Swiss Election Study (Selects) 2023

Post-Election Survey (PES)

Dataset description

Selects2023_PES_v1.0

June 14, 2024





Metadata Production

Production Date	June 14, 2024
------------------------	---------------

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Files Description	_
Selects2023 PES v1.0.	
Variables List.	
Selects2023 PES v1.0.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Variables Description.	_
Selects 2023 PES v1.0.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Selects Post-Election Survey (PES) 2023

Overview		
Identification	Temp1	
Countries		

Donocitor(c)	
Depositor(s)	
• ' '	

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Selects2023_PES_v1.0					
# Cases	5033				
# Variable(s)	306				

Variables List

Dataset contains 306 variable(s)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	userid	Personal ID	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
2	langint	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
3	imode	Mode of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
4	indate_p	Date of reception (paper questionnaire)	discrete	character-11	521	-	-
5	language	Language paper questionnaire	discrete	character-2	521	0	-
6	intstart	Interview start date	discrete	character-20	4512	-	-
7	intend	Interview end date	discrete	character-20	4512	-	-
8	Finished	Web questionnaire fully completed	discrete	numeric-8.0	4512	521	-
9	Completi	Completion rate of web questionnaire	discrete	numeric-8.0	4512	521	-
10	totaltime	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	4512	521	-
11	UserLang	User language web questionnaire	discrete	character-2	4512	0	-
12	sex	Sex according to official documents	discrete	numeric-8.0	5025	8	-
13	birthyear	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	5011	22	-
14	age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	5011	22	-
15	agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	5011	22	-
16	<u>f10000</u>	Canton in which R has the right to vote in current national election (2023)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-
17	<u>f10100</u>	Political interest	discrete	numeric-8.0	5019	14	-
18	f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4621	412	-
19	<u>f12700rec</u>	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4621	412	-
20	f12720rec	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	3670	1363	-
21	f12720ma	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3670	1363	-
22	<u>f12730c</u>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	4451	582	-
23	<u>f12730rec</u>	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4451	582	-
24	f12740rec	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	3441	1592	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	f12740ma	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3441	1592	-
26	Q03	I understand the most important political issues of this country	discrete	numeric-8.0	4936	97	-
27	Q04a	Democracy is always preferable to any other kind of government	discrete	numeric-8.0	4952	81	-
28	Q04b	The courts should be able to stop the government acting beyond its authority	discrete	numeric-8.0	4945	88	-
29	Q04c	Having a strong leader in govt is good for CH even if s/he bends the rules	discrete	numeric-8.0	4945	88	-
30	Q04d	Policies to increase the representation of women in politics have gone too far	discrete	numeric-8.0	4955	78	-
31	<u>Q05a</u>	Country better run: successful business leaders	discrete	numeric-8.0	4779	254	-
32	<u>Q05b</u>	Country better run: independent experts	discrete	numeric-8.0	4811	222	-
33	Q05c	Country better run: citizens in referendums	discrete	numeric-8.0	4885	148	-
34	Q06	How democratic is Switzerland	continuous	numeric-8.0	4808	225	-
35	<u>f12800a</u>	Trust: Federal Council	discrete	numeric-8.0	4939	94	-
36	<u>f12800b</u>	Trust: Federal Assembly	discrete	numeric-8.0	4948	85	-
37	f12800c	Trust: Political parties at national level	discrete	numeric-8.0	4916	117	-
38	<u>f12800g</u>	Trust: Justice/Courts	discrete	numeric-8.0	4933	100	-
39	<u>f12800j</u>	Trust: Scientists	discrete	numeric-8.0	4917	116	-
40	<u>f128001</u>	Trust: Traditional media	discrete	numeric-8.0	4952	81	-
41	<u>f12800m</u>	Trust: Social media	discrete	numeric-8.0	4946	87	-
42	Q08a	Government performance: General	discrete	numeric-8.0	4933	100	-
43	Q08b	Government performance: Pandemic	discrete	numeric-8.0	4976	57	-
44	<u>f14610</u>	Evaluation of evolution of economy in last 12 months	discrete	numeric-8.0	4957	76	-
45	<u>f14620</u>	Evaluation of evolution of personal financial situation in last 12 months	discrete	numeric-8.0	4979	54	-
46	<u>f15656</u>	Expected national economic conditions in next 12 months	discrete	numeric-8.0	4972	61	-
47	<u>f13306</u>	How often R discussed about elections with family, friends, colleagues	discrete	numeric-8.0	5004	29	-

File	Selects202	3_PES_v1.0					
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
48	<u>f13660a</u>	Sources of information: ads/ letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox	discrete	numeric-8.0	4894	139	-
49	<u>f13660b</u>	Sources of information: posters in the street	discrete	numeric-8.0	4858	175	-
50	f13660c	Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	4862	171	-
51	<u>f13660d</u>	Sources of information: party stands in the streets	discrete	numeric-8.0	4842	191	-
52	<u>f13660e</u>	Sources of information: political events of parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	4855	178	-
53	f13660f	Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	4872	161	-
54	f13660g	Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote	discrete	numeric-8.0	4848	185	-
55	<u>f13660i</u>	Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio	discrete	numeric-8.0	4926	107	-
56	<u>f13660j</u>	Sources of information: discussions at work or school	discrete	numeric-8.0	4842	191	-
57	f13660k	Sources of information: discussions with family and friends	discrete	numeric-8.0	4917	116	-
58	<u>f136601</u>	Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election	discrete	numeric-8.0	4862	171	-
59	<u>f13660m</u>	Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	4854	179	-
60	<u>f13660n</u>	Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	4894	139	-
61	<u>Q02a</u>	Media usage: Watch news on a public television broadcaster	continuous	numeric-8.0	4668	365	-
62	Q02b	Media usage: Watch news on a private television broadcaster	continuous	numeric-8.0	4546	487	-
63	Q02c	Media usage: Listen to the news on radio	continuous	numeric-8.0	4550	483	-
64	<u>Q02d</u>	Media usage: Read newspapers	continuous	numeric-8.0	4638	395	-
65	Q02e	Media usage: Online news sites	continuous	numeric-8.0	4533	500	-
66	Q02f	Media usage: Social media	continuous	numeric-8.0	4553	480	-
67	<u>Q02g</u>	Media usage: Social media - per day	discrete	numeric-8.0	74	4959	-
68	<u>f11100</u>	Participation in the 2023 election	discrete	numeric-8.0	4978	55	-

ппе	Selects202.	3_PES_v1.0		1		1	
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
69	<u>f11100rec</u>	Participation in the 2023 election, dummy	discrete	numeric-8.0	4978	55	-
70	<u>f11700</u>	Most important reason to vote	discrete	numeric-8.0	3180	1853	-
71	<u>f11301</u>	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his/her mind	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
72	<u>f11302</u>	Reason for nonvoting: R isn't interested in politics	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
73	<u>f11303</u>	Reason for nonvoting: Elections are too complicated	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
74	<u>f11304</u>	Reason for nonvoting: R wasn't convinced by any party/candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
75	<u>f11305</u>	Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
76	<u>f11306</u>	Reason for nonvoting: R doesn't know the candidates well enough	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
77	<u>f11307</u>	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't take part	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
78	<u>f11308</u>	Reason for nonvoting: Elections have no influence on government composition	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
79	<u>f11309</u>	Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
80	<u>f11310</u>	Reason for nonvoting: More influence on politics through popular votes	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
81	<u>f11311</u>	Reason for nonvoting: No time/R forgot	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
82	<u>f11312</u>	Reason for nonvoting: Other	discrete	numeric-8.0	1699	3334	-
83	<u>f11400rec</u>	Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1168	3865	-
84	<u>f11400ma</u>	Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	1168	3865	-
85	<u>f11600</u>	How did R vote	discrete	numeric-8.0	3241	1792	-
86	<u>f11605</u>	When did R submit ballot	discrete	numeric-8.0	3225	1808	-
87	f11800rec	Vote choice elections 2023	discrete	numeric-8.0	3159	1874	-
88	f11800ma	Vote choice 2023, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3159	1874	-
89	<u>f11800ZH</u>	Vote choice 2023 in ZH, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	375	4658	-
90	<u>f11800TI</u>	Vote choice 2023 in TI, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	389	4644	-
91	f11800GE	Vote choice 2023 in GE, 8 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	378	4655	-
92	f11810rec	Reason for vote decision (closed-ended question)	discrete	numeric-8.0	1652	3381	-

File	Selects2023	3_PES_v1.0					
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
93	<u>f11900</u>	When did R decide whom to vote for	discrete	numeric-8.0	3079	1954	-
94	<u>f12010</u>	Modification of voting list	discrete	numeric-8.0	2868	2165	-
95	f12020a	Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1306	3727	-
96	<u>f12020b</u>	Did R cross out candidates?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1234	3799	-
97	f12020c	Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1328	3705	-
98	<u>f12025</u>	More men or women on the list after modification	discrete	numeric-8.0	1415	3618	-
99	<u>f12030a</u>	Panachage - FDP/PLR	discrete	numeric-8.0	859	4174	-
100	<u>f12030b</u>	Panachage - Die Mitte/Le Centre	discrete	numeric-8.0	826	4207	-
101	f12030c	Panachage - SP/PS	discrete	numeric-8.0	800	4233	-
102	<u>f12030d</u>	Panachage - SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-8.0	823	4210	-
103	<u>f12030e</u>	Panachage - GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-8.0	928	4105	-
104	<u>f12030f</u>	Panachage - GLP/PVL	discrete	numeric-8.0	933	4100	-
105	<u>f12030h</u>	Panachage - Lega	discrete	numeric-8.0	196	4837	-
106	<u>f12030i</u>	Panachage - MCG	discrete	numeric-8.0	150	4883	-
107	<u>f12030j</u>	Panachage - CSP/PCS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1012	4021	-
108	<u>f12030k</u>	Panachage - EVP/PEV	discrete	numeric-8.0	998	4035	-
109	<u>f120301</u>	Panachage - EDU/UDF	discrete	numeric-8.0	997	4036	-
110	<u>f12030m</u>	Panachage - PdA/PST-POP	discrete	numeric-8.0	1012	4021	-
111	<u>f12030n</u>	Panachage - AL - Sol - MPS	discrete	numeric-8.0	1013	4020	-
112	<u>f12030o</u>	Panachage - Other party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	1015	4018	-
113	<u>f12301</u>	Council of States: First candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	2874	2159	-
114	<u>f12301p</u>	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	2862	2171	-
115	f12301pm	Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	2862	2171	-
116	<u>f12302</u>	Council of States: Second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	2545	2488	-
117	<u>f12302p</u>	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for	discrete	numeric-8.0	2545	2488	-
118	f12302pm	Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	2545	2488	-
119	<u>f13741</u>	Satisfaction about vote: voters for party/candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	3201	1832	-
120	<u>f13742</u>	Satisfaction about vote: non-voters	discrete	numeric-8.0	1657	3376	-

