: Police	continuous	numeric-8.0	4904	3293	-
517	<a href="#">W4_f12800j</a>	Trust: Scientists	continuous	numeric-8.0	4901	3296	-
518	<a href="#">W4_f12800k</a>	Trust: Media	continuous	numeric-8.0	4903	3294	-
519	<a href="#">W4_f12700c</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4734	3463	-
520	<a href="#">W4_f1270_..</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4734	3463	-
521	<a href="#">W4_f1272_..</a>	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	4758	3439	-

**File Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
522	<a href="#">W4_f1272..</a>	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	3513	-
523	<a href="#">W4_f12730c</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	4630	3567	-
524	<a href="#">W4_f1273..</a>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4630	3567	-
525	<a href="#">W4_f1274..</a>	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	4656	3541	-
526	<a href="#">W4_f1274..</a>	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4592	3605	-
527	<a href="#">W4_f1085..</a>	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday	discrete	numeric-8.0	4397	3800	-
528	<a href="#">W4_f1085..</a>	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4397	3800	-
529	<a href="#">W4_f10951</a>	Certainty to vote for mentioned party	discrete	numeric-40.0	4391	3806	-
530	<a href="#">W4_f10750</a>	Participation in federal vote of September 22, 2024	discrete	numeric-40.0	4904	3293	-
531	<a href="#">W4_f10751a</a>	Vote choice: Biodiversity Initiative	discrete	numeric-40.0	4011	4186	-
532	<a href="#">W4_f10751b</a>	Vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform	discrete	numeric-40.0	4000	4197	-
533	<a href="#">W4_f10756a</a>	Hypothetical vote choice: Biodiversity Initiative	discrete	numeric-40.0	874	7323	-
534	<a href="#">W4_f10756b</a>	Hypothetical vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform	discrete	numeric-40.0	865	7332	-
535	<a href="#">W4_f10758a</a>	Personal importance of vote: Biodiversity Initiative	continuous	numeric-40.0	4004	4193	-
536	<a href="#">W4_f10758b</a>	Personal importance of vote: Occupational Pension Reform	continuous	numeric-40.0	3968	4229	-
537	<a href="#">W4_f10790</a>	Participation in federal vote on the 13th OASI pension payment (March 2024)	discrete	numeric-40.0	4909	3288	-
538	<a href="#">W4_f10791</a>	Vote choice: Introduction of a 13th OASI pension payment	discrete	numeric-40.0	4446	3751	-
539	<a href="#">W4_f10798</a>	Personal importance of vote: 13th OASI pension payment	continuous	numeric-40.0	4441	3756	-
540	<a href="#">W4_f14181a</a>	Feelings towards people who voted for a 13th OASI pension payment	continuous	numeric-8.0	4867	3330	-
541	<a href="#">W4_f14181b</a>	Feelings towards people who voted against a 13th OASI pension payment	continuous	numeric-8.0	4857	3340	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
542	<a href="#">W4_f14182a</a>	Feelings towards people who voted for the biodiversity initiative	continuous	numeric-8.0	4847	3350	-
543	<a href="#">W4_f14182b</a>	Feelings towards people who voted against the biodiversity initiative	continuous	numeric-8.0	4838	3359	-
544	<a href="#">W4_f10770</a>	Participation in federal vote of June 9, 2024	discrete	numeric-40.0	4905	3292	-
545	<a href="#">W4_f10771a</a>	Vote choice: Premium Relief Initiative	discrete	numeric-8.0	3608	4589	-
546	<a href="#">W4_f10771b</a>	Vote choice: Electricity Law	discrete	numeric-8.0	3624	4573	-
547	<a href="#">W4_f10771c</a>	Vote choice: Initiative â€œFor freedom and physical integrityâ€	discrete	numeric-8.0	3611	4586	-
548	<a href="#">W4_f10759a</a>	Personal importance of vote: Premium Relief Initiative	continuous	numeric-40.0	3549	4648	-
549	<a href="#">W4_f10759b</a>	Personal importance of vote: Electricity Law	continuous	numeric-40.0	3555	4642	-
550	<a href="#">W4_f10759c</a>	Personal importance of vote: Initiative â€œFor freedom and physical integrityâ€	continuous	numeric-40.0	3502	4695	-
551	<a href="#">W4_f13740</a>	Satisfaction with 2023 election outcome	discrete	numeric-40.0	4769	3428	-
552	<a href="#">W4_f13741</a>	Satisfaction with own vote choice in 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	3885	4312	-
553	<a href="#">W4_f13742</a>	Satisfaction with own decision not to participate in 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	851	7346	-
554	<a href="#">W4_f13743a</a>	Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4814	3383	-
555	<a href="#">W4_f13743b</a>	Satisfaction with performance of Centre since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4760	3437	-
556	<a href="#">W4_f13743c</a>	Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4803	3394	-
557	<a href="#">W4_f13743d</a>	Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4806	3391	-
558	<a href="#">W4_f13743e</a>	Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4806	3391	-
559	<a href="#">W4_f13743f</a>	Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2023 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	4795	3402	-
560	<a href="#">W4_f15200</a>	Left-right self-placement	continuous	numeric-40.0	4914	3283	-
561	<a href="#">W4_f14177a</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4854	3343	-

<b>File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
562	<a href="#">W4_f14177b</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4847	3350	-
563	<a href="#">W4_f14177c</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre	continuous	numeric-8.0	4819	3378	-
564	<a href="#">W4_f14177d</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4844	3353	-
565	<a href="#">W4_f14177e</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4842	3355	-
566	<a href="#">W4_f14177f</a>	Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	continuous	numeric-8.0	4838	3359	-
567	<a href="#">W4_f15656</a>	Expected national economic situation next year	discrete	numeric-40.0	4908	3289	-
568	<a href="#">W4_f29100</a>	Making ends meet with income	discrete	numeric-40.0	4897	3300	-
569	<a href="#">W4_f29120a</a>	With prices rising, worried that my standard of living will deteriorate	discrete	numeric-40.0	4903	3294	-
570	<a href="#">W4_f29120b</a>	Economic situation of people like me doesn't get enough political attention	discrete	numeric-40.0	4894	3303	-
571	<a href="#">W4_f15475</a>	Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality	discrete	numeric-40.0	4905	3292	-
572	<a href="#">W4_f15435</a>	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	4896	3301	-
573	<a href="#">W4_f15478</a>	Too many worries about the environment instead of costs and prices	discrete	numeric-40.0	4907	3290	-
574	<a href="#">W4_f15815</a>	Opinion on current political topics - minimum wage	discrete	numeric-40.0	4907	3290	-
575	<a href="#">W4_f15811</a>	Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age	discrete	numeric-40.0	4890	3307	-
576	<a href="#">W4_f15816</a>	Opinion on current political topics - foreigners' voting rights	discrete	numeric-40.0	4898	3299	-
577	<a href="#">W4_f15817</a>	Opinion on current political topics - equal rights for same-sex couples	discrete	numeric-40.0	4894	3303	-
578	<a href="#">W4_E11</a>	Current marital status	discrete	numeric-40.0	4911	3286	-
579	<a href="#">W4_f20500</a>	Household size, including R	continuous	numeric-40.2	4906	3291	-
580	<a href="#">W4_f20710</a>	Number of children under 18 in the household	continuous	numeric-40.2	3959	4238	-
581	<a href="#">W4_f2131_..</a>	R's highest level of education	discrete	numeric-4.0	4894	3303	-
582	<a href="#">W4_f21300</a>	Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)	discrete	numeric-40.0	4902	3295	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
583	<a href="#">W4_f21400</a>	R's current working situation, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	1115	7082	-
584	<a href="#">W4_f21500</a>	R's current position at work, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	689	7508	-
585	<a href="#">W4_f21550</a>	Number of persons employed at company, including R, if change in professional situation	continuous	numeric-8.0	64	8133	-
586	<a href="#">W4_f2160..</a>	R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-8.0	717	7480	-
587	<a href="#">W4_f21700</a>	R's current working sector, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	728	7469	-
588	<a href="#">W4_f21750</a>	Industry in which R's current company is active, if change in professional situation	discrete	numeric-4.0	724	7473	-
589	<a href="#">W4_f2155..</a>	Asked to self-employed from W1 if no change in professional situation: number of persons employed at company, including R	continuous	numeric-8.0	220	7977	-
590	<a href="#">W4_f28910</a>	Gross monthly household income	continuous	numeric-40.0	4816	3381	-
591	<a href="#">W4_f30021</a>	Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting	discrete	numeric-40.0	4887	3310	-
592	<a href="#">W4_f30022</a>	Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate	discrete	numeric-40.0	4874	3323	-
593	<a href="#">W4_f30023</a>	Survey experience: the questions were understandable	discrete	numeric-40.0	4872	3325	-
594	<a href="#">W4_f30024</a>	Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete	discrete	numeric-40.0	4877	3320	-
595	<a href="#">W4_marit..</a>	Marital status from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	4919	3278	-
596	<a href="#">W4_canto..</a>	Canton from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-2.0	4919	3278	-
597	<a href="#">W4_bigre..</a>	Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	4919	3278	-
598	<a href="#">W4_munil..</a>	Municipality language from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-2.0	4919	3278	-
599	<a href="#">W4_smt3</a>	Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4919	3278	-
600	<a href="#">W4_smt9</a>	Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4919	3278	-
601	<a href="#">W1_4_f21..</a>	R's current working situation, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-2.0	8129	68	-
602	<a href="#">W1_4_f21..</a>	R's current position at work, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-2.0	5042	3155	-

File Selects2023_Panel_v2.0							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
603	<a href="#">W1_4_f21..</a>	Number of persons employed at company, including R, proxy for W1 updated with W4	continuous	numeric-8.2	284	7913	-
604	<a href="#">W1_4_f21..</a>	R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-8.0	4978	3219	-
605	<a href="#">W1_4_f21..</a>	R's current working sector, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-2.0	5097	3100	-
606	<a href="#">W1_4_f21..</a>	Industry in which R's current company is active, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-40.0	5076	3121	-
607	<a href="#">W4_longw..</a>	Longitudinal weight, W4	continuous	numeric-4.2	8197	0	-
608	<a href="#">W4_weigh..</a>	Cross-sectional weight, party choice if elections next Sunday, W4	continuous	numeric-8.2	8197	0	-



# Variables Description

**Dataset contains 608 variable(s)**

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # ID: Panel survey ID

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10001-18197] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14099 /-] [StdDev=2366.414 /-]

### # W1\_intstart: Start Date

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=8197 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-06-18		2	0.0%
2023-06-19		1270	15.5%
2023-06-20		733	8.9%
2023-06-21		459	5.6%
2023-06-22		328	4.0%
2023-06-23		251	3.1%
2023-06-24		167	2.0%
2023-06-25		203	2.5%
2023-06-26		232	2.8%
2023-06-27		142	1.7%
2023-06-28		100	1.2%
2023-06-29		103	1.3%
2023-06-30		790	9.6%
2023-07-01		411	5.0%
2023-07-02		429	5.2%
2023-07-03		348	4.2%
2023-07-04		197	2.4%
2023-07-05		156	1.9%
2023-07-06		112	1.4%
2023-07-07		79	1.0%
2023-07-08		51	0.6%
2023-07-09		80	1.0%
2023-07-10		436	5.3%
2023-07-11		259	3.2%
2023-07-12		170	2.1%
2023-07-13		100	1.2%
2023-07-14		79	1.0%
2023-07-15		37	0.5%
2023-07-16		62	0.8%
2023-07-17		48	0.6%
2023-07-18		49	0.6%
2023-07-19		32	0.4%
2023-07-20		24	0.3%
2023-07-21		21	0.3%
2023-07-22		12	0.1%
2023-07-23		24	0.3%
2023-07-24		25	0.3%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_intstart: Start Date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-07-25		21	0.3%
2023-07-26		21	0.3%
2023-07-27		14	0.2%
2023-07-28		7	0.1%
2023-07-29		15	0.2%
2023-07-30		13	0.2%
2023-07-31		9	0.1%
2023-08-01		12	0.1%
2023-08-02		9	0.1%
2023-08-03		10	0.1%
2023-08-04		4	0.0%
2023-08-05		6	0.1%
2023-08-06		11	0.1%
2023-08-07		5	0.1%
2023-08-08		4	0.0%
2023-08-09		3	0.0%
2023-08-10		3	0.0%
2023-08-12		2	0.0%
2023-08-13		3	0.0%
2023-08-14		2	0.0%
2023-08-15		1	0.0%
2023-08-16		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_intend: End Date

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-06-19		1232	<div></div> 15.0%
2023-06-20		725	<div></div> 8.8%
2023-06-21		453	<div></div> 5.5%
2023-06-22		323	<div></div> 3.9%
2023-06-23		253	<div></div> 3.1%
2023-06-24		164	<div></div> 2.0%
2023-06-25		204	<div></div> 2.5%
2023-06-26		226	<div></div> 2.8%
2023-06-27		144	<div></div> 1.8%
2023-06-28		102	<div></div> 1.2%
2023-06-29		102	<div></div> 1.2%
2023-06-30		790	<div></div> 9.6%
2023-07-01		415	<div></div> 5.1%
2023-07-02		427	<div></div> 5.2%
2023-07-03		353	<div></div> 4.3%
2023-07-04		195	<div></div> 2.4%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

# W1\_intend: End Date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-07-05		165	2.0%
2023-07-06		113	1.4%
2023-07-07		79	1.0%
2023-07-08		47	0.6%
2023-07-09		78	1.0%
2023-07-10		451	5.5%
2023-07-11		269	3.3%
2023-07-12		178	2.2%
2023-07-13		101	1.2%
2023-07-14		80	1.0%
2023-07-15		41	0.5%
2023-07-16		63	0.8%
2023-07-17		49	0.6%
2023-07-18		50	0.6%
2023-07-19		33	0.4%
2023-07-20		23	0.3%
2023-07-21		20	0.2%
2023-07-22		13	0.2%
2023-07-23		25	0.3%
2023-07-24		25	0.3%
2023-07-25		22	0.3%
2023-07-26		23	0.3%
2023-07-27		15	0.2%
2023-07-28		7	0.1%
2023-07-29		15	0.2%
2023-07-30		15	0.2%
2023-07-31		11	0.1%
2023-08-01		12	0.1%
2023-08-02		9	0.1%
2023-08-03		11	0.1%
2023-08-04		4	0.0%
2023-08-05		5	0.1%
2023-08-06		12	0.1%
2023-08-07		5	0.1%
2023-08-08		4	0.0%
2023-08-09		3	0.0%
2023-08-10		3	0.0%
2023-08-12		2	0.0%
2023-08-13		3	0.0%
2023-08-14		3	0.0%
2023-08-15		1	0.0%
2023-08-16		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_totalltime: Total interview length in minutes

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6.85-52981.85] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=284.239 /-] [StdDev=2256.636 /-]

### # W1\_finished: Completion of questionnaire

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	145	1.8%
1	Complete questionnaire	8052	98.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1	0.0%
1	Mobile	1161	14.2%
2	Desktop	7035	85.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_langint: Language of interview

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	5909	72.1%
2	French	1901	23.2%
3	Italian	387	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_birthyear: Year of birth

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1927-2005] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1972.32 /-] [StdDev=17.813 /-]

### # W1\_age: Age in years

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-96] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.68 /-] [StdDev=17.813 /-]

### # W1\_agecat: Age in categories

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	720	8.8%
2	25-34	1182	14.4%
3	35-44	1223	14.9%
4	45-54	1359	16.6%
5	55-64	1626	19.8%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_agecat: Age in categories

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	65-74	1297	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
7	75+	790	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_sex: Sex according to official documents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8184 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	4133	<div><div></div></div> 50.5%
2	Female	4051	<div><div></div></div> 49.5%
-99	No answer	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8187 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	1482	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
2	Bern	1112	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
3	Lucerne	445	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
4	Uri	31	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
5	Schwyz	137	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	Obwalden	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	Nidwalden	52	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	Glarus	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
9	Zug	123	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
10	Fribourg	300	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
11	Solothurn	265	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
12	Basel-Stadt	157	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
13	Basel-Landschaft	263	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
14	Schaffhausen	75	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
15	Appenzell Ausser rhoden	62	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
17	St. Gallen	515	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
18	Graubünden	199	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
19	Aargau	622	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
20	Thurgau	251	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
21	Ticino	362	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
22	Vaud	743	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
23	Valais	328	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
24	Neuchâtel	158	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
25	Geneva	358	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
26	Jura	61	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	10	

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_f13700: Satisfaction with democracy

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=8184 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	176	2.2%
2	Not very satisfied	888	10.9%
3	Fairly satisfied	5098	62.3%
4	Very satisfied	2022	24.7%
-99	No answer	13	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_Q04b: Most politicians do not care about the people

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=8135 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	665	8.2%
2	Rather disagree	3336	41.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1454	17.9%
4	Rather agree	2347	28.9%
5	Strongly agree	333	4.1%
-99	No answer	62	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_Q04c: Most politicians are trustworthy

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=8141 /-] [Invalid=56 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	348	4.3%
2	Rather disagree	1785	21.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1963	24.1%
4	Rather agree	3764	46.2%
5	Strongly agree	281	3.5%
-99	No answer	56	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_Q04f: The people should make our most important policy decisions

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=8122 /-] [Invalid=75 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	361	4.4%
2	Rather disagree	1738	21.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1287	15.8%
4	Rather agree	2871	35.3%
5	Strongly agree	1865	23.0%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_Q04f: The people should make our most important policy decisions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	75	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_Q04g: Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8111 /-] [Invalid=86 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	848	10.5%
2	Rather disagree	2400	29.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1804	22.2%
4	Rather agree	2343	28.9%
5	Strongly agree	716	8.8%
-99	No answer	86	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7692 /-] [Invalid=505 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	127	1.7%
2	Other old age provisions	196	2.5%
3	Unemployment	9	0.1%
4	Poverty	60	0.8%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	238	3.1%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	701	9.1%
7	Drugs, addictions	1	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	429	5.6%
9	Extremism	16	0.2%
10	Finances	24	0.3%
11	Refugees	133	1.7%
12	Xenophobia, racism	10	0.1%
13	Health system	207	2.7%
14	Globalisation	3	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	3	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	22	0.3%
17	Climate	1398	18.2%
18	Consociationalism	1	0.0%
19	Health insurance	134	1.7%
20	Crime	13	0.2%
21	Neutrality	472	6.1%
22	Politicians	116	1.5%
23	Political style	6	0.1%
24	Political system	120	1.6%
25	Direct democracy	10	0.1%



## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27	Party polarisation	58	0.8%
28	Security	20	0.3%
29	Social security	11	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	63	0.8%
31	Taxes	16	0.2%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	450	5.9%
34	Economic development	13	0.2%
35	Media	19	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	26	0.3%
37	Economic/financial crisis	14	0.2%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	2	0.0%
39	Nuclear energy	2	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	17	0.2%
41	Banks, banking crisis	24	0.3%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	92	1.2%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	5	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	41	0.5%
45	Economy, economic policy	43	0.6%
46	Education	36	0.5%
47	Social policy, welfare state	113	1.5%
48	Family policy, upbringing	19	0.2%
49	Labour market, workplace security	94	1.2%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	80	1.0%
51	Fear, politics of fear	2	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	0	
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	66	0.9%
54	Vandalism, violence	4	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	19	0.2%
59	Work for young people	1	0.0%
60	Overpopulation	136	1.8%
61	Energy, energy policy	365	4.7%
62	Cross-border commuters	14	0.2%
63	Swiss People's Party	15	0.2%
64	Equal rights	73	0.9%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	39	0.5%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	30	0.4%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	11	0.1%
68	Society in general	35	0.5%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	99	1.3%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	50	0.7%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	17	0.2%
73	Army	23	0.3%
74	Aging population, demographics	60	0.8%
75	Bureaucracy	16	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	10	0.1%
80	Shift to the right	18	0.2%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	6	0.1%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	40	0.5%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	220	2.9%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	18	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	63	0.8%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	2	0.0%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	0	
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	2	0.0%
96	Other	72	0.9%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	16	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	32	0.4%
102	Capitalism	26	0.3%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	5	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	9	0.1%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	12	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	8	0.1%
108	Data protection	2	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	40	0.5%
110	Wars, peace policy	214	2.8%
111	Islam, islamism	0	
112	Corruption, cronyism	21	0.3%
113	Populism	19	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	3	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	6	0.1%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	22	0.3%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	14	0.2%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	1	0.0%
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	4	0.1%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	4	0.1%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	418	
98	Don't know	86	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7692 /-] [Invalid=505 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	17	0.2%
2	Economy	347	4.5%
3	Education & culture	39	0.5%
4	Environment & energy	2251	29.3%
5	EU, Europe	502	6.5%
6	Finances & taxes	41	0.5%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	106	1.4%
8	Immigration & asylum	1118	14.5%
9	Foreign policy & defense	857	11.1%
10	Labour market	119	1.5%
11	Law & order	55	0.7%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	607	7.9%
13	Public health	342	4.4%
14	Public services & infrastructure	89	1.2%
15	Regions & national cohesion	114	1.5%
16	Social security/welfare state	937	12.2%
17	Other problems	112	1.5%
18	No problem	16	0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	23	0.3%
-99	No answer	418	
98	Don't know	86	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7671 /-] [Invalid=526 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	877	11.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	695	9.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1048	13.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1305	17.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	862	11.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	681	8.9%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80	Lega - Ticino League	22	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	33	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	51	0.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	45	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	83	1.1%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	5	0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	4	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	2	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	10	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	5	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	202	2.6%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	42	0.5%
405	All parties together, all	129	1.7%
406	Left parties (several)	4	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	6	0.1%
995	Other answer	25	0.3%
998	Don't know	1525	19.9%
-99	No answer	526	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7444 /-] [Invalid=753 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	877	11.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	695	9.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1048	14.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1305	17.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	862	11.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	681	9.1%
350	Other party, several/all parties	451	6.1%
998	Don't know	1525	20.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	753	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7426 /-] [Invalid=771 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	211	2.8%
2	Other old age provisions	288	3.9%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Unemployment	15	0.2%
4	Poverty	87	1.2%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	171	2.3%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	498	6.7%
7	Drugs, addictions	3	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	278	3.7%
9	Extremism	6	0.1%
10	Finances	75	1.0%
11	Refugees	130	1.8%
12	Xenophobia, racism	9	0.1%
13	Health system	324	4.4%
14	Globalisation	9	0.1%
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	53	0.7%
17	Climate	788	10.6%
18	Consociationalism	1	0.0%
19	Health insurance	218	2.9%
20	Crime	22	0.3%
21	Neutrality	188	2.5%
22	Politicians	77	1.0%
23	Political style	1	0.0%
24	Political system	137	1.8%
25	Direct democracy	7	0.1%
27	Party polarisation	35	0.5%
28	Security	54	0.7%
29	Social security	12	0.2%
30	Social inequalities	96	1.3%
31	Taxes	63	0.8%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	448	6.0%
34	Economic development	12	0.2%
35	Media	12	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	32	0.4%
37	Economic/financial crisis	7	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	3	0.0%
39	Nuclear energy	3	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	60	0.8%
41	Banks, banking crisis	33	0.4%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	49	0.7%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	15	0.2%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	17	0.2%
45	Economy, economic policy	100	1.3%
46	Education	89	1.2%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47	Social policy, welfare state	201	2.7%
48	Family policy, upbringing	46	0.6%
49	Labour market, workplace security	143	1.9%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	160	2.2%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	2	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	43	0.6%
54	Vandalism, violence	12	0.2%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	8	0.1%
59	Work for young people	5	0.1%
60	Overpopulation	101	1.4%
61	Energy, energy policy	413	5.6%
62	Cross-border commuters	10	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	12	0.2%
64	Equal rights	165	2.2%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	46	0.6%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	25	0.3%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	10	0.1%
68	Society in general	21	0.3%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	91	1.2%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	126	1.7%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	23	0.3%
73	Army	38	0.5%
74	Aging population, demographics	67	0.9%
75	Bureaucracy	26	0.4%
78	Bank secrecy	2	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	20	0.3%
80	Shift to the right	24	0.3%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	12	0.2%
82	Invalidity insurance	1	0.0%
84	Independence, autonomy	24	0.3%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	257	3.5%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	12	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	49	0.7%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	9	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	4	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	9	0.1%
96	Other	75	1.0%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	12	0.2%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	20	0.3%
102	Capitalism	33	0.4%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	10	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	11	0.1%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	18	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	16	0.2%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	36	0.5%
110	Wars, peace policy	162	2.2%
111	Islam, islamism	0	
112	Corruption, cronyism	9	0.1%
113	Populism	12	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	2	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	14	0.2%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	8	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	20	0.3%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	2	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	7	0.1%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	4	0.1%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	663	
98	Don't know	107	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7426 /-] [Invalid=771 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2	Economy	463	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
3	Education & culture	91	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
4	Environment & energy	1709	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
5	EU, Europe	319	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
6	Finances & taxes	140	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	183	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
8	Immigration & asylum	871	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
9	Foreign policy & defense	514	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
10	Labour market	183	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
11	Law & order	103	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Political system, parties & politicians	478	6.4%
13	Public health	545	7.3%
14	Public services & infrastructure	226	3.0%
15	Regions & national cohesion	112	1.5%
16	Social security/welfare state	1325	17.8%
17	Other problems	96	1.3%
18	No problem	12	0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	33	0.4%
-99	No answer	663	
98	Don't know	107	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7345 /-] [Invalid=852 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	807	11.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	671	9.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1405	19.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1012	13.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	584	8.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	517	7.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	23	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	12	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	31	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	48	0.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	41	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	88	1.2%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	4	0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	3	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	9	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	1	0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	157	2.1%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	37	0.5%
405	All parties together, all	125	1.7%
406	Left parties (several)	5	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	3	0.0%
995	Other answer	47	0.6%
998	Don't know	1713	23.3%
-99	No answer	799	



## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		53	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7141 /-] [Invalid=1056 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	807	11.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	671	9.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1405	19.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1012	14.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	584	8.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	517	7.2%
350	Other party, several/all parties	432	6.0%
998	Don't know	1713	24.0%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	1003	
Sysmiss		53	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f14610: Evolution of the economy in past 12 months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8138 /-] [Invalid=59 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worsened significantly	1263	15.5%
2	Worsened slightly	3747	46.0%
3	Remained the same	1760	21.6%
4	Improved slightly	1222	15.0%
5	Improved significantly	146	1.8%
-99	No answer	59	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f14651: Opinion on privatizing profits and socializing losses

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8164 /-] [Invalid=33 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	203	2.5%
2	Rather disagree	667	8.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	870	10.7%
4	Rather agree	3471	42.5%
5	Strongly agree	2953	36.2%
-99	No answer	33	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.






### # W1\_f14652: Opinion on banking regulation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
-------------	--

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f14652: Opinion on banking regulation

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8122 /-] [Invalid=75 /-]












Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	247	 3.0%
2	Rather disagree	810	 10.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	940	 11.6%
4	Rather agree	3410	 42.0%
5	Strongly agree	2715	 33.4%
-99	No answer	75	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f15383: Opinion on Swiss war material re-export

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=\*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8146 /-] [Invalid=51 /-]












Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	In favor of the re-export of Swiss war material	1720	 21.1%
1		272	 3.3%
2		523	 6.4%
3		672	 8.2%
4		402	 4.9%
5		908	 11.1%
6		240	 2.9%
7		384	 4.7%
8		484	 5.9%
9		198	 2.4%
10	Against the re-export of Swiss war material	2343	 28.8%
-99	No answer	51	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f15381: Opinion on access for migrants

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=\*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=8168 /-] [Invalid=29 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	In favor of easier access	577	 7.1%
1		136	 1.7%
2		433	 5.3%
3		655	 8.0%
4		512	 6.3%
5		1687	 20.7%
6		517	 6.3%
7		701	 8.6%
8		775	 9.5%
9		314	 3.8%
10	In favor of more restrictive access	1861	 22.8%
-99	No answer	29	












Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f15382: Opinion on gender-sensitive language

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=8155 /-] [Invalid=42 /-]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	In favor of gender-neutral language	1313	 16.1%
1		207	 2.5%
2		307	 3.8%
3		356	 4.4%
4		260	 3.2%
5		1216	 14.9%
6		223	 2.7%
7		387	 4.7%
8		566	 6.9%
9		289	 3.5%
10	Against gender-neutral language	3031	 37.2%
-99	No answer	42	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_f10100: R's interest in politics

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=8178 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	338	 4.1%
2	Rather not interested	1962	 24.0%
3	Rather interested	4138	 50.6%
4	Very interested	1740	 21.3%
-99	No answer	19	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_f10200rec: Participation in national election 2019, recoded

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=8157 /-] [Invalid=40 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	1130	 13.9%
1	Voted	6449	 79.1%
2	No voting right: other	163	 2.0%
3	No voting right: too young	415	 5.1%
-99	No answer	40	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_f10250: Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous election (2019)

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=6451 /-] [Invalid=1746 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	1215	 18.8%
2	Bern	883	 13.7%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f10250: Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous election (2019)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Lucerne	356	5.5%
4	Uri	24	0.4%
5	Schwyz	121	1.9%
6	Obwalden	28	0.4%
7	Nidwalden	40	0.6%
8	Glarus	23	0.4%
9	Zug	105	1.6%
10	Fribourg	241	3.7%
11	Solothurn	215	3.3%
12	Basel-Stadt	133	2.1%
13	Basel-Landschaft	216	3.3%
14	Schaffhausen	69	1.1%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	51	0.8%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	12	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	399	6.2%
18	Graubünden	156	2.4%
19	Aargau	474	7.3%
20	Thurgau	207	3.2%
21	Ticino	275	4.3%
22	Vaud	535	8.3%
23	Valais	259	4.0%
24	Neuchâtel	106	1.6%
25	Geneva	263	4.1%
26	Jura	45	0.7%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		1739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10300rec: Party R voted for in national elections in 2019

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6431 /-] [Invalid=1766 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1025	<div></div> 15.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	490	<div></div> 7.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1369	<div></div> 21.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1082	<div></div> 16.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	604	<div></div> 9.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	615	<div></div> 9.6%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	88	<div></div> 1.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	36	<div></div> 0.6%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	19	<div></div> 0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	24	<div></div> 0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	103	<div></div> 1.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	33	<div></div> 0.5%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f10300rec: Party R voted for in national elections in 2019

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	51	0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	5	0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	5	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	5	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	3	0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	93	1.4%
403	Would vote blank	4	0.1%
404	Several parties	48	0.7%
405	All parties together, all	4	0.1%
406	Left parties (several)	7	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	13	0.2%
995	Other answer	20	0.3%
998	Don't know	685	10.7%
-99	No answer	27	
Sysmiss		1739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10300main6: Party R voted for in national elections in 2019, 6 main parties

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6407 /-] [Invalid=1790 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1025	<div></div> 16.0%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	490	<div></div> 7.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1369	<div></div> 21.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1082	<div></div> 16.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	604	<div></div> 9.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	615	<div></div> 9.6%
350	Other party, several/all parties	537	<div></div> 8.4%
998	Don't know	685	<div></div> 10.7%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	51	
Sysmiss		1739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10800: Intention to take part in national elections in 2023

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8171 /-] [Invalid=26 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Certainly not	179	<div><div></div></div>	2.2%
2	Rather not	544	<div><div></div></div>	6.7%
3	Rather yes	1686	<div><div></div></div>	20.6%
4	Certainly yes	5762	<div><div></div></div>	70.5%
-99	No answer	26		

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f10800: Intention to take part in national elections in 2023

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_f10850rec: Vote intention 2023, likely voters

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=7992 /-] [Invalid=205 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	61	0.8%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	939	11.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	658	8.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1304	16.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1202	15.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	690	8.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	702	8.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	31	0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	21	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	31	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	103	1.3%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	39	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	75	0.9%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	3	0.0%
201	PP - Pirate Party	10	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	19	0.2%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	5	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	111	1.4%
403	Would vote blank	4	0.1%
404	Several parties	51	0.6%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	8	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	6	0.1%
995	Other answer	20	0.3%
998	Don't know	1897	23.7%
Sysmiss		205	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # W1\_f10850main6: Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties


**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=7907 /-] [Invalid=290 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	939	11.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	658	8.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1304	16.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1202	15.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	690	8.7%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0























### # W1\_f10850main6: Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	702	 8.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	515	 6.5%
998	Don't know	1897	 24.0%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	85	
Sysmiss		205	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10860rec: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1878 /-] [Invalid=6319 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	4	 0.2%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	216	 11.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	206	 11.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	184	 9.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	203	 10.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	109	 5.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	157	 8.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	 0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	11	 0.6%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	17	 0.9%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	9	 0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	16	 0.9%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	1	 0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	3	 0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	 0.2%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	17	 0.9%
403	Would vote blank	1	 0.1%
404	Several parties	6	 0.3%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	4	 0.2%
995	Other answer	1	 0.1%
998	Don't know	702	 37.4%
Sysmiss		6319	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10860main6: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1872 /-] [Invalid=6325 /-]

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f10860main6: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	216	11.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	206	11.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	184	9.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	203	10.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	109	5.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	157	8.4%
350	Other party, several/all parties	95	5.1%
998	Don't know	702	37.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	6	
Sysmiss		6319	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10900rec: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=179 /-] [Invalid=8018 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	3	1.7%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	5.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	9	5.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	12	6.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	28	15.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	8	4.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	8	4.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	1	0.6%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.6%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	2	1.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	2	1.1%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	3	1.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.6%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	23	12.8%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	2	1.1%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	65	36.3%



## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f10900rec: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		8018	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

### # W1\_f10900main6: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters, 6 main parties

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=176 /-] [Invalid=8021 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	5.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	9	5.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	12	6.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	28	15.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	8	4.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	8	4.5%
350	Other party, several/all parties	36	20.5%
998	Don't know	65	36.9%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	3	
Sysmiss		8018	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

### # W1\_f1085\_90: Vote intention 2023, combined

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8084 /-] [Invalid=113 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1165	14.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	873	10.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1500	18.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1433	17.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	807	10.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	867	10.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	34	0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	28	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	44	0.5%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	122	1.5%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	49	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	94	1.2%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	4	0.0%
201	PP - Pirate Party	13	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	2	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	22	0.3%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	5	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	151	1.9%
403	Would vote blank	5	0.1%
404	Several parties	59	0.7%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f1085\_90: Vote intention 2023, combined