File	Selects2023	3_PES_v1.0					
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
121	Q12	Satisfaction about variety of choice	discrete	numeric-8.0	4045	988	-
122	Q13	Fairness of election	discrete	numeric-8.0	4940	93	-
123	<u>f10200</u>	Participation in the last national election (2019)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4736	297	-
124	<u>f10200rec</u>	Participation in national election 2019, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4971	62	-
125	<u>f10250</u>	Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous national election (2019)	discrete	numeric-8.0	3645	1388	-
126	<u>f10300rec</u>	Party choice elections 2019	discrete	numeric-8.0	3077	1956	-
127	f10300ma	Party choice elections 2019, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)	discrete	numeric-8.0	3077	1956	-
128	Q15	Who people vote for makes a big difference	discrete	numeric-8.0	4966	67	-
129	<u>f15130a</u>	Left-right placement - FDP/ PLR	continuous	numeric-8.0	4250	783	-
130	f15130c	Left-right placement - SP/PS	continuous	numeric-8.0	4336	697	-
131	<u>f15130d</u>	Left-right placement - SVP/ UDC	continuous	numeric-8.0	4177	856	-
132	<u>f15130e</u>	Left-right placement - GPS/ PES	continuous	numeric-8.0	4271	762	-
133	<u>f15130f</u>	Left-right placement - GLP/ PVL	continuous	numeric-8.0	4174	859	-
134	<u>f15130h</u>	Left-right placement - Lega	continuous	numeric-8.0	487	4546	-
135	<u>f15130i</u>	Left-right placement - MCG	continuous	numeric-8.0	514	4519	-
136	<u>f15130j</u>	Left-right placement - The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)	continuous	numeric-8.0	4272	761	-
137	<u>f15200</u>	Left-right placement - Self	continuous	numeric-8.0	4493	540	-
138	<u>f13700</u>	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-8.0	4975	58	-
139	<u>f14000</u>	Party closeness	discrete	numeric-8.0	4965	68	-
140	<u>f14050</u>	Does R nevertheless feel somewhat close to a party	discrete	numeric-8.0	2701	2332	-
141	<u>f14010rec</u>	Party R feels close to	discrete	numeric-8.0	3421	1612	-
142	<u>f14010ma</u>	Party R feels close to, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	3421	1612	-
143	<u>f14070</u>	How close does R feel to party	discrete	numeric-8.0	3409	1624	-
144	Q24	Percentage of women in parliament	discrete	numeric-8.0	4360	673	-
145	<u>Q25a</u>	Better suited to lead in pandemic: men/women	discrete	numeric-8.0	4968	65	-
146	<u>Q25b</u>	Better suited to lead in economic crisis: men/women	discrete	numeric-8.0	4982	51	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
147	Q26b	How well does political system guarantee adequate healthcare for all citizens	discrete	numeric-8.0	4782	251	-
148	Q27a	How did coronavirus pandemic affect how united society is in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4699	334	-
149	<u>Q27b</u>	How did coronavirus pandemic affect functioning of democracy in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4497	536	-
150	<u>Q27c</u>	How has coronavirus pandemic affected your personal economic situation	discrete	numeric-8.0	4852	181	-
151	<u>f12500</u>	Participation rate in federal votes out of 10	continuous	numeric-8.0	4957	76	-
152	<u>n13_030a1</u>	Union or employee association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4809	224	-
153	n13_030a2	Union or employee association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4809	224	-
154	n13_030a3	Union or employee association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4809	224	-
155	n13_030a4	Union or employee association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4809	224	-
156	n13_030b1	Economic, professional or farmers' association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4687	346	-
157	n13_030b2	Economic, professional or farmers' association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4687	346	-
158	n13_030b3	Economic, professional or farmers' association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4687	346	-
159	n13_030b4	Economic, professional or farmers' association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4687	346	-
160	n13_030c1	Consumer organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	349	-
161	n13_030c2	Consumer organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	349	-
162	n13_030c3	Consumer organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	349	-
163	n13_030c4	Consumer organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4684	349	-
164	n13_030d1	Human rights or peace organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4769	264	-
165	n13_030d2	Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4769	264	-
166	n13_030d3	Human rights or peace organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4769	264	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
167	n13_030d4	Human rights or peace organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4769	264	-
168	n13_030e1	Environmental organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4722	311	-
169	n13_030e2	Environmental organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4722	311	-
170	n13_030e3	Environmental organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4722	311	-
171	n13_030e4	Environmental organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4722	311	-
172	n13_030f1	Religious or church association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4726	307	-
173	n13_030f2	Religious or church association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4726	307	-
174	n13_030f3	Religious or church association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4726	307	-
175	n13_030f4	Religious or church association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4726	307	-
176	n13_030g1	Political party: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4666	367	-
177	n13_030g2	Political party: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4666	367	-
178	n13_030g3	Political party: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4666	367	-
179	<u>n13_030g4</u>	Political party: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4666	367	-
180	<u>f14081rec</u>	Party R is member of	discrete	numeric-8.0	217	4816	-
181	f14081ma	Party R is member of, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	217	4816	-
182	<u>f14400a</u>	Probability to vote - FDP/ PLR	continuous	numeric-8.0	4608	425	-
183	<u>f14400c</u>	Probability to vote - SP/PS	continuous	numeric-8.0	4576	457	-
184	<u>f14400d</u>	Probability to vote - SVP/ UDC	continuous	numeric-8.0	4636	397	-
185	<u>f14400e</u>	Probability to vote - GPS/ PES	continuous	numeric-8.0	4543	490	-
186	<u>f14400f</u>	Probability to vote - GLP/ PVL	continuous	numeric-8.0	4507	526	-
187	<u>f14400h</u>	Probability to vote - Lega	continuous	numeric-8.0	563	4470	-
188	<u>f14400i</u>	Probability to vote - MCG	continuous	numeric-8.0	616	4417	-
189	<u>f14400j</u>	Probability to vote - The Centre (former CVP/BDP)	continuous	numeric-8.0	4559	474	-
190	<u>f12026</u>	For two candidates with same qualifications, R chooses male or female candidate	discrete	numeric-8.0	4964	69	-

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
191	<u>f13322</u>	How often did R discuss about elections with family in her/his childhood	discrete	numeric-8.0	4991	42	-
192	f12900rec	Father's party choice when R was 14 years old	discrete	numeric-8.0	2496	2537	-
193	f12900ma	Father's party choice when R was 14 years old, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)	discrete	numeric-8.0	2496	2537	-
194	<u>f12905rec</u>	Mother's party choice when R was 14 years old	discrete	numeric-8.0	2012	3021	-
195	f12905ma	Mother's party choice when R was 14 years old, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)	discrete	numeric-8.0	2012	3021	-
196	<u>f15420</u>	Opinion on social spending	discrete	numeric-8.0	4950	83	-
197	<u>f15430</u>	Opinion on EU membership	discrete	numeric-8.0	4957	76	-
198	<u>f15435</u>	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-8.0	4944	89	-
199	<u>f15440</u>	Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners	discrete	numeric-8.0	4970	63	-
200	<u>f15470</u>	Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth	discrete	numeric-8.0	4971	62	-
201	<u>f15480</u>	Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	numeric-8.0	4986	47	-
202	<u>f15485</u>	Opinion on modern vs traditional Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4978	55	-
203	f15811	Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women	discrete	numeric-8.0	4976	57	-
204	<u>f15815</u>	Opinion on introducing a minimum wage of 4000 CHF	discrete	numeric-8.0	4953	80	-
205	<u>f15816</u>	Opinion on introducing voting rights for foreigners on municipal level	discrete	numeric-8.0	4953	80	-
206	<u>f15817</u>	Opinion on equal rights for same-sex couples	discrete	numeric-8.0	4930	103	-
207	<u>f15900</u>	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council	discrete	numeric-8.0	4967	66	-
208	f15900rec	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4967	66	-
209	<u>f16300</u>	Knowledge: Party with most seats in National Council before elections	discrete	numeric-8.0	4944	89	-
210	<u>f16300rec</u>	Knowledge: Party with most seats in National Council before elections, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4944	89	-

File	File Selects2023_PES_v1.0								
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question		
211	f16312	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893	discrete	numeric-8.0	4945	88	-		
212	f16312rec	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4945	88	-		
213	<u>f16313</u>	Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4938	95	-		
214	f16313rec	Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4938	95	-		
215	<u>f16314</u>	Visual Knowledge: Party of minister in the photo (Karin Keller-Sutter)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4438	595	-		
216	f16314rec	Visual Knowledge: Party of minister in the photo (Karin Keller-Sutter), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4438	595	-		
217	<u>f20300</u>	Year since R lives in current canton	continuous	numeric-8.0	4919	114	-		
218	<u>E11</u>	Current marital status	discrete	numeric-8.0	4990	43	-		
219	<u>f20500</u>	Household size	discrete	numeric-8.0	4959	74	-		
220	<u>f20700</u>	Does R live with a spouse or partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	1667	3366	-		
221	f20710	Number of children under 18 living in household	discrete	numeric-8.0	2362	2671	-		
222	<u>f20221</u>	Main language spoken at home or in family	discrete	numeric-8.0	4971	62	-		
223	<u>f20221_det</u>	Main language spoken at home or in family (detailed)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4971	62	-		
224	<u>f20210rec1</u>	R's nationality at birth - Country 1	discrete	numeric-8.0	4964	69	-		
225	f20210rec2	R's nationality at birth - Country 2	discrete	numeric-8.0	298	4735	-		
226	f20210rec3	R's nationality at birth - Country 3	discrete	numeric-8.0	14	5019	-		
227	<u>f20212</u>	Country R's mother was born in (recoded)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4933	100	-		
228	<u>f20213</u>	Country R's father was born in (recoded)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4909	124	-		
229	f20760	Which religion or denomination does R belong to - Recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	4973	60	-		
230	<u>f20900</u>	How often R attends religious services or other events of a religious community	discrete	numeric-8.0	3446	1587	-		
231	<u>f21310</u>	R's highest level of education	discrete	numeric-8.0	4860	173	-		
232	E6b_lev	Highest diploma - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	1510	3523	-		

File	File Selects2023_PES_v1.0									
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question			
233	<u>f21400</u>	Current employment situation - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	4964	69	-			
234	<u>f26100</u>	Past employment situation - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	1727	3306	-			
235	<u>f21500_f</u>	Position at work (current or past) - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	4100	933	-			
236	<u>f21500</u>	Position at current work - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	2870	2163	-			
237	<u>f24900</u>	Position at past work - R	discrete	numeric-8.0	1230	3803	-			
238	<u>f21550_f</u>	Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - R	continuous	numeric-8.0	464	4569	-			
239	<u>f21550</u>	Number of persons employed at current company - R	continuous	numeric-8.0	325	4708	-			
240	<u>f24950</u>	Number of persons employed at past company - R	continuous	numeric-8.0	139	4894	-			
241	ISCO08pr	ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent	discrete	numeric-8.0	3976	1057	-			
242	ISCO08pr	ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent	discrete	numeric-8.0	2812	2221	-			
243	ISCO08pr	ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Respondent	discrete	numeric-8.0	1164	3869	-			
244	<u>f21700_f</u>	Does/did R work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	3536	1497	-			
245	<u>f21700</u>	Does R work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	2494	2539	-			
246	<u>f25100</u>	Did R work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	1042	3991	-			
247	<u>f21750_f</u>	The main activity sector of R's company (current or past)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4121	912	-			
248	<u>f21750</u>	The main activity sector of R's current company	discrete	numeric-8.0	2884	2149	-			
249	<u>f25150</u>	The main activity sector of R's past company	discrete	numeric-8.0	1237	3796	-			
250	<u>f21800</u>	Who is the main earner in R's household	discrete	numeric-8.0	3275	1758	-			
251	<u>f21910</u>	Highest level of education - Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	1055	3978	-			
252	<u>E6b_lev</u>	Highest diploma - Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	380	4653	-			
253	<u>f22000_f</u>	Employment situation (current or past) - Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	1067	3966	-			
254	<u>f22100_f</u>	Position at work (current or past) - Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	1017	4016	-			
255	<u>f22150_f</u>	Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - Partner	continuous	numeric-8.0	141	4892	-			
256	ISCO08pr	ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	892	4141	-			

File	File Selects2023_PES_v1.0								
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question		
257	ISCO08pr	ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	706	4327	-		
258	ISCO08pr	ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Partner	discrete	numeric-8.0	185	4848	-		
259	<u>f22300 f</u>	Does/did partner work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	835	4198	-		
260	f22350 f	The main activity sector of partner's company (current or past)	discrete	numeric-8.0	986	4047	-		
261	<u>f22910</u>	Highest level of education - Main earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	252	4781	-		
262	<u>E6b_lev</u>	Highest diploma - Main earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	110	4923	-		
263	f23000_f	Employment situation (current or past) - Main earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	244	4789	-		
264	f23100_f	Position at work (current or past) - Main earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	231	4802	-		
265	f23150_f	Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - Main earner	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	4999	-		
266	ISCO08pr	ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	190	4843	-		
267	ISCO08pr	ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	163	4870	-		
268	ISCO08pr	ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner	discrete	numeric-8.0	27	5006	-		
269	f23300_f	Does/did main earner work in the private or public sector	discrete	numeric-8.0	161	4872	-		
270	f23350_f	The main activity sector of main earner's company (current or past)	discrete	numeric-8.0	204	4829	-		
271	<u>f28910</u>	Total gross monthly income of R's household	discrete	numeric-8.0	4744	289	-		
272	<u>f29100</u>	Can R manage with this income (after fixed expenses)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4925	108	-		
273	<u>f21200</u>	Does R rent or own the house or apartment he/she lives in	discrete	numeric-8.0	4935	98	-		
274	class16_r	Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3973	1060	-		
275	class8_r	Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3973	1060	-		
276	class5_r	Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3973	1060	-		
277	class16_p	Partner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	895	4138	-		
278	class8_p	Partner's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	895	4138	-		
279	class5_p	Partner's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	895	4138	-		

File Selects2023_PES_v1.0								
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question	
280	class16 h	Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	191	4842	-	
281	class8_h	Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	191	4842	-	
282	class5_h	Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	191	4842	-	
283	class16	Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 16 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	4249	784	-	
284	class8	Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 8 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	4249	784	-	
285	class5	Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 5 classes	discrete	numeric-1.0	4249	784	-	
286	birthcou	R's country of birth from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-	
287	hhsize_s	Household size from FSO sample	continuous	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-	
288	lingreg	Linguistic region from FSO sample	discrete	character-2	5033	0	-	
289	canton_s	Canton from FSO sample	discrete	character-2	5033	0	-	
290	bigregio	Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-	
291	smt3	Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-	
292	smt9	Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-	
293	msize	Municipality size (8 categories, FSO 2022)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5033	0	-	
294	weight d	Design weight: cantonal oversampling	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	
295	weight_d_t	Weight: Design * Turnout	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	
296	weight_d_p	Weight: Design * Party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	
297	weight tot	Total weight: design * turnout * party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	
298	weight_t	Turnout weight for canton of Zurich	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	
299	weight p	Party weight for canton of Zurich	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	
300	weight t	Total weight for canton of Zurich: turnout * party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	
301	weight t	Turnout weight for canton of Ticino	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	
302	weight_p	Party weight for canton of Ticino	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	
303	weight_t	Total weight for canton of Ticino: turnout * party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-	

File	File Selects2023_PES_v1.0								
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question		
304	weight t	Turnout weight for canton of Geneva	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-		
305	weight p	Party weight for canton of Geneva	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-		
306	weight t	Total weight for canton of Geneva: turnout * party choice	continuous	numeric-8.2	5033	0	-		