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	8	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	10	0.1%
995	Other answer	21	0.3%
998	Don't know	767	9.5%
-99	No answer	68	
Sysmiss		45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f1085\_90main6: Vote intention 2023, combined, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8058 /-] [Invalid=139 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1165	14.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	873	10.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1500	18.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1433	17.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	807	10.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	867	10.8%
350	Other party, several/all parties	646	8.0%
998	Don't know	767	9.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	94	
Sysmiss		45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10950: Certainty to vote for mentioned party in 2023, likely voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6010 /-] [Invalid=2187 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very uncertain	82	1.4%
2	Rather uncertain	393	6.5%
3	Rather certain	3251	54.1%
4	Very certain	2284	38.0%
-99	No answer	46	
Sysmiss		2141	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f12500: R's participation rate in federal votes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8161 /-] [Invalid=36 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 out of 10	258	3.2%
1		88	1.1%
2		178	2.2%

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f12500: R's participation rate in federal votes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		243	3.0%
4		194	2.4%
5		586	7.2%
6		343	4.2%
7		611	7.5%
8		981	12.0%
9		869	10.6%
10	10 out of 10	3810	46.7%
-99	No answer	36	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f12501: Number of times on the losing side in federal votes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7857 /-] [Invalid=340 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 out of 10	58	0.7%
1		154	2.0%
2		562	7.2%
3		1414	18.0%
4		1236	15.7%
5		2370	30.2%
6		794	10.1%
7		659	8.4%
8		397	5.1%
9		113	1.4%
10	10 out of 10	100	1.3%
-99	No answer	82	
Sysmiss		258	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10750: R's participation in the federal vote of June 18, 2023

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8174 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Didn't participate	2150	26.3%
1	Participated	5881	71.9%
8	Don't know	143	1.7%
-99	No answer	23	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10751a: Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5807 /-] [Invalid=2390 /-]

## File : Selects2023\_Panel\_v2.0

### # W1\_f10751a: Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	4557	<div><div></div></div> 78.5%
2	No (rejected)	1010	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
3	Blank	240	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
8	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	74	
Sysmiss		2316	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# W1_f10751b: Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5806 /-] [Invalid=2391 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes (accepted)	4130	<div></div>	71.1%
2	No (rejected)	1603	<div></div>	27.6%
3	Blank	73	<div></div>	1.3%
8	Don't know	0		
-99	No answer	75		
Sysmiss		2316		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f10751c: Amendment to the COVID-19 Act				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5809 /-] [Invalid=2388 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes (accepted)	4079	<div></div>	70.2%
2	No (rejected)	1629	<div></div>	28.0%
3	Blank	101	<div></div>	1.7%
8	Don't know	0		
-99	No answer	72		
Sysmiss		2316		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f10756a: Hypothetical vote on Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2233 /-] [Invalid=5964 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Certainly against	113	<div></div>	5.1%
2	Rather against	186	<div></div>	8.3%
3	Rather in favor	514	<div></div>	23.0%
4	Certainly in favor	593	<div></div>	26.6%
8	Don't know	827	<div></div>	37.0%
-99	No answer	60		
Sysmiss		5904		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f10756b: Hypothetical vote on Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2226 /-] [Invalid=5971 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Certainly against	248	<div></div>	11.1%
2	Rather against	258	<div></div>	11.6%
3	Rather in favor	523	<div></div>	23.5%
4	Certainly in favor	761	<div></div>	34.2%
8	Don't know	436	<div></div>	19.6%

# W1_f10756b: Hypothetical vote on Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	67	
Sysmiss		5904	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f10756c: Hypothetical vote on Amendment to the COVID-19 Act			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2227 /-] [Invalid=5970 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly against	480	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
2	Rather against	284	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
3	Rather in favor	379	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
4	Certainly in favor	581	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
8	Don't know	503	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
-99	No answer	66	
Sysmiss		5904	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f10758a: Personal importance of vote: Implementation of the OECD/G20 project on special taxation of large groups of companies			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5781 /-] [Invalid=2416 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	211	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
1		101	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2		296	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
3		283	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
4		254	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
5		1054	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
6		525	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
7		691	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
8		962	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
9		314	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
10	Very important	1090	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
-99	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		2390	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5755 /-] [Invalid=2442 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	76	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
1		29	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2		83	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%

### # W1\_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Federal Act on Climate Protection Targets, Innovation and Strengthening Energy Security

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		71	1.2%
4		96	1.7%
5		456	7.9%
6		247	4.3%
7		431	7.5%
8		857	14.9%
9		541	9.4%
10	Very important	2868	49.8%
-99	No answer	51	
Sysmiss		2391	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f10758c: Personal importance of vote: Amendment to the COVID-19 Act

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5750 /-] [Invalid=2447 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	393	6.8%
1		186	3.2%
2		361	6.3%
3		270	4.7%
4		262	4.6%
5		957	16.6%
6		415	7.2%
7		517	9.0%
8		714	12.4%
9		333	5.8%
10	Very important	1342	23.3%
-99	No answer	59	
Sysmiss		2388	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f19101a: Perception of families

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8039 /-] [Invalid=158 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	28	0.3%
1		21	0.3%
2		57	0.7%
3		92	1.1%
4		120	1.5%
5		782	9.7%
6		447	5.6%
7		869	10.8%
8		1653	20.6%
9		1159	14.4%

# W1_f19101a: Perception of families			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Very likeable	2811	<div></div> 35.0%
-99	No answer	158	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19101b: Perception of workers			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8027 /-] [Invalid=170 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	34	<div></div> 0.4%
1		22	<div></div> 0.3%
2		30	<div></div> 0.4%
3		72	<div></div> 0.9%
4		109	<div></div> 1.4%
5		853	<div></div> 10.6%
6		573	<div></div> 7.1%
7		1081	<div></div> 13.5%
8		1882	<div></div> 23.4%
9		1194	<div></div> 14.9%
10	Very likeable	2177	<div></div> 27.1%
-99	No answer	170	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19101c: Perception of rich people			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8010 /-] [Invalid=187 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	736	<div></div> 9.2%
1		519	<div></div> 6.5%
2		843	<div></div> 10.5%
3		887	<div></div> 11.1%
4		761	<div></div> 9.5%
5		2222	<div></div> 27.7%
6		627	<div></div> 7.8%
7		608	<div></div> 7.6%
8		497	<div></div> 6.2%
9		132	<div></div> 1.6%
10	Very likeable	178	<div></div> 2.2%
-99	No answer	187	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19101d: Perception of feminists			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8034 /-] [Invalid=163 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	1403	<div></div> 17.5%
1		618	<div></div> 7.7%



# W1_f19101d: Perception of feminists			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		867	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
3		735	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
4		525	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
5		1245	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
6		539	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
7		608	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
8		662	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
9		328	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
10	Very likeable	504	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
-99	No answer	163	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19101e: Perception of migrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8025 /-] [Invalid=172 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	554	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
1		269	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2		539	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
3		596	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
4		575	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
5		1977	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
6		836	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
7		1041	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
8		930	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
9		309	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
10	Very likeable	399	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
-99	No answer	172	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19101f: Perception of climate activists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8038 /-] [Invalid=159 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	2050	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
1		639	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
2		744	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
3		571	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
4		445	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
5		972	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
6		519	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
7		621	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
8		637	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
9		357	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
10	Very likeable	483	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
-99	No answer	159	

# W1_f19101f: Perception of climate activists			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19101g: Perception of entrepreneurs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8016 /-] [Invalid=181 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	134	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
1		93	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2		270	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
3		357	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
4		433	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
5		1689	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
6		944	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
7		1237	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
8		1453	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
9		568	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
10	Very likeable	838	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
-99	No answer	181	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19102arec: Party best representing families			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8094 /-] [Invalid=103 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	400	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	2588	<div><div></div></div> 32.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2733	<div><div></div></div> 33.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	674	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	139	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	155	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	29	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	51	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	35	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
405	All parties together, all	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
995	Other answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
998	Don't know	1204	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
-99	No answer	103	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f19102brec: Party best representing workers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8100 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	501	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	673	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4024	<div><div></div></div> 49.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1182	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	49	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	146	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	35	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	114	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	55	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
405	All parties together, all	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
995	Other answer	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
998	Don't know	1254	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
-99	No answer	97	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19102crec: Party best representing rich people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8096 /-] [Invalid=101 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	3657	<div><div></div></div> 45.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	219	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	187	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	2518	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	35	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	55	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
405	All parties together, all	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%

# W1_f19102crec: Party best representing rich people			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	19	0.2%
995	Other answer	2	0.0%
998	Don't know	1336	16.5%
-99	No answer	101	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19102drec: Party best representing feminists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8063 /-] [Invalid=134 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	234	2.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	109	1.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4189	52.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	108	1.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	909	11.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	325	4.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	6	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	38	0.5%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	18	0.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.0%
405	All parties together, all	2	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	17	0.2%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	2098	26.0%
-99	No answer	134	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19102erec: Party best representing migrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8079 /-] [Invalid=118 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	220	2.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	341	4.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	5127	63.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	240	3.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	453	5.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	203	2.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.0%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	0.1%

# W1_f19102erec: Party best representing migrants			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	46	0.6%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	21	0.3%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	24	0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	8	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	3	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	14	0.2%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	1	0.0%
998	Don't know	1365	16.9%
-99	No answer	118	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19102frec: Party best representing climate activists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8110 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	69	0.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	57	0.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	347	4.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	123	1.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	5823	71.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	701	8.6%
80	Lega - Ticino League	5	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	19	0.2%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	3	0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	24	0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.0%
405	All parties together, all	2	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	9	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	923	11.4%
-99	No answer	87	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f19102grec: Party best representing entrepreneurs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8100 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]		

# W1_f19102grec: Party best representing entrepreneurs				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	4448	<div></div>	54.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	371	<div></div>	4.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	187	<div></div>	2.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1666	<div></div>	20.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	37	<div></div>	0.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	155	<div></div>	1.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	16	<div></div>	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	13	<div></div>	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	3	<div></div>	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	<div></div>	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	<div></div>	0.0%
350	Other party	1	<div></div>	0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	9	<div></div>	0.1%
403	Would vote blank	0	<div></div>	
404	Several parties	5	<div></div>	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	1	<div></div>	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	0	<div></div>	
407	Right parties (several)	18	<div></div>	0.2%
995	Other answer	0	<div></div>	
998	Don't know	1167	<div></div>	14.4%
-99	No answer	97	<div></div>	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f14000: R feels close to a party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8169 /-] [Invalid=28 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	4445	<div></div>	54.4%
1	Yes	3724	<div></div>	45.6%
-99	No answer	28	<div></div>	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f14050: R feels somewhat close to a party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4421 /-] [Invalid=3776 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	1853	<div></div>	41.9%
1	Yes	2568	<div></div>	58.1%
-99	No answer	24	<div></div>	
Sysmiss		3752	<div></div>	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f14010rec: Party R feels close to				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6259 /-] [Invalid=1938 /-]		

### # W1\_f14010rec: Party R feels close to

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1000	16.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	636	10.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1533	24.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1285	20.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	642	10.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	694	11.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	45	0.7%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	16	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	27	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	108	1.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	48	0.8%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	32	0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	62	1.0%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	131	2.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	0	
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		1905	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f14010main6: Party R feels close to, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6259 /-] [Invalid=1938 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1000	16.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	636	10.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1533	24.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1285	20.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	642	10.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	694	11.1%
350	Other party, several/all parties	469	7.5%
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	33	
Sysmiss		1905	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f14070: How close does R feel to this party?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6242 /-] [Invalid=1955 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not very close	2321	<div><div></div></div> 37.2%
2	Rather close	3332	<div><div></div></div> 53.4%
3	Very close	589	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		1938	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14175a: I'm interested in what other people think of this party.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6091 /-] [Invalid=2106 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1156	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
2	Rather disagree	1782	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
3	Rather agree	2250	<div><div></div></div> 36.9%
4	Strongly agree	661	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
8	Don't know	242	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
-99	No answer	37	
Sysmiss		2069	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14175b: When other people criticize this party, I take it as a personal insult.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6092 /-] [Invalid=2105 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2857	<div><div></div></div> 46.9%
2	Rather disagree	2203	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
3	Rather agree	751	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
4	Strongly agree	115	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
8	Don't know	166	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
-99	No answer	36	
Sysmiss		2069	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14175c: I have a lot in common with the people who support this party.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6101 /-] [Invalid=2096 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	286	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2	Rather disagree	764	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
3	Rather agree	3564	<div><div></div></div> 58.4%
4	Strongly agree	1006	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
8	Don't know	481	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
-99	No answer	27	



# W1_f14175c: I have a lot in common with the people who support this party.			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		2069	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14175d: When I meet someone who supports this party, I feel connected to that person.			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6096 /-] [Invalid=2101 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Strongly disagree	788	12.9%
2	Rather disagree	1265	20.8%
3	Rather agree	2976	48.8%
4	Strongly agree	672	11.0%
8	Don't know	395	6.5%
-99	No answer	32	
Sysmiss		2069	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14175e: When other people praise this party, it makes me happy.			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6092 /-] [Invalid=2105 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Strongly disagree	721	11.8%
2	Rather disagree	1045	17.2%
3	Rather agree	2867	47.1%
4	Strongly agree	1029	16.9%
8	Don't know	430	7.1%
-99	No answer	36	
Sysmiss		2069	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400a: Probability to vote for FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7909 /-] [Invalid=288 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Very low probability	1424	18.0%
1		450	5.7%
2		636	8.0%
3		538	6.8%
4		440	5.6%
5		1303	16.5%
6		563	7.1%
7		668	8.4%
8		812	10.3%
9		343	4.3%
10	Very high probability	732	9.3%
-99	No answer	288	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f14400j: Probability to vote for The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7881 /-] [Invalid=316 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1181	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
1		348	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2		576	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
3		566	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
4		625	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
5		1490	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
6		717	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
7		775	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
8		805	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
9		330	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
10	Very high probability	468	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
-99	No answer	316	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400c: Probability to vote for SP/PS - Social Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7869 /-] [Invalid=328 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1389	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
1		518	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
2		560	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
3		477	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
4		437	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
5		986	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
6		515	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
7		568	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
8		769	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
9		468	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
10	Very high probability	1182	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
-99	No answer	328	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400d: Probability to vote for SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7917 /-] [Invalid=280 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	3001	<div><div></div></div> 37.9%
1		594	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
2		492	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
3		348	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
4		309	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
5		815	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
6		361	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%

# W1_f14400d: Probability to vote for SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		411	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
8		534	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
9		323	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
10	Very high probability	729	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
-99	No answer	280	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400e: Probability to vote for GPS/PES - Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7859 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1754	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
1		582	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
2		588	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
3		547	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
4		439	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
5		959	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
6		510	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
7		551	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
8		680	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
9		400	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
10	Very high probability	849	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
-99	No answer	338	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400f: Probability to vote for GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7834 /-] [Invalid=363 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1528	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
1		497	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
2		610	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
3		587	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
4		516	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
5		1203	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
6		602	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
7		706	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
8		704	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
9		411	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
10	Very high probability	470	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
-99	No answer	363	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400h: Probability to vote for Lega - Ticino League			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=324 /-] [Invalid=7873 /-]		

### # W1\_f14400h: Probability to vote for Lega - Ticino League

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	111	34.3%
1		19	5.9%
2		24	7.4%
3		17	5.2%
4		14	4.3%
5		32	9.9%
6		13	4.0%
7		22	6.8%
8		23	7.1%
9		12	3.7%
10	Very high probability	37	11.4%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		7835	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f14400i: Probability to vote for MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=342 /-] [Invalid=7855 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	119	34.8%
1		20	5.8%
2		23	6.7%
3		26	7.6%
4		20	5.8%
5		46	13.5%
6		13	3.8%
7		14	4.1%
8		26	7.6%
9		12	3.5%
10	Very high probability	23	6.7%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		7839	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f15130a: Left-right placement: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7985 /-] [Invalid=212 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	141	1.8%
1		52	0.7%
2		130	1.6%
3		222	2.8%
4		265	3.3%
5		1019	12.8%
6		813	10.2%

# W1_f15130a: Left-right placement: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		1441	<div></div> 18.0%
8		1854	<div></div> 23.2%
9		704	<div></div> 8.8%
10	Right	720	<div></div> 9.0%
98	Don't know	624	<div></div> 7.8%
-99	No answer	212	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15130j: Left-right placement: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7959 /-] [Invalid=238 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	85	<div></div> 1.1%
1		36	<div></div> 0.5%
2		100	<div></div> 1.3%
3		175	<div></div> 2.2%
4		454	<div></div> 5.7%
5		3420	<div></div> 43.0%
6		1387	<div></div> 17.4%
7		913	<div></div> 11.5%
8		514	<div></div> 6.5%
9		141	<div></div> 1.8%
10	Right	168	<div></div> 2.1%
98	Don't know	566	<div></div> 7.1%
-99	No answer	238	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15130c: Left-right placement: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7965 /-] [Invalid=232 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	2638	<div></div> 33.1%
1		1591	<div></div> 20.0%
2		1521	<div></div> 19.1%
3		687	<div></div> 8.6%
4		332	<div></div> 4.2%
5		315	<div></div> 4.0%
6		120	<div></div> 1.5%
7		72	<div></div> 0.9%
8		86	<div></div> 1.1%
9		47	<div></div> 0.6%
10	Right	68	<div></div> 0.9%
98	Don't know	488	<div></div> 6.1%
-99	No answer	232	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f15130d: Left-right placement: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7982 /-] [Invalid=215 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	113	1.4%
1		43	0.5%
2		43	0.5%
3		45	0.6%
4		63	0.8%
5		326	4.1%
6		134	1.7%
7		193	2.4%
8		584	7.3%
9		1186	14.9%
10	Right	3861	48.4%
98	Don't know	1391	17.4%
-99	No answer	215	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15130e: Left-right placement: GPS/PES - Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7956 /-] [Invalid=241 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	1869	23.5%
1		1538	19.3%
2		1565	19.7%
3		1017	12.8%
4		498	6.3%
5		544	6.8%
6		131	1.6%
7		66	0.8%
8		74	0.9%
9		44	0.6%
10	Right	50	0.6%
98	Don't know	560	7.0%
-99	No answer	241	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15130f: Left-right placement: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7933 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	664	8.4%
1		544	6.9%
2		806	10.2%
3		983	12.4%
4		1139	14.4%

# W1_f15130f: Left-right placement: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		1349	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
6		703	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
7		524	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
8		352	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
9		120	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
10	Right	100	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
98	Don't know	649	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
-99	No answer	264	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15130h: Left-right placement: Lega - Ticino League			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=337 /-] [Invalid=7860 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
1		5	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
3		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
4		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
5		28	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
6		8	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
7		21	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
8		33	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
9		58	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
10	Right	114	<div><div></div></div> 33.8%
98	Don't know	57	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
-99	No answer	25	
Sysmiss		7835	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15130i: Left-right placement: MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=346 /-] [Invalid=7851 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
1		4	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2		5	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
3		6	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
4		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
5		33	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
6		21	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
7		32	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
8		32	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
9		37	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
10	Right	103	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
98	Don't know	67	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%

# W1_f15130i: Left-right placement: MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		7839	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15200: Left-right self-placement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8136 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	322	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
1		305	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
2		668	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
3		924	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
4		703	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
5		1431	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
6		861	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
7		1029	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
8		929	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
9		218	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
10	Right	225	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
98	Don't know	521	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
-99	No answer	61	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15310a: Personal importance of European policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8123 /-] [Invalid=74 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rather not important	898	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
2	Rather important	3280	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
3	Very important	2906	<div><div></div></div> 35.8%
4	Extremely important	1039	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
-99	No answer	74	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			



# W1_f15310b: Personal importance of migration policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8098 /-] [Invalid=99 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Rather not important	442	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%	
2	Rather important	2751	<div><div></div></div> 34.0%	
3	Very important	3496	<div><div></div></div> 43.2%	
4	Extremely important	1409	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%	
-99	No answer	99		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15310c: Personal importance of social policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8090 /-] [Invalid=107 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Rather not important	325	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%	
2	Rather important	2312	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%	
3	Very important	3707	<div><div></div></div> 45.8%	
4	Extremely important	1746	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%	
-99	No answer	107		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15310d: Personal importance of environmental policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8104 /-] [Invalid=93 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Rather not important	457	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%	
2	Rather important	1923	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%	
3	Very important	3027	<div><div></div></div> 37.4%	
4	Extremely important	2697	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%	
-99	No answer	93		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15310e: Personal importance of economic policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8099 /-] [Invalid=98 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Rather not important	517	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%	
2	Rather important	2715	<div><div></div></div> 33.5%	
3	Very important	3611	<div><div></div></div> 44.6%	
4	Extremely important	1256	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%	
-99	No answer	98		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15310f: Personal importance of health policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8110 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]		

# W1_f15310f: Personal importance of health policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rather not important	152	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2	Rather important	1434	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
3	Very important	3854	<div><div></div></div> 47.5%
4	Extremely important	2670	<div><div></div></div> 32.9%
-99	No answer	87	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15320arec: Party which cares most about European policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8039 /-] [Invalid=158 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1934	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	646	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1316	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1408	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	71	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	254	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	49	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
998	Don't know	2341	<div><div></div></div> 29.1%
-99	No answer, no party	158	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15320brec: Party which cares most about migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8045 /-] [Invalid=152 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	188	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	239	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2782	<div><div></div></div> 34.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	2957	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	183	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	95	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	27	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
350	Other party, all/several parties	54	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
998	Don't know	1499	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
-99	No answer, no party	152	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15320crec: Party which cares most about social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8060 /-] [Invalid=137 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	167	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%

# W1_f15320crec: Party which cares most about social policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	492	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	5760	<div><div></div></div> 71.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	239	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	85	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	79	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	63	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
998	Don't know	1156	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
-99	No answer, no party	137	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15320drec: Party which cares most about environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8070 /-] [Invalid=127 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	93	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	81	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	174	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	157	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	5722	<div><div></div></div> 70.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	875	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
350	Other party, all/several parties	44	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
998	Don't know	916	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
-99	No answer, no party	127	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15320erec: Party which cares most about economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8046 /-] [Invalid=151 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	4577	<div><div></div></div> 56.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	321	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	274	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1318	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	34	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	123	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	42	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
998	Don't know	1332	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
-99	No answer, no party	151	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f15320frec: Party which cares most about health policy				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8004 /-] [Invalid=193 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	528	<div></div>	6.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1653	<div></div>	20.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	3064	<div></div>	38.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	298	<div></div>	3.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	108	<div></div>	1.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	120	<div></div>	1.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	13	<div></div>	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	<div></div>	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	58	<div></div>	0.7%
998	Don't know	2152	<div></div>	26.9%
-99	No answer, no party	193		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15330arec: Party that is most competent with European policy				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7981 /-] [Invalid=216 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1841	<div></div>	23.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	875	<div></div>	11.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	922	<div></div>	11.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1046	<div></div>	13.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	92	<div></div>	1.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	341	<div></div>	4.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	14	<div></div>	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	<div></div>	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	35	<div></div>	0.4%
998	Don't know	2810	<div></div>	35.2%
-99	No answer, no party	214		
Sysmiss		2		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7994 /-] [Invalid=203 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	487	<div></div>	6.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	856	<div></div>	10.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2249	<div></div>	28.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1578	<div></div>	19.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	178	<div></div>	2.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	212	<div></div>	2.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	22	<div></div>	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	9	<div></div>	0.1%

# W1_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
350	Other party, all/several parties	60	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
998	Don't know	2343	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
-99	No answer, no party	202	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15330crec: Party that is most competent with social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7974 /-] [Invalid=223 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	362	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	959	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4175	<div><div></div></div> 52.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	392	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	101	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	186	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	63	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
998	Don't know	1714	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
-99	No answer, no party	221	
Sysmiss		2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15330drec: Party that is most competent with environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8008 /-] [Invalid=189 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	317	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	279	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	267	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	401	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	3458	<div><div></div></div> 43.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1586	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
350	Other party, all/several parties	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
998	Don't know	1655	<div><div></div></div> 20.7%
-99	No answer, no party	188	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15330erec: Party that is most competent with economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8009 /-] [Invalid=188 /-]		

### # W1\_f15330erec: Party that is most competent with economic policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	3286	41.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	525	6.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	568	7.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1014	12.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	78	1.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	401	5.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	39	0.5%
998	Don't know	2083	26.0%
-99	No answer, no party	185	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f15330frec: Party that is most competent with health policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7941 /-] [Invalid=256 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	598	7.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1586	20.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2359	29.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	393	4.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	109	1.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	180	2.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	13	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	53	0.7%
998	Don't know	2639	33.2%
-99	No answer, no party	253	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8112 /-] [Invalid=85 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	642	7.9%
2	Rather against	1628	20.1%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1674	20.6%
4	Rather in favor	2534	31.2%
5	Strongly in favor	1634	20.1%
-99	No answer	70	
Sysmiss		15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f15340c: Own opinion on increasing public funding for out-of-home childcare				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8102 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly against	597	<div></div>	7.4%
2	Rather against	1404	<div></div>	17.3%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1543	<div></div>	19.0%
4	Rather in favor	2933	<div></div>	36.2%
5	Strongly in favor	1625	<div></div>	20.1%
-99	No answer	80		
Sysmiss		15		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15340d: Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection in Switzerland				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8114 /-] [Invalid=83 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly against	214	<div></div>	2.6%
2	Rather against	542	<div></div>	6.7%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1269	<div></div>	15.6%
4	Rather in favor	3016	<div></div>	37.2%
5	Strongly in favor	3073	<div></div>	37.9%
-99	No answer	68		
Sysmiss		15		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15340e: Own opinion on strengthening the competitiveness of the Swiss economy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8101 /-] [Invalid=96 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly against	76	<div></div>	0.9%
2	Rather against	374	<div></div>	4.6%
3	Neither in favor nor against	2025	<div></div>	25.0%
4	Rather in favor	3819	<div></div>	47.1%
5	Strongly in favor	1807	<div></div>	22.3%
-99	No answer	81		
Sysmiss		15		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15340f: Own opinion on raising the standard deductible for compulsory health insurance				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8110 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly against	2284	<div></div>	28.2%
2	Rather against	2393	<div></div>	29.5%
3	Neither in favor nor against	2147	<div></div>	26.5%
4	Rather in favor	980	<div></div>	12.1%

# W1_f15340f: Own opinion on raising the standard deductible for compulsory health insurance			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly in favor	306	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
-99	No answer	72	
Sysmiss		15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15420: Opinion on social expenses			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8106 /-] [Invalid=91 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor of a reduction	493	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
2	Rather in favor of a reduction	1238	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
3	Neither nor	2938	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
4	Rather in favor of an increase	2720	<div><div></div></div> 33.6%
5	Strongly in favor of an increase	717	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
-99	No answer	76	
Sysmiss		15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15425: Opinion on European integration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8136 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor of closer cooperation with the EU	1368	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
2	Rather in favor of closer cooperation with the EU	2735	<div><div></div></div> 33.6%
3	Neither nor	1501	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
4	Rather in favor of reinforcing independence	1651	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
5	Strongly in favor of reinforcing independence	881	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
-99	No answer	40	
Sysmiss		21	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15431: Opinion on EU membership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5595 /-] [Invalid=2602 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	1255	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
2	Rather against	1806	<div><div></div></div> 32.3%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1045	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
4	Rather in favor	1177	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
5	Strongly in favor	312	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		2593	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8091 /-] [Invalid=106 /-]		



# W1_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very much in favor of more state intervention in the economy	427	<div><div></div></div>	5.3%
2	Rather in favor of more state intervention in the economy	1583	<div><div></div></div>	19.6%
3	Neither nor	2589	<div><div></div></div>	32.0%
4	Rather in favor of more competition in the economy	2820	<div><div></div></div>	34.9%
5	Very much in favor of more competition in the economy	672	<div><div></div></div>	8.3%
-99	No answer	81		
Sysmiss		25		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15440: Opinion on chances for foreigners				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8091 /-] [Invalid=106 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly in favor of equal chances	1946	<div><div></div></div>	24.1%
2	Rather in favor of equal chances	2503	<div><div></div></div>	30.9%
3	Neither nor	1168	<div><div></div></div>	14.4%
4	Rather in favor of better chances for Swiss people	1813	<div><div></div></div>	22.4%
5	Strongly in favor of better chances for Swiss people	661	<div><div></div></div>	8.2%
-99	No answer	76		
Sysmiss		30		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15478: Too many worries about the environment instead of costs and prices				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8134 /-] [Invalid=63 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	1497	<div><div></div></div>	18.4%
2	Rather disagree	2046	<div><div></div></div>	25.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1548	<div><div></div></div>	19.0%
4	Rather agree	2089	<div><div></div></div>	25.7%
5	Strongly agree	954	<div><div></div></div>	11.7%
-99	No answer	33		
Sysmiss		30		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8112 /-] [Invalid=85 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Have already gone much too far	272	<div><div></div></div>	3.4%
2	Have rather gone too far	757	<div><div></div></div>	9.3%
3	Are just about right	2769	<div><div></div></div>	34.1%
4	Don't go far enough	3204	<div><div></div></div>	39.5%
5	Don't nearly go far enough	1110	<div><div></div></div>	13.7%
-99	No answer	55		
Sysmiss		30		

<b># W1_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># W1_f15480: Opinion on taxes on high incomes</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8129 /-] [Invalid=68 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Strongly in favor of an increase	1989	24.5%
2	Rather in favor of an increase	3170	39.0%
3	Neither nor	2240	27.6%
4	Rather in favor of a reduction	542	6.7%
5	Strongly in favor of a reduction	188	2.3%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		30	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># W1_f15485: Opinion on traditions</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8125 /-] [Invalid=72 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Strongly in favor of a modern Switzerland	1206	14.8%
2	Rather in favor of a modern Switzerland	2687	33.1%
3	Neither nor	1762	21.7%
4	Rather in favor of a Switzerland that preserves its traditio	2012	24.8%
5	Strongly in favor of a Switzerland that preserves its tradit	458	5.6%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		34	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># W1_f15815: Opinion on current political topics - minimum wage</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8120 /-] [Invalid=77 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Strongly against	611	7.5%
2	Rather against	1238	15.2%
3	Rather in favor	3306	40.7%
4	Strongly in favor	2965	36.5%
-99	No answer	40	
Sysmiss		37	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># W1_f15811: Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8108 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Strongly against	2941	36.3%
2	Rather against	2738	33.8%
3	Rather in favor	1856	22.9%

# W1_f15811: Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Strongly in favor	573	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
-99	No answer	51	
Sysmiss		38	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15816: Opinion on current political topics - foreigners' voting rights			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8121 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	1177	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
2	Rather against	1876	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
3	Rather in favor	3187	<div><div></div></div> 39.2%
4	Strongly in favor	1881	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		38	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15817: Opinion on current political topics - equal rights for same-sex couples			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8090 /-] [Invalid=107 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	700	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
2	Rather against	1222	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
3	Rather in favor	2781	<div><div></div></div> 34.4%
4	Strongly in favor	3387	<div><div></div></div> 41.9%
-99	No answer	66	
Sysmiss		41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 98-9999] [Missing=*/0/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8120 /-] [Invalid=77 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not applicable to family situation	0	
8100	Switzerland	6930	<div><div></div></div> 85.3%
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	8	0.1%
8205	Bulgaria	3	0.0%
8206	Denmark	2	0.0%
8207	Germany	225	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	7	0.1%
8212	France	86	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%

# **# W1\_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	7	0.1%
8215	United Kingdom	31	0.4%
8216	Ireland	4	0.0%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	223	2.7%
8220	Yugoslavia	13	0.2%
8222	Liechtenstein	3	0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	2	0.0%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	2	0.0%
8227	Netherlands	15	0.2%
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	43	0.5%
8230	Poland	12	0.1%
8231	Portugal	51	0.6%
8232	Romania	4	0.0%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	6	0.1%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1	0.0%
8236	Spain	40	0.5%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	5	0.1%
8239	Turkey	48	0.6%
8240	Hungary	13	0.2%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	2	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	3	0.0%
8248	Serbia	40	0.5%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	15	0.2%
8251	Slovenia	0	
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	20	0.2%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	2	0.0%
8255	North Macedonia	14	0.2%
8256	Kosovo	45	0.6%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	1	0.0%
8263	Moldova	1	0.0%
8264	Russia	9	0.1%

# W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8265	Ukraine	5	0.1%
8266	Belarus	1	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	1	0.0%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	4	0.0%
8305	Angola	1	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	1	0.0%
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	2	0.0%
8317	Cameroon	3	0.0%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	1	0.0%
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	1	0.0%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	4	0.0%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	1	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	2	0.0%

# **# W1\_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	2	0.0%
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	1	0.0%
8348	Somalia	2	0.0%
8349	South Africa	4	0.0%
8350	Sudan	1	0.0%
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	1	0.0%
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	6	0.1%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	0	
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	4	0.0%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	13	0.2%
8407	Chile	2	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	2	0.0%
8410	Ecuador	1	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	1	0.0%
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	

# **# W1\_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	1	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	12	0.1%
8424	Colombia	2	0.0%
8425	Cuba	3	0.0%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	5	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	5	0.1%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	0	
8439	United States of America	10	0.1%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	

# W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8501	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	11	0.1%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	3	0.0%
8508	China	6	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	8	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	1	0.0%
8513	Iran	2	0.0%
8514	Israel	3	0.0%
8515	Japan	0	
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	5	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	2	0.0%
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	2	0.0%
8534	Philippines	7	0.1%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	2	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	0	
8542	Thailand	5	0.1%
8543	Tibet	1	0.0%
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	6	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	1	0.0%



# W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8547	Timor-Leste	0	0.0%
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	2	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	0.0%
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	3	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	1	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	0.0%
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	

### # W1\_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	4	0.0%
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		77	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W1\_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 98-9999] [Missing=*/0/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=497 /-] [Invalid=7700 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not applicable to family situation	0	
8100	Switzerland	14	<div></div> 2.8%
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	4	<div></div> 0.8%
8205	Bulgaria	0	
8206	Denmark	2	<div></div> 0.4%
8207	Germany	66	<div></div> 13.3%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	5	<div></div> 1.0%
8212	France	77	<div></div> 15.5%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	5	<div></div> 1.0%
8215	United Kingdom	14	<div></div> 2.8%
8216	Ireland	2	<div></div> 0.4%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	115	<div></div> 23.1%
8220	Yugoslavia	1	<div></div> 0.2%