Variables Description

Dataset contains 306 variable(s)

userid: Personal ID

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1001-6033] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

langint: Language of interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	3076	61.1%
2	French	1301	25.8%
3	Italian	656	13.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

imode: Mode of interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Web	4512	89.6%
2	Paper	521	10.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

indate_paper: Date of reception (paper questionnaire)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=521 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-11-18		1	0.2%
2023-11-20		114	21.9%
2023-11-21		129	24.8%
2023-11-22		26	5.0%
2023-11-23		83	15.9%
2023-11-24		4	0.8%
2023-11-27		12	2.3%
2023-11-28		28	5.4%
2023-11-29		27	5.2%
2023-11-30		10	1.9%
2023-12-04		7	1.3%
2023-12-05		7	1.3%
2023-12-06		8	1.5%
2023-12-07		6	1.2%
2023-12-11		14	2.7%
2023-12-12		11	2.1%
2023-12-13		4	0.8%
2023-12-14		7	1.3%
2023-12-18		3	0.6%
2023-12-19		2	0.4%
2023-12-22		3	0.6%

indate_paper: Date of reception (paper questionnaire)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2024-01-04		14	2.7%
2024-01-11		1	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#language_paper: Language paper questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=521 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
DE		294	56.4%
FR		158	30.3%
IT		69	13.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

intstart: Interview start date

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4512 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2023-10-23		886		19.6%
2023-10-24		653	14.5	%
2023-10-25		364	8.1%	
2023-10-26		243	5.4%	
2023-10-27		162	3.6%	
2023-10-28		123	2.7%	
2023-10-29		191	4.2%	
2023-10-30		135	3.0%	
2023-10-31		97	2.1%	
2023-11-01		103	2.3%	
2023-11-02		82	1.8%	
2023-11-03		281	6.2%	
2023-11-04		187	4.1%	
2023-11-05		209	4.6%	
2023-11-06		138	3.1%	
2023-11-07		97	2.1%	
2023-11-08		44	1.0%	
2023-11-09		41	0.9%	
2023-11-10		25	0.6%	
2023-11-11		21	0.5%	
2023-11-12		40	0.9%	
2023-11-13		33	0.7%	
2023-11-14		27	0.6%	
2023-11-15		15	0.3%	
2023-11-16		31	0.7%	
2023-11-17		27	0.6%	
2023-11-18		16	0.4%	

intstart: Interview start date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023-11-19		27	0.6%
2023-11-20		18	0.4%
2023-11-21		10	0.2%
2023-11-22		11	0.2%
2023-11-23		7	0.2%
2023-11-24		9	0.2%
2023-11-25		5	0.1%
2023-11-26		11	0.2%
2023-11-27		12	0.3%
2023-11-28		4	0.1%
2023-11-29		4	0.1%
2023-11-30		2	0.0%
2023-12-01		3	0.1%
2023-12-02		2	0.0%
2023-12-03		2	0.0%
2023-12-04		4	0.1%
2023-12-05		20	0.4%
2023-12-06		19	0.4%
2023-12-07		9	0.2%
2023-12-08		7	0.2%
2023-12-09		2	0.0%
2023-12-10		6	0.1%
2023-12-11		4	0.1%
2023-12-12		8	0.2%
2023-12-13		1	0.0%
2023-12-14		2	0.0%
2023-12-15		1	0.0%
2023-12-16		2	0.0%
2023-12-17		3	0.1%
2023-12-18		1	0.0%
2023-12-19		4	0.1%
2023-12-20		1	0.0%
2023-12-22		1	0.0%
2023-12-24		2	0.0%
2023-12-26		1	0.0%
2023-12-27		1	0.0%
2023-12-28		2	0.0%
2024-01-01		1	0.0%
2024-01-02		2	0.0%
2024-01-03		3	0.1%
2024-01-04		3	0.1%
2024-01-05		3	0.1%
2024-01-07		1	0.0%
Warning: these figur	es indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summa	ary statistics of the	population of interest.

#intend: Interview end date

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

 Statistics [NW/W]
 [Valid=4512 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2023-10-23		870		19.3%
2023-10-24		641	14.2%	
2023-10-25		355	7.9%	
2023-10-26		247	5.5%	
2023-10-27		164	3.6%	
2023-10-28		121	2.7%	
2023-10-29		189	4.2%	
2023-10-30		128	2.8%	
2023-10-31		96	2.1%	
2023-11-01		104	2.3%	
2023-11-02		79	1.8%	
2023-11-03		285	6.3%	
2023-11-04		190	4.2%	
2023-11-05		213	4.7%	
2023-11-06		141	3.1%	
2023-11-07		97	2.1%	
2023-11-08		43	1.0%	
2023-11-09		44	1.0%	
2023-11-10		27	0.6%	
2023-11-11		21	0.5%	
2023-11-12		43	1.0%	
2023-11-13		35	0.8%	
2023-11-14		30	0.7%	
2023-11-15		15	0.3%	
2023-11-16		35	0.8%	
2023-11-17		34	0.8%	
2023-11-18		17	0.4%	
2023-11-19		25	0.6%	
2023-11-20		19	0.4%	
2023-11-21		11	0.2%	
2023-11-22		11	0.2%	
2023-11-23		7	0.2%	
2023-11-24		9	0.2%	
2023-11-25		7	0.2%	
2023-11-26		11	0.2%	
2023-11-27		12	0.3%	
2023-11-28		4	0.1%	
2023-11-29		4	0.1%	
2023-11-30		2	0.0%	
2023-12-01		3	0.1%	
2023-12-02		1	0.0%	

intend: Interview end date

2023-12-03 3 2023-12-04 4 2023-12-05 23 2023-12-06 18 2023-12-07 10 2023-12-08 7 2023-12-09 2 2023-12-10 6 2023-12-11 3 2023-12-12 9 2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1 2023-12-19 3	0.4	% 5% 4% 2%
2023-12-05 23 2023-12-06 18 2023-12-07 10 2023-12-08 7 2023-12-09 2 2023-12-10 6 2023-12-11 3 2023-12-12 9 2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.2 0.2 0.2	5% 4% 2%
2023-12-06 18 2023-12-07 10 2023-12-08 7 2023-12-09 2 2023-12-10 6 2023-12-11 3 2023-12-12 9 2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.4	4% 2%
2023-12-07 10 2023-12-08 7 2023-12-09 2 2023-12-10 6 2023-12-11 3 2023-12-12 9 2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.2	2%
2023-12-08 7 2023-12-09 2 2023-12-10 6 2023-12-11 3 2023-12-12 9 2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.2	
2023-12-09 2 2023-12-10 6 2023-12-11 3 2023-12-12 9 2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.0	2%
2023-12-10 6 2023-12-11 3 2023-12-12 9 2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1		
2023-12-11 3 2023-12-12 9 2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.1	1%
2023-12-12 9 2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.1	1%
2023-12-13 2 2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.1	%
2023-12-14 2 2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.2	2%
2023-12-15 1 2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.0	1%
2023-12-16 3 2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.0	1%
2023-12-17 3 2023-12-18 1	0.0	%
2023-12-18	0.1	%
	0.1	%
2023-12-19 3	0.0	%
	0.1	%
2023-12-20 2	0.0	1%
2023-12-22	0.0	%
2023-12-24	0.0	9%
2023-12-26	0.0	%
2023-12-27	0.0	%
2023-12-28	0.0	1%
2024-01-01	0.0	%
2024-01-02	0.0	1%
2024-01-03	0.1	%
2024-01-04	0.1	%
2024-01-05	0.1	%
2024-01-07	0.0	1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Finished: Web questionnaire fully completed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4512 /-] [Invalid=521 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
0	no	29	0.6%		
1	yes	4483	99.4%		
Sysmiss 521					
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

Completion_Rate: Completion rate of web questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4512 /-] [Invalid=521 /-]

Completion_Rate: Completion rate of web questionnaire

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	50-80% completed	19	0.4%
2	>80% completed	4493	99.6%
Sysmiss		521	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

totaltime: Total interview length in minutes

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7-58270.9666666667] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4512 /-] [Invalid=521 /-] [Mean=322.673 /-] [StdDev=2632.86 /-]

UserLanguage: User language web questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4512 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
DE		2782	61.7%
FR		1143	25.3%
IT		587	13.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: Sex according to official documents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5025 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2415	48.1%
2	Female	2610	51.9%
-99	No answer	8	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

birthyear: Year of birth

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1921-2005] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1921-2005] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5011 /-] [Invalid=22 /-] [Mean=1971.136 /-] [StdDev=17.997 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	22	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: Age in years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-102] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5011 /-] [Invalid=22 /-] [Mean=51.864 /-] [StdDev=17.997 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	22	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

agecat: Age in categories

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5011 /-] [Invalid=22 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	412	8.2%
2	25-34	651	13.0%
3	35-44	724	14.4%
4	45-54	810	16.2%
5	55-64	1026	20.5%
6	65-74	843	16.8%
7	75+	545	10.9%
-99	No answer	22	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f10000: Canton in which R has the right to vote in current national election (2023)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -96-26] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percenta	ige
-96	No answer	0		
1	Zurich	550		10.9%
2	Bern	436		8.7%
3	Lucerne	173	3.4%	
4	Uri	83	1.6%	
5	Schwyz	104	2.1%	
6	Obwalden	109	2.2%	
7	Nidwalden	97	1.9%	
8	Glarus	84	1.7%	
9	Zug	87	1.7%	
10	Fribourg	117	2.3%	
11	Solothurn	140	2.8%	
12	Basel-Stadt	77	1.5%	
13	Basel-Landschaft	157	3.1%	
14	Schaffhausen	92	1.8%	
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	100	2.0%	
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	109	2.2%	
17	St. Gallen	165	3.3%	
18	GraubÃ1/4nden	140	2.8%	
19	Aargau	226	4.5%	
20	Thurgau	100	2.0%	
21	Ticino	670		13.3%
22	Vaud	218	4.3%	
23	Valais	147	2.9%	
24	Neuchâtel	109	2.2%	
25	Geneva	670		13.3%

#f10000: Canton in which R has the right to vote in current national election (2023)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26	Jura	73	1.5%
-99	No answer	0	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f10100: Political interest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5019 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	353	7.0%
2	Rather not interested	1577	31.4%
3	Rather interested	2305	45.9%
4	Very interested	784	15.6%
-99	No answer	13	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4621 /-] [Invalid=412 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	62	1.3%	
2	Other old age provisions	80	1.7%	
3	Unemployment	7	0.2%	
4	Poverty	25	0.5%	
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	188	4.1%	
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	828		17.9%
7	Drugs, addictions	0		
8	EU, Europe	185	4.0%	
9	Extremism	0		
10	Finances	16	0.3%	
11	Refugees	67	1.4%	
12	Xenophobia, racism	15	0.3%	
13	Health system	264	5.7%	
14	Globalisation	1	0.0%	
15	Youth unemployement	1	0.0%	
16	Integration of immigrants	6	0.1%	
17	Climate	492	10.6%	
18	Consociationalism	11	0.2%	
19	Health insurance	356	7.7%	
20	Crime	6	0.1%	
21	Neutrality	130	2.8%	

#f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	Politicians	62	1.3%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	28	0.6%
25	Direct democracy	5	0.1%
27	Party polarisation	64	1.4%
28	Security	27	0.6%
29	Social security	8	0.2%
30	Social inequalities	24	0.5%
31	Taxes	15	0.3%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	179	3.9%
34	Economic development	1	0.0%
35	Media	2	0.0%
36	Renewable energies	13	0.3%
37	Economic/financial crisis	11	0.2%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	0	
39	Nuclear energy	2	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	5	0.1%
41	Banks, banking crisis	3	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	20	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	3	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	15	0.3%
45	Economy, economic policy	56	1.2%
46	Education	17	0.4%
47	Social policy, welfare state	41	0.9%
48	Family policy, upbringing	7	0.2%
49	Labour market, workplace security	61	1.3%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	31	0.7%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	0	
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	30	0.6%
54	Vandalism, violence	0	
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gothard tunnel	0	
58	Future	2	0.0%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	83	1.8%
61	Energy, energy policy	129	2.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	18	0.4%
63	Swiss People's Party	14	0.3%
64	Equal rights	13	0.3%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	28	0.6%

#f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	11	0.2%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	4	0.1%
68	Society in general	0	
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	71	1.5%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	37	0.8%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	1	0.0%
73	Army	7	0.2%
74	Aging population, demographics	21	0.5%
75	Bureaucracy	12	0.3%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	9	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	33	0.7%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	0	
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independece, autonomy	20	0.4%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	345	7.5%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	11	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	24	0.5%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	1	0.0%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	3	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	41	0.9%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	7	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	2	0.0%
102	Capitalism	5	0.1%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	2	0.0%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	3	0.1%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	1	0.0%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	31	0.7%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	10	0.2%
110	Wars, peace policy	112	2.4%
111	Islam, islamism	1	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	10	0.2%
113	Populism	15	0.3%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	3	0.1%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	5	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	1	0.0%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	