# **# W1\_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8222	Liechtenstein	4	0.8%
8223	Luxembourg	0	
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	5	1.0%
8228	Norway	1	0.2%
8229	Austria	14	2.8%
8230	Poland	2	0.4%
8231	Portugal	22	4.4%
8232	Romania	0	
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	4	0.8%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0	
8236	Spain	29	5.8%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	3	0.6%
8239	Turkey	8	1.6%
8240	Hungary	1	0.2%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	1	0.2%
8244	Czech Republic	0	
8248	Serbia	9	1.8%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	3	0.6%
8251	Slovenia	1	0.2%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	4	0.8%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	2	0.4%
8256	Kosovo	5	1.0%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	1	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	1	0.2%
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	

# **# W1\_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	2	0.4%
8305	Angola	0	
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	0	
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	0	
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	0	
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	1	0.2%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	0	
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	0	
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	1	0.2%
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	

# **# W1\_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	2	0.4%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	2	0.4%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	1	0.2%
8360	Central African Republic	1	0.2%
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	2	0.4%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	2	0.4%
8406	Brazil	4	0.8%
8407	Chile	1	0.2%
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.2%
8409	Dominican Republic	0	
8410	Ecuador	0	
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	1	0.2%
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	

## # W1\_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	8	1.6%
8424	Colombia	1	0.2%
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	1	0.2%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	3	0.6%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	1	0.2%
8439	United States of America	15	3.0%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.2%
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	1	0.2%
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	0	
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	2	0.4%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.2%

# **# W1\_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8508	China	1	0.2%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	1	0.2%
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	0	
8513	Iran	2	0.4%
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	1	0.2%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	1	0.2%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	0	
8534	Philippines	1	0.2%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	1	0.2%
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.2%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	0	
8542	Thailand	1	0.2%
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	0	
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	

# **# W1\_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	4	0.8%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	2	0.4%
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	



# W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	1	0.2%
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7700	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 98-9999] [Missing=*/0/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not applicable to family situation	0	
8100	Switzerland	0	
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	1	4.5%
8205	Bulgaria	0	
8206	Denmark	0	
8207	Germany	0	
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	0	
8212	France	2	9.1%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	0	
8215	United Kingdom	2	9.1%
8216	Ireland	0	
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	0	
8220	Yugoslavia	0	
8222	Liechtenstein	0	
8223	Luxembourg	0	
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	0	
8228	Norway	1	4.5%

# **# W1\_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8229	Austria	1	4.5%
8230	Poland	1	4.5%
8231	Portugal	0	
8232	Romania	0	
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	0	
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0	
8236	Spain	3	13.6%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	0	
8239	Turkey	0	
8240	Hungary	0	
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	0	
8244	Czech Republic	0	
8248	Serbia	0	
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	0	
8251	Slovenia	0	
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	0	
8256	Kosovo	0	
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	0	
8265	Ukraine	0	
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	0	
8305	Angola	0	

# **# W1\_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	0	
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	0	
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	0	
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	0	
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	1	4.5%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	0	
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	

# **# W1\_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	0	
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	0	
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	0	
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	0	
8407	Chile	0	
8408	Costa Rica	0	
8409	Dominican Republic	0	
8410	Ecuador	0	
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	1	4.5%
8424	Colombia	0	
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	1	4.5%
8429	Nicaragua	0	

# **# W1\_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	2	9.1%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	0	
8439	United States of America	4	18.2%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barth�lemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Cura�ao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	0	
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	0	
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	0	
8508	China	0	
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	0	
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	0	
8513	Iran	1	4.5%
8514	Israel	0	

# **# W1\_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8515	Japan	0	
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	0	
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	0	
8534	Philippines	0	
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	0	
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	1	
8542	Thailand	0	
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	0	
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	

4.5%

# **# W1\_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	0	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	

# W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20211: Parents both born in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8136 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5713	<div></div> 70.2%
2	No	2423	<div></div> 29.8%
-99	No answer	18	
Sysmiss		43	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9999] [Missing=*/-99/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8103 /-] [Invalid=94 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	6182	<div></div> 76.3%
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	20	<div></div> 0.2%
8205	Bulgaria	3	<div></div> 0.0%
8206	Denmark	7	<div></div> 0.1%
8207	Germany	361	<div></div> 4.5%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	12	<div></div> 0.1%
8212	France	181	<div></div> 2.2%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	10	<div></div> 0.1%
8215	United Kingdom	37	<div></div> 0.5%
8216	Ireland	6	<div></div> 0.1%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	275	<div></div> 3.4%
8220	Yugoslavia	17	<div></div> 0.2%
8222	Liechtenstein	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	1	<div></div> 0.0%
8227	Netherlands	34	<div></div> 0.4%



# **# W1\_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	119	1.5%
8230	Poland	27	0.3%
8231	Portugal	61	0.8%
8232	Romania	11	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	12	0.1%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1	0.0%
8236	Spain	59	0.7%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	11	0.1%
8239	Turkey	61	0.8%
8240	Hungary	24	0.3%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	1	0.0%
8243	Slovakia	4	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	7	0.1%
8248	Serbia	54	0.7%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	19	0.2%
8251	Slovenia	2	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	35	0.4%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	4	0.0%
8255	North Macedonia	14	0.2%
8256	Kosovo	62	0.8%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	1	0.0%
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	4	0.0%
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	14	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	9	0.1%
8266	Belarus	2	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	1	0.0%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	9	0.1%

# **# W1\_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8305	Angola	2	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	1	0.0%
8313	Ghana	4	0.0%
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	3	0.0%
8317	Cameroon	2	0.0%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	2	0.0%
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	1	0.0%
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	6	0.1%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	1	0.0%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	1	0.0%
8331	Morocco	9	0.1%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	2	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	1	0.0%
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	2	0.0%
8346	Seychelles	1	0.0%
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	2	0.0%
8349	South Africa	9	0.1%
8350	Sudan	1	0.0%
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	

# W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	1	0.0%
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	11	0.1%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	6	0.1%
8360	Central African Republic	1	0.0%
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	2	0.0%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	7	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	2	0.0%
8406	Brazil	21	0.3%
8407	Chile	5	0.1%
8408	Costa Rica	3	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	5	0.1%
8410	Ecuador	4	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	1	0.0%
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	2	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	15	0.2%
8424	Colombia	4	0.0%
8425	Cuba	5	0.1%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	8	0.1%

# W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	12	0.1%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	1	0.0%
8437	Uruguay	1	0.0%
8438	Venezuela	2	0.0%
8439	United States of America	20	0.2%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	19	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	4	0.0%
8508	China	13	0.2%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	15	0.2%
8511	Indonesia	5	0.1%
8512	Iraq	3	0.0%
8513	Iran	4	0.0%

# W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	3	0.0%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	2	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	1	0.0%
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	6	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	2	0.0%
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	2	0.0%
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	2	0.0%
8534	Philippines	17	0.2%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	2	0.0%
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	1	0.0%
8542	Thailand	13	0.2%
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	8	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	1	0.0%
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	1	0.0%
8563	Kazakhstan	3	0.0%
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	

# W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	2	0.0%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	1	0.0%
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	

# W1_f20212rec: Mother's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	1	
98	Not applicable to family situation	20	
Sysmiss		73	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9999] [Missing=*/-99/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8101 /-] [Invalid=96 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	6337	<div></div> 78.2%
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	12	<div></div> 0.1%
8205	Bulgaria	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8206	Denmark	5	<div></div> 0.1%
8207	Germany	329	<div></div> 4.1%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	8	<div></div> 0.1%
8212	France	134	<div></div> 1.7%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	14	<div></div> 0.2%
8215	United Kingdom	38	<div></div> 0.5%
8216	Ireland	6	<div></div> 0.1%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	343	<div></div> 4.2%
8220	Yugoslavia	17	<div></div> 0.2%
8222	Liechtenstein	4	<div></div> 0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	2	<div></div> 0.0%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	1	<div></div> 0.0%
8227	Netherlands	22	<div></div> 0.3%
8228	Norway	1	<div></div> 0.0%
8229	Austria	62	<div></div> 0.8%
8230	Poland	16	<div></div> 0.2%
8231	Portugal	69	<div></div> 0.9%
8232	Romania	6	<div></div> 0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	9	<div></div> 0.1%

# W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	2	0.0%
8236	Spain	52	0.6%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	14	0.2%
8239	Turkey	68	0.8%
8240	Hungary	24	0.3%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	2	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	7	0.1%
8248	Serbia	54	0.7%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	21	0.3%
8251	Slovenia	1	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	34	0.4%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	3	0.0%
8255	North Macedonia	16	0.2%
8256	Kosovo	61	0.8%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	1	0.0%
8263	Moldova	1	0.0%
8264	Russia	11	0.1%
8265	Ukraine	4	0.0%
8266	Belarus	3	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	1	0.0%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	10	0.1%
8305	Angola	1	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	0	




























# W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8312	Gambia	1	0.0%
8313	Ghana	3	0.0%
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	2	0.0%
8317	Cameroon	2	0.0%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	2	0.0%
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	5	0.1%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	2	0.0%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	14	0.2%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	1	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	2	0.0%
8337	Burkina Faso	2	0.0%
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	3	0.0%
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	2	0.0%
8349	South Africa	6	0.1%
8350	Sudan	1	0.0%
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	1	0.0%
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	13	0.2%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	8	0.1%
8360	Central African Republic	0	

# W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	2	0.0%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	7	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	13	0.2%
8407	Chile	4	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	2	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	3	0.0%
8410	Ecuador	2	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	2	0.0%
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	1	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	14	0.2%
8424	Colombia	2	0.0%
8425	Cuba	3	0.0%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	5	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	1	0.0%
8432	Peru	9	0.1%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	

# W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	1	0.0%
8439	United States of America	17	0.2%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.0%
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	1	0.0%
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	20	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	2	0.0%
8508	China	11	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	12	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	4	0.0%
8512	Iraq	3	0.0%
8513	Iran	5	0.1%
8514	Israel	4	0.0%
8515	Japan	0	
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	1	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	1	0.0%

# W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	6	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	2	0.0%
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	2	0.0%
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	2	0.0%
8534	Philippines	7	0.1%
8535	Saudi Arabia	1	0.0%
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	2	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	2	0.0%
8542	Thailand	5	0.1%
8543	Tibet	1	0.0%
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	6	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	1	0.0%
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	2	0.0%
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	1	0.0%
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	

# W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8577	Chechnya	0	0.0%
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	4	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	0.0%
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	2	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-99	No answer	4	
98	Not applicable to family situation	23	
Sysmiss		69	

# W1_f20213rec: Father's country of birth			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20760rec: Religious denomination			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8125 /-] [Invalid=72 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Protestant	2432	 29.9%
2	Catholic	2568	 31.6%
3	Jewish	22	 0.3%
4	Muslim	168	 2.1%
5	Free Protestant/Evangelical Church	54	 0.7%
6	Other Christian religion	28	 0.3%
7	Other religion	217	 2.7%
8	None	2636	 32.4%
-99	No answer	27	
Sysmiss		45	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20900: Church attendance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5546 /-] [Invalid=2651 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	91	 1.6%
2	Once a week	276	 5.0%
3	Once or twice a month	293	 5.3%
4	Several times a year	997	 18.0%
5	Once a year	300	 5.4%
6	Only on special occasions	2947	 53.1%
7	Never	642	 11.6%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		2635	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21310rec: R's highest level of education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8127 /-] [Invalid=70 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	13	 0.2%
2	Primary school	101	 1.2%
3	Secondary school	224	 2.8%
4	Basic vocational training (EBA, AFP)	84	 1.0%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	2167	 26.7%
6	Upper secondary specialised school (Fachmittelschule, Ecole	150	 1.8%
7	Trade school	350	 4.3%
8	Vocational or specialised baccalaureate	302	 3.7%
9	Baccalaureate	547	 6.7%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	970	 11.9%

# W1_f21310rec: R's highest level of education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	College of higher education	577	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	998	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
13	University, Federal Institute of technology	1613	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
14	Other	31	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		48	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21400: R's current working situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8126 /-] [Invalid=71 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	2884	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	2107	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	561	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
4	Housemaker	198	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
5	Retired (AHV)	1965	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	180	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
7	Unemployed	78	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	153	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		49	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21500: R's current position at work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4991 /-] [Invalid=3206 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	31	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
1	Employee with executive function	603	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
2	Employee with supervision function / trainer	1407	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
3	Employee with operative function	2440	<div><div></div></div> 48.9%
4	Self-employed	510	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
Sysmiss		3206	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4854 /-] [Invalid=3343 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
10	Managers	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	222	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	257	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%

## # W1\_f21601\_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Production and specialised services managers	256	5.3%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	58	1.2%
20	Professionals	32	0.7%
21	Science and engineering professionals	323	6.7%
22	Health professionals	333	6.9%
23	Teaching professionals	398	8.2%
24	Business and administration professionals	310	6.4%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	208	4.3%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	267	5.5%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	68	1.4%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	228	4.7%
32	Health associate professionals	151	3.1%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	301	6.2%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	121	2.5%
35	Information and communications technicians	29	0.6%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	212	4.4%
42	Customer services clerks	77	1.6%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	96	2.0%
44	Other clerical support workers	55	1.1%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	127	2.6%
52	Sales workers	107	2.2%
53	Personal care workers	83	1.7%
54	Protective services workers	58	1.2%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	1	0.0%
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	63	1.3%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	2	0.0%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	1	0.0%
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	61	1.3%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	77	1.6%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	22	0.5%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	35	0.7%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	30	0.6%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	21	0.4%
82	Assemblers	2	0.0%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	65	1.3%
90	Elementary occupations	2	0.0%
91	Cleaners and helpers	12	0.2%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	7	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	29	0.6%
94	Food preparation assistants	6	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	



# W1_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	4	0.1%
-99	No answer	142	
Sysmiss		3201	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21700: R's current working sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4978 /-] [Invalid=3219 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	3028	60.8%
2	Public sector	1530	30.7%
3	Other (mixed)	420	8.4%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		3208	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4989 /-] [Invalid=3208 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	29	0.6%
1	Product manufacturing (industry)	683	13.7%
2	Retail and wholesale	344	6.9%
3	Healthcare and social sector	930	18.6%
4	Services such as consulting, auditing, research & developmen	289	5.8%
5	Construction	426	8.5%
6	Teaching and education	596	11.9%
7	Services such as travel agency, security service, employment	162	3.2%
8	Financial services (banks, insurances, etc.)	329	6.6%
9	Hospitality and gastronomy	108	2.2%
10	Transport and shipping services	180	3.6%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	405	8.1%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	95	1.9%
13	Personal services (e.g. hairdresser), member organizations (	105	2.1%
14	Information and communication	273	5.5%
15	Other	35	0.7%
Sysmiss		3208	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f26100: R's previous working situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2548 /-] [Invalid=5649 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	1265	49.6%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	810	31.8%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	55	2.2%

# W1_f26100: R's previous working situation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Housemaker	134	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
5	Retired (AHV)	181	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
7	Unemployed	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	40	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
-99	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f24900: R's previous position at work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=6122 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
1	Employee with executive function	267	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
2	Employee with supervision function / trainer	634	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
3	Employee with operative function	862	<div><div></div></div> 41.5%
4	Self-employed	278	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
Sysmiss		6122	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f25000_2dig: R's last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2022 /-] [Invalid=6175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
10	Managers	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	114	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	117	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
13	Production and specialised services managers	137	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
20	Professionals	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
21	Science and engineering professionals	128	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
22	Health professionals	113	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
23	Teaching professionals	209	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
24	Business and administration professionals	89	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	45	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	92	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	91	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
32	Health associate professionals	64	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	175	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
35	Information and communications technicians	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	119	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
42	Customer services clerks	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	44	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
44	Other clerical support workers	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	52	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
52	Sales workers	56	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
53	Personal care workers	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
54	Protective services workers	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%

# W1_f25000_2dig: R's last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	28	<div></div> 1.4%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	1	<div></div> 0.0%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	1	<div></div> 0.0%
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	12	<div></div> 0.6%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	26	<div></div> 1.3%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	16	<div></div> 0.8%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	14	<div></div> 0.7%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	15	<div></div> 0.7%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	7	<div></div> 0.3%
82	Assemblers	1	<div></div> 0.0%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	18	<div></div> 0.9%
90	Elementary occupations	2	<div></div> 0.1%
91	Cleaners and helpers	2	<div></div> 0.1%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	6	<div></div> 0.3%
94	Food preparation assistants	3	<div></div> 0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	1	<div></div> 0.0%
-99	No answer	58	
Sysmiss		6117	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_ISCO08prof_2dig: R's current or last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6785 /-] [Invalid=1412 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	2	<div></div> 0.0%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	4	<div></div> 0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	<div></div> 0.0%
10	Managers	39	<div></div> 0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	326	<div></div> 4.8%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	366	<div></div> 5.4%
13	Production and specialised services managers	392	<div></div> 5.8%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	86	<div></div> 1.3%
20	Professionals	45	<div></div> 0.7%
21	Science and engineering professionals	448	<div></div> 6.6%
22	Health professionals	440	<div></div> 6.5%
23	Teaching professionals	601	<div></div> 8.9%
24	Business and administration professionals	391	<div></div> 5.8%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	248	<div></div> 3.7%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	356	<div></div> 5.2%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	87	<div></div> 1.3%

# W1_ISCO08prof_2dig: R's current or last profession, ISCO 08 2 digits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	315	4.6%
32	Health associate professionals	213	3.1%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	469	6.9%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	155	2.3%
35	Information and communications technicians	39	0.6%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	330	4.9%
42	Customer services clerks	119	1.8%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	139	2.0%
44	Other clerical support workers	71	1.0%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	178	2.6%
52	Sales workers	162	2.4%
53	Personal care workers	111	1.6%
54	Protective services workers	67	1.0%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	1	0.0%
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	91	1.3%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	3	0.0%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	2	0.0%
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	70	1.0%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	102	1.5%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	37	0.5%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	48	0.7%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	44	0.6%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	27	0.4%
82	Assemblers	3	0.0%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	82	1.2%
90	Elementary occupations	4	0.1%
91	Cleaners and helpers	14	0.2%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	7	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	35	0.5%
94	Food preparation assistants	9	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	5	0.1%
-99	No answer	200	
Sysmiss		1212	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f25100: R's previous working sector			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2040 /-] [Invalid=6157 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	1204	59.0%

# W1_f25100: R's previous working sector			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Public sector	684	<div><div></div></div> 33.5%
3	Other (mixed)	152	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
-99	No answer	34	
Sysmiss		6123	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f25150: Industry in which R's previous company is active			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2073 /-] [Invalid=6124 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
1	Product manufacturing (industry)	298	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
2	Retail and wholesale	198	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
3	Healthcare and social sector	331	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
4	Services such as consulting, auditing, research & developmen	111	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
5	Construction	164	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
6	Teaching and education	274	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
7	Services such as travel agency, security service, employment	75	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
8	Financial services (banks, insurances, etc.)	152	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
9	Hospitality and gastronomy	52	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
10	Transport and shipping services	82	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	159	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
13	Personal services (e.g. hairdresser), member organizations (	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
14	Information and communication	85	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
15	Other	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
Sysmiss		6124	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f28910: Gross monthly household income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7679 /-] [Invalid=518 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 2'000 CHF	354	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
2	2'001-3'000 CHF	334	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
3	3'001-4'000 CHF	475	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
4	4'001-5'000 CHF	643	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
5	5'001-6'000 CHF	770	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
6	6'001-7'000 CHF	688	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
7	7'001-8'000 CHF	715	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
8	8'001-9'000 CHF	608	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
9	9'001-10'000 CHF	560	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
10	10'001-11'000 CHF	450	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
11	11'001-12'000 CHF	346	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
12	12'001-13'000 CHF	332	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
13	13'001-14'000 CHF	228	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%

# W1_f28910: Gross monthly household income				
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
14		14'001-15'000 CHF	257	<div></div> 3.3%
15		15'001-16'000 CHF	180	<div></div> 2.3%
16		16'001-17'000 CHF	121	<div></div> 1.6%
17		17'001-18'000 CHF	97	<div></div> 1.3%
18		18'001-19'000 CHF	64	<div></div> 0.8%
19		19'001-20'000 CHF	108	<div></div> 1.4%
20		More than 20'000 CHF	349	<div></div> 4.5%
-99		No answer	458	
Sysmiss			60	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f29100: Can R manage with this income (after fixed expenses)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8087 /-] [Invalid=110 /-]		
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1		Yes	4825	<div></div> 59.7%
2		More or less	2408	<div></div> 29.8%
3		No	743	<div></div> 9.2%
8		Don't know	111	<div></div> 1.4%
-99		No answer	49	
Sysmiss			61	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f30021: Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7981 /-] [Invalid=216 /-]		
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1		Strongly disagree	190	<div></div> 2.4%
2		Rather disagree	809	<div></div> 10.1%
3		Neither agree nor disagree	1512	<div></div> 18.9%
4		Rather agree	4194	<div></div> 52.5%
5		Strongly agree	1276	<div></div> 16.0%
-99		No answer	88	
Sysmiss			128	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f30022: Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7965 /-] [Invalid=232 /-]		
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1		Strongly disagree	258	<div></div> 3.2%
2		Rather disagree	1390	<div></div> 17.5%
3		Neither agree nor disagree	963	<div></div> 12.1%
4		Rather agree	3869	<div></div> 48.6%
5		Strongly agree	1485	<div></div> 18.6%
-99		No answer	104	

# W1_f30022: Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		128	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f30023: Survey experience: the questions were understandable			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7968 /-] [Invalid=229 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	61	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2	Rather disagree	278	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	436	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
4	Rather agree	3545	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
5	Strongly agree	3648	<div><div></div></div> 45.8%
-99	No answer	101	
Sysmiss		128	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f30024: Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7973 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	79	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	Rather disagree	466	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	530	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
4	Rather agree	3095	<div><div></div></div> 38.8%
5	Strongly agree	3803	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%
-99	No answer	96	
Sysmiss		128	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_hhsize_sample: Household size from FSO sample			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.653 /-] [StdDev=1.281 /-]	
# W1_marital_sample: Marital status from FSO sample			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married, registered partnership	4340	<div><div></div></div> 52.9%
2	Single	2732	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
3	Widowed	250	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
4	Divorced, dissolved partnership	875	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	



# W1_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Zurich	1489	<div></div>	18.2%
2	Bern	1111	<div></div>	13.6%
3	Lucerne	440	<div></div>	5.4%
4	Uri	31	<div></div>	0.4%
5	Schwyz	137	<div></div>	1.7%
6	Obwalden	38	<div></div>	0.5%
7	Nidwalden	53	<div></div>	0.6%
8	Glarus	31	<div></div>	0.4%
9	Zug	124	<div></div>	1.5%
10	Fribourg	299	<div></div>	3.6%
11	Solothurn	268	<div></div>	3.3%
12	Basel-Stadt	152	<div></div>	1.9%
13	Basel-Landschaft	265	<div></div>	3.2%
14	Schaffhausen	74	<div></div>	0.9%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	63	<div></div>	0.8%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	14	<div></div>	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	513	<div></div>	6.3%
18	Graubünden	199	<div></div>	2.4%
19	Aargau	626	<div></div>	7.6%
20	Thurgau	253	<div></div>	3.1%
21	Ticino	363	<div></div>	4.4%
22	Vaud	743	<div></div>	9.1%
23	Valais	331	<div></div>	4.0%
24	Neuchâtel	162	<div></div>	2.0%
25	Geneva	358	<div></div>	4.4%
26	Jura	60	<div></div>	0.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_bigregion_sample: Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Lake Geneva region	1432	<div></div>	17.5%
2	Espace Mittelland	1900	<div></div>	23.2%
3	North-West Switzerland	1043	<div></div>	12.7%
4	Zurich region	1489	<div></div>	18.2%
5	Eastern Switzerland	1147	<div></div>	14.0%
6	Central Switzerland	823	<div></div>	10.0%
7	Ticino	363	<div></div>	4.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_munilang_sample: Municipality language from FSO sample				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# W1_munilang_sample: Municipality language from FSO sample				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	German	5961	<div></div>	72.7%
2	French	1845	<div></div>	22.5%
3	Italian	373	<div></div>	4.6%
4	Romansh	18	<div></div>	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_bornch_sample: Born in Switzerland from FSO sample				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Born abroad	1044	<div></div>	12.7%
1	Born in Switzerland	7153	<div></div>	87.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_smt3: Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Urban	5218	<div></div>	63.7%
2	Intermediate (dense suburbs and rural centres)	1835	<div></div>	22.4%
3	Rural	1144	<div></div>	14.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_smt9: Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-33] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
11	Urban municipality of a large agglomeration	2382	<div></div>	29.1%
12	Urban municipality of a medium-sized agglomeration	1952	<div></div>	23.8%
13	Urban municipality of a small agglomeration or outside	884	<div></div>	10.8%
21	High density periurban municipality	542	<div></div>	6.6%
22	Medium density periurban municipality	966	<div></div>	11.8%
23	Low density periurban municipality	403	<div></div>	4.9%
31	Rural centre municipality	327	<div></div>	4.0%
32	Rural centrally located municipality	549	<div></div>	6.7%
33	Rural peripheral municipality	192	<div></div>	2.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_class16_r: Respondent's Oesch class position - 16 classes				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6786 /-] [Invalid=1411 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Large employers	26	<div></div>	0.4%
2	Self-employed professionals	206	<div></div>	3.0%
3	Small business owners with employees	55	<div></div>	0.8%
4	Small business owners without employees	465	<div></div>	6.9%

# W1_class16_r: Respondent's Oesch class position - 16 classes			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Technical experts	599	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
6	Technicians	457	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
7	Skilled manual	365	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
8	Low-skilled manual	74	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	1400	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	548	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
11	Skilled clerks	673	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
12	Unskilled clerks	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
13	Socio-cultural professionals	563	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	809	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
15	Skilled service	343	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
16	Low-skilled service	180	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
Sysmiss		1411	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_class8_r: Respondent's Oesch class position - 8 classes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6786 /-] [Invalid=1411 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	232	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2	Small business owners	520	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	1056	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
4	Production workers	439	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
5	(Associate) managers	1948	<div><div></div></div> 28.7%
6	Clerks	696	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	1372	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
8	Service workers	523	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
Sysmiss		1411	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_class5_r: Respondent's Oesch class position - 5 classes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6786 /-] [Invalid=1411 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher-grade service class	2794	<div><div></div></div> 41.2%
2	Lower-grade service class	1814	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
3	Small business owners	520	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
4	Skilled workers	1381	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
5	Unskilled workers	277	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
Sysmiss		1411	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_intstart: Start Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6077 /-]		

# W2_intstart: Start Date				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2023-09-05		954	<div></div>	15.7%
2023-09-06		647	<div></div>	10.6%
2023-09-07		395	<div></div>	6.5%
2023-09-08		593	<div></div>	9.8%
2023-09-09		394	<div></div>	6.5%
2023-09-10		328	<div></div>	5.4%
2023-09-11		362	<div></div>	6.0%
2023-09-12		198	<div></div>	3.3%
2023-09-13		203	<div></div>	3.3%
2023-09-14		125	<div></div>	2.1%
2023-09-15		95	<div></div>	1.6%
2023-09-16		83	<div></div>	1.4%
2023-09-17		90	<div></div>	1.5%
2023-09-18		139	<div></div>	2.3%
2023-09-19		68	<div></div>	1.1%
2023-09-20		57	<div></div>	0.9%
2023-09-21		284	<div></div>	4.7%
2023-09-22		185	<div></div>	3.0%
2023-09-23		83	<div></div>	1.4%
2023-09-24		79	<div></div>	1.3%
2023-09-25		80	<div></div>	1.3%
2023-09-26		63	<div></div>	1.0%
2023-09-27		46	<div></div>	0.8%
2023-09-28		45	<div></div>	0.7%
2023-09-29		120	<div></div>	2.0%
2023-09-30		74	<div></div>	1.2%
2023-10-01		96	<div></div>	1.6%
2023-10-02		77	<div></div>	1.3%
2023-10-03		60	<div></div>	1.0%
2023-10-04		39	<div></div>	0.6%
2023-10-05		15	<div></div>	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_intend: End Date				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6077 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2023-09-05		938	<div></div>	15.4%
2023-09-06		651	<div></div>	10.7%
2023-09-07		394	<div></div>	6.5%
2023-09-08		583	<div></div>	9.6%
2023-09-09		396	<div></div>	6.5%
2023-09-10		327	<div></div>	5.4%
2023-09-11		356	<div></div>	5.9%
2023-09-12		201	<div></div>	3.3%

# W2_intend: End Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-09-13		206	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2023-09-14		121	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2023-09-15		97	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2023-09-16		80	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2023-09-17		90	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2023-09-18		139	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
2023-09-19		66	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2023-09-20		56	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2023-09-21		283	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2023-09-22		190	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2023-09-23		84	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2023-09-24		81	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2023-09-25		81	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2023-09-26		61	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2023-09-27		49	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2023-09-28		45	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2023-09-29		127	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2023-09-30		77	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2023-10-01		101	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2023-10-02		77	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2023-10-03		62	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2023-10-04		40	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2023-10-05		18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_totaltime: Total interview length in minutes			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.78333333333333-35861.7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6077 /-] [Invalid=2120 /-] [Mean=157.51 /-] [StdDev=1659.805 /-]		
# W2_finished: Completion of questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6077 /-] [Invalid=2120 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	37	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
1	Complete questionnaire	6040	<div><div></div></div> 99.4%
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_mobile: Mobile versus Desktop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6077 /-] [Invalid=2120 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unknown	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1	Mobile	1726	<div><div></div></div> 28.4%
2	Desktop	4350	<div><div></div></div> 71.6%
Sysmiss		2120	

# W2_mobile: Mobile versus Desktop			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_langint: Language of interview			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6077 /-] [Invalid=2120 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	4420	<div><div></div></div> 72.7%
2	French	1373	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
3	Italian	284	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_birthyear: Year of birth			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1927-2005] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6055 /-] [Invalid=2142 /-] [Mean=1971.267 /-] [StdDev=17.747 /-]	
# W2_age: Age in years			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-96] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6055 /-] [Invalid=2142 /-] [Mean=51.733 /-] [StdDev=17.747 /-]	
# W2_agecat: Age in categories			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6055 /-] [Invalid=2142 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	492	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
2	25-34	792	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
3	35-44	875	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
4	45-54	1001	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
5	55-64	1211	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
6	65-74	1054	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
7	75+	630	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
-99		22	
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_sex: Sex according to official documents			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6071 /-] [Invalid=2126 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	3148	<div><div></div></div> 51.9%
2	Female	2923	<div><div></div></div> 48.1%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400a: Attention to political news on TV			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5962 /-] [Invalid=2235 /-]	

# W2_f13400a: Attention to political news on TV			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	736	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
2	Rather not attentive	1423	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
3	Rather attentive	2098	<div><div></div></div> 35.2%
4	Very attentive	1158	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
5	I don't use this medium at all	547	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
-99	No answer	115	
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400f: Attention to political news in radio			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5888 /-] [Invalid=2309 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	915	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
2	Rather not attentive	1663	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
3	Rather attentive	1892	<div><div></div></div> 32.1%
4	Very attentive	931	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
5	I don't use this medium at all	487	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
-99	No answer	189	
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400b: Attention to political news in newspapers (print or e-paper)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5951 /-] [Invalid=2246 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	751	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
2	Rather not attentive	1306	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
3	Rather attentive	1859	<div><div></div></div> 31.2%
4	Very attentive	1646	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
5	I don't use this medium at all	389	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
-99	No answer	126	
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400c: Attention to political news in free newspapers like 20 Minuten (print or e-paper)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5915 /-] [Invalid=2282 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	1611	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
2	Rather not attentive	1761	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
3	Rather attentive	1125	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
4	Very attentive	419	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
5	I don't use this medium at all	999	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
-99	No answer	162	
Sysmiss		2120	

# W2_f13400c: Attention to political news in free newspapers like 20 Minuten (print or e-paper)			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400e: Attention to political news on online newssites (e.g., watson.ch, srf.ch)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5913 /-] [Invalid=2284 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	1320	22.3%
2	Rather not attentive	1458	24.7%
3	Rather attentive	1461	24.7%
4	Very attentive	834	14.1%
5	I don't use this medium at all	840	14.2%
-99	No answer	164	
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400d: Attention to political news on social media (e.g., Facebook, X)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5876 /-] [Invalid=2321 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	2160	36.8%
2	Rather not attentive	1197	20.4%
3	Rather attentive	714	12.2%
4	Very attentive	302	5.1%
5	I don't use this medium at all	1503	25.6%
-99	No answer	201	
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13409a: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 1st mention			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-95/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5205 /-] [Invalid=2992 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20 Minuten / 20 minutes / 20 Minuti	888	17.1%
2	24 heures	131	2.5%
3	Aargauer Zeitung	106	2.0%
4	ajour	0	
5	Anzeiger von Uster	5	0.1%
6	Appenzeller Zeitung	19	0.4%
7	Appenzeller Volksfreund	2	0.0%
8	ArcInfo	44	0.8%
9	Badener Tagblatt	13	0.2%
10	Basellandschaftliche Zeitung	11	0.2%
11	Basler Zeitung	86	1.7%
12	Berner Oberländer	12	0.2%
13	Berner Zeitung	120	2.3%
14	Bieler Tagblatt	24	0.5%
15	Blick	227	4.4%



## # W2\_f13409a: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 1st mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16	Bluewin	37	0.7%
17	Bote der Urschweiz	22	0.4%
18	BÄ¼ndner Tagblatt	8	0.2%
19	bz Basel	29	0.6%
20	Corriere del Ticino / cdt.ch	67	1.3%
21	Der Bund	134	2.6%
22	Der Landbote	37	0.7%
23	Der Rheintaler	17	0.3%
24	Die Weltwoche	21	0.4%
25	Die Republik	28	0.5%
26	Freiburger Nachrichten	23	0.4%
27	Finanz und Wirtschaft	6	0.1%
28	Grenchner Tagblatt	4	0.1%
29	Heidi.news	2	0.0%
30	HÄ¼fner Volksblatt	2	0.0%
31	Journal21	0	
32	Il CaffÄ¼ della Domenica	0	
33	Il Mattino della Domenica	1	0.0%
34	Infosperber	2	0.0%
35	L'Ajoie	0	
36	L'Agefi	0	
37	La CÄ¼te	5	0.1%
38	La Domenica	1	0.0%
39	La LibertÄ¼	68	1.3%
40	La Quotidiana	3	0.1%
41	Langenthaler Tagblatt	4	0.1%
42	laRegion	40	0.8%
43	Le Courrier	17	0.3%
44	Le Journal du Jura	11	0.2%
45	Le Matin	35	0.7%
46	Le Matin Dimanche	10	0.2%
47	Le Nouvelliste	97	1.9%
48	Le Quotidien jurassien	26	0.5%
49	Le Temps	119	2.3%
50	Limmattaler Zeitung	8	0.2%
51	Linth-Zeitung	10	0.2%
52	Luzerner Zeitung	131	2.5%
53	March-Anzeiger	5	0.1%
54	MSN	5	0.1%
55	Nau	24	0.5%
56	Neue ZÄ¼rcher Zeitung	388	7.5%
57	Nidwaldner Zeitung	13	0.2%
58	NZZ am Sonntag	43	0.8%
59	Obwaldner Zeitung	9	0.2%
60	Oltner Tagblatt	14	0.3%

### # W2\_f13409a: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 1st mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61	Ostschweiz am Sonntag	0	
62	Rheintalische Volkszeitung	3	0.1%
63	RTS	191	3.7%
64	RTR	0	
65	RSI	24	0.5%
66	Schaffhauser Nachrichten	28	0.5%
67	Schweiz am Wochenende	0	
68	Solothurner Zeitung	44	0.8%
69	SonntagsBlick	9	0.2%
70	SonntagsZeitung	36	0.7%
71	SRF	378	7.3%
72	St. Galler Tagblatt	89	1.7%
73	SÄ¼dostschweiz Glarus	10	0.2%
74	SÄ¼dostschweiz GraubÄ¼nden	38	0.7%
75	swissinfo	3	0.1%
76	Tages-Anzeiger	446	8.6%
77	Thuner Tagblatt	27	0.5%
78	Thurgauer Zeitung	37	0.7%
79	Ticinonews	6	0.1%
80	Ticinoonline / tio.ch	60	1.2%
81	Toggenburger Tagblatt	6	0.1%
82	Tribune de GenÄ¼ve	66	1.3%
83	Urner Zeitung	5	0.1%
84	Walliser Bote	18	0.3%
85	Watson	166	3.2%
86	Weltwoche	6	0.1%
87	Werdenberger & Obertoggenburger	6	0.1%
88	Wiler Zeitung	20	0.4%
89	Willisauer Bote	3	0.1%
90	WOZ Die Wochenzeitung	25	0.5%
91	Zentralplus	1	0.0%
92	Zofinger Tagblatt	11	0.2%
93	Zuger Zeitung	22	0.4%
94	ZÄ¼rcher OberlÄ¼nder	25	0.5%
95	ZÄ¼rcher UnterlÄ¼nder	16	0.3%
96	ZÄ¼richsee-Zeitung	32	0.6%
98	Other local/regional/national Swiss media	134	2.6%
-99	No answer	211	
-98	Not applicable/other type of media (social media, TV, radio)	76	
-95	Foreign media	130	
Sysmiss		2575	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f13409b: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 2nd mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-95/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3583 /-] [Invalid=4614 /-]

# **# W2\_f13409b: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 2nd mention**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20 Minuten / 20 minutes / 20 Minuti	610	17.0%
2	24 heures	66	1.8%
3	Aargauer Zeitung	36	1.0%
4	ajour	1	0.0%
5	Anzeiger von Uster	4	0.1%
6	Appenzeller Zeitung	4	0.1%
7	Appenzeller Volksfreund	3	0.1%
8	ArcInfo	18	0.5%
9	Badener Tagblatt	8	0.2%
10	Basellandschaftliche Zeitung	10	0.3%
11	Basler Zeitung	31	0.9%
12	Berner Oberländer	7	0.2%
13	Berner Zeitung	33	0.9%
14	Bieler Tagblatt	9	0.3%
15	Blick	365	10.2%
16	Bluewin	66	1.8%
17	Bote der Urschweiz	8	0.2%
18	Bündner Tagblatt	3	0.1%
19	bz Basel	25	0.7%
20	Corriere del Ticino / cdt.ch	28	0.8%
21	Der Bund	34	0.9%
22	Der Landbote	17	0.5%
23	Der Rheintaler	7	0.2%
24	Die Weltwoche	22	0.6%
25	Die Republik	29	0.8%
26	Freiburger Nachrichten	14	0.4%
27	Finanz und Wirtschaft	10	0.3%
28	Grenchner Tagblatt	2	0.1%
29	Heidi.news	7	0.2%
30	Häfner Volksblatt	4	0.1%
31	Journal21	0	
32	Il Caffè della Domenica	1	0.0%
33	Il Mattino della Domenica	1	0.0%
34	Infosperber	4	0.1%
35	L'Ajoie	2	0.1%
36	L'Agefi	2	0.1%
37	La Côté	5	0.1%
38	La Domenica	1	0.0%
39	La Liberté	30	0.8%
40	La Quotidiana	3	0.1%
41	Langenthaler Tagblatt	0	
42	laRegione	23	0.6%
43	Le Courrier	11	0.3%
44	Le Journal du Jura	3	0.1%
45	Le Matin	80	2.2%

# **# W2\_f13409b: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 2nd mention**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	Le Matin Dimanche	31	0.9%
47	Le Nouvelliste	30	0.8%
48	Le Quotidien jurassien	6	0.2%
49	Le Temps	94	2.6%
50	Limmattaler Zeitung	4	0.1%
51	Linth-Zeitung	5	0.1%
52	Luzerner Zeitung	41	1.1%
53	March-Anzeiger	5	0.1%
54	MSN	9	0.3%
55	Nau	32	0.9%
56	Neue Zürcher Zeitung	192	5.4%
57	Nidwaldner Zeitung	2	0.1%
58	NZZ am Sonntag	100	2.8%
59	Obwaldner Zeitung	3	0.1%
60	Oltner Tagblatt	10	0.3%
61	Ostschweiz am Sonntag	0	
62	Rheintalische Volkszeitung	1	0.0%
63	RTS	131	3.7%
64	RTR	1	0.0%
65	RSI	29	0.8%
66	Schaffhauser Nachrichten	9	0.3%
67	Schweiz am Wochenende	5	0.1%
68	Solothurner Zeitung	15	0.4%
69	SonntagsBlick	16	0.4%
70	SonntagsZeitung	99	2.8%
71	SRF	324	9.0%
72	St. Galler Tagblatt	31	0.9%
73	SÄ½dostschweiz Glarus	5	0.1%
74	SÄ½dostschweiz GraubÄ½nden	17	0.5%
75	swissinfo	5	0.1%
76	Tages-Anzeiger	192	5.4%
77	Thuner Tagblatt	6	0.2%
78	Thurgauer Zeitung	10	0.3%
79	Ticinonews	16	0.4%
80	Ticinoonline / tio.ch	26	0.7%
81	Toggenburger Tagblatt	1	0.0%
82	Tribune de GenÄ½ve	42	1.2%
83	Urner Zeitung	3	0.1%
84	Walliser Bote	4	0.1%
85	Watson	212	5.9%
86	Weltwoche	14	0.4%
87	Werdenberger & Obertoggenburger	8	0.2%
88	Wiler Zeitung	5	0.1%
89	Willisauer Bote	5	0.1%
90	WOZ Die Wochenzeitung	29	0.8%

### # W2\_f13409b: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
91	Zentralplus	3	0.1%
92	Zofinger Tagblatt	6	0.2%
93	Zuger Zeitung	13	0.4%
94	ZÃ¼rcher OberlÃ¤nder	10	0.3%
95	ZÃ¼rcher UnterlÃ¤nder	8	0.2%
96	ZÃ¼richsee-Zeitung	12	0.3%
98	Other local/regional/national Swiss media	59	1.6%
-99	No answer	407	
-98	Not applicable/other type of media (social media, TV, radio)	61	
-95	Foreign media	69	
Sysmiss		4077	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f13409c: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 3rd mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-95/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2044 /-] [Invalid=6153 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20 Minuten / 20 minutes / 20 Minuti	305	14.9%
2	24 heures	32	1.6%
3	Aargauer Zeitung	22	1.1%
4	ajour	0	
5	Anzeiger von Uster	1	0.0%
6	Appenzeller Zeitung	2	0.1%
7	Appenzeller Volksfreund	0	
8	ArcInfo	8	0.4%
9	Badener Tagblatt	0	
10	Basellandschaftliche Zeitung	6	0.3%
11	Basler Zeitung	8	0.4%
12	Berner OberlÃ¤nder	1	0.0%
13	Berner Zeitung	14	0.7%
14	Bieler Tagblatt	5	0.2%
15	Blick	213	10.4%
16	Bluewin	50	2.4%
17	Bote der Urschweiz	3	0.1%
18	BÃ¼ndner Tagblatt	0	
19	bz Basel	6	0.3%
20	Corriere del Ticino / cdt.ch	14	0.7%
21	Der Bund	13	0.6%
22	Der Landbote	14	0.7%
23	Der Rheintaler	5	0.2%
24	Die Weltwoche	15	0.7%
25	Die Republik	30	1.5%
26	Freiburger Nachrichten	8	0.4%
27	Finanz und Wirtschaft	14	0.7%
28	Grenchner Tagblatt	1	0.0%

# **# W2\_f13409c: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 3rd mention**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	Heidi.news	4	0.2%
30	HÄfner Volksblatt	1	0.0%
31	Journal21	2	0.1%
32	Il Caffè della Domenica	1	0.0%
33	Il Mattino della Domenica	4	0.2%
34	Infosperber	9	0.4%
35	L'Ajoie	1	0.0%
36	L'Agefi	1	0.0%
37	La CÃte	2	0.1%
38	La Domenica	1	0.0%
39	La LibertÃ	13	0.6%
40	La Quotidiana	1	0.0%
41	Langenthaler Tagblatt	0	
42	laRegion	11	0.5%
43	Le Courier	6	0.3%
44	Le Journal du Jura	6	0.3%
45	Le Matin	52	2.5%
46	Le Matin Dimanche	24	1.2%
47	Le Nouvelliste	11	0.5%
48	Le Quotidien jurassien	5	0.2%
49	Le Temps	45	2.2%
50	Limmattaler Zeitung	2	0.1%
51	Linth-Zeitung	5	0.2%
52	Luzerner Zeitung	27	1.3%
53	March-Anzeiger	1	0.0%
54	MSN	6	0.3%
55	Nau	26	1.3%
56	Neue ZÃrcher Zeitung	130	6.4%
57	Nidwaldner Zeitung	1	0.0%
58	NZZ am Sonntag	59	2.9%
59	Obwaldner Zeitung	2	0.1%
60	Oltner Tagblatt	5	0.2%
61	Ostschweiz am Sonntag	0	
62	Rheintalische Volkszeitung	2	0.1%
63	RTS	74	3.6%
64	RTR	0	
65	RSI	8	0.4%
66	Schaffhauser Nachrichten	4	0.2%
67	Schweiz am Wochenende	5	0.2%
68	Solothurner Zeitung	5	0.2%
69	SonntagsBlick	11	0.5%
70	SonntagsZeitung	43	2.1%
71	SRF	173	8.5%
72	St. Galler Tagblatt	12	0.6%
73	SÃdostschweiz Glarus	2	0.1%

### # W2\_f13409c: Newspaper (print/online) or newssite that R consulted most often in past days, 3rd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
74	SÃ¼dostschweiz GraubÃ¼nden	6	0.3%
75	swissinfo	12	0.6%
76	Tages-Anzeiger	79	3.9%
77	Thuner Tagblatt	2	0.1%
78	Thurgauer Zeitung	11	0.5%
79	Ticinonews	8	0.4%
80	Ticinoonline / tio.ch	26	1.3%
81	Toggenburger Tagblatt	1	0.0%
82	Tribune de GenÃ¨ve	20	1.0%
83	Urner Zeitung	2	0.1%
84	Walliser Bote	9	0.4%
85	Watson	163	8.0%
86	Weltwoche	7	0.3%
87	Werdenberger & Obertoggenburger	2	0.1%
88	Wiler Zeitung	4	0.2%
89	Willisauer Bote	1	0.0%
90	WOZ Die Wochenzeitung	31	1.5%
91	Zentralplus	7	0.3%
92	Zofinger Tagblatt	3	0.1%
93	Zuger Zeitung	7	0.3%
94	ZÃ¼rcher OberlÃ¤nder	7	0.3%
95	ZÃ¼rcher UnterlÃ¤nder	5	0.2%
96	ZÃ¼richsee-Zeitung	11	0.5%
98	Other local/regional/national Swiss media	27	1.3%
-99	No answer	515	
-98	Not applicable/other type of media (social media, TV, radio)	26	
-95	Foreign media	46	
Sysmiss		5566	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f10100: R's interest in politics

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6069 /-] [Invalid=2128 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	261	4.3%
2	Rather not interested	1539	25.4%
3	Rather interested	2943	48.5%
4	Very interested	1326	21.8%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5809 /-] [Invalid=2388 /-]		

## # W2\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	76	1.3%
2	Other old age provisions	95	1.6%
3	Unemployment	3	0.1%
4	Poverty	32	0.6%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	151	2.6%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	689	11.9%
7	Drugs, addictions	2	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	284	4.9%
9	Extremism	2	0.0%
10	Finances	14	0.2%
11	Refugees	82	1.4%
12	Xenophobia, racism	5	0.1%
13	Health system	304	5.2%
14	Globalisation	4	0.1%
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	12	0.2%
17	Climate	981	16.9%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	333	5.7%
20	Crime	8	0.1%
21	Neutrality	142	2.4%
22	Politicians	54	0.9%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	103	1.8%
25	Direct democracy	4	0.1%
27	Party polarisation	71	1.2%
28	Security	8	0.1%
29	Social security	6	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	45	0.8%
31	Taxes	6	0.1%
32	National fiscal equalisation	3	0.1%
33	Environment	279	4.8%
34	Economic development	7	0.1%
35	Media	14	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	12	0.2%
37	Economic/financial crisis	9	0.2%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	2	0.0%
39	Nuclear energy	1	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	12	0.2%
41	Banks, banking crisis	11	0.2%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	15	0.3%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	1	0.0%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	15	0.3%
45	Economy, economic policy	61	1.1%
46	Education	13	0.2%



## # W2\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47	Social policy, welfare state	72	1.2%
48	Family policy, upbringing	12	0.2%
49	Labour market, workplace security	59	1.0%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	58	1.0%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	0	
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	23	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	0	
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	1	0.0%
58	Future	9	0.2%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	108	1.9%
61	Energy, energy policy	335	5.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	7	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	6	0.1%
64	Equal rights	22	0.4%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	47	0.8%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	5	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	3	0.1%
68	Society in general	15	0.3%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	56	1.0%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	47	0.8%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	6	0.1%
73	Army	9	0.2%
74	Aging population, demographics	20	0.3%
75	Bureaucracy	10	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	9	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	23	0.4%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	2	0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	18	0.3%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	546	9.4%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	0	
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	1	0.0%
92	Low political interest and participation	25	0.4%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	0	
94	Open borders, free movement of people	0	
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	46	0.8%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	5	0.1%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	16	0.3%
102	Capitalism	16	0.3%

## # W2\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	5	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	9	0.2%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	5	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	8	0.1%
108	Data protection	0	
109	Left, socialism	16	0.3%
110	Wars, peace policy	111	1.9%
111	Islam, islamism	1	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	17	0.3%
113	Populism	14	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	1	0.0%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	8	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	3	0.1%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	1	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	212	
98	Don't know	56	
Sysmiss		2120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # W2\_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5809 /-] [Invalid=2388 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	6	<div></div> 0.1%
2	Economy	657	<div></div> 11.3%
3	Education & culture	13	<div></div> 0.2%
4	Environment & energy	1614	<div></div> 27.8%
5	EU, Europe	315	<div></div> 5.4%
6	Finances & taxes	24	<div></div> 0.4%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	33	<div></div> 0.6%
8	Immigration & asylum	947	<div></div> 16.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	345	<div></div> 5.9%
10	Labour market	67	<div></div> 1.2%
11	Law & order	18	<div></div> 0.3%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	357	<div></div> 6.1%
13	Public health	639	<div></div> 11.0%
14	Public services & infrastructure	71	<div></div> 1.2%

# W2_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list				
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
15		Regions & national cohesion	76	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
16		Social security/welfare state	549	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
17		Other problems	69	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
18		No problem	5	0.1%
19		COVID-19 pandemic	4	0.1%
-99		No answer	212	
98		Don't know	56	
Sysmiss			2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5856 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]		
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
10		FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	687	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
21		The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	584	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
30		SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	929	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
40		SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1032	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
50		GPS/PES - Green Party	698	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
60		GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	501	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
80		Lega - Ticino League	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
90		MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
100		CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
110		EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	36	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
120		EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
130		PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
140		AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
201		PP - Pirate Party	1	0.0%
300		Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.0%
310		Right party: other right party not listed above	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
320		Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350		Other party	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
402		No party/ rather persons	87	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
403		Would vote blank	0	
404		Several parties	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
405		All parties together, all	26	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
406		Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407		Right parties (several)	2	0.0%
995		Other answer	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
998		Don't know	1121	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
-99		No answer	21	
Sysmiss			2320	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		

## # W2\_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5763 /-] [Invalid=2434 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	687	11.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	584	10.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	929	16.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1032	17.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	698	12.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	501	8.7%
350	Other party, several/all parties	211	3.7%
998	Don't know	1121	19.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	114	
Sysmiss		2320	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # W2\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-333] [Missing=\*/98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5655 /-] [Invalid=2542 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	104	1.8%
2	Other old age provisions	196	3.5%
3	Unemployment	9	0.2%
4	Poverty	49	0.9%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	102	1.8%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	565	10.0%
7	Drugs, addictions	2	0.0%
8	EU, Europe	185	3.3%
9	Extremism	2	0.0%
10	Finances	52	0.9%
11	Refugees	95	1.7%
12	Xenophobia, racism	5	0.1%
13	Health system	345	6.1%
14	Globalisation	1	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	32	0.6%
17	Climate	697	12.3%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	344	6.1%
20	Crime	11	0.2%
21	Neutrality	85	1.5%
22	Politicians	49	0.9%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	56	1.0%
25	Direct democracy	2	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	18	0.3%
28	Security	20	0.4%
29	Social security	12	0.2%

# **# W2\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	Social inequalities	74	1.3%
31	Taxes	26	0.5%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	331	5.9%
34	Economic development	4	0.1%
35	Media	9	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	18	0.3%
37	Economic/financial crisis	3	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	2	0.0%
39	Nuclear energy	0	
40	Transportation, traffic	40	0.7%
41	Banks, banking crisis	17	0.3%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	24	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	6	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	12	0.2%
45	Economy, economic policy	99	1.8%
46	Education	68	1.2%
47	Social policy, welfare state	109	1.9%
48	Family policy, upbringing	18	0.3%
49	Labour market, workplace security	82	1.5%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	72	1.3%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	2	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	17	0.3%
54	Vandalism, violence	5	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	4	0.1%
59	Work for young people	1	0.0%
60	Overpopulation	71	1.3%
61	Energy, energy policy	362	6.4%
62	Cross-border commuters	7	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	12	0.2%
64	Equal rights	87	1.5%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	38	0.7%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	8	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	7	0.1%
68	Society in general	7	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	38	0.7%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	109	1.9%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	22	0.4%
73	Army	18	0.3%
74	Aging population, demographics	17	0.3%
75	Bureaucracy	13	0.2%

# # W2\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	11	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	22	0.4%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	5	0.1%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	8	0.1%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	398	7.0%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	3	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	11	0.2%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	4	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	3	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	39	0.7%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	9	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	3	0.1%
102	Capitalism	17	0.3%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	3	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	16	0.3%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	8	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	15	0.3%
108	Data protection	2	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	26	0.5%
110	Wars, peace policy	125	2.2%
111	Islam, islamism	0	
112	Corruption, cronyism	4	0.1%
113	Populism	7	0.1%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	4	0.1%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	4	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	5	0.1%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	1	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	1	0.0%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
333		1	0.0%
-99	No answer	159	
98	Don't know	63	
Sysmiss		2320	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-333] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5655 /-] [Invalid=2542 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2	Economy	542	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
3	Education & culture	69	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
4	Environment & energy	1417	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
5	EU, Europe	203	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
6	Finances & taxes	78	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	98	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
8	Immigration & asylum	806	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	290	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
10	Labour market	102	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
11	Law & order	42	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	250	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
13	Public health	691	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
14	Public services & infrastructure	166	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
15	Regions & national cohesion	64	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
16	Social security/welfare state	744	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
17	Other problems	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
18	No problem	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
333		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
-99	No answer	159	
98	Don't know	63	
Sysmiss		2320	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5678 /-] [Invalid=2519 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	660	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	534	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1229	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	823	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	543	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	443	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
98		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	33	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	26	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%







# W2_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	8	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	81	1.4%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	28	0.5%
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%
995	Other answer	9	0.2%
998	Don't know	1163	20.5%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		2497	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5588 /-] [Invalid=2609 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	660	11.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	534	9.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1229	22.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	823	14.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	543	9.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	443	7.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	193	3.5%
998	Don't know	1163	20.8%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	112	
Sysmiss		2497	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			



# W2_f18101a: Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2949 /-] [Invalid=5248 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly disagree	664	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
1		419	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
2		480	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
3		324	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
4		212	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
5		346	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
6		170	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
7		140	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
8		114	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
9		31	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
10	Strongly agree	49	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
-99	No answer	91	
Sysmiss		5157	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f18101b: Poverty attributions: Unlucky			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2954 /-] [Invalid=5243 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly disagree	477	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
1		230	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
2		376	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
3		290	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
4		234	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
5		502	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
6		267	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
7		251	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
8		187	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
9		57	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
10	Strongly agree	83	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
-99	No answer	86	
Sysmiss		5157	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f18101c: Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2985 /-] [Invalid=5212 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly disagree	75	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
1		83	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2		207	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
3		201	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
4		182	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%

# W2_f18101c: Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		355	11.9%
6		293	9.8%
7		400	13.4%
8		485	16.2%
9		233	7.8%
10	Strongly agree	471	15.8%
-99	No answer	55	
Sysmiss		5157	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f18101d: Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2998 /-] [Invalid=5199 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly disagree	300	10.0%
1		159	5.3%
2		284	9.5%
3		271	9.0%
4		188	6.3%
5		417	13.9%
6		298	9.9%
7		350	11.7%
8		380	12.7%
9		149	5.0%
10	Strongly agree	202	6.7%
-99	No answer	42	
Sysmiss		5157	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f18102a: Poverty attributions: Poor people can't handle money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2984 /-] [Invalid=5213 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	628	21.0%
2	Disagree	990	33.2%
3	Rather disagree	778	26.1%
4	Rather agree	463	15.5%
5	Agree	100	3.4%
6	Strongly agree	25	0.8%
-99	No answer	53	
Sysmiss		5160	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f18102b: Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and live on society's back			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2980 /-] [Invalid=5217 /-]		







### # W2\_f18102b: Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and live on society's back

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	865	 29.0%
2	Disagree	964	 32.3%
3	Rather disagree	720	 24.2%
4	Rather agree	323	 10.8%
5	Agree	66	 2.2%
6	Strongly agree	42	 1.4%
-99	No answer	57	
Sysmiss		5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f18102c: Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence







Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2987 /-] [Invalid=5210 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	835	 28.0%
2	Disagree	940	 31.5%
3	Rather disagree	668	 22.4%
4	Rather agree	440	 14.7%
5	Agree	89	 3.0%
6	Strongly agree	15	 0.5%
-99	No answer	50	
Sysmiss		5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f18102d: Poverty attributions: Poor people are victims of misfortunes such as illness and disability




Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3005 /-] [Invalid=5192 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	169	 5.6%
2	Disagree	381	 12.7%
3	Rather disagree	531	 17.7%
4	Rather agree	1263	 42.0%
5	Agree	539	 17.9%
6	Strongly agree	122	 4.1%
-99	No answer	32	
Sysmiss		5160	


Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f18102e: Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2978 /-] [Invalid=5219 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	255	 8.6%
2	Disagree	614	 20.6%
3	Rather disagree	669	 22.5%







### # W2\_f18102e: Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather agree	961	 32.3%
5	Agree	374	 12.6%
6	Strongly agree	105	 3.5%
-99	No answer	59	
Sysmiss		5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f18102f: Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty







Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2978 /-] [Invalid=5219 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	259	 8.7%
2	Disagree	653	 21.9%
3	Rather disagree	817	 27.4%
4	Rather agree	770	 25.9%
5	Agree	321	 10.8%
6	Strongly agree	158	 5.3%
-99	No answer	59	
Sysmiss		5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f18102g: Poverty attributions: Poverty is an inevitable consequence of the evolution of modern society


Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3000 /-] [Invalid=5197 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	347	 11.6%
2	Disagree	555	 18.5%
3	Rather disagree	510	 17.0%
4	Rather agree	946	 31.5%
5	Agree	479	 16.0%
6	Strongly agree	163	 5.4%
-99	No answer	37	
Sysmiss		5160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f10160: Attention to election campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6066 /-] [Invalid=2131 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all attentive	585	 9.6%
2	Rather not attentive	2238	 36.9%
3	Rather attentive	2500	 41.2%
4	Very attentive	743	 12.2%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		2120	

# W2_f10160: Attention to election campaign			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13310: Frequency of political talk with others last week (days per week)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6069 /-] [Invalid=2128 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 days	2187	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
1		1413	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
2		1099	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
3		671	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
4		345	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
5		205	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
6		58	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
7	7 days	91	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10700: Did R already receive voting material?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=714 /-] [Invalid=7483 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	143	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
1	Yes	571	<div><div></div></div> 80.0%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		7481	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10701: Participation in the 2023 election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=571 /-] [Invalid=7626 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
0	No	507	<div><div></div></div> 88.8%
1	Yes	63	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
Sysmiss		7626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10800: Intention to take part in 2023 national election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6004 /-] [Invalid=2193 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly not	184	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2	Rather not	586	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
3	Rather yes	1281	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
4	Certainly yes	3953	<div><div></div></div> 65.8%
-99	No answer	10	

# W2_f10800: Intention to take part in 2023 national election			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2183	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10850rec: Vote intention 2023, likely voters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5820 /-] [Invalid=2377 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	790	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	575	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1126	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	913	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	516	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	571	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	70	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	27	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	51	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
201	PP - Pirate Party	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	<div><div></div></div>
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	<div><div></div></div>
350	Other party	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	34	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
403	Would vote blank	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
404	Several parties	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
405	All parties together, all	0	<div><div></div></div>
406	Left parties (several)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
995	Other answer	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
998	Don't know	1008	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
Sysmiss		2377	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10850main6: Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5769 /-] [Invalid=2428 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	790	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	575	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1126	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	913	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	516	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%

# W2_f10850main6: Vote intention 2023, likely voters, 6 main parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	571	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	270	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
998	Don't know	1008	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	51	
Sysmiss		2377	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10860rec: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1003 /-] [Invalid=7194 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	87	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	125	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	99	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	107	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	58	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	75	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	11	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	10	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E�� G - Ensemble ��	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
402	No party/ rather persons	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
998	Don't know	403	<div><div></div></div> 40.2%
Sysmiss		7194	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10860main6: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=997 /-] [Invalid=7200 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	87	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%

### # W2\_f10860main6: Vote intention 2023, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	125	12.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	99	9.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	107	10.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	58	5.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	75	7.5%
350	Other party, several/all parties	43	4.3%
998	Don't know	403	40.4%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	6	
Sysmiss		7194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f10900rec: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=184 -/] [Invalid=8013 -/]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	0	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	22	12.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	10	5.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	18	9.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	30	16.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	12	6.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	9	4.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	1	0.5%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.5%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	3	1.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	4	2.2%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	0.5%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	11	6.0%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.5%
995	Other answer	2	1.1%
998	Don't know	58	31.5%
Sysmiss		8013	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



# W2_f10900main6: Vote intention 2023, likely non-voters, 6 main parties				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=182 /-] [Invalid=8015 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	22	<div></div>	12.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	10	<div></div>	5.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	18	<div></div>	9.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	30	<div></div>	16.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	12	<div></div>	6.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	9	<div></div>	4.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	23	<div></div>	12.6%
998	Don't know	58	<div></div>	31.9%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	2		
Sysmiss		8013		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f1085_90: Vote intention 2023, combined				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5956 /-] [Invalid=2241 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	899	<div></div>	15.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	710	<div></div>	11.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1243	<div></div>	20.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1050	<div></div>	17.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	586	<div></div>	9.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	655	<div></div>	11.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	16	<div></div>	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	16	<div></div>	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	22	<div></div>	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	84	<div></div>	1.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	32	<div></div>	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	65	<div></div>	1.1%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	2	<div></div>	0.0%
201	PP - Pirate Party	10	<div></div>	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	16	<div></div>	0.3%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0		
350	Other party	4	<div></div>	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	48	<div></div>	0.8%
403	Would vote blank	6	<div></div>	0.1%
404	Several parties	16	<div></div>	0.3%
405	All parties together, all	0		
406	Left parties (several)	3	<div></div>	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	2	<div></div>	0.0%
995	Other answer	10	<div></div>	0.2%
998	Don't know	461	<div></div>	7.7%
-99	No answer	43		

# W2_f1085_90: Vote intention 2023, combined			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2198	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f1085_90main6: Vote intention 2023, combined, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5940 /-] [Invalid=2257 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	899	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	710	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1243	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1050	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	586	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	655	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
350	Other party, several/all parties	336	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
998	Don't know	461	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	59	
Sysmiss		2198	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10950: Certainty to vote in 2023 for mentioned party (likely voters)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4770 /-] [Invalid=3427 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very uncertain	95	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	Rather uncertain	284	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
3	Rather certain	2343	<div><div></div></div> 49.1%
4	Very certain	2048	<div><div></div></div> 42.9%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		3414	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f11800rec: Party R voted in national elections 2023			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=62 /-] [Invalid=8135 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	7	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	11	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	21	<div><div></div></div> 33.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	7	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	

# W2_f11800rec: Party R voted in national elections 2023			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	0	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	1	1.6%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	0	
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	2	3.2%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		8134	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f11800main6: Party R voted in national elections 2023, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-350] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=63 /-] [Invalid=8134 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	1	1.6%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	15.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	7	11.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	11	17.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	21	33.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	7	11.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	3.2%
350	Other	4	6.3%
Sysmiss		8134	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f11811rec: Vote for or against a party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=8138 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rather a decision for this party/candidate	48	81.4%
2	Rather a decision against this party/candidate	11	18.6%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8138	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f11812rec: Party R voted against			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W2_f11812rec: Party R voted against			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=8186 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	0	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	6	<div><div></div></div> 54.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	0	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	0	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	0	
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8186	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f11812main6: Party R voted against, 6 main parties			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=8186 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	0	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	6	<div><div></div></div> 54.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
350	Other party, several/all parties	0	
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	0	
Sysmiss		8186	

# W2_f11812main6: Party R voted against, 6 main parties			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12010: Modification of voting list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=57 /-] [Invalid=8140 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unmodified list	27	47.4%
2	Modified or blank list	30	52.6%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8140	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12020a: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27 /-] [Invalid=8170 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	18	66.7%
2	No	8	29.6%
8	Don't know	1	3.7%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		8167	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12020b: Did R cross out candidates?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26 /-] [Invalid=8171 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	14	53.8%
2	No	12	46.2%
8	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		8167	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12020c: Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=8168 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	22	75.9%
2	No	6	20.7%
8	Don't know	1	3.4%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		8167	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20 /-] [Invalid=8177 /-]		

# W2_f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	14	<div><div></div></div> 70.0%
1	Yes	6	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8177	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030b: Panachage - Centre			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=8176 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	15	<div><div></div></div> 71.4%
1	Yes	6	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8176	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19 /-] [Invalid=8178 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	12	<div><div></div></div> 63.2%
1	Yes	7	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8178	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030d: Panachage - SVP/UDC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17 /-] [Invalid=8180 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	13	<div><div></div></div> 76.5%
1	Yes	4	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8180	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030e: Panachage - GPS/PES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18 /-] [Invalid=8179 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	13	<div><div></div></div> 72.2%
1	Yes	5	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8179	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W2_f12030f: Panachage - GLP/PVL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20 /-] [Invalid=8177 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	17	<div><div></div></div> 85.0%
1	Yes	3	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8177	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030h: Panachage - Lega			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=8196 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	0	
1	Yes	1	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8196	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030i: Panachage - MCG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=8197 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	0	
1	Yes	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8197	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030j: Panachage - CSP/PCS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	21	<div><div></div></div> 95.5%
1	Yes	1	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	19	<div><div></div></div> 86.4%
1	Yes	3	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	

# W2_f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030l: Panachage - EDU/UDF			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	21	<div><div></div></div> 95.5%
1	Yes	1	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PdT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	21	<div><div></div></div> 95.5%
1	Yes	1	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=8176 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	20	<div><div></div></div> 95.2%
1	Yes	1	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8176	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030o: Panachage - Other party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=8175 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	22	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
1	Yes	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8175	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12025: More men or women on the list after modification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=8167 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More men on the list	8	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
2	About as many men as women on the list	11	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%



# W2_f12025: More men or women on the list after modification			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	More women on the list	8	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
8	Don't know	3	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8167	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-997] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=8138 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	0	
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	0	
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	0	
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	0	
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	0	
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	0	
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	0	
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	0	
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	0	
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	0	
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	0	
16	Grossen JÃ¼rg (GLP/PVL)	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	0	
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	3	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	0	
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	0	
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0	
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	0	
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0	
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	0	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	0	
31	GmÃ¼r-SchÃ¶nenberger Andrea (The Center)	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	0	
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	0	
34	MÃ¼ller Damian (FDP/PLR)	0	
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	0	