#f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	1	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	1	0.0%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	1	0.0%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	309	
98	Don't know	103	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4621 /-] [Invalid=412 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Agriculture	1	0.0%	
2	Economy	422	9.1%	
3	Education & culture	17	0.4%	
4	Environment & energy	820	17.7%	5
5	EU, Europe	205	4.4%	
6	Finances & taxes	31	0.7%	
7	Gender issues & discrimination	22	0.5%	
8	Immigration & asylum	1123		24.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	343	7.4%	
10	Labour market	70	1.5%	
11	Law & order	34	0.7%	
12	Political system, parties & politicians	338	7.3%	
13	Public health	620	13.4%	
14	Public services & infrastructure	57	1.2%	
15	Regions & national cohesion	48	1.0%	
16	Social security/welfare state	412	8.9%	
17	Other problems	46	1.0%	
18	No problem	7	0.2%	
19	COVID-19 pandemic	5	0.1%	
-99	No answer	309		
98	Don't know	103		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3670 /-] [Invalid=1363 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	451	12.3%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	538	14.7%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	733	20.0%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1127	30.7%	

f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	314	8.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	231	6.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	35	1.0%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	37	1.0%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	10	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	23	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	21	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	24	0.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / $E\tilde{A}$ G - Ensemble \tilde{A}	29	0.8%
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	0.1%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	5	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	0.1%
350	Other party	5	0.1%
404	Several parties	47	1.3%
405	All parties together, all	33	0.9%
406	Left parties (several)	2	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	23	
994	No party / rather persons	82	
995	Other answer	20	
998	Don't know	929	
Sysmiss		309	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3670 /-] [Invalid=1363 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	451	12.3%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	538	14.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	733	20.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1127	30.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	314	8.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	231	6.3%
350	Other/several/all parties	276	7.5%
-99	No party / rather persons, no answer, other answer	125	
998	Don't know	929	
Sysmiss		309	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4451 /-] [Invalid=582 /-]

#f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	105	2.4%	
2	Other old age provisions	161	3.6%	
3	Unemployment	12	0.3%	
4	Poverty	42	0.9%	
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	102	2.3%	
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	485		10.9%
7	Drugs, addictions	2	0.0%	
8	EU, Europe	113	2.5%	
9	Extremism	1	0.0%	
10	Finances	21	0.5%	
11	Refugees	77	1.7%	
12	Xenophobia, racism	14	0.3%	
13	Health system	323	7.3	3%
14	Globalisation	4	0.1%	
15	Youth unemployement	5	0.1%	
16	Integration of immigrants	20	0.4%	
17	Climate	349		7.8%
18	Consociationalism	15	0.3%	
19	Health insurance	324	7.3	3%
20	Crime	17	0.4%	
21	Neutrality	60	1.3%	
22	Politicians	44	1.0%	
23	Political style	0		
24	Political system	32	0.7%	
25	Direct democracy	2	0.0%	
27	Party polarisation	8	0.2%	
28	Security	46	1.0%	
29	Social security	5	0.1%	
30	Social inequalities	34	0.8%	
31	Taxes	16	0.4%	
32	National fiscal equalisation	0		
33	Environment	273	6.1%	
34	Economic development	4	0.1%	
35	Media	5	0.1%	
36	Renewable energies	9	0.2%	
37	Economic/financial crisis	4	0.1%	
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	5	0.1%	
39	Nuclear energy	0		
40	Transportation, traffic	39	0.9%	
41	Banks, banking crisis	6	0.1%	
42	Lobbying, money in politics	30	0.7%	
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	7	0.2%	
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	14	0.3%	

#f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	Economy, economic policy	56	1.3%
46	Education	53	1.2%
47	Social policy, welfare state	84	1.9%
48	Family policy, upbringing	21	0.5%
49	Labour market, workplace security	92	2.1%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	71	1.6%
51	Fear, politics of fear	2	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	20	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	2	0.0%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	2	0.0%
57	2nd Gothard tunnel	0	
58	Future	2	0.0%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	44	1.0%
61	Energy, energy policy	197	4.4%
62	Cross-border commuters	26	0.6%
63	Swiss People's Party	9	0.2%
64	Equal rights	55	1.2%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	22	0.5%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	6	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	3	0.1%
68	Society in general	3	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	65	1.5%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	70	1.6%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	18	0.4%
73	Army	20	0.4%
74	Aging population, demographics	31	0.7%
75	Bureaucracy	11	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	7	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	31	0.7%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	5	0.1%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independece, autonomy	6	0.1%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	264	5.9%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	7	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	23	0.5%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	4	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	3	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	

#f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Other	61	1.4%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	8	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	5	0.1%
102	Capitalism	10	0.2%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	9	0.2%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	19	0.4%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	9	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	22	0.5%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	23	0.5%
110	Wars, peace policy	82	1.8%
111	Islam, islamism	1	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	5	0.1%
113	Populism	8	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	8	0.2%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	5	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	2	0.0%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	1	0.0%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	160	
98	Don't know	113	
Sysmiss		309	
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as su	mmary statistics of the	population of interest.

#f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4451 /-] [Invalid=582 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Agriculture	18	0.4%	
2	Economy	353	7.9%	
3	Education & culture	54	1.2%	
4	Environment & energy	844		19.0%
5	EU, Europe	135	3.0%	
6	Finances & taxes	37	0.8%	
7	Gender issues & discrimination	63	1.4%	
8	Immigration & asylum	725		16.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	252	5.7%	

#f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Labour market	119	2.7%
11	Law & order	72	1.6%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	261	5.9%
13	Public health	649	14.6%
14	Public services & infrastructure	128	2.9%
15	Regions & national cohesion	38	0.9%
16	Social security/welfare state	623	14.0%
17	Other problems	69	1.6%
18	No problem	8	0.2%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	3	0.1%
-99	No answer	160	
98	Don't know	113	
Sysmiss		309	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3441 /-] [Invalid=1592 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	477	13.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	521	15.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	812	23.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	871	25.39
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	292	8.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	223	6.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	38	1.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	32	0.9%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	10	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	18	0.5%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	18	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	26	0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E \tilde{A} G - Ensemble \tilde{A}	28	0.8%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	1	0.0%
350	Other party	3	0.1%
404	Several parties	28	0.8%
405	All parties together, all	38	1.1%
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.0%
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	52	
994	No party / rather persons	52	
995	Other answer	9	

f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	Don't know	974	
Sysmiss		505	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3441 /-] [Invalid=1592 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	477	13.9%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	521	15.1%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	812	23	8.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	871		25.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	292	8.5%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	223	6.5%	
350	Other/several/all parties	245	7.1%	
-99	No party / rather persons, no answer, other answer	113		
998	Don't know	974		
Sysmiss		505		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q03: I understand the most important political issues of this country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4936 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	155	3.1%
2	Rather disagree	590	12.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	771	15.6%
4	Rather agree	2608	52.8%
5	Strongly agree	812	16.5%
-99	No answer	97	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q04a: Democracy is always preferable to any other kind of government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4952 /-] [Invalid=81 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	101	2.0%
2	Rather disagree	179	3.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	365	7.4%
4	Rather agree	1194	24.1%
5	Strongly agree	3113	62.9%
-99	No answer	80	

Q04a: Democracy is always preferable to any other kind of government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q04b: The courts should be able to stop the government acting beyond its authority

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4945 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	311	6.3%	
2	Rather disagree	551	11.1%	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	831	16.8%	
4	Rather agree	1900		38.4%
5	Strongly agree	1352	27.3%	
-99	No answer	87		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q04c: Having a strong leader in govt is good for CH even if s/he bends the rules

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4945 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1186	24.0%
2	Rather disagree	1321	26.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	795	16.1%
4	Rather agree	1243	25.1%
5	Strongly agree	400	8.1%
-99	No answer	88	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q04d: Policies to increase the representation of women in politics have gone too far

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4955 /-] [Invalid=78 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly disagree	1265		25.5%
2	Rather disagree	1079		21.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1485		30.0%
4	Rather agree	768	15.5%	
5	Strongly agree	358	7.2%	
-99	No answer	78		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		

Q04d: Policies to increase the representation of women in politics have gone too far

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q05a: Country better run: successful business leaders

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4779 /-] [Invalid=254 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2333	48.8%
2	Rather disagree	1153	24.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	745	15.6%
4	Rather agree	442	9.2%
5	Strongly agree	106	2.2%
-99	No answer	254	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q05b: Country better run: independent experts

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=4811 /-] [Invalid=222 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1246	25.9%
2	Rather disagree	1041	21.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	973	20.2%
4	Rather agree	1298	27.0%
5	Strongly agree	253	5.3%
-99	No answer	221	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q05c: Country better run: citizens in referendums

Information[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]Statistics [NW/ W][Valid=4885 /-] [Invalid=148 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	530	10.8%
2	Rather disagree	963	19.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	882	18.1%
4	Rather agree	1680	34.4%
5	Strongly agree	830	17.0%
-99	No answer	147	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q06: How democratic is Switzerland

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]

Q06: How democratic is Switzerland

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4808 /-] [Invalid=225 /-]

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
0	0 - not at all democratic	16	0.3%		
1	1	36	0.7%		
2	2	20	0.4%		
3	3	50	1.0%		
4	4	58	1.2%		
5	5	230	4.8%		
6	6	213	4.4%		
7	7	614		12.8%	
8	8	1255			26.1%
9	9	1079			22.4%
10	10 - completely democratic	1237			25.7%
-99	No answer	38			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
98	Don't know	187			
Warning: these f	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	preted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.		

#f12800a: Trust: Federal Council

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=4939 /-] [Invalid=94 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	166	3.4%
2	Do not trust very much	984	19.9%
3	Trust somewhat	3122	63.2%
4	Trust a lot	667	13.5%
-99	No answer	94	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
	uses indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary		nonviction of interest

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=4948 /-] [Invalid=85 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Do not trust at all	153	3.1%			
2	Do not trust very much	1227	24.8%			
3	Trust somewhat	3125	63.2%			
4	Trust a lot	443	9.0%			
-99	No answer	85				
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0				
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0				
Warning: these figures	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

#f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4916 /-] [Invalid=117 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	273	5.6%
2	Do not trust very much	2220	45.2%
3	Trust somewhat	2326	47.3%
4	Trust a lot	97	2.0%
-99	No answer	113	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12800g: Trust: Justice/Courts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4933 /-] [Invalid=100 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	147	3.0%
2	Do not trust very much	982	19.9%
3	Trust somewhat	3034	61.5%
4	Trust a lot	770	15.6%
-99	No answer	100	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12800j: Trust: Scientists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4917 /-] [Invalid=116 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	e
1	Do not trust at all	196	4.0%	
2	Do not trust very much	924	18.8%	
3	Trust somewhat	2742		55.8%
4	Trust a lot	1055	21.5%	
-99	No answer	116		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12800l: Trust: Traditional media

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4952 /-] [Invalid=81 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	852	17.2%
2	Do not trust very much	2023	40.9%
3	Trust somewhat	1899	38.3%

#f12800l: Trust: Traditional media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Trust a lot	178	3.6%
-99	No answer	80	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12800m: Trust: Social media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4946 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not trust at all	3173	64.2%
2	Do not trust very much	1558	31.5%
3	Trust somewhat	199	4.0%
4	Trust a lot	16	0.3%
-99	No answer	86	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q08a: Government performance: General

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4933 /-] [Invalid=100 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very bad job	137	2.8%
2	Bad job	896	18.2%
3	Good job	3529	71.5%
4	Very good job	371	7.5%
-99	No answer	96	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q08b: Government performance: Pandemic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4976 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very bad job	430	8.6%
2	Bad job	810	16.3%
3	Good job	2732	54.9%
4	Very good job	1004	20.2%
-99	No answer	56	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#f14610: Evaluation of evolution of economy in last 12 months

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4957 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
1	Gotten much worse	908		18.3%	
2	Gotten somewhat worse	2305			46.5%
3	Stayed about the same	1026		20.7%	
4	Gotten somewhat better	648	13.1	%	
5	Gotten much better	70	1.4%		
-99	No answer	76			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f14620: Evaluation of evolution of personal financial situation in last 12 months

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4979 /-] [Invalid=54 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much worse	766	15.4%
2	Gotten somewhat worse	1769	35.5%
3	Stayed about the same	1904	38.2%
4	Gotten somewhat better	422	8.5%
5	Gotten much better	118	2.4%
-99	No answer	54	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15656: Expected national economic conditions in next 12 months

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4972 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percen	tage
1	Worsen significantly	340	6.8%	
2	Worsen slightly	1904		38.3%
3	Remain about the same	2277		45.8%
4	Improve slightly	427	8.6%	
5	Improve significantly	24	0.5%	
-99	No answer	60		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f13306: How often R discussed about elections with family, friends, colleagues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5004 /-] [Invalid=29 /-]

f13306: How often R discussed about elections with family, friends, colleagues

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	718	14.3%
2	Rarely	1491	29.8%
3	Sometimes	1994	39.8%
4	Often	801	16.0%
-99	No answer	28	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13660a: Sources of information: ads/letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=139 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3247	66.3%
1	Yes	1647	33.7%
-99	No answer	139	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13660b: Sources of information: posters in the street