# **# W2\_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	0	
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	1	<div></div> 1.7%
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	1	<div></div> 1.7%
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	0	
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	0	
41	GÃ¶ssi Petra (FDP/PLR)	0	
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	0	
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	2	<div></div> 3.4%
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	1	<div></div> 1.7%
45	Ettlin Erich (The Center)	0	
46	Lang Urs (Aufrecht)	1	<div></div> 1.7%
47	Wicki Hans (FDP/PLR)	1	<div></div> 1.7%
48	Zwyssig Benedikt (Aufrecht)	0	
49	MÃ¼hleemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	0	
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	0	
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	0	
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0	
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	0	
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	0	
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	0	
56	ThÃ¶ni Stefan (PARAT)	0	
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	0	
58	Weichelt Manuela (Green party)	0	
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	0	
60	Zimmermann RenÃ© (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	0	
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	0	
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	0	
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	0	
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	0	
66	Page Pierre-AndrÃ© (SVP/UDC)	0	
67	Rey AlizÃ©e (SP/PS)	0	
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	1	<div></div> 1.7%
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	1	<div></div> 1.7%
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	0	
71	KÃ¼nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	0	
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	2	<div></div> 3.4%
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	0	
74	Herter Balz (The Center)	0	
75	Herzog Eva (SP/PS)	4	<div></div> 6.8%
76	Messerli Pascal (SVP/UDC)	2	<div></div> 3.4%
77	Weber Eric (VA)	0	
78	Graf Maya (Green party)	0	
79	InÃ©buit Sven (FDP/PLR)	1	<div></div> 1.7%
80	BrÃ¼hlmann Lisa (Young green party)	0	

# **# W2\_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	0	
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	1	1.7%
83	Schäringer Nina (FDP/PLR)	0	
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	0	
85	Caroni Andrea (FDP/PLR)	0	
86	Fischer Manuel (Independent)	0	
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	0	
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	3	5.1%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	1	1.7%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteifrei SG)	1	1.7%
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	0	
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	0	
94	Seeger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	0	
95	Wärth Benedikt (The Center)	0	
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	2	3.4%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	0	
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	0	
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	0	
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	0	
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	0	
103	Kälin Irène (Green party)	0	
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	0	
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	0	
106	Schäni Theres (LOVB)	0	
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	0	
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	0	
110	Hamberli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	0	
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	0	
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	0	
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	0	
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	0	
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	0	
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	0	
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	0	
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	0	
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	2	3.4%
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	0	
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	0	
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	0	
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%

# **# W2\_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
127	Buclin Hadrien (AI/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	0	
128	Buffat MichaÃ«l (SVP/UDC)	0	
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (AI/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	0	
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	1	1.7%
131	GÃ©taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	0	
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	0	
133	Mahaim RaphaÃ«l (Green party)	0	
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	2	3.4%
135	Meylan FranÃ§ois (Les IndÃ©pendants vaudois)	0	
136	Timofte AnaÃ«s (PdA/PdT)	0	
137	Weber CÃ©line (GLP/PVL)	1	1.7%
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	0	
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	0	
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	0	
142	Dessimoz CÃ©line (Green party)	0	
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	0	
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	0	
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	0	
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	0	
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	0	
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	0	
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	0	
151	Cario GrÃ©goire (SVP/UDC)	0	
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	0	
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	0	
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	0	
155	Huguenin-Elie ThÃ©o (SP/PS)	0	
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	0	
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	0	
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	0	
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara CÃ©line (Green party)	0	
161	Amaudruz CÃ©line (SVP/UDC)	0	
162	Cruchon Pablo (EÃ G - LUP)	0	
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	0	
164	MaÃ«tre Vincent (The Center)	0	
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	0	
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	0	
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
168	Parmentier Danielle (EÃ G - LUP)	0	
169	Pini Jessica (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	0	
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	2	3.4%

# **# W2\_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
172	Thion Guillaume (E� G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	0	
175	Crevoisier Crel�r Mathilde (SP/PS)	0	
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	0	
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	0	
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	1	1.7%
179	Monin Fran��ois (The Center)	0	
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	0	
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	0	
182	Sch��rer Alec Andr��� (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	0	
184	Vuillaume Isma��l (GLP/PVL)	0	
350	Other person	0	
997	Nobody	5	8.5%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		8136	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# W2_f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=8138 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	7	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	14	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	3	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
350	Other party	3	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
402	No party/independent candidate	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
993	Voted blank	0	
997	Nobody	5	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		8136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12301pmain6: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=54 /-] [Invalid=8143 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	7	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	14	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	3	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
350	Other party, several/all parties	8	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
-99	No answer, blank, DK, other answer	7	
Sysmiss		8136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-997] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=8153 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	0	

# **# W2\_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	1	2.3%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	0	
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	1	2.3%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	0	
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	0	
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	0	
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	0	
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	0	
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	1	2.3%
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	0	
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	0	
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	0	
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	0	
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	0	
16	Grossen Jürg (GLP/PVL)	1	2.3%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	0	
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	0	
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	0	
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	0	
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	0	
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0	
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	1	2.3%
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0	
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	1	2.3%
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	0	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	0	
31	Gmür-Schönenberger Andrea (The Center)	1	2.3%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	0	
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	0	
34	Müller Damian (FDP/PLR)	1	2.3%
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	0	
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	0	
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	0	
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	0	
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	0	
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	0	
41	Gässli Petra (FDP/PLR)	1	2.3%
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	0	
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	0	
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	0	
49	Mühlemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	0	
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	0	

# **# W2\_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	0	
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0	
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	0	
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	0	
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	0	
56	ThÄ¶ni Stefan (PARAT)	0	
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	0	
58	Weichelt Manuela (Green party)	0	
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	0	
60	Zimmermann RenÄ© (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	0	
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	0	
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	0	
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	0	
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	0	
66	Page Pierre-AndrÄ© (SVP/UDC)	0	
67	Rey AlizÄ©e (SP/PS)	0	
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	0	
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	0	
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
71	KÄ¼nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	0	
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	0	
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	0	
80	BrÄ¼hlmann Lisa (Young green party)	0	
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	0	
83	SchÄ¶rrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	0	
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	0	
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	1	2.3%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	0	
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	0	
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteilfrei SG)	0	
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	0	
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	0	
94	Seeger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	0	
95	WÄ¼rth Benedikt (The Center)	3	6.8%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	1	2.3%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	2	4.5%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	0	
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	0	
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	0	
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	0	
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	0	
103	KÄ¼lin IrÄ¶ne (Green party)	1	2.3%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	0	



# **# W2\_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	0	
106	SchÄ¶ni Theres (LOVB)	0	
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	0	
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	0	
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	0	
110	HÄ¶berli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	0	
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	0	
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	0	
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	0	
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	0	
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	0	
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	0	
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	0	
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	0	
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	0	
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	0	
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	0	
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	0	
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	1	2.3%
127	Buclin Hadrien (AI/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	0	
128	Buffat MichaÄ¶l (SVP/UDC)	1	2.3%
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (AI/Sol/EÄ G/MPS)	0	
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	0	
131	GÄ¶taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	0	
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	0	
133	Mahaim RaphaÄ¶l (Green party)	1	2.3%
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	1	2.3%
135	Meylan FranÄ¶ois (Les IndÄ¶pendants vaudois)	0	
136	Timofte AnaÄ¶s (PdA/PdT)	1	2.3%
137	Weber CÄ¶line (GLP/PVL)	0	
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	0	
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	0	
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	0	
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	0	
142	Dessimoz CÄ¶line (Green party)	0	
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	0	
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	1	2.3%
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	0	
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	0	
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	0	
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	0	
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	0	

# W2_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	0	
151	Cario GrÃ©goire (SVP/UDC)	0	
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	0	
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	0	
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	0	
155	Huguenin-Elie ThÃ©o (SP/PS)	0	
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	0	
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	0	
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	0	
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara CÃ©line (Green party)	0	
161	Amaudruz CÃ©line (SVP/UDC)	0	
162	Cruchon Pablo (EÃ G - LUP)	0	
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	0	
164	MaÃ®tre Vincent (The Center)	0	
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	0	
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	2	4.5%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
168	Parmentier Danielle (EÃ G - LUP)	0	
169	Pini Jessica (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	0	
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	0	
172	Thion Guillaume (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	0	
175	Crevoisier Crelier Mathilde (SP/PS)	0	
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	0	
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	0	
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	0	
179	Monin FranÃ§ois (The Center)	0	
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	0	
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	0	
182	SchÃ¶rer Alec AndrÃ© (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	0	
184	Vuillaume IsmaÃ«l (GLP/PVL)	0	
350	Other person	0	
997	Nobody	15	34.1%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		8152	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=8153 /-]		

# W2_f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	6	<div></div>	13.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	6	<div></div>	13.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4	<div></div>	9.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	5	<div></div>	11.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	6	<div></div>	13.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	<div></div>	2.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0		
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0		
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0		
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	0		
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0		
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	<div></div>	2.3%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	0		
201	PP - Pirate Party	0		
350	Other party	0		
402	No party/independent candidate	0		
993	Voted blank	0		
997	Nobody	15	<div></div>	34.1%
998	Don't know	0		
-99	No answer	1		
Sysmiss		8152		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f12302pmain6: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=8168 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	6	<div></div>	20.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	6	<div></div>	20.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4	<div></div>	13.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	5	<div></div>	17.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	6	<div></div>	20.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	<div></div>	3.4%
350	Other party, several/all parties	1	<div></div>	3.4%
-99	No answer, blank, DK, other answer	16		
Sysmiss		8152		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f14177a: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2935 /-] [Invalid=5262 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Negative and cold feelings	665	<div></div>	22.7%
1		312	<div></div>	10.6%
2		341	<div></div>	11.6%

### # W2\_f14177a: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		226	7.7%
4		176	6.0%
5		325	11.1%
6		165	5.6%
7		193	6.6%
8		206	7.0%
9		138	4.7%
10	Positive and warm feelings	188	6.4%
-99	No answer	82	
Sysmiss		5180	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f14177b: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2929 /-] [Invalid=5268 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	255	8.7%
1		194	6.6%
2		226	7.7%
3		234	8.0%
4		216	7.4%
5		452	15.4%
6		229	7.8%
7		258	8.8%
8		369	12.6%
9		236	8.1%
10	Positive and warm feelings	260	8.9%
-99	No answer	88	
Sysmiss		5180	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f14177c: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2908 /-] [Invalid=5289 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	90	3.1%
1		64	2.2%
2		133	4.6%
3		194	6.7%
4		296	10.2%
5		778	26.8%
6		399	13.7%
7		386	13.3%
8		318	10.9%
9		134	4.6%
10	Positive and warm feelings	116	4.0%

# W2_f14177c: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	109	
Sysmiss		5180	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14177d: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2932 /-] [Invalid=5265 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	168	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
1		115	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2		205	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
3		284	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
4		272	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
5		623	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
6		333	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
7		322	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
8		316	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
9		169	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
10	Positive and warm feelings	125	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
-99	No answer	85	
Sysmiss		5180	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14177e: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=5275 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	338	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
1		210	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
2		247	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
3		217	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
4		216	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
5		454	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
6		233	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
7		279	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
8		317	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
9		195	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
10	Positive and warm feelings	216	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
-99	No answer	95	
Sysmiss		5180	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14177f: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2917 /-] [Invalid=5280 /-]		

### # W2\_f14177f: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	202	6.9%
1		146	5.0%
2		217	7.4%
3		269	9.2%
4		275	9.4%
5		507	17.4%
6		352	12.1%
7		337	11.6%
8		342	11.7%
9		159	5.5%
10	Positive and warm feelings	111	3.8%
-99	No answer	100	
Sysmiss		5180	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f14178a: Feelings towards different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2995 /-] [Invalid=5202 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	956	31.9%
1		275	9.2%
2		261	8.7%
3		203	6.8%
4		147	4.9%
5		287	9.6%
6		164	5.5%
7		198	6.6%
8		214	7.1%
9		135	4.5%
10	Positive and warm feelings	155	5.2%
-99	No answer	60	
Sysmiss		5142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f14178b: Feelings towards different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2984 /-] [Invalid=5213 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	323	10.8%
1		226	7.6%
2		250	8.4%
3		220	7.4%
4		197	6.6%
5		384	12.9%
6		251	8.4%

# W2_f14178b: Feelings towards different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		293	<div></div> 9.8%
8		352	<div></div> 11.8%
9		251	<div></div> 8.4%
10	Positive and warm feelings	237	<div></div> 7.9%
-99	No answer	71	
Sysmiss		5142	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14178c: Feelings towards different parties: The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2984 /-] [Invalid=5213 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	168	<div></div> 5.6%
1		104	<div></div> 3.5%
2		200	<div></div> 6.7%
3		268	<div></div> 9.0%
4		316	<div></div> 10.6%
5		731	<div></div> 24.5%
6		352	<div></div> 11.8%
7		360	<div></div> 12.1%
8		303	<div></div> 10.2%
9		115	<div></div> 3.9%
10	Positive and warm feelings	67	<div></div> 2.2%
-99	No answer	71	
Sysmiss		5142	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14178d: Feelings towards different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2982 /-] [Invalid=5215 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	251	<div></div> 8.4%
1		190	<div></div> 6.4%
2		281	<div></div> 9.4%
3		287	<div></div> 9.6%
4		305	<div></div> 10.2%
5		522	<div></div> 17.5%
6		316	<div></div> 10.6%
7		288	<div></div> 9.7%
8		288	<div></div> 9.7%
9		159	<div></div> 5.3%
10	Positive and warm feelings	95	<div></div> 3.2%
-99	No answer	73	
Sysmiss		5142	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W2_f14178e: Feelings towards different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2982 /-] [Invalid=5215 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	426	<div></div> 14.3%
1		246	<div></div> 8.2%
2		265	<div></div> 8.9%
3		257	<div></div> 8.6%
4		227	<div></div> 7.6%
5		353	<div></div> 11.8%
6		243	<div></div> 8.1%
7		255	<div></div> 8.6%
8		310	<div></div> 10.4%
9		210	<div></div> 7.0%
10	Positive and warm feelings	190	<div></div> 6.4%
-99	No answer	73	
Sysmiss		5142	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14178f: Feelings towards different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2976 /-] [Invalid=5221 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	289	<div></div> 9.7%
1		177	<div></div> 5.9%
2		256	<div></div> 8.6%
3		286	<div></div> 9.6%
4		271	<div></div> 9.1%
5		497	<div></div> 16.7%
6		338	<div></div> 11.4%
7		324	<div></div> 10.9%
8		288	<div></div> 9.7%
9		167	<div></div> 5.6%
10	Positive and warm feelings	83	<div></div> 2.8%
-99	No answer	79	
Sysmiss		5142	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14179a1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2012 /-] [Invalid=6185 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	152	<div></div> 7.6%
2	Rather unhappy	367	<div></div> 18.2%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1100	<div></div> 54.7%
4	Rather happy	134	<div></div> 6.7%
5	Very happy	129	<div></div> 6.4%



# W2_f14179a1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Don't know	130	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		6174	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14179a2: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC and often talks about politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2014 /-] [Invalid=6183 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	186	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
2	Rather unhappy	427	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1025	<div><div></div></div> 50.9%
4	Rather happy	165	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
5	Very happy	102	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
8	Don't know	109	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		6170	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14179a3: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes SVP/UDC but rarely talks about politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2008 /-] [Invalid=6189 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	120	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
2	Rather unhappy	333	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1176	<div><div></div></div> 58.6%
4	Rather happy	128	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
5	Very happy	112	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
8	Don't know	139	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		6176	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14179b1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2010 /-] [Invalid=6187 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	58	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
2	Rather unhappy	121	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1202	<div><div></div></div> 59.8%
4	Rather happy	294	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
5	Very happy	202	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
8	Don't know	133	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
-99	No answer	13	

# W2_f14179b1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		6174	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14179b2: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES and often talks about politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2016 /-] [Invalid=6181 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	50	2.5%
2	Rather unhappy	177	8.8%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1192	59.1%
4	Rather happy	320	15.9%
5	Very happy	173	8.6%
8	Don't know	104	5.2%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		6170	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14179b3: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes GPS/PES but rarely talks about politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2005 /-] [Invalid=6192 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	34	1.7%
2	Rather unhappy	116	5.8%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	1295	64.6%
4	Rather happy	257	12.8%
5	Very happy	150	7.5%
8	Don't know	153	7.6%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		6175	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14179c1: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=7105 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	7	0.6%
2	Rather unhappy	5	0.5%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	557	51.0%
4	Rather happy	269	24.6%
5	Very happy	216	19.8%
8	Don't know	38	3.5%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		7098	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

### # W2\_f14179c2: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies and often talks about politics

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1058 /-] [Invalid=7139 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	6	0.6%
2	Rather unhappy	8	0.8%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	517	48.9%
4	Rather happy	319	30.2%
5	Very happy	169	16.0%
8	Don't know	39	3.7%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f14179c3: R's feeling if a close family member marries someone who votes the party with which R identifies but rarely talks about politics

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1120 /-] [Invalid=7077 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unhappy	5	0.4%
2	Rather unhappy	10	0.9%
3	Neither happy nor unhappy	629	56.2%
4	Rather happy	288	25.7%
5	Very happy	130	11.6%
8	Don't know	58	5.2%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		7070	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f15386a: Feelings towards people who are in favor of easier access for migrants







<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5995 /-] [Invalid=2202 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	699	11.7%
1		389	6.5%
2		667	11.1%
3		600	10.0%
4		426	7.1%
5		1154	19.2%
6		458	7.6%
7		543	9.1%
8		540	9.0%
9		211	3.5%
10	Positive and warm feelings	308	5.1%
-99	No answer	74	
Sysmiss		2128	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15386b: Feelings towards people who are in favor of more restrictive access for migrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5982 /-] [Invalid=2215 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	366	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
1		330	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
2		551	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
3		564	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
4		500	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
5		1109	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
6		509	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
7		526	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
8		655	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
9		307	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
10	Positive and warm feelings	565	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
-99	No answer	87	
Sysmiss		2128	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15387a: Feelings towards people who are in favor of using inclusive language			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5979 /-] [Invalid=2218 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	1421	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
1		443	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
2		544	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3		426	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
4		314	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
5		1100	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
6		306	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
7		317	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
8		421	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
9		246	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
10	Positive and warm feelings	441	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
-99	No answer	89	
Sysmiss		2129	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15387b: Feelings towards people who are against the use of inclusive language			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5948 /-] [Invalid=2249 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	461	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
1		246	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
2		393	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
3		433	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4		376	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%












### # W2\_f15387b: Feelings towards people who are against the use of inclusive language

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		1290	 21.7%
6		380	 6.4%
7		420	 7.1%
8		545	 9.2%
9		334	 5.6%
10	Positive and warm feelings	1070	 18.0%
-99	No answer	120	
Sysmiss		2129	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f15388a: Feelings towards people who are in favor of the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine












Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5971 /-] [Invalid=2226 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	934	 15.6%
1		269	 4.5%
2		379	 6.3%
3		298	 5.0%
4		298	 5.0%
5		1224	 20.5%
6		433	 7.3%
7		508	 8.5%
8		753	 12.6%
9		310	 5.2%
10	Positive and warm feelings	565	 9.5%
-99	No answer	95	
Sysmiss		2131	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W2\_f15388b: Feelings towards people who are against the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5945 /-] [Invalid=2252 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	567	 9.5%
1		344	 5.8%
2		576	 9.7%
3		548	 9.2%
4		535	 9.0%
5		1402	 23.6%
6		331	 5.6%
7		328	 5.5%
8		368	 6.2%
9		233	 3.9%
10	Positive and warm feelings	713	 12.0%
-99	No answer	121	
Sysmiss		2131	

# W2_f15388b: Feelings towards people who are against the re-export of Swiss war material to Ukraine			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15330arec: Party that is most competent with European policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5955 /-] [Invalid=2242 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1274	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	763	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	924	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1016	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	115	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	356	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party	33	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
998	Don't know	1455	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
-99	No answer	108	
Sysmiss		2134	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5960 /-] [Invalid=2237 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	559	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	795	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1412	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1526	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	124	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	230	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
350	Other party	50	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
998	Don't know	1226	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
-99	No answer	101	
Sysmiss		2136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15330crec: Party that is most competent with social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5970 /-] [Invalid=2227 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	415	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	943	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2946	<div><div></div></div> 49.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	385	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	118	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%

# W2_f15330crec: Party that is most competent with social policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	192	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
350	Other party	77	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
998	Don't know	873	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
-99	No answer	91	
Sysmiss		2136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15330drec: Party that is most competent with environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5974 /-] [Invalid=2223 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	333	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	342	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	320	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	367	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	2272	<div><div></div></div> 38.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1447	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party	35	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
998	Don't know	847	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
-99	No answer	88	
Sysmiss		2135	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15330erec: Party that is most competent with economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5966 /-] [Invalid=2231 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	2283	<div><div></div></div> 38.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	572	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	692	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	734	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	104	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	469	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party	40	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
998	Don't know	1056	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
-99	No answer	96	
Sysmiss		2135	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
















# W2_f15330frec: Party that is most competent with health policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5920 /-] [Invalid=2277 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	595	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1257	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1916	<div><div></div></div> 32.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	394	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	116	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	154	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
80	Lega - Ticino League	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
350	Other party	46	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
998	Don't know	1421	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
-99	No answer	141	
Sysmiss		2136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15900: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6040 /-] [Invalid=2157 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	2 parties	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2	3 parties	501	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
3	4 parties	3816	<div><div></div></div> 63.2%
4	5 parties	708	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
5	6 parties	382	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
8	Don't know	618	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
-99	No answer	18	
Sysmiss		2139	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15900rec: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6040 /-] [Invalid=2157 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	2224	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
1	Correct answer	3816	<div><div></div></div> 63.2%
-99	No answer	18	
Sysmiss		2139	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16310: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6014 /-] [Invalid=2183 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	427	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%



# W2_f16310: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	199	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	369	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	4016	<div><div></div></div> 66.8%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8	Don't know	982	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
-99	No answer	44	
Sysmiss		2139	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16310rec: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6014 /-] [Invalid=2183 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1998	<div><div></div></div> 33.2%
1	Correct answer	4016	<div><div></div></div> 66.8%
-99	No answer	44	
Sysmiss		2139	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16312: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6035 /-] [Invalid=2162 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	about 1 out of 10	639	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
2	about 2 out of 10	1013	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
3	about 4 out of 10	1145	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
4	about 5 out of 10	892	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
5	about 7 out of 10	373	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
8	Don't know	1973	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
-99	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		2139	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16312rec: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6035 /-] [Invalid=2162 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	5396	<div><div></div></div> 89.4%
1	Correct answer	639	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
-99	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		2139	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16313: Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6014 /-] [Invalid=2183 /-]		

# W2_f16313: Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council)				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Swiss citizens/the people	227	<div><div></div></div>	3.8%
2	National Council (200 MPs)	694	<div><div></div></div>	11.5%
3	Council of States (46 MPs)	229	<div><div></div></div>	3.8%
4	United Federal Assembly (National Council and Council of Sta	4490	<div><div></div></div>	74.7%
5	Party delegates	45	<div><div></div></div>	0.7%
8	Don't know	329	<div><div></div></div>	5.5%
-99	No answer	42		
Sysmiss		2141		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f16313rec: Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6014 -] [Invalid=2183 -]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1524	<div><div></div></div>	25.3%
1	Correct answer	4490	<div><div></div></div>	74.7%
-99	No answer	42		
Sysmiss		2141		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f16314_AB: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Alain Berset				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=862 -] [Invalid=7335 -]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	31	<div><div></div></div>	3.6%
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	19	<div><div></div></div>	2.2%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	15	<div><div></div></div>	1.7%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	744	<div><div></div></div>	86.3%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	<div><div></div></div>	0.1%
8	Don't know	52	<div><div></div></div>	6.0%
-99	No answer	4		
Sysmiss		7331		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f16314_AR: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Albert Rösti				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=866 -] [Invalid=7331 -]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	17	<div><div></div></div>	2.0%
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	11	<div><div></div></div>	1.3%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	649	<div><div></div></div>	74.9%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	6	<div><div></div></div>	0.7%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	<div><div></div></div>	0.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	2	<div><div></div></div>	0.2%
8	Don't know	179	<div><div></div></div>	20.7%
-99	No answer	3		

# W2_f16314_AR: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Albert Rösti			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		7328	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16314_EBS: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Elisabeth Baume-Schneider			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=863 /-] [Invalid=7334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	21	2.4%
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	46	5.3%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	6	0.7%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	539	62.5%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	6	0.7%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	5	0.6%
8	Don't know	240	27.8%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		7329	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16314_GP: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Guy Parmelin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=858 /-] [Invalid=7339 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	74	8.6%
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	35	4.1%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	562	65.5%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	37	4.3%
8	Don't know	150	17.5%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		7332	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W2_f16314_IC: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Ignazio Cassis			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=855 /-] [Invalid=7342 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	566	 66.2%
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	42	 4.9%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	46	 5.4%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	38	 4.4%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	 0.1%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	3	 0.4%
8	Don't know	159	 18.6%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		7337	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16314_KKS: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Karin Keller-Sutter			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=862 /-] [Invalid=7335 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	602	 69.8%
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	41	 4.8%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	21	 2.4%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	42	 4.9%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	2	 0.2%
8	Don't know	154	 17.9%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7334	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16314_VA: Visual Knowledge: Party affiliation of the Federal Councillor in the photo - Viola Amherd			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=858 /-] [Invalid=7339 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	49	 5.7%
2	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	497	 57.9%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	82	 9.6%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	43	 5.0%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	3	 0.3%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	1	 0.1%
8	Don't know	183	 21.3%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		7334	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f30021: Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6003 /-] [Invalid=2194 /-]		

# W2_f30021: Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	223	<div><div></div></div>	3.7%
2	Rather disagree	622	<div><div></div></div>	10.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1378	<div><div></div></div>	23.0%
4	Rather agree	2905	<div><div></div></div>	48.4%
5	Strongly agree	875	<div><div></div></div>	14.6%
-99	No answer	46		
Sysmiss		2148		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f30022: Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5976 /-] [Invalid=2221 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	65	<div><div></div></div>	1.1%
2	Rather disagree	279	<div><div></div></div>	4.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	515	<div><div></div></div>	8.6%
4	Rather agree	3003	<div><div></div></div>	50.3%
5	Strongly agree	2114	<div><div></div></div>	35.4%
-99	No answer	73		
Sysmiss		2148		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f30023: Survey experience: the questions were understandable				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5990 /-] [Invalid=2207 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	40	<div><div></div></div>	0.7%
2	Rather disagree	150	<div><div></div></div>	2.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	256	<div><div></div></div>	4.3%
4	Rather agree	2536	<div><div></div></div>	42.3%
5	Strongly agree	3008	<div><div></div></div>	50.2%
-99	No answer	59		
Sysmiss		2148		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f30024: Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5989 /-] [Invalid=2208 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	67	<div><div></div></div>	1.1%
2	Rather disagree	315	<div><div></div></div>	5.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	471	<div><div></div></div>	7.9%
4	Rather agree	2261	<div><div></div></div>	37.8%
5	Strongly agree	2875	<div><div></div></div>	48.0%
-99	No answer	60		
Sysmiss		2148		

# W2_f30024: Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_longweight: Longitudinal weight, W2			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3.54500938643095] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.629 /-]		
# W3_intstart: Start Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5579 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-10-22		1	0.0%
2023-10-23		1038	<div></div> 18.6%
2023-10-24		772	<div></div> 13.8%
2023-10-25		864	<div></div> 15.5%
2023-10-26		525	<div></div> 9.4%
2023-10-27		265	<div></div> 4.7%
2023-10-28		178	<div></div> 3.2%
2023-10-29		254	<div></div> 4.6%
2023-10-30		209	<div></div> 3.7%
2023-10-31		163	<div></div> 2.9%
2023-11-01		127	<div></div> 2.3%
2023-11-02		94	<div></div> 1.7%
2023-11-03		177	<div></div> 3.2%
2023-11-04		135	<div></div> 2.4%
2023-11-05		127	<div></div> 2.3%
2023-11-06		110	<div></div> 2.0%
2023-11-07		57	<div></div> 1.0%
2023-11-08		45	<div></div> 0.8%
2023-11-09		46	<div></div> 0.8%
2023-11-10		40	<div></div> 0.7%
2023-11-11		27	<div></div> 0.5%
2023-11-12		28	<div></div> 0.5%
2023-11-13		23	<div></div> 0.4%
2023-11-14		14	<div></div> 0.3%
2023-11-15		18	<div></div> 0.3%
2023-11-16		61	<div></div> 1.1%
2023-11-17		31	<div></div> 0.6%
2023-11-18		22	<div></div> 0.4%
2023-11-19		29	<div></div> 0.5%
2023-11-20		20	<div></div> 0.4%
2023-11-21		23	<div></div> 0.4%
2023-11-22		9	<div></div> 0.2%
2023-11-23		7	<div></div> 0.1%
2023-11-24		6	<div></div> 0.1%
2023-11-25		10	<div></div> 0.2%
2023-11-26		9	<div></div> 0.2%
2023-11-27		12	<div></div> 0.2%

# W3_intstart: Start Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-11-28		3	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_intend: End Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5579 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-10-22		1	0.0%
2023-10-23		1023	18.3%
2023-10-24		774	13.9%
2023-10-25		855	15.3%
2023-10-26		523	9.4%
2023-10-27		261	4.7%
2023-10-28		180	3.2%
2023-10-29		254	4.6%
2023-10-30		210	3.8%
2023-10-31		164	2.9%
2023-11-01		126	2.3%
2023-11-02		95	1.7%
2023-11-03		169	3.0%
2023-11-04		137	2.5%
2023-11-05		129	2.3%
2023-11-06		107	1.9%
2023-11-07		61	1.1%
2023-11-08		44	0.8%
2023-11-09		47	0.8%
2023-11-10		41	0.7%
2023-11-11		28	0.5%
2023-11-12		29	0.5%
2023-11-13		26	0.5%
2023-11-14		13	0.2%
2023-11-15		19	0.3%
2023-11-16		61	1.1%
2023-11-17		34	0.6%
2023-11-18		24	0.4%
2023-11-19		33	0.6%
2023-11-20		22	0.4%
2023-11-21		24	0.4%
2023-11-22		10	0.2%
2023-11-23		9	0.2%
2023-11-24		7	0.1%
2023-11-25		10	0.2%
2023-11-26		9	0.2%
2023-11-27		15	0.3%
2023-11-28		5	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_totalltime: Total interview length in minutes			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.93333333333333-41760.2833333333] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5579 /-] [Invalid=2618 /-] [Mean=198.689 /-] [StdDev=2065.417 /-]		
# W3_finished: Completion of questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5579 /-] [Invalid=2618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	15	0.3%
1	Complete questionnaire	5564	99.7%
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5579 /-] [Invalid=2618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unknown	1	0.0%
1	Mobile	1488	26.7%
2	Desktop	4090	73.3%
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_langint: Language of interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5579 /-] [Invalid=2618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	4087	73.3%
2	French	1236	22.2%
3	Italian	256	4.6%
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_birthyear: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1927-2005] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5557 /-] [Invalid=2640 /-] [Mean=1970.541 /-] [StdDev=17.649 /-]		
# W3_age: Age in years			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5557 /-] [Invalid=2640 /-] [Mean=52.459 /-] [StdDev=17.649 /-]		
# W3_agecat: Age in categories			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5557 /-] [Invalid=2640 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	416	7.5%
2	25-34	691	12.4%
3	35-44	793	14.3%
4	45-54	905	16.3%



# W3_agecat: Age in categories			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	55-64	1131	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
6	65-74	1014	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
7	75+	607	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
-99		22	
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_sex: Sex according to official documents			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5562 /-] [Invalid=2635 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2907	<div><div></div></div> 52.3%
2	Female	2655	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5543 /-] [Invalid=2654 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	51	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
10	Full trust	264	<div><div></div></div> 83.8%
-99	No answer	36	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5551 /-] [Invalid=2646 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	47	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
10	Full trust	135	<div><div></div></div> 74.2%
-99	No answer	28	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5550 /-] [Invalid=2647 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	70	<div><div></div></div> 57.9%
10	Full trust	51	<div><div></div></div> 42.1%
-99	No answer	29	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800f: Trust: Swiss National Bank			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5546 /-] [Invalid=2651 /-]		

# W3_f12800f: Trust: Swiss National Bank			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	73	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
10	Full trust	352	<div><div></div></div> 82.8%
-99	No answer	33	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800g: Trust: Justice/ Courts			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5554 /-] [Invalid=2643 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	48	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
10	Full trust	392	<div><div></div></div> 89.1%
-99	No answer	25	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800h: Trust: Police			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5555 /-] [Invalid=2642 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	32	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
10	Full trust	402	<div><div></div></div> 92.6%
-99	No answer	24	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800j: Trust: Scientists			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5538 /-] [Invalid=2659 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	33	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
10	Full trust	540	<div><div></div></div> 94.2%
-99	No answer	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800k: Trust: Media			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5551 /-] [Invalid=2646 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	265	<div><div></div></div> 96.0%
10	Full trust	11	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
-99	No answer	28	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f10100: R's interests in politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5570 /-] [Invalid=2627 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	223	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%

# W3_f10100: R's interests in politics			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rather not interested	1265	22.7%
3	Rather interested	2893	51.9%
4	Very interested	1189	21.3%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5420 /-] [Invalid=2777 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	42	0.8%
2	Other old age provisions	85	1.6%
3	Unemployment	2	0.0%
4	Poverty	43	0.8%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	174	3.2%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	1025	18.9%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	233	4.3%
9	Extremism	4	0.1%
10	Finances	10	0.2%
11	Refugees	95	1.8%
12	Xenophobia, racism	11	0.2%
13	Health system	334	6.2%
14	Globalisation	2	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	1	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	8	0.1%
17	Climate	792	14.6%
18	Consociationalism	1	0.0%
19	Health insurance	306	5.6%
20	Crime	6	0.1%
21	Neutrality	126	2.3%
22	Politicians	40	0.7%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	51	0.9%
25	Direct democracy	0	
27	Party polarisation	27	0.5%
28	Security	26	0.5%
29	Social security	4	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	35	0.6%
31	Taxes	4	0.1%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	212	3.9%
34	Economic development	4	0.1%
35	Media	7	0.1%