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4858 /-] [Invalid=175 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3436	70.7%
1	Yes	1422	29.3%
-99	No answer	175	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f13660c: Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4862 /-] [Invalid=171 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3909	80.4%
1	Yes	953	19.6%
-99	No answer	171	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#f13660d: Sources of information: party stands in the streets

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4842 /-] [Invalid=191 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4491	92.8%
1	Yes	351	7.2%
-99	No answer	191	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13660e: Sources of information: political events of parties

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4855 /-] [Invalid=178 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4604	94.8%
1	Yes	251	5.2%
-99	No answer	178	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13660f: Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4872 /-] [Invalid=161 /-]

Statistics [NW/W]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3643	74.8%
1	Yes	1229	25.2%
-99	No answer	161	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f13660g: Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote

[Valid=4848 /-] [Invalid=185 /-]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3884	80.1%
1	Yes	964	19.9%
-99	No answer	185	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f13660i: Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4926 /-] [Invalid=107 /-]

f13660i: Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2301	46.7%
1	Yes	2625	53.3%
-99	No answer	107	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13660j: Sources of information: discussions at work or school

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4842 /-] [Invalid=191 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3244	67.0%
1	Yes	1598	33.0%
-99	No answer	191	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13660k: Sources of information: discussions with family and friends

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4917 /-] [Invalid=116 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1265	25.7%
1	Yes	3652	74.3%
-99	No answer	116	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13660l: Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4862 /-] [Invalid=171 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3687	75.8%
1	Yes	1175	24.2%
-99	No answer	171	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13660m: Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4854 /-] [Invalid=179 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4777	98.4%
1	Yes	77	1.6%

#f13660m: Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	179	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13660n: Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4894 /-] [Invalid=139 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2667	54.5%
1	Yes	2227	45.5%
-99	No answer	139	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q02a: Media usage: Watch news on a public television broadcaster

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4668 /-] [Invalid=365 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None / zero days	1609	34.5%	
1	One day	979	21.0%	
2	Two days	691	14.8%	
3	Three days	435	9.3%	
4	Four days	263	5.6%	
5	Five days	275	5.9%	
6	Six days	121	2.6%	
7	Seven days	295	6.3%	
-99	No answer	120		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
98	Don't know	242	10.00	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q02b: Media usage: Watch news on a private television broadcaster

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4546 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None / zero days	3428	75.4%
1	One day	452	9.9%
2	Two days	264	5.8%
3	Three days	153	3.4%
4	Four days	82	1.8%
5	Five days	76	1.7%
6	Six days	30	0.7%
7	Seven days	61	1.3%

Q02b: Media usage: Watch news on a private television broadcaster

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	294	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	189	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

Q02c: Media usage: Listen to the news on radio

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4550 /-] [Invalid=483 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentag	ge
0	None / zero days	2116		46.5%
1	One day	636	14.0%	
2	Two days	485	10.7%	
3	Three days	399	8.8%	
4	Four days	241	5.3%	
5	Five days	289	6.4%	
6	Six days	109	2.4%	
7	Seven days	275	6.0%	
-99	No answer	289		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
98	Don't know	194		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q02d: Media usage: Read newspapers

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4638 /-] [Invalid=395 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None / zero days	1476	31.8%
1	One day	781	16.8%
2	Two days	585	12.6%
3	Three days	461	9.9%
4	Four days	292	6.3%
5	Five days	329	7.1%
6	Six days	255	5.5%
7	Seven days	459	9.9%
-99	No answer	225	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	169	

Q02e: Media usage: Online news sites

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4533 /-] [Invalid=500 /-]

Q02e: Media usage: Online news sites

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
0	None / zero days	3279	72.3%		
1	One day	424	9.4%		
2	Two days	275	6.1%		
3	Three days	180	4.0%		
4	Four days	111	2.4%		
5	Five days	104	2.3%		
6	Six days	42	0.9%		
7	Seven days	118	2.6%		
-99	No answer	312			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
98	Don't know	187			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

Q02f: Media usage: Social media

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4553 /-] [Invalid=480 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None / zero days	3672	80.7%
1	One day	325	7.1%
2	Two days	180	4.0%
3	Three days	117	2.6%
4	Four days	79	1.7%
5	Five days	71	1.6%
6	Six days	25	0.5%
7	Seven days	84	1.8%
-99	No answer	313	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q02g: Media usage: Social media - per day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=74 /-] [Invalid=4959 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Only about once per day	38	51.4%	
2	Several times per day	33	44.6%	
3	At least ten times per day	3	4.1%	
-99	No answer	1		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
98	Don't know	9		
Sysmiss		4949		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

#f11100: Participation in the 2023 election

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99/-98/-97]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4978 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Did not vote	801	16.1%
2	Thought about voting but did not vote	401	8.1%
3	Usually votes, but not this time	514	10.3%
4	Voted	3262	65.5%
-99	No answer	51	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f11100rec: Participation in the 2023 election, dummy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4978 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	1716	34.5%	
1	Yes	3262	65.5%	
-99	No answer	51		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

training. These figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistic

#f11700: Most important reason to vote

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

 Statistics [NW/W]
 [Valid=3180 /-] [Invalid=1853 /-]

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
1	Tradition, duty or habit	815		25	5.6%
2	Political interest	299	9.4%		
3	Political influence	1090			34.3%
4	Policy support	454		14.3%	
5	Party/Candidate support	514		16.2%	
6	Other	8	0.3%		
-99	No answer	21			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	48			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
Sysmiss		1784			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

#f11301: Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his/her mind

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	281	16.5%
2	No	1418	83.5%
-99	No answer	17	

#f11301: Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his/her mind

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11302: Reason for nonvoting: R isn't interested in politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	521	30.7%
2	No	1178	69.3%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11303: Reason for nonvoting: Elections are too complicated

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	309	18.2%
2	No	1390	81.8%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11304: Reason for nonvoting: R wasn't convinced by any party/candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	366	21.5%
2	No	1333	78.5%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11305: Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	20	1.2%

#f11305: Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	1679	98.8%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11306: Reason for nonvoting: R doesn't know the candidates well enough

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	487	28.7%
2	No	1212	71.3%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11307: Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't take part

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	298	17.5%
2	No	1401	82.5%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f11308: Reason for nonvoting: Elections have no influence on government composition

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	68	4.0%
2	No	1631	96.0%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11309: Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]

#f11309: Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	257	15.1%
2	No	1442	84.9%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11310: Reason for nonvoting: More influence on politics through popular votes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	108	6.4%
2	No	1591	93.6%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11311: Reason for nonvoting: No time/R forgot

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	53	3.1%
2	No	1646	96.9%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11312: Reason for nonvoting: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	200	11.8%
2	No	1499	88.2%
-99	No answer	17	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3317	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11400rec: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]	
---	--

#f11400rec: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1168 /-] [Invalid=3865 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	159	13.6%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	153	13.1%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	221	18.9%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	376		32.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	60	5.1%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	65	5.6%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	14	1.2%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	24	2.1%	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	9	0.8%	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	9	0.8%	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	5	0.4%	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	7	0.6%	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / $E\tilde{A}$ G - Ensemble \tilde{A}	9	0.8%	
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	0.2%	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	0		
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0		
350	Other party	6	0.5%	
402	No party / rather persons	33	2.8%	
404	Several parties	15	1.3%	
405	All parties together, all	0		
406	Left parties (several)	1	0.1%	
407	Right parties (several)	0		
-99	No answer	43		
993	Would have voted blank	0		
995	Other answer	8		
998	Don't know	514		
Sysmiss		3300		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f11400main6: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters, 6 main parties

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=1168 /-] [Invalid=3865 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	159	13.6%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	153	13.1%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	221	18.9%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	376		32.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	60	5.1%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	65	5.6%	
350	Others	134	11.5%	
-99	No answer, other answer	51		
998	Don't know	514		
Sysmiss		3300		

f11400main6: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters, 6 main parties

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f11600: How did R vote

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=3241 /-] [Invalid=1792 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At the ballot box in the polling station	185	5.7%
2	In the municipal letterbox	1656	51.1%
3	Voted by letter (postal voting)	1400	43.2%
-99	No answer	23	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1767	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11605: When did R submit ballot

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

 Statistics [NW/W]
 [Valid=3225 /-] [Invalid=1808 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediately after receiving voting material	349	10.8%
2	A few weeks before the election	790	24.5%
3	A few days before the election	1756	54.4%
4	On election day	291	9.0%
5	Can't remember	39	1.2%
-99	No answer	16	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1792	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11800rec: Vote choice elections 2023

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=3159 /-] [Invalid=1874 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	520	16.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	525	16.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	619	19.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	769	24.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	233	7.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	200	6.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	21	0.7%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	39	1.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	5	0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	51	1.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	21	0.7%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	15	0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E \widetilde{A} G - Ensemble \widetilde{A}	20	0.6%

#f11800rec: Vote choice elections 2023

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	PP - Pirate Party	6	0.2%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	12	0.4%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	11	0.3%
402	Voted for persons, not parties	42	1.3%
404	Voted for several parties	49	1.6%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	0	
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	86	
993	Voted blank	7	
995	Other answer	17	
998	Don't know	9	
Sysmiss		1755	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11800main6: Vote choice 2023, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3159 /-] [Invalid=1874 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	520	16.5%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	525	16.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	619	19.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	769	24.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	233	7.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	200	6.3%
350	Others	293	9.3%
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	110	
998	Don't know	9	
Sysmiss		1755	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11800ZH: Vote choice 2023 in ZH, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99/998]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=375 /-] [Invalid=4658 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	60		16.0%	
21	The Centre (former CVP/BDP)	33	8.8%		
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	89			23.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	92			24.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	20	5.3%		
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	60		16.0%	
350	Others	21	5.6%		
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	8			
998	Don't know	0			

#f11800ZH: Vote choice 2023 in ZH, 6 main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		4650	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11800TI: Vote choice 2023 in TI, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99/998]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=389 /-] [Invalid=4644 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	98	25.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	56	14.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	60	15.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	83	21.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	23	5.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	21	5.4%
350	Others	48	12.3%
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	33	
998	Don't know	3	
Sysmiss		4608	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11800GE: Vote choice 2023 in GE, 8 main parties

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99/998]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=378 /-] [Invalid=4655 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	52	13.8%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	28	7.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	93	24.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	53	14.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	53	14.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	24	6.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	39	10.3%
150	PdA/PdT - Party of Labour / $E\tilde{A}$ G - Ensemble \tilde{A} Gauche	14	3.7%
350	Others	22	5.8%
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	17	
998	Don't know	3	
Sysmiss		4635	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11810rec: Reason for vote decision (closed-ended question)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1652 /-] [Invalid=3381 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The party's policies on certain issues	419	25.4%
2	The party's core values	507	30.7%
3	My long-term attachment to this party	80	4.8%
4	The party's competence to solve political problems	135	8.2%
5	The profile and personality of this party's leaders	58	3.5%

#f11810rec: Reason for vote decision (closed-ended question)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	The profile and personality of this party's candidates	208	12.6%
7	This party had the best chances of winning a seat	21	1.3%
8	It was above all a decision against the other parties	135	8.2%
9	Other reason (please specifiy)	89	5.4%
-99	No answer	49	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	29	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3303	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f11900: When did R decide whom to vote for

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3079 /-] [Invalid=1954 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Knew it all the time	1173	38.1%
2	A few weeks before the election	928	30.1%
3	A few days before the election	733	23.8%
4	In the last moment	128	4.2%
5	Can't remember	117	3.8%
-99	No answer	16	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1938	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12010: Modification of voting list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2868 /-] [Invalid=2165 /-]

	Cases	Percentage
Inmodified list	1391	48.5%
Modified or blank list	1477	51.5%
To answer	43	
fultiple answers when single choice	0	
ncomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
	2122	
10 11	odified or blank list o answer ultiple answers when single choice comprehensible/illegible/invalid	odified or blank list 2 answer 43 ultiple answers when single choice comprehensible/illegible/invalid 0

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12020a: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1306 /-] [Invalid=3727 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	886	67.8%
2	No	405	31.0%
98	Don't know	15	1.1%
-99	No answer	204	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	

#f12020a: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?

Value	Label	Cases	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3523	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12020b: Did R cross out candidates?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1234 /-] [Invalid=3799 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	741		60.0%
2	No	466	37.8%	
98	Don't know	27	2.2%	
-99	No answer	276		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Sysmiss		3523		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12020c: Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1328 /-] [Invalid=3705 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1031	77.6%
2	No	297	22.4%
-99	No answer	136	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	45	
Sysmiss		3523	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12025: More men or women on the list after modification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1415 /-] [Invalid=3618 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More men on the list	457	32.3%
2	About as many men as woment on the list	511	36.1%
3	More women on the list	196	13.9%
98	Don't know	251	17.7%
-99	No answer	6	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3612	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=859 /-] [Invalid=4174 /-]

f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR

Label	Cases	Percentage
No	560	65.2%
Yes	299	34.8%
No answer	15	
	4159	
	No Yes	No 560 Yes 299 No answer 15

#f12030b: Panachage - Die Mitte/Le Centre

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=826 /-] [Invalid=4207 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	547	66.2%
1	Yes	279	33.8%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4192	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=800 /-] [Invalid=4233 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	513	64.1%
1	Yes	287	35.9%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4218	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030d: Panachage - SVP/UDC

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=823 /-] [Invalid=4210 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	634	77.0%
1	Yes	189	23.0%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		4196	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030e: Panachage - GPS/PES

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=928 /-] [Invalid=4105 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	688	74.1%
1	Yes	240	25.9%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4090	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030f: Panachage - GLP/PVL

Information	Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=933 /-] [Invalid=4100 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	737	79.0%
1	Yes	196	21.0%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4085	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f12030h: Panachage - Lega

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=196 /-] [Invalid=4837 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	172	87.8%
1	Yes	24	12.2%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		4830	

#f12030h: Panachage - Lega

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030i: Panachage - MCG

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=150 /-] [Invalid=4883 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	121	80.7%
1	Yes	29	19.3%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		4881	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030j: Panachage - CSP/PCS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1012 /-] [Invalid=4021 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1000	98.8%
1	Yes	12	1.2%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=998 /-] [Invalid=4035 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	955	95.7%
1	Yes	43	4.3%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4019	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030l: Panachage - EDU/UDF

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=997 /-] [Invalid=4036 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	984	98.7%
1	Yes	13	1.3%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		4021	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PST-POP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1012 /-] [Invalid=4021 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	993	98.1%
1	Yes	19	1.9%

#f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PST-POP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - MPS

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1013 /-] [Invalid=4020 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	991	97.8%
1	Yes	22	2.2%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4004	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12030o: Panachage - Other party/candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1015 /-] [Invalid=4018 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	971	95.7%
1	Yes	44	4.3%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		4002	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-350] [Missing=*/-99]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-350] [Missing=*/-99]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2874 /-] [Invalid=2159 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	7	0.2%
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	168	5.8%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	14	0.5%
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	11	0.4%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	0	
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	39	1.4%
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	0	
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	71	2.5%
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	2	0.1%
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	41	1.4%
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	0	
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	1	0.0%
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	3	0.1%
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	0	
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	6	0.2%
16	Grossen Jù/4rg (GLP/PVL)	26	0.9%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	15	0.5%
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	20	0.7%

f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	16	0.6%
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	0	
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	1	0.0%
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	0	
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	26	0.9%
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	98	3.4%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0	
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	88	3.1%
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	0	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
31	$Gm\tilde{A}^{1}\!\!/\!\!\mathrm{ar}\text{-}Sch\tilde{A}\P$ nenberger Andrea (The Center)	28	1.0%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	0	
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	11	0.4%
34	MÃ1/4ller Damian (FDP/PLR)	35	1.2%
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	1	0.0%
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	15	0.5%
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	6	0.2%
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	16	0.6%
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	22	0.8%
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	7	0.2%
41	Gössi Petra (FDP/PLR)	37	1.3%
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	0	
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	11	0.4%
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	19	0.7%
45	Ettlin Erich (The Center)	0	
46	Lang Urs (Aufrecht)	1	0.0%
47	Wicki Hans (FDP/PLR)	35	1.2%
48	Zwyssig Benedikt (Aufrecht)	6	0.2%
49	MÃ1/4hlemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	24	0.8%
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	11	0.4%
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	22	0.8%
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0	
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	29	1.0%
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	9	0.3%
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	1	0.0%
56	Thöni Stefan (PARAT)	0	
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
58	Weichelt Manuela (Green party)	11	0.4%
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	12	0.4%
60	Zimmermann René (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	14	0.5%
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	20	0.7%
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	12	0.4%

	Council of States: First candidate R voted for	C	Day 1
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	0	
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	0	=
66	Page Pierre-André (SVP/UDC)	10	0.3%
67	Rey Alizée (SP/PS)	8	0.3%
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	8	0.3%
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	38	1.3%
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	29	1.0%
71	KÃ1/4nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	19	0.7%
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	2	0.1%
74	Herter Balz (The Center)	5	0.2%
75	Herzog Eva (SP/PS)	42	1.5%
76	Messerli Pascal (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
77	Weber Eric (VA)	0	
78	Graf Maya (Green party)	55	1.9%
79	Inäbnit Sven (FDP/PLR)	36	1.3%
80	Brýhlmann Lisa (Young green party)	2	0.1%
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	23	0.8%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	7	0.2%
83	Schärrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	7	0.2%
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	35	1.2%
85	Caroni Andrea (FDP/PLR)	47	1.6%
86	Fischer Manuel (Independent)	0	
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	9	0.3%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	41	1.4%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	4	0.1%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteifrei SG)	0	
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	0	
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	5	0.2%
94	Seger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	1	0.0%
95	WÃ1/4rth Benedikt (The Center)	35	1.2%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	52	1.8%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	16	0.6%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	2	0.1%
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	13	0.5%
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	44	1.5%
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	29	1.0%
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	2	0.1%
103	Kälin IrÃ"ne (Green party)	11	0.4%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	0	
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	7	0.2%
106	Schöni Theres (LOVB)	0	
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	8	0.3%
108	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	28	1.0%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	1	0.0%
109	Coray Gaorieia (Independent)	1	0.0%

# f12301: Council of States: First candidate R voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110	Häberli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	25	0.9%
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	6	0.2%
112	Spiri Robin (AUFTG)	0	
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	23	0.8%
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	6	0.2%
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	7	0.2%
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	100	3.5%
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	0	
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	80	2.8%
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	56	1.9%
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	17	0.6%
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	8	0.3%
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	55	1.9%
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	33	1.1%
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	31	1.1%
127	Buclin Hadrien (Al/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	1	0.0%
128	Buffat Michaël (SVP/UDC)	10	0.3%
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (Al/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	2	0.1%
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	0	
131	Gétaz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	1	0.0%
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	0	
133	Mahaim Raphaël (Green party)	11	0.4%
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	56	1.9%
135	Meylan François (Les Indépendants vaudois)	1	0.0%
136	Timofte AnaÃ-s (PdA/PdT)	2	0.1%
137	Weber Céline (GLP/PVL)	4	0.1%
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	2	0.1%
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	9	0.3%
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	7	0.2%
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	5	0.2%
142	Dessimoz Céline (Green party)	7	0.2%
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	0	0.60
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	16	0.6%
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	16	0.6%
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	23	0.8%
147 148	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	9	0.3%
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT) Cario Grégoire (SVP/UDC)	1	0.1%
151		2 2	•
152 153	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT) Fivaz Fabien (Green party)		0.1%
		4	0.0%
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	1	U.U70

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
155	Huguenin-Elie Théo (SP/PS)	2	0.1%
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	11	0.4%
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	4	0.1%
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	0	
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara Céline (Green party)	13	0.5%
161	Amaudruz Céline (SVP/UDC)	46	1.6%
162	Cruchon Pablo (EÃ G - LUP)	1	0.0%
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	38	1.3%
164	Maître Vincent (The Center)	19	0.7%
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	14	0.5%
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	85	3.0%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	3	0.1%
168	Parmentier Danielle (EÃ G - LUP)	1	0.0%
169	Pini Jessica (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	6	0.2%
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	82	2.9%
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	63	2.2%
172	Thion Guillaume (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	2	0.1%
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	0	
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
175	Crevoisier Crelier Mathilde (SP/PS)	8	0.3%
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	3	0.1%
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	3	0.1%
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	14	0.5%
179	Monin François (The Center)	3	0.1%
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	1	0.0%
182	Schärer Alec André (HelvEthica)	0	
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	4	0.1%
184	Vuillaume Ismaël (GLP/PVL)	0	
350	Other person	40	1.4%
-99	No answer	68	
997	Nobody / Voted blank	162	
998	Don't know	9	
Sysmiss		1920	

f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-402] [Missing=*/-99]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=2862 /-] [Invalid=2171 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	570	19.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	436	15.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	601	21.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	554	19.4%

#f12301p: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	343	12.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	117	4.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	81	2.8%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	31	1.1%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	7	0.2%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble \tilde{A}	13	0.5%
350	Other party	92	3.2%
402	No party / independent candidate	17	0.6%
-99	No answer	72	
997	Nobody / Voted blank	158	
998	Don't know	9	
Sysmiss		1932	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12301pmain6: Council of States: Party of first candidate R voted for, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2862 /-] [Invalid=2171 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	570	19.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	436	15.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	601	21.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	554	19.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	343	12.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	117	4.1%
350	Other party, no party / independent candidate	241	8.4%
-99	No answer, nobody / voted blank	230	
998	Don't know	9	
Sysmiss		1932	
Warning these figur	es indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the r	nonulation of interest

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-997] [Missing=*/998/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2545 /-] [Invalid=2488 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gugger Nik (EVP/PEV)	16	0.6%
2	Jositsch Daniel (SP/PS)	37	1.5%
3	Kutter Philipp (The Center)	26	1.0%
4	Leupi Daniel (Green party)	47	1.8%
5	Maiorano Rita (PdA/PdT)	2	0.1%
6	Moser Tiana Angelina (GLP/PVL)	64	2.5%
7	Ravindran Jonathan (Independent)	2	0.1%
8	Rutz Gregor (SVP/UDC)	39	1.5%
9	Satan Sevin Senem (PdA/PdT)	4	0.2%

# f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Sauter Regine (FDP/PLR)	51	2.0%
11	Schmidt Bernhard (Independent)	3	0.1%
12	Vetsch Peter (Independent)	3	0.1%
13	Amstutz Madeleine (BSL)	5	0.2%
14	Ananiadis Jorgo (Pirate Party)	4	0.2%
15	Fouquet Pascal (Pirate Party)	4	0.2%
16	Grossen JÃ1/4rg (GLP/PVL)	30	1.2%
17	Hess Lorenz (The Center)	19	0.7%
18	Hess Sandra (FDP/PLR)	47	1.8%
19	Iseli Gianpietro (Normalos)	0	
20	Jost Marc (EVP/PEV)	8	0.3%
21	Jutzi Philipp (JUP)	0	
22	Koller Richard (Independent)	0	
23	Lobsiger-Schmid Verena (Independent)	2	0.1%
24	Neeser Daniel (Normalos)	2	0.1%
25	Pulver Bernhard (Green party)	51	2.0%
26	Salzmann Werner (SVP/UDC)	26	1.0%
27	Spycher Adrian (Normalos)	0	
28	Wasserfallen Flavia (SP/PS)	40	1.6%
29	Zbinden Romain (Normalos)	0	
30	Fischer Roland (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
31	$Gm\tilde{A}^{1}\!\!/\!\mathrm{ar}\text{-}Sch\tilde{A}\P nenberger\ Andrea\ (The\ Center)$	31	1.2%
32	Hagmann Yannick (Independent)	1	0.0%
33	Haller Dieter (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
34	Mù/4ller Damian (FDP/PLR)	23	0.9%
35	Regli Hanspeter (Independent)	0	
36	Roth David (SP/PS)	3	0.1%
37	Spring Laura (Green party)	10	0.4%
38	Dittli Josef (FDP/PLR)	16	0.6%
39	Z'graggen Heidi (The Center)	11	0.4%
40	Anderegg Marty Elsbeth (SP/PS)	4	0.2%
41	Gössi Petra (FDP/PLR)	10	0.4%
42	Heinzer David (Green party)	3	0.1%
43	Reichmuth Othmar (The Center)	16	0.6%
44	Schwander Pirmin (SVP/UDC)	14	0.6%
49	Mù/4hlemann Benjamin (FDP/PLR)	15	0.6%
50	Rothlin Peter (SVP/UDC)	9	0.4%
51	Zopfi Mathias (Green party)	15	0.6%
52	Hadodo Adi (Aufrecht)	0	
53	Hegglin Peter (The Center)	16	0.6%
54	Michel Matthias (FDP/PLR)	20	0.8%
55	Rima Marco (Parteilos)	2	0.1%
56	Thöni Stefan (PARAT)	2	0.1%
57	Weber Kim Leandra (GLP/PVL)	5	0.2%
58	Weichelt Manuela (Green party)	3	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59	Werner Thomas (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
60	Zimmermann René (Aufrecht)	0	
61	Andrey Gerhard (Green party)	1	0.0%
62	Chassot Isabelle (The Center)	2	0.1%
63	Gapany Johanna (FDP/PLR)	12	0.5%
64	Gomez Mariaca Leonardo (GLP/PVL)	0	
65	Guido Flavio (Pacte citoyen)	0	
66	Page Pierre-André (SVP/UDC)	6	0.2%
67	Rey Alizée (SP/PS)	9	0.4%
68	Ankli Remo (FDP/PLR)	17	0.7%
69	Bischof Pirmin (The Center)	13	0.5%
70	Imark Christian (SVP/UDC)	13	0.5%
71	KÃ1/4nzli Dieter (GLP/PVL)	6	0.2%
72	Roth Franziska (SP/PS)	16	0.6%
73	Wettstein Felix (Green party)	9	0.4%
80	Brù/4hlmann Lisa (Young green party)	13	0.5%
81	Germann Hannes (SVP/UDC)	8	0.3%
82	Minder Thomas (Independent)	12	0.5%
83	Schärrer Nina (FDP/PLR)	15	0.6%
84	Stocker Simon (SP/PS)	10	0.4%
88	Bullakaj Arber (SP/PS)	6	0.2%
89	Friedli Esther (SVP/UDC)	19	0.7%
90	Grob Meret (Green party)	5	0.2%
91	Hubschmid Stefan (Parteifrei SG)	2	0.1%
92	Jetzer Patrick (Aufrecht SG)	1	0.0%
93	Monstein Andrin (GLP/PVL)	5	0.2%
94	Seger Oskar (FDP/PLR)	6	0.2%
95	WÃ ¹ / ₄ rth Benedikt (The Center)	29	1.1%
96	Engler Stefan (The Center)	15	0.6%
97	Schmid Martin (FDP/PLR)	38	1.5%
98	Vetsch Hans (Independent)	6	0.2%
99	Binder-Keller Marianne (The Center)	20	0.8%
100	Burkart Thierry (FDP/PLR)	22	0.9%
101	Giezendanner Benjamin (SVP/UDC)	19	0.7%
102	Holten Nancy (Independent)	3	0.1%
103	KĤlin IrÃ"ne (Green party)	17	0.7%
104	Lischer Pius (IG Gesundheit)	1	0.0%
105	Portmann Barbara (GLP/PVL)	9	0.4%
105	Schöni Theres (LOVB)	0	
107	Studer Lilian (EVP/PEV)	9	0.4%
107	Suter Gabriela (SP/PS)	12	0.5%
109	Coray Gabriela (Independent)	4	0.2%
110	Häberli-Koller Brigitte (The Center)	16	0.6%
111	Leuthold Stefan (GLP/PVL)	8	0.3%
	LEGUIOU SICIAII (CILE/EVI.)	0	0.570