### # W3\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36	Renewable energies	4	0.1%
37	Economic/financial crisis	10	0.2%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	3	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	0	
40	Transportation, traffic	3	0.1%
41	Banks, banking crisis	2	0.0%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	33	0.6%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	3	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	18	0.3%
45	Economy, economic policy	76	1.4%
46	Education	10	0.2%
47	Social policy, welfare state	69	1.3%
48	Family policy, upbringing	5	0.1%
49	Labour market, workplace security	49	0.9%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	46	0.8%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	28	0.5%
54	Vandalism, violence	0	
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	3	0.1%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	5	0.1%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	111	2.0%
61	Energy, energy policy	159	2.9%
62	Cross-border commuters	2	0.0%
63	Swiss People's Party	18	0.3%
64	Equal rights	19	0.4%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	37	0.7%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	2	0.0%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	6	0.1%
68	Society in general	12	0.2%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	64	1.2%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	29	0.5%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	3	0.1%
73	Army	3	0.1%
74	Aging population, demographics	20	0.4%
75	Bureaucracy	6	0.1%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	14	0.3%
80	Shift to the right	62	1.1%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	0	
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	16	0.3%

# W3_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	404	7.5%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	2	0.0%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	18	0.3%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	4	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	3	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	23	0.4%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	2	0.0%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	14	0.3%
102	Capitalism	12	0.2%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	1	0.0%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	24	0.4%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	4	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	9	0.2%
108	Data protection	0	
109	Left, socialism	8	0.1%
110	Wars, peace policy	184	3.4%
111	Islam, islamism	3	0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	13	0.2%
113	Populism	20	0.4%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	0	
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	5	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	1	0.0%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	2	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	132	
98	Don't know	24	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5420 /-] [Invalid=2777 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	3	0.1%
2	Economy	513	9.5%
3	Education & culture	11	0.2%

### # W3\_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Environment & energy	1171	21.6%
5	EU, Europe	268	4.9%
6	Finances & taxes	15	0.3%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	30	0.6%
8	Immigration & asylum	1318	24.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	417	7.7%
10	Labour market	57	1.1%
11	Law & order	43	0.8%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	303	5.6%
13	Public health	640	11.8%
14	Public services & infrastructure	38	0.7%
15	Regions & national cohesion	65	1.2%
16	Social security/welfare state	488	9.0%
17	Other problems	35	0.6%
18	No problem	2	0.0%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	3	0.1%
-99	No answer	132	
98	Don't know	24	
Sysmiss		2621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f12720rec: Party most comptent to solve most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5439 /-] [Invalid=2758 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	503	<div></div> 9.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	693	<div></div> 12.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1060	<div></div> 19.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1285	<div></div> 23.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	592	<div></div> 10.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	379	<div></div> 7.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	<div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	<div></div> 0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	8	<div></div> 0.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	31	<div></div> 0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	31	<div></div> 0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	21	<div></div> 0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	14	<div></div> 0.3%
201	PP - Pirate Party	5	<div></div> 0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	12	<div></div> 0.2%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	63	<div></div> 1.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	

# W3_f12720rec: Party most comptent to solve most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
404	Several parties	4	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	18	0.3%
406	Left parties (several)	3	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	4	0.1%
995	Other answer	8	0.1%
998	Don't know	690	12.7%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		2745	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5368 /-] [Invalid=2829 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	503	9.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	693	12.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1060	19.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1285	23.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	592	11.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	379	7.1%
350	Other party, several/all parties	166	3.1%
998	Don't know	690	12.9%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	84	
Sysmiss		2745	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5324 /-] [Invalid=2873 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	115	2.2%
2	Other old age provisions	164	3.1%
3	Unemployment	10	0.2%
4	Poverty	54	1.0%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	96	1.8%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	671	12.6%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	160	3.0%
9	Extremism	4	0.1%
10	Finances	26	0.5%
11	Refugees	56	1.1%
12	Xenophobia, racism	12	0.2%
13	Health system	414	7.8%
14	Globalisation	1	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	2	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	21	0.4%

### # W3\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Climate	625	11.7%
18	Consociationalism	8	0.2%
19	Health insurance	396	7.4%
20	Crime	9	0.2%
21	Neutrality	83	1.6%
22	Politicians	40	0.8%
23	Political style	1	0.0%
24	Political system	41	0.8%
25	Direct democracy	1	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	16	0.3%
28	Security	35	0.7%
29	Social security	10	0.2%
30	Social inequalities	72	1.4%
31	Taxes	17	0.3%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	335	6.3%
34	Economic development	6	0.1%
35	Media	8	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	8	0.2%
37	Economic/financial crisis	6	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	6	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	0	
40	Transportation, traffic	29	0.5%
41	Banks, banking crisis	9	0.2%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	12	0.2%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	5	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	7	0.1%
45	Economy, economic policy	99	1.9%
46	Education	44	0.8%
47	Social policy, welfare state	94	1.8%
48	Family policy, upbringing	16	0.3%
49	Labour market, workplace security	62	1.2%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	80	1.5%
51	Fear, politics of fear	4	0.1%
52	Labour market for 50+	2	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	17	0.3%
54	Vandalism, violence	2	0.0%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	1	0.0%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	4	0.1%
59	Work for young people	1	0.0%
60	Overpopulation	60	1.1%
61	Energy, energy policy	257	4.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	4	0.1%



### # W3\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63	Swiss People's Party	11	0.2%
64	Equal rights	39	0.7%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	26	0.5%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	1	0.0%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	4	0.1%
68	Society in general	6	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	53	1.0%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	81	1.5%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	9	0.2%
73	Army	5	0.1%
74	Aging population, demographics	25	0.5%
75	Bureaucracy	12	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	6	0.1%
80	Shift to the right	24	0.5%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	8	0.2%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	10	0.2%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	368	6.9%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	2	0.0%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	8	0.2%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	7	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	0	
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	42	0.8%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	5	0.1%
102	Capitalism	12	0.2%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	6	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	20	0.4%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	8	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	20	0.4%
108	Data protection	3	0.1%
109	Left, socialism	15	0.3%
110	Wars, peace policy	109	2.0%
111	Islam, islamism	2	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	11	0.2%
113	Populism	11	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	3	0.1%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	4	0.1%

### # W3\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	4	0.1%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	1	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	1	0.0%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	212	
98	Don't know	41	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5324 /-] [Invalid=2873 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	9	0.2%
2	Economy	508	9.5%
3	Education & culture	45	0.8%
4	Environment & energy	1236	23.2%
5	EU, Europe	172	3.2%
6	Finances & taxes	43	0.8%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	47	0.9%
8	Immigration & asylum	862	16.2%
9	Foreign policy & defense	280	5.3%
10	Labour market	85	1.6%
11	Law & order	58	1.1%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	225	4.2%
13	Public health	810	15.2%
14	Public services & infrastructure	125	2.3%
15	Regions & national cohesion	39	0.7%
16	Social security/welfare state	715	13.4%
17	Other problems	57	1.1%
18	No problem	1	0.0%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	6	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
-99	No answer	212	
98	Don't know	41	
Sysmiss		2620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f12740rec: Party most comptent to solve second most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5350 /-] [Invalid=2847 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	584	10.9%

### # W3\_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	755	14.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1248	23.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	916	17.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	484	9.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	383	7.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	11	0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	32	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	18	0.3%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	18	0.3%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	16	0.3%
201	PP - Pirate Party	4	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	6	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	3	0.1%
402	No party/ rather persons	63	1.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	19	0.4%
406	Left parties (several)	2	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	4	0.1%
998	Don't know	764	14.3%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		2836	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5283 /-] [Invalid=2914 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	584	11.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	755	14.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1248	23.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	916	17.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	484	9.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	383	7.2%
350	Other party, several/all parties	149	2.8%
998	Don't know	764	14.5%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	78	
Sysmiss		2836	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f11100: Participation in the 2023 election				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5496 /-] [Invalid=2701 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Did not vote	458	<div></div>	8.3%
2	Tought about it but did not vote	319	<div></div>	5.8%
3	Usually votes but not this time	339	<div></div>	6.2%
4	Voted	4380	<div></div>	79.7%
-99	No answer	26		
Sysmiss		2675		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_3_voted: Participation in the 2023 election, W2&3 combined				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5643 /-] [Invalid=2554 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Didn't vote	1200	<div></div>	21.3%
1	Voted	4443	<div></div>	78.7%
-99	No answer	26		
Sysmiss		2528		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f11301: Reason nonvoting: Could not decide				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=957 /-] [Invalid=7240 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	422	<div></div>	44.1%
2	Does not apply	535	<div></div>	55.9%
99	No answer	0		
-99		159		
Sysmiss		7081		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f11302: Reason nonvoting: Not interested in politics				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=971 /-] [Invalid=7226 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Applies	474	<div></div>	48.8%
2	Does not apply	497	<div></div>	51.2%
99	No answer	0		
-99		145		
Sysmiss		7081		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f11303: Reason nonvoting: Elections too complicated				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=969 /-] [Invalid=7228 /-]		

# W3_f11303: Reason nonvoting: Elections too complicated			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Applies	466	<div></div> 48.1%
2	Does not apply	503	<div></div> 51.9%
99	No answer	0	
-99		147	
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11304: Reason non voting: Not convinced by any party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=968 /-] [Invalid=7229 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Applies	519	<div></div> 53.6%
2	Does not apply	449	<div></div> 46.4%
99	No answer	0	
-99		148	
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11305: Reason non voting: Preferred candidate had no chance of being elected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=931 /-] [Invalid=7266 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Applies	103	<div></div> 11.1%
2	Does not apply	828	<div></div> 88.9%
99	No answer	0	
-99		185	
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11306: Reason non voting: Did not know candidates well enough			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=993 /-] [Invalid=7204 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Applies	706	<div></div> 71.1%
2	Does not apply	287	<div></div> 28.9%
99	No answer	0	
-99		123	
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11307: Reason non voting: Was prevented (e.g., illness, accident, absence)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=969 /-] [Invalid=7228 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Applies	278	<div></div> 28.7%
2	Does not apply	691	<div></div> 71.3%

# W3_f11307: Reason non voting: Was prevented (e.g., illness, accident, absence)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No answer	0	
-99		147	
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11308: Reason non voting: Elections have no effect on composition of government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=928 /-] [Invalid=7269 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Applies	215	<div></div> 23.2%
2	Does not apply	713	<div></div> 76.8%
99	No answer	0	
-99		188	
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11309: Reason non voting: Elections do not change anything			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=962 /-] [Invalid=7235 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Applies	306	<div></div> 31.8%
2	Does not apply	656	<div></div> 68.2%
99	No answer	0	
-99		154	
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11310: Reason non voting: Can exert greater influence on politics with referendums			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=939 /-] [Invalid=7258 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Applies	482	<div></div> 51.3%
2	Does not apply	457	<div></div> 48.7%
99	No answer	0	
-99		177	
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11312: Reason non voting: Other reason			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=7776 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Applies	147	<div></div> 34.9%
2	Does not apply	274	<div></div> 65.1%
99	No answer	0	
-99		695	

# W3_f11312: Reason non voting: Other reason			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11400rec: Party R would have voted for, all non voters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1103 /-] [Invalid=7094 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	135	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	155	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	150	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	253	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	52	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	61	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
403	Would vote blank	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
404	Several parties	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
998	Don't know	233	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		7081	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11400main6: Party R would have voted for, all non voters, main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=865 /-] [Invalid=7332 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	135	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	155	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	150	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	253	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	52	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%

**# W3\_f11400main6: Party R would have voted for, all non voters, main parties**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	61	<div></div> 7.1%
350	Other	59	<div></div> 6.8%
-99	No answer	251	
Sysmiss		7081	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*



# W3_f11600: How R votes				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4378 /-] [Invalid=3819 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	At the ballot box in the polling station	187	<div><div></div></div>	4.3%
2	In the municipal letterbox	2313	<div><div></div></div>	52.8%
3	Voted by letter (postal voting)	1878	<div><div></div></div>	42.9%
-99	No answer	2		
Sysmiss		3817		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f11605: Moment when R sent his ballot away				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4376 /-] [Invalid=3821 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Immediately after receiving voting material	566	<div><div></div></div>	12.9%
2	A few weeks before the election	1376	<div><div></div></div>	31.4%
3	A few days before the election	2115	<div><div></div></div>	48.3%
4	On election day	292	<div><div></div></div>	6.7%
5	Can't remember	27	<div><div></div></div>	0.6%
-99	No answer	2		
Sysmiss		3819		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f11800rec: Party R voted in national elections 2023				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4357 /-] [Invalid=3840 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	574	<div><div></div></div>	13.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	660	<div><div></div></div>	15.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1027	<div><div></div></div>	23.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	896	<div><div></div></div>	20.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	452	<div><div></div></div>	10.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	441	<div><div></div></div>	10.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	14	<div><div></div></div>	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	17	<div><div></div></div>	0.4%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	10	<div><div></div></div>	0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	80	<div><div></div></div>	1.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	32	<div><div></div></div>	0.7%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	15	<div><div></div></div>	0.3%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	13	<div><div></div></div>	0.3%
201	PP - Pirate Party	11	<div><div></div></div>	0.3%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	1	<div><div></div></div>	0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	24	<div><div></div></div>	0.6%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	3	<div><div></div></div>	0.1%
350	Other party	8	<div><div></div></div>	0.2%
402	No party/ rather persons	36	<div><div></div></div>	0.8%

# W3_f11800rec: Party R voted in national elections 2023			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
403	Would vote blank	6	0.1%
404	Several parties	22	0.5%
405	All parties together, all	2	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	4	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%
995	Other answer	7	0.2%
998	Don't know	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		3817	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11800main6: Party R voted in national elections 2023, main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-350] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4380 /-] [Invalid=3817 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	37	0.8%
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	574	13.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	660	15.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1027	23.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	896	20.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	452	10.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	441	10.1%
350	Other	293	6.7%
Sysmiss		3817	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_3_f11800main6: Party R voted in national elections 2023, main parties, W2&3 combined			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4405 /-] [Invalid=3792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	584	13.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	667	15.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1038	23.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	917	20.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	459	10.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	443	10.1%
350	Other party, several/all parties	297	6.7%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		3754	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11811rec: Vote for or against a party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4284 /-] [Invalid=3913 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rather a decision for this party/candidate	3574	83.4%

# W3_f11811rec: Vote for or against a party/candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rather a decision against this party/candidate	710	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
-99	No answer	25	
Sysmiss		3888	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11812rec: Party R voted against			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=699 /-] [Invalid=7498 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	42	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	16	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	134	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	359	<div><div></div></div> 51.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	110	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	0	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	0	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
405	All parties together, all	16	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		7487	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11812main6: Party R voted against, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=699 /-] [Invalid=7498 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	42	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	16	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	134	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%

# W3_f11812main6: Party R voted against, 6 main parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	359	<div><div></div></div> 51.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	110	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
350	Other party, several/all parties	26	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	11	
Sysmiss		7487	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11900: Moment when R decided whom to vote for in National council elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4357 /-] [Invalid=3840 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Knew it all the time	1681	<div><div></div></div> 38.6%
2	A few weeks before the election	1557	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
3	A few days before the election	857	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
4	In the last moment	181	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
5	Can't remember	81	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		3836	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12010: Modification of voting list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4255 /-] [Invalid=3942 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unmodified list	1842	<div><div></div></div> 43.3%
2	Modified or blank list	2413	<div><div></div></div> 56.7%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		3940	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12020a: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2130 /-] [Invalid=6067 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1551	<div><div></div></div> 72.8%
2	No	566	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
8	Don't know	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	283	
Sysmiss		5784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12020b: Did R cross out candidates?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1933 /-] [Invalid=6264 /-]		

# W3_f12020b: Did R cross out candidates?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1211	<div><div></div></div> 62.6%
2	No	696	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
8	Don't know	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	480	
Sysmiss		5784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12020c: Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2212 /-] [Invalid=5985 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1793	<div><div></div></div> 81.1%
2	No	404	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
8	Don't know	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	201	
Sysmiss		5784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1569 /-] [Invalid=6628 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1029	<div><div></div></div> 65.6%
1	Yes	540	<div><div></div></div> 34.4%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		6626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030b: Panachage - CVP/PDC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1501 /-] [Invalid=6696 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	920	<div><div></div></div> 61.3%
1	Yes	581	<div><div></div></div> 38.7%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		6694	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1388 /-] [Invalid=6809 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	779	<div><div></div></div> 56.1%
1	Yes	609	<div><div></div></div> 43.9%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6806	

# W3_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030d: Panachage - SVP/UDC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1500 /-] [Invalid=6697 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1226	<div><div></div></div> 81.7%
1	Yes	274	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6694	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030e: Panachage - GPS/PES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1604 /-] [Invalid=6593 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1080	<div><div></div></div> 67.3%
1	Yes	524	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6590	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030f: Panachage - GLP/PVL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1599 /-] [Invalid=6598 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1195	<div><div></div></div> 74.7%
1	Yes	404	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6595	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030h: Panachage - Lega			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61 /-] [Invalid=8136 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	52	<div><div></div></div> 85.2%
1	Yes	9	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030i: Panachage - MCG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=56 /-] [Invalid=8141 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	39	<div><div></div></div> 69.6%
1	Yes	17	<div><div></div></div> 30.4%

# W3_f12030i: Panachage - MCG			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		8141	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030j: Panachage - CSP/PCS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1786 /-] [Invalid=6411 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1768	<div><div></div></div> 99.0%
1	Yes	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6408	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1765 /-] [Invalid=6432 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1628	<div><div></div></div> 92.2%
1	Yes	137	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6429	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030l: Panachage - EDU/UDF			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1779 /-] [Invalid=6418 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1751	<div><div></div></div> 98.4%
1	Yes	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6415	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PdT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1784 /-] [Invalid=6413 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1748	<div><div></div></div> 98.0%
1	Yes	36	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6410	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1784 /-] [Invalid=6413 /-]		

# W3_f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1740	<div><div></div></div> 97.5%
1	Yes	44	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6410	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030o: Panachage - Other party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1789 /-] [Invalid=6408 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1717	<div><div></div></div> 96.0%
1	Yes	72	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		6404	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12025: Did R vote more female/male candidates than original list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2403 /-] [Invalid=5794 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More men on the list	658	<div><div></div></div> 27.4%
2	About as many men as woment on the list	842	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
3	More women on the list	491	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
8	Don't know	412	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340a: Did R think about voting for FDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2962 /-] [Invalid=5235 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2063	<div><div></div></div> 69.6%
1	Yes	899	<div><div></div></div> 30.4%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5235	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340b: Did R think about voting for CVP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2872 /-] [Invalid=5325 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1813	<div><div></div></div> 63.1%
1	Yes	1059	<div><div></div></div> 36.9%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5325	



### # W3\_f12340b: Did R think about voting for CVP

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f12340c: Did R think about voting for SP

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2464 /-] [Invalid=5733 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1666	<div></div> 67.6%
1	Yes	798	<div></div> 32.4%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5733	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f12340d: Did R think about voting for SVP

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2903 /-] [Invalid=5294 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2528	<div></div> 87.1%
1	Yes	375	<div></div> 12.9%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5294	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f12340e: Did R think about voting for GPS

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3040 /-] [Invalid=5157 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2200	<div></div> 72.4%
1	Yes	840	<div></div> 27.6%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5157	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f12340f: Did R think about voting for GLP

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3144 /-] [Invalid=5053 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2229	<div></div> 70.9%
1	Yes	915	<div></div> 29.1%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		5053	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f12340h: Did R think about voting for Lega

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=150 /-] [Invalid=8047 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	122	<div></div> 81.3%
1	Yes	28	<div></div> 18.7%

# W3_f12340h: Did R think about voting for Lega			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		8047	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340i: Did R think about voting for MCG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=124 -/] [Invalid=8073 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	90	<div><div></div></div> 72.6%
1	Yes	34	<div><div></div></div> 27.4%
-99	No Answer	0	
Sysmiss		8073	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4313 -/] [Invalid=3884 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	26	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	397	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	50	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	84	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	117	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	66	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	0	
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
16	Grossen JÃ¼rg (GLP/PVL)	53	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0	
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	46	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	127	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0	
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	269	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%

# **# W3\_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	1	0.0%
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	16	0.4%
31	GmÄ¼r-SchÄ¶nenberger Andrea (The Center)	63	1.5%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	3	0.1%
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	26	0.6%
34	MÄ¼ller Damian (FDP/PLR)	71	1.6%
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	3	0.1%
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	37	0.9%
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	23	0.5%
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	6	0.1%
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	4	0.1%
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	14	0.3%
41	GÄ¶ssi Petra (FDP/PLR)	25	0.6%
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	2	0.0%
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	15	0.3%
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	22	0.5%
45	Ettlin Erich (The Center)	0	
46	Lang Urs (Aufrecht)	1	0.0%
47	Wicki Hans (FDP/PLR)	17	0.4%
48	Zwyssig Benedikt (Aufrecht)	1	0.0%
49	MÄ¼hleemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	5	0.1%
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	4	0.1%
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0	
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	27	0.6%
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	11	0.3%
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	2	0.0%
56	ThÄ¶ni Stefan (PARAT)	0	
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	2	0.0%
58	Weichelt Manuela (Green party)	14	0.3%
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	6	0.1%
60	Zimmermann RenÄ© (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	26	0.6%
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	46	1.1%
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	21	0.5%
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	1	0.0%
66	Page Pierre-AndrÄ© (SVP/UDC)	30	0.7%
67	Rey AlizÄ©e (SP/PS)	34	0.8%
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	17	0.4%
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	57	1.3%
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	21	0.5%
71	KÄ¼nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	8	0.2%
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	46	1.1%
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	8	0.2%

### # W3\_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
74	Herter Balz (The Center)	8	0.2%
75	Herzog Eva (SP/PS)	72	1.7%
76	Messerli Pascal (SVP/UDC)	2	0.0%
77	Weber Eric (VA)	1	0.0%
78	Graf Maya (Green party)	80	1.9%
79	Inäbnit Sven (FDP/PLR)	58	1.3%
80	BrÄhlmann Lisa (Young green party)	0	
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	18	0.4%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	5	0.1%
83	SchÄrrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	2	0.0%
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	20	0.5%
85	Caroni Andrea (FDP/PLR)	28	0.6%
86	Fischer Manuel (Independent)	0	
87		1	0.0%
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	44	1.0%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	87	2.0%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	18	0.4%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteilfrei SG)	2	0.0%
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	1	0.0%
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	16	0.4%
94	Segger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	10	0.2%
95	WÄrth Benedikt (The Center)	101	2.3%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	61	1.4%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	20	0.5%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	8	0.2%
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	37	0.9%
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	122	2.8%
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	41	1.0%
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	5	0.1%
103	KÄlin IrÄne (Green party)	29	0.7%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	0	
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	18	0.4%
106	SchÄini Theres (LOVB)	1	0.0%
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	13	0.3%
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	60	1.4%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	1	0.0%
110	HÄberli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	49	1.1%
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	13	0.3%
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	3	0.1%
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	40	0.9%
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	11	0.3%
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	3	0.1%
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelynne (GLP/PVL)	2	0.0%
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	41	1.0%
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	1	0.0%

### # W3\_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	1	0.0%
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	36	0.8%
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	26	0.6%
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	9	0.2%
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	1	0.0%
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	26	0.6%
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	19	0.4%
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	84	1.9%
127	Buclin Hadrien (AI/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	1	0.0%
128	Buffat MichaÃ«l (SVP/UDC)	20	0.5%
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (AI/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	2	0.0%
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	0	
131	GÃ©taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	4	0.1%
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	2	0.0%
133	Mahaim RaphaÃ«l (Green party)	30	0.7%
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	153	3.5%
135	Meylan FranÃ§ois (Les IndÃ©pendants vaudois)	2	0.0%
136	Timofte AnaÃ«s (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
137	Weber CÃ©line (GLP/PVL)	18	0.4%
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	5	0.1%
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	17	0.4%
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	9	0.2%
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	15	0.3%
142	Dessimoz CÃ©line (Green party)	20	0.5%
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	2	0.0%
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	39	0.9%
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	19	0.4%
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	30	0.7%
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	2	0.0%
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	16	0.4%
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	7	0.2%
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
151	Cario GrÃ©goire (SVP/UDC)	2	0.0%
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	7	0.2%
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
155	Huguenin-Elie ThÃ©o (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	17	0.4%
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	2	0.0%
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara CÃ©line (Green party)	12	0.3%
161	Amaudruz CÃ©line (SVP/UDC)	22	0.5%
162	Cruchon Pablo (EÃ G - LUP)	1	0.0%
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	8	0.2%

# W3_f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
164	Maître Vincent (The Center)	10	0.2%
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	7	0.2%
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	40	0.9%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	1	0.0%
168	Parmentier Danielle (E� G - LUP)	0	
169	Pini Jessica (E� G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	51	1.2%
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	23	0.5%
172	Thion Guillaume (E� G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	5	0.1%
175	Crevoisier Crel�r Mathilde (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	1	0.0%
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	1	0.0%
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	8	0.2%
179	Monin Fran�ois (The Center)	0	
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	0	
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	0	
182	Sch�rer Alec Andr� (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	2	0.0%
184	Vuillaume Isma�l (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
350	Other person	29	0.7%
997	Nobody	142	3.3%
998	Don't know	7	0.2%
-99	No answer	34	
Sysmiss		3850	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4313 /-] [Invalid=3884 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	681	15.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	649	15.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1242	28.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	649	15.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	441	10.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	249	5.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	51	1.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	67	1.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	11	0.3%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	4	0.1%

# W3_f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0		
350	Other party	79	<div></div>	1.8%
402	No party/independent candidate	41	<div></div>	1.0%
993	Voted blank	0		
997	Nobody	142	<div></div>	3.3%
998	Don't know	7	<div></div>	0.2%
-99	No answer	34		
Sysmiss		3850		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f12301pmain6: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4164 /-] [Invalid=4033 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	681	<div></div>	16.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	649	<div></div>	15.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1242	<div></div>	29.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	649	<div></div>	15.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	441	<div></div>	10.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	249	<div></div>	6.0%
350	Other party, several/all parties	253	<div></div>	6.1%
-99	No answer, blank, DK, other answer	183		
Sysmiss		3850		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3789 /-] [Invalid=4408 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	29	<div></div>	0.8%
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	90	<div></div>	2.4%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	63	<div></div>	1.7%
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	153	<div></div>	4.0%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	12	<div></div>	0.3%
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	166	<div></div>	4.4%
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	0		
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	63	<div></div>	1.7%
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	10	<div></div>	0.3%
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	106	<div></div>	2.8%
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	1	<div></div>	0.0%
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	6	<div></div>	0.2%
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	7	<div></div>	0.2%
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	7	<div></div>	0.2%
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	12	<div></div>	0.3%
16	Grossen JÃ¼rg (GLP/PVL)	76	<div></div>	2.0%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	40	<div></div>	1.1%

# **# W3\_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	84	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0	
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	168	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	75	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	0	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
31	GmÄ¼r-SchÄ¶nenberger Andrea (The Center)	60	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
34	MÄ¼ller Damian (FDP/PLR)	56	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	26	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
41	GÄ¶ssi Petra (FDP/PLR)	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
49	MÄ¼hleemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	0	
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	0	
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	0	
56	ThÄ¶ni Stefan (PARAT)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
58	Weichelt Manuela (Green party)	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
60	Zimmermann RenÄ© (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
66	Page Pierre-AndrÄ© (SVP/UDC)	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%



# **# W3\_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
67	Rey Aliz��e (SP/PS)	14	0.4%
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	26	0.7%
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	21	0.6%
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	8	0.2%
71	K��nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	11	0.3%
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	33	0.9%
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	29	0.8%
80	Br��hlmann Lisa (Young green party)	9	0.2%
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	7	0.2%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	14	0.4%
83	Sch��rrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	7	0.2%
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	21	0.6%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	27	0.7%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	34	0.9%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteifrei SG)	3	0.1%
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	6	0.2%
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	21	0.6%
94	Seger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	26	0.7%
95	W��rth Benedikt (The Center)	69	1.8%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	15	0.4%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	47	1.2%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	8	0.2%
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	60	1.6%
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	39	1.0%
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	56	1.5%
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	1	0.0%
103	K��lin Ir��ne (Green party)	41	1.1%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	1	0.0%
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	22	0.6%
106	Sch��ni Theres (LOVB)	2	0.1%
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	16	0.4%
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	44	1.2%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	0	
110	H��berli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	35	0.9%
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	15	0.4%
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	1	0.0%
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	23	0.6%
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	19	0.5%
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	2	0.1%
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	13	0.3%
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	1	0.0%
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	13	0.3%

### # W3\_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	19	0.5%
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	12	0.3%
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	3	0.1%
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	14	0.4%
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	20	0.5%
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	50	1.3%
127	Buclin Hadrien (AI/Sol/E� G/MPS)	2	0.1%
128	Buffat Micha�l (SVP/UDC)	36	1.0%
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (AI/Sol/E� G/MPS)	4	0.1%
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	0	
131	G�taz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	3	0.1%
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	3	0.1%
133	Mahaim Rapha�l (Green party)	61	1.6%
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	61	1.6%
135	Meylan Fran�ois (Les Ind�pendants vaudois)	5	0.1%
136	Timofte Ana�s (PdA/PdT)	3	0.1%
137	Weber C�line (GLP/PVL)	13	0.3%
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	12	0.3%
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	5	0.1%
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	15	0.4%
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	14	0.4%
142	Dessimoz C�line (Green party)	11	0.3%
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	5	0.1%
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	31	0.8%
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	12	0.3%
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	33	0.9%
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	7	0.2%
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	3	0.1%
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	4	0.1%
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%
151	Cario Gr�goire (SVP/UDC)	6	0.2%
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	4	0.1%
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	10	0.3%
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%
155	Huguenin-Elie Th�o (SP/PS)	4	0.1%
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	5	0.1%
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	6	0.2%
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara C�line (Green party)	12	0.3%
161	Amaudruz C�line (SVP/UDC)	17	0.4%
162	Cruchon Pablo (E� G - LUP)	0	
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	9	0.2%
164	Ma�tre Vincent (The Center)	14	0.4%
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	8	0.2%

# W3_f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
168	Parmentier Danielle (EÃ G - LUP)	1	0.0%
169	Pini Jessica (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	0	
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	34	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
172	Thion Guillaume (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	1	0.0%
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	3	0.1%
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	1	0.0%
175	Crevoisier Crelier Mathilde (SP/PS)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	2	0.1%
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	1	0.0%
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	0	
179	Monin FranÃ§ois (The Center)	3	0.1%
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	0	
182	SchÃ¶rer Alec AndrÃ© (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%
184	Vuillaume IsmaÃ«l (GLP/PVL)	0	
350	Other person	33	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
997	Nobody	602	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
998	Don't know	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
-99	No answer	118	
Sysmiss		4290	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3789 /-] [Invalid=4408 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	576	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	517	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	471	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	328	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	655	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	377	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
350	Other party	102	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%

# W3_f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
402	No party/independent candidate	45	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
993	Voted blank	0	
997	Nobody	602	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
998	Don't know	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
-99	No answer	118	
Sysmiss		4290	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12302pmain6: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3182 /-] [Invalid=5015 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	576	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	517	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	471	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	328	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	655	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	377	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
350	Other party, several/all parties	258	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
-99	No answer, blank, DK, other answer	725	
Sysmiss		4290	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660a: Sources of information: ads/letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3985 /-] [Invalid=4212 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2611	<div><div></div></div> 65.5%
1	Yes	1374	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
-99	No answer	452	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660i: Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4193 /-] [Invalid=4004 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1765	<div><div></div></div> 42.1%
1	Yes	2428	<div><div></div></div> 57.9%
-99	No answer	244	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660n: Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4057 /-] [Invalid=4140 /-]		

# W3_f13660n: Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1904	<div><div></div></div> 46.9%
1	Yes	2153	<div><div></div></div> 53.1%
-99	No answer	380	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660d: Sources of information: party stands in the streets			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3793 /-] [Invalid=4404 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3595	<div><div></div></div> 94.8%
1	Yes	198	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
-99	No answer	644	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660j: Sources of information: discussions at work or school			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3815 /-] [Invalid=4382 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2757	<div><div></div></div> 72.3%
1	Yes	1058	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
-99	No answer	622	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660e: Sources of information: political events of parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3794 /-] [Invalid=4403 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3612	<div><div></div></div> 95.2%
1	Yes	182	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
-99	No answer	643	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660g: Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3855 /-] [Invalid=4342 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2631	<div><div></div></div> 68.2%
1	Yes	1224	<div><div></div></div> 31.8%
-99	No answer	582	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_f13660k: Sources of information: discussions with family and friends				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4129 /-] [Invalid=4068 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	883	<div></div>	21.4%
1	Yes	3246	<div></div>	78.6%
-99	No answer	308		
Sysmiss		3760		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# W3_f13660f: Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3837 /-] [Invalid=4360 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2976	<div><div></div></div> 77.6%
1	Yes	861	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
-99	No answer	600	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660c: Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3835 /-] [Invalid=4362 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2890	<div><div></div></div> 75.4%
1	Yes	945	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
-99	No answer	602	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660l: Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3828 /-] [Invalid=4369 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2932	<div><div></div></div> 76.6%
1	Yes	896	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
-99	No answer	609	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660b: Sources of information: posters in the street			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3817 /-] [Invalid=4380 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3021	<div><div></div></div> 79.1%
1	Yes	796	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
-99	No answer	620	
Sysmiss		3760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660m: Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3780 /-] [Invalid=4417 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3733	<div><div></div></div> 98.8%
1	Yes	47	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	657	
Sysmiss		3760	

# W3_f13660m: Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610a: Signed a petition, popular initiative or a referendum (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5535 /-] [Invalid=2662 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	1797	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
2	Yes, only online	742	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
3	Yes, only offline	2119	<div><div></div></div> 38.3%
4	Yes, both online and offline	716	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
8	Don't know	161	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
-99	No answer	44	
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610b: Contacted a politician or civil servant to express your views (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5373 /-] [Invalid=2824 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4672	<div><div></div></div> 87.0%
2	Yes, only online	217	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
3	Yes, only offline	363	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
4	Yes, both online and offline	48	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
8	Don't know	73	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
-99	No answer	206	
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610d: Contacted or appeared in the media to express my views (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5355 /-] [Invalid=2842 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4830	<div><div></div></div> 90.2%
2	Yes, only online	323	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
3	Yes, only offline	89	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
4	Yes, both online and offline	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
8	Don't know	75	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
-99	No answer	224	
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610g: Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5396 /-] [Invalid=2801 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	2617	<div><div></div></div> 48.5%
2	Yes, only online	217	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%