#f12302: Council of States: Second candidate R voted for				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
113	Stark Jakob (SVP/UDC)	13	0.5%	
114	Vietze Kristiane (FDP/PLR)	8	0.3%	
115	Ay Massimiliano (No UE - No NATO)	7	0.3%	
116	Battaglia-Richi Evelyne (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%	
117	Chiesa Marco (SVP/UDC)	22	0.9%	
118	Conti Simone (Costituzione Radicale)	0		
119	Ducry Jacques (Costituzione Radicale)	4	0.2%	
120	Farinelli Alex (FDP/PLR)	35	1.4%	
121	Gysin Greta (Green party)	39	1.5%	
122	Mirante Amalia (Avanti con Ticino&Lavoro)	31	1.2%	
123	Nussbaumer Werner (HelvEthica Ticino)	3	0.1%	
124	Regazzi Fabio (The Center)	39	1.5%	
125	Storni Bruno (SP/PS)	30	1.2%	
126	Broulis Pascal (FDP/PLR)	21	0.8%	
127	Buclin Hadrien (Al/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	2	0.1%	
128	Buffat Michaël (SVP/UDC)	13	0.5%	
129	Chevalley Ella-Mona (Al/Sol/EÃ G/MPS)	1	0.0%	
130	Dridi Zakaria (PdA/PdT)	4	0.2%	
131	Gétaz Emmanuel (Les Libres)	1	0.0%	
132	Janeiro Margarida (Young SP/PS)	0		
133	Mahaim Raphaël (Green party)	26	1.0%	
134	Maillard Pierre-Yves (SP/PS)	16	0.6%	
135	Meylan François (Les Indépendants vaudois)	3	0.1%	
136	Timofte AnaÃ-s (PdA/PdT)	2	0.1%	
137	Weber Céline (GLP/PVL)	4	0.2%	
138	Zimmermann Angela (Young green party)	2	0.1%	
139	Addor Jean-Luc (SVP/UDC)	2	0.1%	
140	Alpiger Claudia (SP/PS)	2	0.1%	
141	Bogiqi Aferdita (SP/PS)	6	0.2%	
142	Dessimoz Céline (Green party)	4	0.2%	
143	Jansen Philippe (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%	
144	Maret Marianne (The Center)	19	0.7%	
145	Nantermod Philippe (FDP/PLR)	8	0.3%	
146	Rieder Beat (The Center)	17	0.7%	
147	Salzmann Jeannette (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%	
148	Bauer Philippe (FDP/PLR)	2	0.1%	
149	Berger Daniel (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%	
150	Blum Sarah (PdA/PdT)	1	0.0%	
151	Cario Grégoire (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%	
152	De la Reussille Denis (PdA/PdT)	3	0.1%	
153	Fivaz Fabien (Green party)	7	0.3%	
154	Hirter Jennifer (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%	
155	Huguenin-Elie Théo (SP/PS)	5	0.2%	
156	Hurni Baptiste (SP/PS)	8	0.3%	
157	Leitenberg Brigitte (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%	

# f12302: Council of States:	Second candidate R voted for
------------------------------	------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
158	Leutwiler Pascale (FDP/PLR)	1	0.0%
159	Pieren Jean-Luc (PFE)	0	
160	Vara Céline (Green party)	7	0.3%
161	Amaudruz Céline (SVP/UDC)	46	1.8%
162	Cruchon Pablo (EÃ G - LUP)	1	0.0%
163	De Montmollin Simone (FDP/PLR)	16	0.6%
164	Maître Vincent (The Center)	16	0.6%
165	Matter Michel (GLP/PVL)	15	0.6%
166	Mazzone Lisa (Green party)	53	2.1%
167	Oberson Philippe (Le peuple d'abord)	2	0.1%
168	Parmentier Danielle (EÃ G - LUP)	1	0.0%
169	Pini Jessica (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	3	0.1%
170	Poggia Mauro (MCG)	51	2.0%
171	Sommaruga Carlo (SP/PS)	75	2.9%
172	Thion Guillaume (EÃ G: SOL, DAL, PDT)	2	0.1%
173	Ventouri Anastasia-Natalia (Le peuple d'abord)	4	0.2%
174	Barthoulot Nathalie (SP/PS)	5	0.2%
175	Crevoisier Crelier Mathilde (SP/PS)	6	0.2%
176	Gerber Jacques (FDP/PLR)	3	0.1%
177	Godat Pauline (Green party)	4	0.2%
178	Juillard Charles (The Center)	4	0.2%
179	Monin François (The Center)	4	0.2%
180	Monnerat Paul (GLP/PVL)	0	
181	Prince Pascal (HelvEthica)	1	0.0%
182	Schärer Alec André (HelvEthica)	1	0.0%
183	Stettler Thomas (SVP/UDC)	4	0.2%
184	Vuillaume Ismaël (GLP/PVL)	1	0.0%
350	Other person	23	0.9%
997	Did not vote for a second person	565	22.2%
-99	No answer	90	
998	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		2394	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12302p: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-997] [Missing=*/998/-99]

 Statistics [NW/W]
 [Valid=2545 /-] [Invalid=2488 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	386	15.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	313	12.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	290	11.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	263	10.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	316	12.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	160	6.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	51	2.0%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	33	1.3%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	16	0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E \tilde{A} G - Ensemble \tilde{A}	10	0.4%
350	Other party	99	3.9%
402	No party / independent candidate	43	1.7%
997	Did not vote for a second person	565	22.2%
-99	No answer	90	
998	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		2394	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12302pmain6: Council of States: Party of second candidate R voted for, 6 main parties

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-997] [Missing=*/998/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2545 /-] [Invalid=2488 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	386	15.2%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	313	12.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	290	11.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	263	10.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	316	12.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	160	6.3%
350	Other party, no party / independent candidate	252	9.9%
997	Did not vote for a second person	565	22.2%
-99	No answer	90	
998	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		2394	and the Colored

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13741: Satisfaction about vote: voters for party/candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3201 /-] [Invalid=1832 /-]

139 518 1816	4.3%	56.7%
	16.2%	56.7%
1816		56.7%
		30.770
728	22.7%	
78		
0		
0		
1754		
		0

f13742: Satisfaction about vote: non-voters

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1657 /-] [Invalid=3376 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	180	10.9%
2	Not very satisfied	599	36.1%
3	Fairly satisfied	636	38.4%
4	Very satisfied	242	14.6%
-99	No answer	76	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q12: Satisfaction about variety of choice

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4045 /-] [Invalid=988 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	264	6.5%
2	Not very satisfied	871	21.5%
3	Fairly satisfied	2372	58.6%
4	Very satisfied	538	13.3%
-99	No answer	88	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	900	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#Q13: Fairness of election

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4940 /-] [Invalid=93 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Election was conducted fairly	3225	65.3%
2	2	972	19.7%
3	3	537	10.9%
4	4	106	2.1%
5	5 - Election was conducted unfairly	100	2.0%
-99	No answer	92	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f10200: Participation in the last national election (2019)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4736 /-] [Invalid=297 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Was not entitled to	101	2.1%

#f10200: Participation in the last national election (2019)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Certainly not participated	572	12.1%
3	Not sure, but probably not participated	419	8.8%
4	Not sure, but probably participated	826	17.4%
5	Certainly participated	2818	59.5%
-99	No answer	60	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		235	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f10200rec: Participation in national election 2019, recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4971 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	991	19.9%
1	Voted	3644	73.3%
2	No voting right: other	101	2.0%
3	No voting right: too young (question not asked)	235	4.7%
-99	No answer	60	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f10250: Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous national election (2019)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3645 /-] [Invalid=1388 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Zurich	415	11.4%	
2	Bern	329	9.0%	
3	Lucerne	119	3.3%	
4	Uri	60	1.6%	
5	Schwyz	76	2.1%	
6	Obwalden	76	2.1%	
7	Nidwalden	70	1.9%	
8	Glarus	53	1.5%	
9	Zug	74	2.0%	
10	Fribourg	76	2.1%	
11	Solothurn	106	2.9%	
12	Basel-Stadt	53	1.5%	
13	Basel-Landschaft	114	3.1%	
14	Schaffhausen	66	1.8%	
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	77	2.1%	
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	68	1.9%	
17	St. Gallen	126	3.5%	
18	Graubù/₄nden	101	2.8%	
19	Aargau	176	4.8%	

f10250: Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous national election (2019)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
20	Thurgau	74	2.0%	
21	Ticino	503		13.8%
22	Vaud	150	4.1%	
23	Valais	114	3.1%	
24	Neuchâtel	75	2.1%	
25	Geneva	444		12.2%
26	Jura	50	1.4%	
-99	No answer	42		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Sysmiss		1345		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f10300rec: Party choice elections 2019

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3077 /-] [Invalid=1956 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	564	18.3%	
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	377	12.3%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	674	21.9%	
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	720	23.49	%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	267	8.7%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	167	5.4%	
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	72	2.3%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	43	1.4%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	28	0.9%	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	3	0.1%	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	38	1.2%	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	13	0.4%	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	11	0.4%	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble \tilde{A}	16	0.5%	
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	0.1%	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	2	0.1%	
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0		
350	Other party	0		
402	Voted for persons, not parties	30	1.0%	
404	Voted for several parties	47	1.5%	
405	All parties together, all	2	0.1%	
406	Left parties (several)	0		
407	Right parties (several)	1	0.0%	
-99	No answer	56		
993	Voted blank	7		
995	Other answer	6		
998	Don't know	508		

#f10300rec: Party choice elections 2019

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1379	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f10300main7_combined: Party choice elections 2019, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-5	99]
---	-----

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3077 /-] [Invalid=1956 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	564	18.3%
21	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party / CVP/PDC - Christia	449	14.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	674	21.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	720	23.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	267	8.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	167	5.4%
350	Others	236	7.7%
-99	Voted blank, no answer, other answer	69	
998	Don't know	508	
Sysmiss		1379	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#Q15: Who people vote for makes a big difference

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4966 /-] [Invalid=67 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - Who people vote for won't make any difference	381	7.7%
2	2	498	10.0%
3	3	1285	25.9%
4	4	1393	28.1%
5	5 - Who people vote for can make a big difference	1409	28.4%
-99	No answer	66	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15130a: Left-right placement - FDP/PLR

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4250 /-] [Invalid=783 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	71	1.7%
1	1	24	0.6%
2	2	85	2.0%
3	3	129	3.0%
4	4	195	4.6%
5	5	653	15.4%
6	6	571	13.4%
7	7	955	22.5%
8	8	970	22.8%

#f15130a: Left-right placement - FDP/PLR

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	9	310	7.3%
10	10 - Right	287	6.8%
-99	No answer	229	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	554	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15130c: Left-right placement - SP/PS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4336 /-] [Invalid=697 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	1352	31.2%
1	1	1016	23.4%
2	2	936	21.6%
3	3	457	10.5%
4	4	205	4.7%
5	5	138	3.2%
6	6	63	1.5%
7	7	44	1.0%
8	8	48	1.1%
9	9	26	0.6%
10	10 - Right	51	1.2%
-99	No answer	242	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	454	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15130d: Left-right placement - SVP/UDC

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4177 /-] [Invalid=856 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	52	1.2%
1	1	36	0.9%
2	2	35	0.8%
3	3	32	0.8%
4	4	40	1.0%
5	5	164	3.9%
6	6	89	2.1%
7	7	126	3.0%
8	8	372	8.9%
9	9	858	20.5%
10	10 - Right	2373	56.8%
-99	No answer	212	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	

#f15130d: Left-right placement - SVP/UDC

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
	Don't know	641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15130e: Left-right placement - GPS/PES

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4271 /-] [Invalid=762 /-]