# W3_f12610g: Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Yes, only offline	1780	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
4	Yes, both online and offline	509	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
8	Don't know	273	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
-99	No answer	183	
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610i: Took part in a demonstration (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5239 /-] [Invalid=2958 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4414	<div><div></div></div> 84.3%
2	Yes, only online	55	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
3	Yes, only offline	678	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
4	Yes, both online and offline	31	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	Don't know	61	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	340	
Sysmiss		2618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030a1: Union or employee organization: Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5405 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4739	<div><div></div></div> 87.7%
1	Yes	666	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
-99	No answer	172	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030a2: Union or employee association: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5405 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5267	<div><div></div></div> 97.4%
1	Yes	138	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
-99	No answer	172	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030a3: Union or employee association: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5405 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5243	<div><div></div></div> 97.0%
1	Yes	162	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%

# W3_n13_030a3: Union or employee association: donated money			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	172	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030a4: Union or employee association: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5405 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5339	<div><div></div></div> 98.8%
1	Yes	66	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	172	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030b1: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5083	<div><div></div></div> 95.8%
1	Yes	221	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030b2: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5173	<div><div></div></div> 97.5%
1	Yes	131	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030b3: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5234	<div><div></div></div> 98.7%
1	Yes	70	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030b4: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]		

# W3_n13_030b4: Economic, employers' or farmers' association: honorary position			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5261	<div><div></div></div> 99.2%
1	Yes	43	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030c1: Consumer organization: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5275 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4997	<div><div></div></div> 94.7%
1	Yes	278	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
-99	No answer	302	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030c2: Consumer organization: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5275 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5241	<div><div></div></div> 99.4%
1	Yes	34	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	302	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030c3: Consumer organization: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5275 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5051	<div><div></div></div> 95.8%
1	Yes	224	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
-99	No answer	302	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030c4: Consumer organization: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5275 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5257	<div><div></div></div> 99.7%
1	Yes	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
-99	No answer	302	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_n13_030d1: Human rights or peace organization: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5366 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5049	<div><div></div></div> 94.1%
1	Yes	317	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
-99	No answer	211	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030d2: Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5366 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5201	<div><div></div></div> 96.9%
1	Yes	165	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
-99	No answer	211	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030d3: Human rights or peace organization: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5366 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3326	<div><div></div></div> 62.0%
1	Yes	2040	<div><div></div></div> 38.0%
-99	No answer	211	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030d4: Human rights or peace organization: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5366 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5241	<div><div></div></div> 97.7%
1	Yes	125	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
-99	No answer	211	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030e1: Environmental organization: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5322 /-] [Invalid=2875 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4836	<div><div></div></div> 90.9%
1	Yes	486	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
-99	No answer	255	
Sysmiss		2620	

# W3_n13_030e1: Environmental organization: member			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030e2: Environmental organization: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5322 /-] [Invalid=2875 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5120	96.2%
1	Yes	202	3.8%
-99	No answer	255	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030e3: Environmental organization: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5322 /-] [Invalid=2875 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3856	72.5%
1	Yes	1466	27.5%
-99	No answer	255	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030e4: Environmental organization: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5322 /-] [Invalid=2875 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5234	98.3%
1	Yes	88	1.7%
-99	No answer	255	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030f1: Religious or church association: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4670	88.0%
1	Yes	634	12.0%
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030f2: Religious or church association: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4999	94.2%
1	Yes	305	5.8%

# W3_n13_030f2: Religious or church association: participated in activities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030f3: Religious or church association: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4772	<div><div></div></div> 90.0%
1	Yes	532	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030f4: Religious or church association: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5304 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5112	<div><div></div></div> 96.4%
1	Yes	192	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
-99	No answer	273	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030g1: Political party: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5285 /-] [Invalid=2912 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4920	<div><div></div></div> 93.1%
1	Yes	365	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
-99	No answer	292	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030g2: Political party: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5285 /-] [Invalid=2912 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5095	<div><div></div></div> 96.4%
1	Yes	190	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
-99	No answer	292	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030g3: Political party: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5285 /-] [Invalid=2912 /-]		

# W3_n13_030g3: Political party: donated money			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4905	<div><div></div></div> 92.8%
1	Yes	380	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
-99	No answer	292	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030g4: Political party: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5285 /-] [Invalid=2912 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5225	<div><div></div></div> 98.9%
1	Yes	60	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	292	
Sysmiss		2620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f14081rec: Member of a political party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=353 /-] [Invalid=7844 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	74	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	51	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	109	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	39	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	13	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	0	
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
350	Other party	7	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	0	
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	

# W3_f14081rec: Member of a political party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		7832	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f14081main6: Member of a political party, main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=353 /-] [Invalid=7844 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	74	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	51	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	109	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	39	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	13	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
350	Other	29	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		7832	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759a: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: defense and security policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5534 /-] [Invalid=2663 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	481	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
2		719	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
3		978	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
4	Equally male and female	3200	<div><div></div></div> 57.8%
5		87	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
6		35	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
7	Female	34	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	42	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759b: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5541 /-] [Invalid=2656 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	219	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2		390	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
3		811	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
4	Equally male and female	3971	<div><div></div></div> 71.7%
5		83	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
6		35	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
7	Female	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%



# W3_f13759b: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: economic policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	35	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759c: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5538 /-] [Invalid=2659 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	40	0.7%
2		24	0.4%
3		54	1.0%
4	Equally male and female	3654	66.0%
5		1064	19.2%
6		460	8.3%
7	Female	242	4.4%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759d: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: migration and asylum policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5543 /-] [Invalid=2654 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	239	4.3%
2		220	4.0%
3		342	6.2%
4	Equally male and female	4114	74.2%
5		396	7.1%
6		147	2.7%
7	Female	85	1.5%
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759e: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: gender equality policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5535 /-] [Invalid=2662 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	31	0.6%
2		21	0.4%
3		50	0.9%
4	Equally male and female	3326	60.1%
5		814	14.7%
6		748	13.5%
7	Female	545	9.8%
-99	No answer	41	

# W3_f13759e: Policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: gender equality policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13760a: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: ambitious			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5507 /-] [Invalid=2690 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	211	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2		427	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
3		717	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
4	Equally male and female	3635	<div><div></div></div> 66.0%
5		266	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
6		154	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
7	Female	97	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
-99	No answer	69	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13760b: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: consensus-building			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5499 /-] [Invalid=2698 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	65	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2		105	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
3		222	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
4	Equally male and female	3250	<div><div></div></div> 59.1%
5		1158	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
6		503	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
7	Female	196	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
-99	No answer	77	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13760c: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: assertive			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5510 /-] [Invalid=2687 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	245	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2		566	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
3		1126	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4	Equally male and female	3196	<div><div></div></div> 58.0%
5		200	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
6		99	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
7	Female	78	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
-99	No answer	66	
Sysmiss		2621	

**# W3\_f13760c: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: assertive**

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# W3\_f13760d: Characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: compassionate**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=5506 /-] [Invalid=2691 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	13	0.2%
2		18	0.3%
3		36	0.7%
4	Equally male and female	2173	39.5%
5		1802	32.7%
6		1021	18.5%
7	Female	443	8.0%
-99	No answer	70	
Sysmiss		2621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# W3\_f19101a: Perception of families**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=\*/-99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=5525 /-] [Invalid=2672 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	23	0.4%
1		11	0.2%
2		17	0.3%
3		53	1.0%
4		80	1.4%
5		480	8.7%
6		269	4.9%
7		548	9.9%
8		1166	21.1%
9		850	15.4%
10	Very likeable	2028	36.7%
-99	No answer	51	
Sysmiss		2621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f19101b: Perception of workers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5508 /-] [Invalid=2689 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2		18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
3		42	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4		73	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
5		570	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
6		377	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
7		775	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
8		1404	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
9		840	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
10	Very likeable	1392	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
-99	No answer	68	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19101c: Perception of rich people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5507 /-] [Invalid=2690 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	236	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
1		280	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2		560	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
3		595	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
4		623	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
5		1295	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
6		556	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
7		546	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
8		489	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
9		163	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
10	Very likeable	164	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
-99	No answer	69	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19101d: Perception of feminists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5513 /-] [Invalid=2684 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	858	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
1		474	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
2		629	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
3		603	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
4		485	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%

# W3_f19101d: Perception of feminists			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		842	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
6		415	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
7		404	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
8		365	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
9		195	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
10	Very likeable	243	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
-99	No answer	63	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19101e: Perception of migrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5507 /-] [Invalid=2690 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	336	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
1		208	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2		372	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
3		430	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
4		460	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
5		1277	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
6		594	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
7		701	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
8		638	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
9		268	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
10	Very likeable	223	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
-99	No answer	69	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19101f: Perception of climate activists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5522 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	1233	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
1		494	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
2		562	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
3		485	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
4		374	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
5		684	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
6		401	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
7		406	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
8		395	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
9		249	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
10	Very likeable	239	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
-99	No answer	54	
Sysmiss		2621	

# W3_f19101f: Perception of climate activists			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19101g: Perception of entrepreneurs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5519 /-] [Invalid=2678 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all likeable	66	<div><div></div>1.2%</div>
1		66	<div><div></div>1.2%</div>
2		164	<div><div></div>3.0%</div>
3		283	<div><div></div>5.1%</div>
4		389	<div><div></div>7.0%</div>
5		1155	<div><div></div>20.9%</div>
6		661	<div><div></div>12.0%</div>
7		844	<div><div></div>15.3%</div>
8		973	<div><div></div>17.6%</div>
9		474	<div><div></div>8.6%</div>
10	Very likeable	444	<div><div></div>8.0%</div>
-99	No answer	57	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19102arec: Party best representing families			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5544 /-] [Invalid=2653 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	244	<div><div></div>4.4%</div>
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	2129	<div><div></div>38.4%</div>
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1924	<div><div></div>34.7%</div>
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	432	<div><div></div>7.8%</div>
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	58	<div><div></div>1.0%</div>
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	69	<div><div></div>1.2%</div>
80	Lega - Ticino League	12	<div><div></div>0.2%</div>
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	<div><div></div>0.2%</div>
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	10	<div><div></div>0.2%</div>
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	27	<div><div></div>0.5%</div>
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	46	<div><div></div>0.8%</div>
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	19	<div><div></div>0.3%</div>
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	7	<div><div></div>0.1%</div>
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	2	<div><div></div>0.0%</div>
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	554	<div><div></div>10.0%</div>
-99	No answer	31	
Sysmiss		2622	

# W3_f19102arec: Party best representing families			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19102brec: Party best representing workers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5542 /-] [Invalid=2655 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	340	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	556	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	3134	<div><div></div></div> 56.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	659	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	35	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	79	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	75	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
998	Don't know	593	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2622	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19102crec: Party best representing rich people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5527 /-] [Invalid=2670 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	2787	<div><div></div></div> 50.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	143	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	115	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1671	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

# W3_f19102crec: Party best representing rich people			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	4	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	5	0.1%
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	9	0.2%
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	738	13.4%
-99	No answer	48	
Sysmiss		2622	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19102drec: Party best representing feminists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5527 /-] [Invalid=2670 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	119	2.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	135	2.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	3090	55.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	64	1.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	658	11.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	210	3.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	3	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	20	0.4%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	13	0.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	4	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	7	0.1%
998	Don't know	1195	21.6%
-99	No answer	48	
Sysmiss		2622	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19102erec: Party best representing migrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5544 /-] [Invalid=2653 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	143	2.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	336	6.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	3701	66.8%



### # W3\_f19102erec: Party best representing migrants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	234	4.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	247	4.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	110	2.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	17	0.3%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	13	0.2%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	16	0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	4	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	2	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	1	0.0%
998	Don't know	712	12.8%
-99	No answer	31	
Sysmiss		2622	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f19102frec: Party best representing climate activists

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5532 /-] [Invalid=2665 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	38	0.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	49	0.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	160	2.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	78	1.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	4232	76.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	494	8.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.0%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	9	0.2%
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	1	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	14	0.3%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	2	0.0%
405	All parties together, all	1	0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	450	8.1%

# W3_f19102frec: Party best representing climate activists			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	43	
Sysmiss		2622	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f19102grec: Party best representing entrepreneurs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5544 /-] [Invalid=2653 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	3335	<div></div> 60.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	306	<div></div> 5.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	143	<div></div> 2.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1041	<div></div> 18.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	19	<div></div> 0.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	127	<div></div> 2.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	<div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	9	<div></div> 0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	4	<div></div> 0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	<div></div> 0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	7	<div></div> 0.1%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	<div></div> 0.1%
405	All parties together, all	2	<div></div> 0.0%
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	5	<div></div> 0.1%
995	Other answer	0	
998	Don't know	534	<div></div> 9.6%
-99	No answer	31	
Sysmiss		2622	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f18101a: Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2747 /-] [Invalid=5450 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly disagree	528	<div></div> 19.2%
1		363	<div></div> 13.2%
2		451	<div></div> 16.4%
3		336	<div></div> 12.2%
4		218	<div></div> 7.9%
5		325	<div></div> 11.8%
6		190	<div></div> 6.9%
7		148	<div></div> 5.4%
8		110	<div></div> 4.0%
9		34	<div></div> 1.2%

# W3_f18101a: Poverty attributions: Laziness and lack of willpower			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Strongly agree	44	<div></div> 1.6%
-99	No answer	53	
Sysmiss		5397	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f18101b: Poverty attributions: Unlucky			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2753 /-] [Invalid=5444 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly disagree	273	<div></div> 9.9%
1		171	<div></div> 6.2%
2		265	<div></div> 9.6%
3		251	<div></div> 9.1%
4		222	<div></div> 8.1%
5		501	<div></div> 18.2%
6		340	<div></div> 12.4%
7		305	<div></div> 11.1%
8		265	<div></div> 9.6%
9		75	<div></div> 2.7%
10	Strongly agree	85	<div></div> 3.1%
-99	No answer	47	
Sysmiss		5397	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f18101c: Poverty attributions: Injustice in the society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2767 /-] [Invalid=5430 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly disagree	80	<div></div> 2.9%
1		65	<div></div> 2.3%
2		156	<div></div> 5.6%
3		190	<div></div> 6.9%
4		147	<div></div> 5.3%
5		357	<div></div> 12.9%
6		296	<div></div> 10.7%
7		419	<div></div> 15.1%
8		462	<div></div> 16.7%
9		206	<div></div> 7.4%
10	Strongly agree	389	<div></div> 14.1%
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		5397	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f18101d: Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2771 /-] [Invalid=5426 /-]		

### # W3\_f18101d: Poverty attributions: Consequence of the development of modern society

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly disagree	209	7.5%
1		108	3.9%
2		219	7.9%
3		209	7.5%
4		190	6.9%
5		408	14.7%
6		339	12.2%
7		376	13.6%
8		399	14.4%
9		136	4.9%
10	Strongly agree	178	6.4%
-99	No answer	29	
Sysmiss		5397	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f18102a: Poverty attributions: Poor people can't handle money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2754 /-] [Invalid=5443 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	514	18.7%
2	Disagree	883	32.1%
3	Rather disagree	800	29.0%
4	Rather agree	453	16.4%
5	Agree	87	3.2%
6	Strongly agree	17	0.6%
-99	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		5422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f18102b: Poverty attributions: Poor people prefer not to work and to live on society's back

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2753 /-] [Invalid=5444 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	701	25.5%
2	Disagree	917	33.3%
3	Rather disagree	680	24.7%
4	Rather agree	350	12.7%
5	Agree	74	2.7%
6	Strongly agree	31	1.1%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		5422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f18102c: Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2756 /-] [Invalid=5441 /-]

# W3_f18102c: Poverty attributions: Poor people suffer from a lack of skills and intelligence				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	689	<div></div>	25.0%
2	Disagree	807	<div></div>	29.3%
3	Rather disagree	664	<div></div>	24.1%
4	Rather agree	463	<div></div>	16.8%
5	Agree	124	<div></div>	4.5%
6	Strongly agree	9	<div></div>	0.3%
-99	No answer	19		
Sysmiss		5422		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f18102d: Poverty attributions: Poor people are victims of misfortunes such as illness and disability				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2761 /-] [Invalid=5436 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	110	<div></div>	4.0%
2	Disagree	246	<div></div>	8.9%
3	Rather disagree	510	<div></div>	18.5%
4	Rather agree	1242	<div></div>	45.0%
5	Agree	517	<div></div>	18.7%
6	Strongly agree	136	<div></div>	4.9%
-99	No answer	14		
Sysmiss		5422		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f18102e: Poverty attributions: Prejudice and discrimination against certain groups condemn people belonging to these groups to poverty				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2745 /-] [Invalid=5452 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	176	<div></div>	6.4%
2	Disagree	503	<div></div>	18.3%
3	Rather disagree	638	<div></div>	23.2%
4	Rather agree	978	<div></div>	35.6%
5	Agree	342	<div></div>	12.5%
6	Strongly agree	108	<div></div>	3.9%
-99	No answer	30		
Sysmiss		5422		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f18102f: Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2755 /-] [Invalid=5442 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	249	<div></div>	9.0%
2	Disagree	629	<div></div>	22.8%
3	Rather disagree	761	<div></div>	27.6%

# W3_f18102f: Poverty attributions: Poverty is the result of the government's unwillingness to help people in difficulty			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather agree	752	<div><div></div></div> 27.3%
5	Agree	245	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
6	Strongly agree	119	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
-99	No answer	20	
Sysmiss		5422	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f18102g: Poverty attributions: Poverty is an inevitable consequence of the evolution of modern society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2762 /-] [Invalid=5435 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	251	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
2	Disagree	499	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
3	Rather disagree	456	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
4	Rather agree	935	<div><div></div></div> 33.9%
5	Agree	428	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
6	Strongly agree	193	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		5422	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15330arec: Party that is most competent with European policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5498 /-] [Invalid=2699 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1133	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	901	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	914	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	994	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	95	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	342	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
998	Don't know	1083	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
-99	No answer, no party	76	
Sysmiss		2623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5479 /-] [Invalid=2718 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	315	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	762	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1703	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%

# W3_f15330brec: Party that is most competent with migration policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1515	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	92	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	167	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	36	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
998	Don't know	871	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
-99	No answer, no party	95	
Sysmiss		2623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15330crec: Party that is most competent with social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5516 /-] [Invalid=2681 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	241	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	853	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	3304	<div><div></div></div> 59.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	313	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	64	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	103	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
350	Other party, all/several parties	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
998	Don't know	566	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
-99	No answer, no party	57	
Sysmiss		2624	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15330drec: Party that is most competent with environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5509 /-] [Invalid=2688 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	239	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	348	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	280	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	355	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	2424	<div><div></div></div> 44.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1248	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
350	Other party, all/several parties	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
998	Don't know	589	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
-99	No answer, no party	65	
Sysmiss		2623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_f15330erec: Party that is most competent with economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5517 /-] [Invalid=2680 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	2513	<div><div></div></div> 45.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	486	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	521	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	775	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	72	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	357	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
998	Don't know	765	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
-99	No answer, no party	56	
Sysmiss		2624	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15330frec: Party that is most competent with health policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5466 /-] [Invalid=2731 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	450	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1446	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1839	<div><div></div></div> 33.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	356	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	77	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	145	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
350	Other party, all/several parties	39	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
998	Don't know	1091	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
-99	No answer, no party	108	
Sysmiss		2623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15320arec: Party which cares most about European policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5503 /-] [Invalid=2694 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1167	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	552	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	862	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1318	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	43	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	289	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%



# W3_f15320arec: Party which cares most about European policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	15	0.3%
998	Don't know	1247	22.7%
-99	No answer, no party	70	
Sysmiss		2624	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15320brec: Party which cares most about migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5505 /-] [Invalid=2692 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	119	2.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	286	5.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1422	25.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	2783	50.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	83	1.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	51	0.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	10	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	14	0.3%
350	Other party, all/several parties	19	0.3%
998	Don't know	718	13.0%
-99	No answer, no party	66	
Sysmiss		2626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15320crec: Party which cares most about social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5507 /-] [Invalid=2690 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	130	2.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	408	7.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	4095	74.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	189	3.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	47	0.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	37	0.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.1%
350	Other party, all/several parties	24	0.4%
998	Don't know	565	10.3%
-99	No answer, no party	64	
Sysmiss		2626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15320drec: Party which cares most about environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5518 /-] [Invalid=2679 /-]		

### # W3\_f15320drec: Party which cares most about environmental policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	100	1.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	135	2.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	153	2.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	172	3.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	3611	65.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	819	14.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	3	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
350	Other party, all/several parties	14	0.3%
998	Don't know	511	9.3%
-99	No answer, no party	53	
Sysmiss		2626	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f15320erec: Party which cares most about economic policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5511 /-] [Invalid=2686 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	3266	59.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	263	4.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	249	4.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	844	15.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	24	0.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	100	1.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	0.0%
350	Other party, all/several parties	12	0.2%
998	Don't know	744	13.5%
-99	No answer, no party	60	
Sysmiss		2626	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # W3\_f15320frec: Party which cares most about health policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5493 /-] [Invalid=2704 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	332	6.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	1330	24.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2214	40.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	249	4.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	63	1.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	73	1.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	6	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	14	0.3%
350	Other party, all/several parties	25	0.5%

# W3_f15320frec: Party which cares most about health policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	Don't know	1187	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
-99	No answer, no party	78	
Sysmiss		2626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f30021: R's feedback:Interest of the questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5545 /-] [Invalid=2652 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not agree at all	90	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	Rather disagree	444	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
3	Rather Neither	1050	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
4	Rather agree	2939	<div><div></div></div> 53.0%
5	Totally agree	1022	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
-99	No answer	24	
Sysmiss		2628	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f30022: R's feedback: Questionnaire's lenght			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5539 /-] [Invalid=2658 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not agree at all	48	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2	Rather disagree	366	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
3	Rather Neither	465	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
4	Rather agree	2637	<div><div></div></div> 47.6%
5	Totally agree	2023	<div><div></div></div> 36.5%
-99	No answer	30	
Sysmiss		2628	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f30023: R's feedback: Questionnaire's comprehension			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5531 /-] [Invalid=2666 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not agree at all	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2	Rather disagree	133	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
3	Rather Neither	241	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
4	Rather agree	2238	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
5	Totally agree	2901	<div><div></div></div> 52.4%
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		2628	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f30024: R's feedback: Easy-to-answer questions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5543 /-] [Invalid=2654 /-]		

# W3_f30024: R's feedback: Easy-to-answer questions				
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not agree at all		37	<div></div> 0.7%
2	Rather disagree		204	<div></div> 3.7%
3	Rather Neither		335	<div></div> 6.0%
4	Rather agree		1903	<div></div> 34.3%
5	Totally agree		3064	<div></div> 55.3%
-99	No answer		26	
Sysmiss			2628	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# weight_t: Weight: Turnout, W2&W3 combined				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.59339263-2.502347418] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.648 /-]		
# weight_p: Weight: Party choice, W2&W3 combined				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.752475248-1.341346154] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.158 /-]		
# weight_tot: Weight: Combined weight_t*weight_p, W2&W3 combined				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.446513266420622-2.502347418] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.655 /-]		
# W3_longweight: Longitudinal weight, W3				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5.54623981963465] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.003 /-] [StdDev=0.752 /-]		
# W4_intstart: Start Date				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4919 /-]		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
2024-09-23			787	<div></div> 16.0%
2024-09-24			539	<div></div> 11.0%
2024-09-25			342	<div></div> 7.0%
2024-09-26			625	<div></div> 12.7%
2024-09-27			347	<div></div> 7.1%
2024-09-28			208	<div></div> 4.2%
2024-09-29			181	<div></div> 3.7%
2024-09-30			175	<div></div> 3.6%
2024-10-01			138	<div></div> 2.8%
2024-10-02			116	<div></div> 2.4%
2024-10-03			84	<div></div> 1.7%
2024-10-04			69	<div></div> 1.4%
2024-10-05			35	<div></div> 0.7%
2024-10-06			64	<div></div> 1.3%
2024-10-07			66	<div></div> 1.3%
2024-10-08			244	<div></div> 5.0%
2024-10-09			156	<div></div> 3.2%
2024-10-10			91	<div></div> 1.8%

# W4_intstart: Start Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2024-10-11		56	<div></div> 1.1%
2024-10-12		50	<div></div> 1.0%
2024-10-13		80	<div></div> 1.6%
2024-10-14		43	<div></div> 0.9%
2024-10-15		36	<div></div> 0.7%
2024-10-16		35	<div></div> 0.7%
2024-10-17		31	<div></div> 0.6%
2024-10-18		31	<div></div> 0.6%
2024-10-19		22	<div></div> 0.4%
2024-10-20		21	<div></div> 0.4%
2024-10-21		88	<div></div> 1.8%
2024-10-22		34	<div></div> 0.7%
2024-10-23		23	<div></div> 0.5%
2024-10-24		10	<div></div> 0.2%
2024-10-25		15	<div></div> 0.3%
2024-10-26		12	<div></div> 0.2%
2024-10-27		11	<div></div> 0.2%
2024-10-28		16	<div></div> 0.3%
2024-10-29		6	<div></div> 0.1%
2024-10-30		7	<div></div> 0.1%
2024-10-31		4	<div></div> 0.1%
2024-11-01		5	<div></div> 0.1%
2024-11-02		2	<div></div> 0.0%
2024-11-03		6	<div></div> 0.1%
2024-11-04		8	<div></div> 0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_intend: End Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2024-09-23		777	<div></div> 15.8%
2024-09-24		534	<div></div> 10.9%
2024-09-25		341	<div></div> 6.9%
2024-09-26		616	<div></div> 12.5%
2024-09-27		349	<div></div> 7.1%
2024-09-28		211	<div></div> 4.3%
2024-09-29		178	<div></div> 3.6%
2024-09-30		176	<div></div> 3.6%
2024-10-01		136	<div></div> 2.8%
2024-10-02		118	<div></div> 2.4%
2024-10-03		84	<div></div> 1.7%
2024-10-04		67	<div></div> 1.4%
2024-10-05		36	<div></div> 0.7%
2024-10-06		63	<div></div> 1.3%

# W4_intend: End Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2024-10-07		67	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2024-10-08		244	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
2024-10-09		156	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
2024-10-10		96	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2024-10-11		58	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2024-10-12		49	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2024-10-13		82	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2024-10-14		45	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2024-10-15		38	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2024-10-16		36	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2024-10-17		32	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2024-10-18		33	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2024-10-19		20	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2024-10-20		21	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2024-10-21		92	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2024-10-22		34	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2024-10-23		25	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2024-10-24		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2024-10-25		16	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2024-10-26		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2024-10-27		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2024-10-28		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2024-10-29		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2024-10-30		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2024-10-31		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2024-11-01		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2024-11-02		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2024-11-03		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2024-11-04		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_totalltime: Total interview length in minutes			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4.66666666666667-54760.4166666667] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-] [Mean=169.375 /-] [StdDev=1892.793 /-]		
# W4_finished: Completion of questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1	Complete questionnaire	4903	<div><div></div></div> 99.7%
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		

# W4_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone vs. desktop			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unknown	0	
1	Mobile	1318	<div></div> 26.8%
2	Desktop	3601	<div></div> 73.2%
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_langint: Language of interview			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	3628	<div></div> 73.8%
2	French	1079	<div></div> 21.9%
3	Italian	212	<div></div> 4.3%
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_birthyear: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1930-2005] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4896 /-] [Invalid=3301 /-] [Mean=1969.697 /-] [StdDev=17.349 /-]		
# W4_age: Age in years			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 19-94] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4896 /-] [Invalid=3301 /-] [Mean=54.303 /-] [StdDev=17.349 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	23	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_agecat: Age in categories			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4896 /-] [Invalid=3301 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	263	<div></div> 5.4%
2	25-34	547	<div></div> 11.2%
3	35-44	697	<div></div> 14.2%
4	45-54	764	<div></div> 15.6%
5	55-64	1030	<div></div> 21.0%
6	65-74	964	<div></div> 19.7%
7	75+	631	<div></div> 12.9%
-99	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_sex: Sex according to official documents			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4897 /-] [Invalid=3300 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2605	<div></div> 53.2%
2	Female	2292	<div></div> 46.8%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4910 /-] [Invalid=3287 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	900	<div></div> 18.3%
2	Bern	688	<div></div> 14.0%
3	Lucerne	275	<div></div> 5.6%
4	Uri	21	<div></div> 0.4%
5	Schwyz	82	<div></div> 1.7%
6	Obwalden	28	<div></div> 0.6%
7	Nidwalden	38	<div></div> 0.8%
8	Glarus	19	<div></div> 0.4%



# W4_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
9	Zug	76	<div><div></div></div>	1.5%
10	Fribourg	195	<div><div></div></div>	4.0%
11	Solothurn	169	<div><div></div></div>	3.4%
12	Basel-Stadt	91	<div><div></div></div>	1.9%
13	Basel-Landschaft	160	<div><div></div></div>	3.3%
14	Schaffhausen	50	<div><div></div></div>	1.0%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	43	<div><div></div></div>	0.9%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	8	<div><div></div></div>	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	299	<div><div></div></div>	6.1%
18	Graubünden	121	<div><div></div></div>	2.5%
19	Aargau	367	<div><div></div></div>	7.5%
20	Thurgau	142	<div><div></div></div>	2.9%
21	Ticino	201	<div><div></div></div>	4.1%
22	Vaud	412	<div><div></div></div>	8.4%
23	Valais	204	<div><div></div></div>	4.2%
24	Neuchâtel	94	<div><div></div></div>	1.9%
25	Geneva	194	<div><div></div></div>	4.0%
26	Jura	33	<div><div></div></div>	0.7%
-99	No answer	9		
Sysmiss		3278		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f13700: Satisfaction with democracy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4912 /-] [Invalid=3285 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all satisfied	82	<div><div></div></div>	1.7%
2	Not very satisfied	610	<div><div></div></div>	12.4%
3	Fairly satisfied	3221	<div><div></div></div>	65.6%
4	Very satisfied	999	<div><div></div></div>	20.3%
-99	No answer	7		
Sysmiss		3278		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_Q04b: Most politicians do not care about the people				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4909 /-] [Invalid=3288 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	310	<div><div></div></div>	6.3%
2	Rather disagree	2056	<div><div></div></div>	41.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	866	<div><div></div></div>	17.6%
4	Rather agree	1469	<div><div></div></div>	29.9%
5	Strongly agree	208	<div><div></div></div>	4.2%
-99	No answer	10		
Sysmiss		3278		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# W4_Q04f: The people should make the most important policy decisions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4909 /-] [Invalid=3288 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	209	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
2	Rather disagree	1184	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	714	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
4	Rather agree	1888	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
5	Strongly agree	914	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q04h: Ordinary people share common values and interests			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4877 /-] [Invalid=3320 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	288	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
2	Rather disagree	878	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1277	<div><div></div></div> 26.2%
4	Rather agree	2086	<div><div></div></div> 42.8%
5	Strongly agree	348	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
-99	No answer	42	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10100: R's interest in politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4904 /-] [Invalid=3293 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	162	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2	Rather not interested	975	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
3	Rather interested	2636	<div><div></div></div> 53.8%
4	Very interested	1131	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4901 /-] [Invalid=3296 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	73	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
10	Full trust	174	<div><div></div></div> 70.4%
-99	No answer	18	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4908 /-] [Invalid=3289 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	57	<div></div> 41.0%
10	Full trust	82	<div></div> 59.0%
-99	No answer	11	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=3292 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	82	<div></div> 68.9%
10	Full trust	37	<div></div> 31.1%
-99	No answer	14	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800f: Trust: Swiss National Bank			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4897 /-] [Invalid=3300 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	86	<div></div> 16.7%
10	Full trust	430	<div></div> 83.3%
-99	No answer	22	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800g: Trust: Justice/ Courts			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4904 /-] [Invalid=3293 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	61	<div></div> 15.1%
10	Full trust	342	<div></div> 84.9%
-99	No answer	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800h: Trust: Police			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4904 /-] [Invalid=3293 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	43	<div></div> 10.5%
10	Full trust	367	<div></div> 89.5%
-99	No answer	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800j: Trust: Scientists			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4901 /-] [Invalid=3296 /-]		

# W4_f12800j: Trust: Scientists			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	30	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
10	Full trust	554	<div><div></div></div> 94.9%
-99	No answer	18	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800k: Trust: Media			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4903 /-] [Invalid=3294 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	254	<div><div></div></div> 91.7%
10	Full trust	23	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
-99	No answer	16	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4734 /-] [Invalid=3463 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	78	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	Other old age provisions	167	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
3	Unemployment	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4	Poverty	31	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	277	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	777	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
7	Drugs, addictions	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8	EU, Europe	215	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
9	Extremism	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Finances	98	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
11	Refugees	52	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
12	Xenophobia, racism	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	Health system	346	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
14	Globalisation	0	
15	Youth unemployment	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
17	Climate	506	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
18	Consociationalism	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
19	Health insurance	264	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
20	Crime	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
21	Neutrality	109	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
22	Politicians	33	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
23	Political style	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
24	Political system	37	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
25	Direct democracy	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
27	Party polarisation	51	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
28	Security	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%

#### # W4\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	Social security	1	0.0%
30	Social inequalities	29	0.6%
31	Taxes	8	0.2%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	195	4.1%
34	Economic development	2	0.0%
35	Media	22	0.5%
36	Renewable energies	7	0.1%
37	Economic/financial crisis	0	
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	0	
39	Nuclear energy	5	0.1%
40	Transportation, traffic	4	0.1%
41	Banks, banking crisis	1	0.0%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	45	1.0%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	4	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	20	0.4%
45	Economy, economic policy	68	1.4%
46	Education	18	0.4%
47	Social policy, welfare state	87	1.8%
48	Family policy, upbringing	5	0.1%
49	Labour market, workplace security	34	0.7%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	43	0.9%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	28	0.6%
54	Vandalism, violence	1	0.0%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	0	
59	Work for young people	1	0.0%
60	Overpopulation	117	2.5%
61	Energy, energy policy	78	1.6%
62	Cross-border commuters	3	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	3	0.1%
64	Equal rights	12	0.3%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	27	0.6%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	5	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	3	0.1%
68	Society in general	7	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	52	1.1%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	44	0.9%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	7	0.1%
73	Army	33	0.7%
74	Aging population, demographics	41	0.9%

# **# W4\_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
75	Bureaucracy	9	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	21	0.4%
80	Shift to the right	32	0.7%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	2	0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	10	0.2%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	191	4.0%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	3	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	14	0.3%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	3	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	0	
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	36	0.8%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	4	0.1%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	12	0.3%
102	Capitalism	3	0.1%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	4	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	24	0.5%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	7	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	9	0.2%
108	Data protection	0	
109	Left, socialism	7	0.1%
110	Wars, peace policy	131	2.8%
111	Islam, islamism	2	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	3	0.1%
113	Populism	8	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	1	0.0%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	8	0.2%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	1	0.0%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	156	
98	Don't know	24	
Sysmiss		3283	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# W4_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4734 /-] [Invalid=3463 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	7	0.1%
2	Economy	265	5.6%
3	Education & culture	18	0.4%
4	Environment & energy	799	16.9%
5	EU, Europe	247	5.2%
6	Finances & taxes	106	2.2%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	23	0.5%
8	Immigration & asylum	1133	23.9%
9	Foreign policy & defense	359	7.6%
10	Labour market	47	1.0%
11	Law & order	49	1.0%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	259	5.5%
13	Public health	612	12.9%
14	Public services & infrastructure	58	1.2%
15	Regions & national cohesion	60	1.3%
16	Social security/welfare state	629	13.3%
17	Other problems	58	1.2%
18	No problem	4	0.1%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	156	
98	Don't know	24	
Sysmiss		3283	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4758 /-] [Invalid=3439 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	515	10.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	614	12.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	864	18.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	955	20.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	433	9.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	277	5.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	5	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	15	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	30	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	20	0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	18	0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	19	0.4%
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	0.0%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	

# W4_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	1	0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	65	1.4%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	6	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	26	0.5%
406	Left parties (several)	3	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	9	0.2%
998	Don't know	871	18.3%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		3428	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4684 /-] [Invalid=3513 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	515	11.0%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	614	13.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	864	18.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	955	20.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	433	9.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	277	5.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	155	3.3%
998	Don't know	871	18.6%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	85	
Sysmiss		3428	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4630 /-] [Invalid=3567 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	110	2.4%
2	Other old age provisions	276	6.0%
3	Unemployment	10	0.2%
4	Poverty	47	1.0%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	177	3.8%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	494	10.7%
7	Drugs, addictions	7	0.2%
8	EU, Europe	121	2.6%
9	Extremism	2	0.0%
10	Finances	119	2.6%
11	Refugees	53	1.1%



# **# W4\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Xenophobia, racism	8	0.2%
13	Health system	396	8.6%
14	Globalisation	0	
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	20	0.4%
17	Climate	381	8.2%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	262	5.7%
20	Crime	9	0.2%
21	Neutrality	57	1.2%
22	Politicians	19	0.4%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	30	0.6%
25	Direct democracy	10	0.2%
27	Party polarisation	27	0.6%
28	Security	49	1.1%
29	Social security	4	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	34	0.7%
31	Taxes	31	0.7%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	305	6.6%
34	Economic development	1	0.0%
35	Media	10	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	14	0.3%
37	Economic/financial crisis	0	
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	4	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	3	0.1%
40	Transportation, traffic	39	0.8%
41	Banks, banking crisis	3	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	25	0.5%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	5	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	18	0.4%
45	Economy, economic policy	79	1.7%
46	Education	53	1.1%
47	Social policy, welfare state	119	2.6%
48	Family policy, upbringing	18	0.4%
49	Labour market, workplace security	50	1.1%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	70	1.5%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	2	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	17	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	6	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	1	0.0%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	

# **# W4\_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
58	Future	4	0.1%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	78	1.7%
61	Energy, energy policy	163	3.5%
62	Cross-border commuters	3	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	4	0.1%
64	Equal rights	24	0.5%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	31	0.7%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	5	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	6	0.1%
68	Society in general	2	0.0%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	43	0.9%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	94	2.0%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	17	0.4%
73	Army	56	1.2%
74	Aging population, demographics	27	0.6%
75	Bureaucracy	10	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	4	0.1%
80	Shift to the right	12	0.3%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	4	0.1%
82	Invalidity insurance	1	0.0%
84	Independence, autonomy	6	0.1%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	154	3.3%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	5	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	15	0.3%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	9	0.2%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	4	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	3	0.1%
96	Other	46	1.0%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	7	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	3	0.1%
102	Capitalism	5	0.1%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	5	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	21	0.5%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	9	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	8	0.2%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	18	0.4%
110	Wars, peace policy	94	2.0%
111	Islam, islamism	4	0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	7	0.2%
113	Populism	8	0.2%

# W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	7	0.2%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	3	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	3	0.1%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	99	
98	Don't know	36	
Sysmiss		3432	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4630 /-] [Invalid=3567 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	17	0.4%
2	Economy	249	5.4%
3	Education & culture	53	1.1%
4	Environment & energy	876	18.9%
5	EU, Europe	146	3.2%
6	Finances & taxes	151	3.3%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	33	0.7%
8	Immigration & asylum	759	16.4%
9	Foreign policy & defense	277	6.0%
10	Labour market	71	1.5%
11	Law & order	76	1.6%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	189	4.1%
13	Public health	665	14.4%
14	Public services & infrastructure	150	3.2%
15	Regions & national cohesion	42	0.9%
16	Social security/welfare state	805	17.4%
17	Other problems	61	1.3%
18	No problem	7	0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	3	0.1%
-99	No answer	99	
98	Don't know	36	
Sysmiss		3432	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W4_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4656 /-] [Invalid=3541 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	541	11.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	602	12.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1029	22.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	718	15.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	384	8.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	291	6.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	13	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	30	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	19	0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	18	0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	18	0.4%
201	PP - Pirate Party	3	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	0.0%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	No party/ rather persons	57	1.2%
403	Would vote blank	0	
404	Several parties	3	0.1%
405	All parties together, all	20	0.4%
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
995	Other answer	7	0.2%
998	Don't know	889	19.1%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		3524	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-998] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4592 /-] [Invalid=3605 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	541	11.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	602	13.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1029	22.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	718	15.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	384	8.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	291	6.3%
350	Other party, several/all parties	138	3.0%
998	Don't know	889	19.4%
-99	No answer, no party, other answer	81	
Sysmiss		3524	

# W4_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f10851rec: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99/995/998]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4397 /-] [Invalid=3800 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	685	<div></div>	15.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	705	<div></div>	16.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1095	<div></div>	24.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	874	<div></div>	19.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	362	<div></div>	8.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	437	<div></div>	9.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	11	<div></div>	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	8	<div></div>	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	22	<div></div>	0.5%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	56	<div></div>	1.3%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	26	<div></div>	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	37	<div></div>	0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	4	<div></div>	0.1%
201	PP - Pirate Party	8	<div></div>	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	5	<div></div>	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0		
350	Other party	1	<div></div>	0.0%
402	No party/ rather persons	51	<div></div>	1.2%
403	Would vote blank	0		
404	Several parties	9	<div></div>	0.2%
405	All parties together, all	0		
406	Left parties (several)	1	<div></div>	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	0		
-99	No answer	39		
995	Other answer	3		
998	Don't know	480		
Sysmiss		3278		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f10851main6: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, 6 main parties				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99/998]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4397 /-] [Invalid=3800 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	685	<div></div>	15.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	705	<div></div>	16.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1095	<div></div>	24.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	874	<div></div>	19.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	362	<div></div>	8.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	437	<div></div>	9.9%
350	Other party, several/all parties	239	<div></div>	5.4%

# W4_f10851main6: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, 6 main parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer, other answer	42	
998	Don't know	480	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10951: Certainty to vote for mentioned party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4391 /-] [Invalid=3806 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very uncertain	97	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2	Rather uncertain	340	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
3	Rather certain	2386	<div><div></div></div> 54.3%
4	Very certain	1568	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		3792	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10750: Participation in federal vote of September 22, 2024			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4904 /-] [Invalid=3293 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Didn't participate	866	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
1	Participated	4023	<div><div></div></div> 82.0%
8	Don't know	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10751a: Vote choice: Biodiversity Initiative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4011 /-] [Invalid=4186 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1751	<div><div></div></div> 43.7%
2	No (rejected)	2179	<div><div></div></div> 54.3%
3	Blank	40	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
8	Don't know	41	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		4174	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10751b: Vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4000 /-] [Invalid=4197 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1150	<div><div></div></div> 28.7%
2	No (rejected)	2685	<div><div></div></div> 67.1%

# W4_f10751b: Vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Blank	106	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
8	Don't know	59	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
-99	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		4174	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10756a: Hypothetical vote choice: Biodiversity Initiative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=874 /-] [Invalid=7323 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly against	180	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
2	Rather against	200	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
3	Rather in favor	172	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
4	Certainly in favor	126	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
8	Don't know	196	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		7316	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10756b: Hypothetical vote choice: Occupational Pension Reform			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=865 /-] [Invalid=7332 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly against	177	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
2	Rather against	221	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
3	Rather in favor	154	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
4	Certainly in favor	57	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
8	Don't know	256	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		7316	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10758a: Personal importance of vote: Biodiversity Initiative			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4004 /-] [Invalid=4193 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	96	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
10	Very important	870	<div><div></div></div> 90.1%
-99	No answer	7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Occupational Pension Reform			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3968 /-] [Invalid=4229 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	109	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%

# W4_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Occupational Pension Reform			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Very important	886	<div><div></div></div> 89.0%
-99	No answer	32	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10790: Participation in federal vote on the 13th OASI pension payment (March 2024)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4909 /-] [Invalid=3288 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not participate	333	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
1	Participated	4452	<div><div></div></div> 90.7%
8	Don't remember	124	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10791: Vote choice: Introduction of a 13th OASI pension payment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4446 /-] [Invalid=3751 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For a 13th OASI pension payment	2508	<div><div></div></div> 56.4%
2	Against a 13th OASI pension payment	1744	<div><div></div></div> 39.2%
3	Blank	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
8	Don't remember	151	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		3745	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10798: Personal importance of vote: 13th OASI pension payment			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4441 /-] [Invalid=3756 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	94	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
10	Very important	1230	<div><div></div></div> 92.9%
-99	No answer	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14181a: Feelings towards people who voted for a 13th OASI pension payment			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4867 /-] [Invalid=3330 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	91	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
10	Positive and warm feelings	778	<div><div></div></div> 89.5%
-99	No answer	52	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14181b: Feelings towards people who voted against a 13th OASI pension payment			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		



# W4_f14181b: Feelings towards people who voted against a 13th OASI pension payment			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4857 /-] [Invalid=3340 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	226	<div></div> 41.5%
10	Positive and warm feelings	318	<div></div> 58.5%
-99	No answer	62	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14182a: Feelings towards people who voted for the biodiversity initiative			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4847 /-] [Invalid=3350 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	162	<div></div> 20.6%
10	Positive and warm feelings	626	<div></div> 79.4%
-99	No answer	72	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14182b: Feelings towards people who voted against the biodiversity initiative			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4838 /-] [Invalid=3359 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	237	<div></div> 39.1%
10	Positive and warm feelings	369	<div></div> 60.9%
-99	No answer	81	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10770: Participation in federal vote of June 9, 2024			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=3292 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not participate	733	<div></div> 14.9%
1	Participated	3632	<div></div> 74.0%
8	Don't remember	540	<div></div> 11.0%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10771a: Vote choice: Premium Relief Initiative			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3608 /-] [Invalid=4589 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1505	<div></div> 41.7%
2	No (rejected)	1231	<div></div> 34.1%
3	Blank	63	<div></div> 1.7%
8	Don't remember	809	<div></div> 22.4%
-99	No answer	24	
Sysmiss		4565	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f10771b: Vote choice: Electricity Law			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3624 /-] [Invalid=4573 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	2390	<div><div></div></div> 65.9%
2	No (rejected)	689	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
3	Blank	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
8	Don't remember	508	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		4565	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10771c: Vote choice: Initiative â€™For freedom and physical integrityâ€™™			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3611 /-] [Invalid=4586 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	777	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
2	No (rejected)	1980	<div><div></div></div> 54.8%
3	Blank	87	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
8	Don't remember	767	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
-99	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		4565	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10759a: Personal importance of vote: Premium Relief Initiative			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3549 /-] [Invalid=4648 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	110	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
10	Very important	439	<div><div></div></div> 80.0%
-99	No answer	59	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10759b: Personal importance of vote: Electricity Law			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3555 /-] [Invalid=4642 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	39	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
10	Very important	595	<div><div></div></div> 93.8%
-99	No answer	69	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10759c: Personal importance of vote: Initiative â€™For freedom and physical integrityâ€™™			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3502 /-] [Invalid=4695 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	471	<div><div></div></div> 55.7%
10	Very important	375	<div><div></div></div> 44.3%

**# W4\_f10759c: Personal importance of vote: Initiative â€˜For freedom and physical integrityâ€™™**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	109	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# W4_f13740: Satisfaction with 2023 election outcome			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=3428 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	211	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2	Not very satisfied	1614	<div><div></div></div> 33.8%
3	Fairly satisfied	2856	<div><div></div></div> 59.9%
4	Very satisfied	88	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
-99	No answer	150	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13741: Satisfaction with own vote choice in 2023 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3885 /-] [Invalid=4312 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	61	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	Not very satisfied	722	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
3	Fairly satisfied	2584	<div><div></div></div> 66.5%
4	Very satisfied	518	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
-99	No answer	104	
Sysmiss		4208	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13742: Satisfaction with own decision not to participate in 2023 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=851 /-] [Invalid=7346 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	66	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
2	Not very satisfied	301	<div><div></div></div> 35.4%
3	Fairly satisfied	417	<div><div></div></div> 49.0%
4	Very satisfied	67	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
-99	No answer	58	
Sysmiss		7288	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13743a: Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2023 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4814 /-] [Invalid=3383 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	515	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
2	Not very satisfied	1233	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
3	Fairly satisfied	1568	<div><div></div></div> 32.6%
4	Very satisfied	271	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
8	Don't know	1227	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
-99	No answer	105	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f13743b: Satisfaction with performance of Centre since 2023 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4760 /-] [Invalid=3437 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	249	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2	Not very satisfied	993	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
3	Fairly satisfied	1879	<div><div></div></div> 39.5%
4	Very satisfied	409	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
8	Don't know	1230	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
-99	No answer	159	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13743c: Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2023 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4803 /-] [Invalid=3394 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	601	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
2	Not very satisfied	1090	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
3	Fairly satisfied	1485	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
4	Very satisfied	649	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
8	Don't know	978	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
-99	No answer	116	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13743d: Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2023 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4806 /-] [Invalid=3391 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	1486	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
2	Not very satisfied	941	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
3	Fairly satisfied	969	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
4	Very satisfied	463	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
8	Don't know	947	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
-99	No answer	113	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13743e: Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2023 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4806 /-] [Invalid=3391 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	851	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
2	Not very satisfied	1247	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
3	Fairly satisfied	1280	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
4	Very satisfied	339	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%

# W4_f13743e: Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2023 elections			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Don't know	1089	<div></div> 22.7%
-99	No answer	113	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13743f: Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2023 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4795 /-] [Invalid=3402 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	618	<div></div> 12.9%
2	Not very satisfied	1306	<div></div> 27.2%
3	Fairly satisfied	1363	<div></div> 28.4%
4	Very satisfied	255	<div></div> 5.3%
8	Don't know	1253	<div></div> 26.1%
-99	No answer	124	
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15200: Left-right self-placement			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4914 /-] [Invalid=3283 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	157	<div></div> 32.5%
10	Right	132	<div></div> 27.3%
98	Don't know	194	<div></div> 40.2%
-99	No answer	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14177a: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4854 /-] [Invalid=3343 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	747	<div></div> 79.3%
10	Positive and warm feelings	195	<div></div> 20.7%
-99	No answer	63	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14177b: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: SP/PS - Social Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4847 /-] [Invalid=3350 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	269	<div></div> 45.1%
10	Positive and warm feelings	328	<div></div> 54.9%
-99	No answer	70	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f14177c: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: The Centre			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4819 /-] [Invalid=3378 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	72	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
10	Positive and warm feelings	127	<div><div></div></div> 63.8%
-99	No answer	98	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14177d: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4844 /-] [Invalid=3353 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	179	<div><div></div></div> 59.9%
10	Positive and warm feelings	120	<div><div></div></div> 40.1%
-99	No answer	73	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14177e: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GPS/PES - Green Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4842 /-] [Invalid=3355 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	346	<div><div></div></div> 57.8%
10	Positive and warm feelings	253	<div><div></div></div> 42.2%
-99	No answer	75	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14177f: Feelings towards the voters of different parties: GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4838 /-] [Invalid=3359 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Negative and cold feelings	229	<div><div></div></div> 66.0%
10	Positive and warm feelings	118	<div><div></div></div> 34.0%
-99	No answer	79	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15656: Expected national economic situation next year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4908 /-] [Invalid=3289 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worsen significantly	168	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2	Worsen slightly	1336	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
3	Remain the same	2948	<div><div></div></div> 60.1%
4	Improve slightly	446	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
5	Improve significantly	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		3280	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f29100: Making ends meet with income				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4897 /-] [Invalid=3300 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not at all	189	<div><div></div></div>	3.9%
2	Rather not	773	<div><div></div></div>	15.8%
3	Yes, I can manage	2809	<div><div></div></div>	57.4%
4	Yes, very well	1126	<div><div></div></div>	23.0%
-99	No answer	20		
Sysmiss		3280		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f29120a: With prices rising, worried that my standard of living will deteriorate				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4903 /-] [Invalid=3294 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	321	<div><div></div></div>	6.5%
2	Rather disagree	868	<div><div></div></div>	17.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	826	<div><div></div></div>	16.8%
4	Rather agree	2015	<div><div></div></div>	41.1%
5	Strongly agree	873	<div><div></div></div>	17.8%
-99	No answer	13		
Sysmiss		3281		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f29120b: Economic situation of people like me doesn't get enough political attention				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=3303 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	269	<div><div></div></div>	5.5%
2	Rather disagree	907	<div><div></div></div>	18.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1403	<div><div></div></div>	28.7%
4	Rather agree	1582	<div><div></div></div>	32.3%
5	Strongly agree	733	<div><div></div></div>	15.0%
-99	No answer	22		
Sysmiss		3281		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality				
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=3292 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Have already gone much too far	123	<div><div></div></div>	2.5%
2	Have rather gone too far	530	<div><div></div></div>	10.8%
3	Are just about right	1570	<div><div></div></div>	32.0%
4	Don't go far enough	2188	<div><div></div></div>	44.6%
5	Don't nearly go far enough	494	<div><div></div></div>	10.1%



# W4_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		3281	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4896 /-] [Invalid=3301 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much in favor of more state intervention in the economy	221	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2	Rather in favor of more state intervention in the economy	1143	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
3	Neither nor	1673	<div><div></div></div> 34.2%
4	Rather in favor of more competition in the economy	1584	<div><div></div></div> 32.4%
5	Very much in favor of more competition in the economy	275	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
-99	No answer	20	
Sysmiss		3281	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15478: Too many worries about the environment instead of costs and prices			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4907 /-] [Invalid=3290 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	782	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
2	Rather disagree	1238	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1105	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
4	Rather agree	1357	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
5	Strongly agree	425	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		3281	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15815: Opinion on current political topics - minimum wage			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4907 /-] [Invalid=3290 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	467	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
2	Rather against	871	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
3	Rather in favor	2031	<div><div></div></div> 41.4%
4	Strongly in favor	1538	<div><div></div></div> 31.3%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		3281	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15811: Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4890 /-] [Invalid=3307 /-]		

# W4_f15811: Opinion on current political topics - increase in retirement age			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	1648	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
2	Rather against	1719	<div><div></div></div> 35.2%
3	Rather in favor	1172	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
4	Strongly in favor	351	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
-99	No answer	25	
Sysmiss		3282	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15816: Opinion on current political topics - foreigners' voting rights			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4898 /-] [Invalid=3299 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	881	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
2	Rather against	1206	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
3	Rather in favor	1814	<div><div></div></div> 37.0%
4	Strongly in favor	997	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		3282	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15817: Opinion on current political topics - equal rights for same-sex couples			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=3303 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	373	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
2	Rather against	705	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
3	Rather in favor	1781	<div><div></div></div> 36.4%
4	Strongly in favor	2035	<div><div></div></div> 41.6%
-99	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		3282	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_E11: Current marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4911 /-] [Invalid=3286 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or in registered partnership	2831	<div><div></div></div> 57.6%
2	Widowed	200	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
3	Divorced or separated	594	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
4	Single	1286	<div><div></div></div> 26.2%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		3282	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f20500: Household size, including R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-40] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W4_f20500: Household size, including R			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4906 /-] [Invalid=3291 /-] [Mean=2.48 /-] [StdDev=1.309 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f20710: Number of children under 18 in the household			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3959 /-] [Invalid=4238 /-] [Mean=0.502 /-] [StdDev=0.896 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	69	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f21310rec: R's highest level of education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=3303 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	5	0.1%
2	Primary school	34	0.7%
3	Secondary school	79	1.6%
4	Basic vocational training (EBA, AFP)	42	0.9%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	1225	25.0%
6	Upper secondary specialised school (Fachmittelschule, Ecole	62	1.3%
7	Trade school	237	4.8%
8	Vocational or specialised baccalaureate	176	3.6%
9	Baccalaureate	278	5.7%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	639	13.1%
11	College of higher education	406	8.3%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	671	13.7%
13	University, Federal Institute of technology	1040	21.3%
14	Other	0	
-99	No answer	20	
Sysmiss		3283	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f21300: Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4902 /-] [Invalid=3295 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3784	77.2%
1	Yes	1118	22.8%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		3283	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f21400: R's current working situation, if change in professional situation			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1115 /-] [Invalid=7082 /-]	

# W4_f21400: R's current working situation, if change in professional situation				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	326	<div></div>	29.2%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	403	<div></div>	36.1%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	108	<div></div>	9.7%
4	Homemaker	18	<div></div>	1.6%
5	Retired (AHV)	148	<div></div>	13.3%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	28	<div></div>	2.5%
7	Unemployed	34	<div></div>	3.0%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	50	<div></div>	4.5%
-99	No answer	3		
Sysmiss		7079		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f21500: R's current position at work, if change in professional situation				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=689 -/] [Invalid=7508 -/]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Employee with executive function	60	<div></div>	8.7%
2	Employee with supervision function	177	<div></div>	25.7%
3	Employee with operative function	386	<div></div>	56.0%
4	Self-employed	66	<div></div>	9.6%
-99	No answer	40		
Sysmiss		7468		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f21550: Number of persons employed at company, including R, if change in professional situation				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-360000] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=64 -/] [Invalid=8133 -/] [Mean=5635.984 -/] [StdDev=44998.648 -/]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-99	No answer	2		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, if change in professional situation				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=717 -/] [Invalid=7480 -/]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0		
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0		
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0		
10	Managers	3	<div></div>	0.4%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	21	<div></div>	2.9%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	28	<div></div>	3.9%
13	Production and specialised services managers	36	<div></div>	5.0%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	4	<div></div>	0.6%
20	Professionals	5	<div></div>	0.7%
21	Science and engineering professionals	40	<div></div>	5.6%
22	Health professionals	61	<div></div>	8.5%

## # W4\_f21601\_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, if change in professional situation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23	Teaching professionals	73	10.2%
24	Business and administration professionals	51	7.1%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	40	5.6%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	50	7.0%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	19	2.6%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	21	2.9%
32	Health associate professionals	26	3.6%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	40	5.6%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	19	2.6%
35	Information and communications technicians	5	0.7%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	44	6.1%
42	Customer services clerks	6	0.8%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	10	1.4%
44	Other clerical support workers	5	0.7%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	23	3.2%
52	Sales workers	20	2.8%
53	Personal care workers	8	1.1%
54	Protective services workers	4	0.6%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	6	0.8%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	0	
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	5	0.7%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	7	1.0%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	2	0.3%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	9	1.3%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	4	0.6%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	2	0.3%
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	1	0.1%
82	Assemblers	1	0.1%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	7	1.0%
90	Elementary occupations	0	
91	Cleaners and helpers	4	0.6%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	1	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	3	0.4%
94	Food preparation assistants	2	0.3%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	1	0.1%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		7476	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_f21700: R's current working sector, if change in professional situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=728 /-] [Invalid=7469 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	425	<div><div></div></div> 58.4%
2	Public sector	238	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
3	Other (mixed)	65	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7469	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active, if change in professional situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=724 /-] [Invalid=7473 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product manufacturing (industry)	88	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
2	Retail and wholesale	43	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
3	Healthcare and social sector	164	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
4	Services such as consulting, auditing, research & developmen	45	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
5	Construction	52	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
6	Teaching and education	95	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
7	Services such as travel agency, security service, employment	25	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
8	Financial services (banks, insurances, etc.)	48	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
9	Hospitality and gastronomy	16	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
10	Transport and shipping services	22	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	58	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
13	Personal services (e.g. hairdresser), member organizations (	21	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
14	Information and communication	38	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
15	Other	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		7469	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f21550_W1self: Asked to self-employed from W1 if no change in professional situation: number of persons employed at company, including R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5500] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=220 /-] [Invalid=7977 /-] [Mean=34.891 /-] [StdDev=371.83 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f28910: Gross monthly household income			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4816 /-] [Invalid=3381 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 2'000 CHF	164	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2	2'001-3'000 CHF	178	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%

# W4_f28910: Gross monthly household income				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	3'001-4'000 CHF	282	<div><div></div></div>	5.9%
4	4'001-5'000 CHF	381	<div><div></div></div>	7.9%
5	5'001-6'000 CHF	485	<div><div></div></div>	10.1%
6	6'001-7'000 CHF	456	<div><div></div></div>	9.5%
7	7'001-8'000 CHF	472	<div><div></div></div>	9.8%
8	8'001-9'000 CHF	370	<div><div></div></div>	7.7%
9	9'001-10'000 CHF	363	<div><div></div></div>	7.5%
10	10'001-11'000 CHF	310	<div><div></div></div>	6.4%
11	11'001-12'000 CHF	236	<div><div></div></div>	4.9%
12	12'001-13'000 CHF	210	<div><div></div></div>	4.4%
13	13'001-14'000 CHF	163	<div><div></div></div>	3.4%
14	14'001-15'000 CHF	164	<div><div></div></div>	3.4%
15	15'001-16'000 CHF	129	<div><div></div></div>	2.7%
16	16'001-17'000 CHF	90	<div><div></div></div>	1.9%
17	17'001-18'000 CHF	65	<div><div></div></div>	1.3%
18	18'001-19'000 CHF	52	<div><div></div></div>	1.1%
19	19'001-20'000 CHF	69	<div><div></div></div>	1.4%
20	More than 20'000 CHF	177	<div><div></div></div>	3.7%
-99	No answer	93		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f30021: Survey experience: the questionnaire was interesting				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4887 /-] [Invalid=3310 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	44	<div><div></div></div>	0.9%
2	Rather disagree	311	<div><div></div></div>	6.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	798	<div><div></div></div>	16.3%
4	Rather agree	2706	<div><div></div></div>	55.4%
5	Strongly agree	1028	<div><div></div></div>	21.0%
-99	No answer	19		
Sysmiss		3291		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f30022: Survey experience: the length of questionnaire was appropriate				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4874 /-] [Invalid=3323 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	29	<div><div></div></div>	0.6%
2	Rather disagree	192	<div><div></div></div>	3.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	276	<div><div></div></div>	5.7%
4	Rather agree	2318	<div><div></div></div>	47.6%
5	Strongly agree	2059	<div><div></div></div>	42.2%
-99	No answer	32		
Sysmiss		3291		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# W4_f30023: Survey experience: the questions were understandable			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4872 /-] [Invalid=3325 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	19	0.4%
2	Rather disagree	89	1.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	158	3.2%
4	Rather agree	1792	36.8%
5	Strongly agree	2814	57.8%
-99	No answer	34	
Sysmiss		3291	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f30024: Survey experience: the questionnaire was easy to complete			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4877 /-] [Invalid=3320 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	35	0.7%
2	Rather disagree	146	3.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	191	3.9%
4	Rather agree	1646	33.8%
5	Strongly agree	2859	58.6%
-99	No answer	29	
Sysmiss		3291	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_marital_sample: Marital status from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married, registered partnership	2789	56.7%
2	Single	1377	28.0%
3	Widowed	179	3.6%
4	Divorced, dissolved partnership	574	11.7%
Sysmiss		3278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	900	18.3%
2	Bern	687	14.0%
3	Lucerne	274	5.6%
4	Uri	21	0.4%
5	Schwyz	83	1.7%
6	Obwalden	28	0.6%



# W4_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
7	Nidwalden	38	<div></div>	0.8%
8	Glarus	19	<div></div>	0.4%
9	Zug	77	<div></div>	1.6%
10	Fribourg	194	<div></div>	3.9%
11	Solothurn	169	<div></div>	3.4%
12	Basel-Stadt	91	<div></div>	1.8%
13	Basel-Landschaft	159	<div></div>	3.2%
14	Schaffhausen	50	<div></div>	1.0%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	44	<div></div>	0.9%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	8	<div></div>	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	300	<div></div>	6.1%
18	Graubünden	121	<div></div>	2.5%
19	Aargau	369	<div></div>	7.5%
20	Thurgau	143	<div></div>	2.9%
21	Ticino	203	<div></div>	4.1%
22	Vaud	414	<div></div>	8.4%
23	Valais	204	<div></div>	4.1%
24	Neuchâtel	96	<div></div>	2.0%
25	Geneva	194	<div></div>	3.9%
26	Jura	33	<div></div>	0.7%
Sysmiss		3278		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_bigregion_sample: Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Lake Geneva region	812	<div></div>	16.5%
2	Espace Mittelland	1179	<div></div>	24.0%
3	North-West Switzerland	619	<div></div>	12.6%
4	Zurich region	900	<div></div>	18.3%
5	Eastern Switzerland	685	<div></div>	13.9%
6	Central Switzerland	521	<div></div>	10.6%
7	Ticino	203	<div></div>	4.1%
Sysmiss		3278		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_munilang_sample: Municipality language from FSO sample				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	German	3642	<div></div>	74.0%
2	French	1055	<div></div>	21.4%
3	Italian	210	<div></div>	4.3%
4	Romansh	12	<div></div>	0.2%
Sysmiss		3278		

# W4_munilang_sample: Municipality language from FSO sample			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# W4_smt3: Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	3145	<div><div></div></div> 63.9%
2	Intermediate (dense suburbs and rural centres)	1110	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
3	Rural	664	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
Sysmiss		3278	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# W4_smt9: Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-33] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=3278 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Urban municipality of a large agglomeration	1432	<div><div></div></div> 29.1%
12	Urban municipality of a medium-sized agglomeration	1184	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
13	Urban municipality of a small agglomeration or outside	529	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
21	High density periurban municipality	329	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
22	Medium density periurban municipality	557	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
23	Low density periurban municipality	241	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
31	Rural centre municipality	224	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
32	Rural centrally located municipality	317	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
33	Rural peripheral municipality	106	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
Sysmiss		3278	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# W1_4_f21400: R's current working situation, W1 updated with W4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8129 /-] [Invalid=68 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	2826	<div><div></div></div> 34.8%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	2107	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	511	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
4	Homemaker	190	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
5	Retired (AHV)	2041	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	191	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
7	Unemployed	91	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	172	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
-99	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		45	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_4_f21500: R's current position at work, W1 updated with W4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5042 /-] [Invalid=3155 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	586	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
2	Employee with supervision function	1401	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
3	Employee with operative function	2525	<div><div></div></div> 50.1%
4	Self-employed	530	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
-99	No answer	67	
Sysmiss		3088	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_4_f21550: Number of persons employed at company, including R, proxy for W1 updated with W4			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-360000] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=284 /-] [Invalid=7913 /-] [Mean=1297.109 /-] [StdDev=21362.84 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_4_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, W1 updated with W4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4978 /-] [Invalid=3219 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.0%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	4	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	0.0%
10	Managers	31	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	219	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	251	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
13	Production and specialised services managers	261	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	56	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%

## # W1\_4\_f21601\_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Professionals	30	0.6%
21	Science and engineering professionals	328	6.6%
22	Health professionals	346	7.0%
23	Teaching professionals	420	8.4%
24	Business and administration professionals	329	6.6%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	223	4.5%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	276	5.5%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	74	1.5%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	227	4.6%
32	Health associate professionals	157	3.2%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	308	6.2%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	124	2.5%
35	Information and communications technicians	31	0.6%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	235	4.7%
42	Customer services clerks	72	1.4%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	97	1.9%
44	Other clerical support workers	53	1.1%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	132	2.7%
52	Sales workers	114	2.3%
53	Personal care workers	78	1.6%
54	Protective services workers	55	1.1%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	1	0.0%
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	63	1.3%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	2	0.0%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	1	0.0%
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	59	1.2%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	77	1.5%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	23	0.5%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	36	0.7%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	30	0.6%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	2	0.0%
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	18	0.4%
82	Assemblers	2	0.0%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	66	1.3%
90	Elementary occupations	2	0.0%
91	Cleaners and helpers	14	0.3%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	7	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	30	0.6%
94	Food preparation assistants	7	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	5	0.1%
-99	No answer	137	

# W1_4_f21601_2dig: R's current profession, ISCO 08 2 digits, W1 updated with W4			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		3082	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_4_f21700: R's current working sector, W1 updated with W4			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5097 /-] [Invalid=3100 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	3101	<div></div> 60.8%
2	Public sector	1570	<div></div> 30.8%
3	Other (mixed)	426	<div></div> 8.4%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		3090	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_4_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active, W1 updated with W4			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5076 /-] [Invalid=3121 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	697	<div></div> 13.7%
2	Retail and wholesale	351	<div></div> 6.9%
3	Healthcare and social sector	946	<div></div> 18.6%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	303	<div></div> 6.0%
5	Construction	429	<div></div> 8.5%
6	Teaching and education	616	<div></div> 12.1%
7	Tourism and business related services	168	<div></div> 3.3%
8	Financial services	339	<div></div> 6.7%
9	Hotel and restaurant	110	<div></div> 2.2%
10	Transportation	183	<div></div> 3.6%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	420	<div></div> 8.3%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	94	<div></div> 1.9%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	115	<div></div> 2.3%
14	Information and communication	273	<div></div> 5.4%
15	Other	32	<div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	31	
Sysmiss		3090	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_longweight: Longitudinal weight, W4			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6.99931340694192] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.004 /-] [StdDev=0.929 /-]	
# W4_weight_p: Cross-sectional weight, party choice if elections next Sunday, W4			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.734939759-1.454545455] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8197 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.197 /-]	