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
0	0 - Left	1148			26.9%
1	1	989			23.2%
2	2	965			22.6%
3	3	520		12.2%	
4	4	237	5.5%		
5	5	237	5.5%		
6	6	39	0.9%		
7	7	39	0.9%		
8	8	38	0.9%		
9	9	27	0.6%		
10	10 - Right	32	0.7%		
-99	No answer	258			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
98	Don't know	503			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15130f: Left-right placement - GLP/PVL

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4174 /-] [Invalid=859 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	458	11.0%
1	1	431	10.3%
2	2	598	14.3%
3	3	659	15.8%
4	4	594	14.2%
5	5	575	13.8%
6	6	371	8.9%
7	7	229	5.5%
8	8	142	3.4%
9	9	63	1.5%
10	10 - Right	54	1.3%
-99	No answer	268	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	590	

#f15130h: Left-right placement - Lega		
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=487 /-] [Invalid=4546 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
0	0 - Left	13	2.7%		
1	1	8	1.6%		
2	2	9	1.8%		
3	3	3	0.6%		
4	4	8	1.6%		
5	5	21	4.3%		
6	6	16	3.3%		
7	7	25	5.1%		
8	8	64	13.1%		
9	9	96	19.7%		
10	10 - Right	224	46.0%		
-99	No answer	59			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
98	Don't know	124			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

#f15130i: Left-right placement - MCG

 Information
 [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=514 /-] [Invalid=4519 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	12	2.3%
1	1	3	0.6%
2	2	10	1.9%
3	3	6	1.2%
4	4	4	0.8%
5	5	50	9.7%
6	6	24	4.7%
7	7	48	9.3%
8	8	59	11.5%
9	9	107	20.8%
10	10 - Right	191	37.2%
-99	No answer	35	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	122	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15130j: Left-right placement - The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4272 /-] [Invalid=761 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	44	1.0%

$\mbox{\#}\,\mbox{f15130j:}$ Left-right placement - The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	17	0.4%
2	2	56	1.3%
3	3	120	2.8%
4	4	387	9.1%
5	5	2084	48.8%
6	6	813	19.0%
7	7	399	9.3%
8	8	188	4.4%
9	9	80	1.9%
10	10 - Right	84	2.0%
-99	No answer	259	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	501	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15200: Left-right placement - Self

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4493 /-] [Invalid=540 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Left	173	3.9%
1	1	140	3.1%
2	2	295	6.6%
3	3	449	10.0%
4	4	409	9.1%
5	5	854	19.0%
6	6	518	11.5%
7	7	634	14.1%
8	8	579	12.9%
9	9	194	4.3%
10	10 - Right	248	5.5%
-99	No answer	90	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	448	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f13700: Satisfaction with democracy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4975 /-] [Invalid=58 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all satisfied	73	1.5%
2	Not very satisfied	576	11.6%
3	Fairly satisfied	3456	69.5%
4	Very satisfied	870	17.5%
-99	No answer	58	

#f13700: Satisfaction with democracy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f14000: Party closeness

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -98-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4965 /-] [Invalid=68 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
0	No	2866	57.7%
1	Yes	2099	42.3%
-99	No answer	68	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f14050: Does R nevertheless feel somewhat close to a party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -98-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2701 /-] [Invalid=2332 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
0	No	1219	45.1%
1	Yes	1482	54.9%
-99	No answer	233	
Sysmiss		2099	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f14010rec: Party R feels close to

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3421 /-] [Invalid=1612 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentag	e
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	585		17.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/BDP)	466	13.6%	
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	743		21.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	846		24.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	278	8.1%	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	236	6.9%	
80	Lega - Ticino League	47	1.4%	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	46	1.3%	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	11	0.3%	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	50	1.5%	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	26	0.8%	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	28	0.8%	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E \tilde{A} G - Ensemble \tilde{A}	25	0.7%	
201	PP - Pirate Party	1	0.0%	
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0		

#f14010rec: Party R feels close to

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	6	0.2%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	2	0.1%
350	Other party	8	0.2%
402	No party / rather persons	6	0.2%
404	Several parties	9	0.3%
405	All parties together, all	0	
406	Left parties (several)	2	0.1%
407	Right parties (several)	0	
-99	No answer	154	
995	Other answer	6	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		1452	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f14010main6: Party R feels close to, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3421 /-] [Invalid=1612 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	585	17.1%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	466	13.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	743	21.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	846	24.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	278	8.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	236	6.9%
350	Others	267	7.8%
-99	No answer, other answer	160	
Sysmiss		1452	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f14070: How close does R feel to party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3409 /-] [Invalid=1624 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not very close	1247	36.6%
2	Rather close	1883	55.2%
3	Very close	279	8.2%
-99	No answer	12	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1612	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q24: Percentage of women in parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4360 /-] [Invalid=673 /-]

Q24: Percentage of women in parliament

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too high	204	4.7%
2	Too low	2022	46.4%
3	About right	2134	48.9%
-99	No answer	156	
Sysmiss		517	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

Q25a: Better suited to lead in pandemic: men/women

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4968 /-] [Invalid=65 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always male political leaders	65	1.3%
2	Usually male political leaders	283	5.7%
3	Usually female political leaders	484	9.7%
4	Always female political leaders	74	1.5%
5	Both equally	3747	75.4%
98	Don't know	315	6.3%
-99	No answer	64	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q25b: Better suited to lead in economic crisis: men/women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4982 /-] [Invalid=51 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always male political leaders	103	2.1%
2	Usually male political leaders	571	11.5%
3	Usually female political leaders	222	4.5%
4	Always female political leaders	54	1.1%
5	Both equally	3737	75.0%
98	Don't know	295	5.9%
-99	No answer	49	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q26b: How well does political system guarantee adequate healthcare for all citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4782 /-] [Invalid=251 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not well at all	267	5.6%
2	Not very well	1243	26.0%
3	Quite well	2564	53.6%
4	Very well	708	14.8%
-99	No answer	45	

Q26b: How well does political system guarantee adequate healthcare for all citizens

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q27a: How did coronavirus pandemic affect how united society is in Switzerland

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4699 /-] [Invalid=334 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very negatively	499	10.6%	
2	Fairly negatively	2288		48.7%
3	Not at all	1203	25.6%	
4	Fairly positively	664	14.1%	
5	Very positively	45	1.0%	
-99	No answer	38		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
98	Don't know	295		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q27b: How did coronavirus pandemic affect functioning of democracy in Switzerland

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4497 /-] [Invalid=536 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negatively	298	6.6%
2	Fairly negatively	1513	33.6%
3	Not at all	1951	43.4%
4	Fairly positively	676	15.0%
5	Very positively	59	1.3%
-99	No answer	83	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	453	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Q27c: How has coronavirus pandemic affected your personal economic situation

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/98]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/98]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4852 /-] [Invalid=181 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very negatively	294	6.1%	
2	Fairly negatively	1053	21.7%	
3	Not at all	3138	64.7%	
4	Fairly positively	321	6.6%	
5	Very positively	46	0.9%	
-99	No answer	41		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		

# Q27c: How has coronavirus pandemic affected your personal economic situation					
Value Label Cases Percentage					
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
98	98 Don't know 139				
Warning: these figures	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

#f12500: Participation rate in federal votes out of 10			
Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4957 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 votes out of 10	294	5.9%
1	1	97	2.0%
2	2	163	3.3%
3	3	198	4.0%
4	4	183	3.7%
5	5	365	7.4%
6	6	252	5.1%
7	7	404	8.2%
8	8	577	11.6%
9	9	481	9.7%
10	10 votes out of 10	1943	39.2%
-99	No answer	72	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030a1: Union or employee association: member

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4809 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4344	90.3%
1	Yes	465	9.7%
-99	No answer	224	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030a2: Union or employee association: participated in activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4809 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4698	97.7%
1	Yes	111	2.3%
-99	No answer	224	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030a3: Union or employee association: donated money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4809 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	4664	97.0%	
1	Yes	145	3.0%	
-99	No answer	224		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

n13_030a4: Union or employee association: honorary position

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4809 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4749	98.8%
1	Yes	60	1.2%
-99	No answer	224	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030b1: Economic, professional or farmers' association: member

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4687 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4477	95.5%
1	Yes	210	4.5%
-99	No answer	346	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030b2: Economic, professional or farmers' association: participated in activities

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4687 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4583	97.8%
1	Yes	104	2.2%
-99	No answer	346	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030b3: Economic, professional or farmers' association: donated money

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

 Statistics [NW/W]
 [Valid=4687 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4614	98.4%
1	Yes	73	1.6%
-99	No answer	346	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13 030b4: Economic, professional or farmers' association: honorary position

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4687 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4625	98.7%
1	Yes	62	1.3%
-99	No answer	346	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030c1: Consumer organization: member

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4684 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]

n13_030c1: Consumer organization: member

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4513	96.3%
1	Yes	171	3.7%
-99	No answer	349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030c2: Consumer organization: participated in activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Cit at at a FNINKI NAVI	N. 1.1 ACOA / J. H 1.1 240 / J.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4650	99.3%
1	Yes	34	0.7%
-99	No answer	349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030c3: Consumer organization: donated money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4684 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4479	95.6%
1	Yes	205	4.4%
-99	No answer	349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030c4: Consumer organization: honorary position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4684 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4662	99.5%
1	Yes	22	0.5%
-99	No answer	349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030d1: Human rights or peace organization: member

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4590	96.2%
1	Yes	179	3.8%
-99	No answer	264	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030d2: Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4657	97.7%

n13_030d2: Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	112	2.3%
-99	No answer	264	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030d3: Human rights or peace organization: donated money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3182	66.7%
1	Yes	1587	33.3%
-99	No answer	264	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

n13_030d4: Human rights or peace organization: honorary position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4769 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4630	97.1%
1	Yes	139	2.9%
-99	No answer	264	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030e1: Environmental organization: member

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4722 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4489	95.1%
1	Yes	233	4.9%
-99	No answer	311	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030e2: Environmental organization: participated in activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4722 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4577	96.9%
1	Yes	145	3.1%
-99	No answer	311	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030e3: Environmental organization: donated money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4722 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3688	78.1%
1	Yes	1034	21.9%

n13_030e3: Environmental organization: donated money

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	311	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030e4: Environmental organization: honorary position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4722 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4618	97.8%
1	Yes	104	2.2%
-99	No answer	311	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030f1: Religious or church association: member

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4726 /-] [Invalid=307 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4280	90.6%
1	Yes	446	9.4%
-99	No answer	307	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030f2: Religious or church association: participated in activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4726 /-] [Invalid=307 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	4478	94.8%	
1	Yes	248	5.2%	
-99	No answer	307		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030f3: Religious or church association: donated money

Informat	tion	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics	s [NW/ W]	[Valid=4726 /-] [Invalid=307 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4222	89.3%
1	Yes	504	10.7%
-99	No answer	307	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030f4: Religious or church association: honorary position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4726 /-] [Invalid=307 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	4544	96.1%	
1	Yes	182	3.9%	
-99	No answer	307		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

n13_030g1: Political party: member

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4666 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4447	95.3%
1	Yes	219	4.7%
-99	No answer	367	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030g2: Political party: participated in activities

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4666 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4535	97.2%
1	Yes	131	2.8%
-99	No answer	367	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030g3: Political party: donated money

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4666 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4445	95.3%
1	Yes	221	4.7%
-99	No answer	367	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n13_030g4: Political party: honorary position

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4666 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4607	98.7%
1	Yes	59	1.3%
-99	No answer	367	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f14081rec: Party R is member of

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]

 Statistics [NW/W]
 [Valid=217 /-] [Invalid=4816 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	60	27.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/BDP)	42	19.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	39	18.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	39	18.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	14	6.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	8	3.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	1	0.5%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	

#f14081rec: Party R is member of

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	2	0.9%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	3	1.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	0	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble \tilde{A}	2	0.9%
350	Other party	5	2.3%
404	Several parties	1	0.5%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4815	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f14081main6: Party R is member of, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=217 /-] [Invalid=4816 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	60	27.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/BDP)	42	19.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	39	18.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	39	18.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	14	6.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	8	3.7%
350	Others	15	6.9%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4815	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f14400a: Probability to vote - FDP/PLR

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4608 /-] [Invalid=425 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	0 - Very low probability	1203		26.1%
1	1	267	5.8%	
2	2	336	7.3%	
3	3	288	6.2%	
4	4	214	4.6%	
5	5	603	13.1%	
6	6	279	6.1%	
7	7	356	7.7%	
8	8	424	9.2%	
9	9	202	4.4%	
10	10 - Very high probability	436	9.5%	
-99	No answer	423		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		

#f14400c: Probability to vote - SP/PS

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4576 /-] [Invalid=457 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	1345	29.4%
1	1	321	7.0%
2	2	356	7.8%
3	3	241	5.3%
4	4	240	5.2%
5	5	476	10.4%
6	6	213	4.7%
7	7	224	4.9%
8	8	317	6.9%
9	9	223	4.9%
10	10 - Very high probability	620	13.5%
-99	No answer	457	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f14400d: Probability to vote - SVP/UDC

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4636 /-] [Invalid=397 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	0 - Very low probability	1818	39.2%	
1	1	277	6.0%	
2	2	214	4.6%	
3	3	181	3.9%	
4	4	154	3.3%	
5	5	371	8.0%	
6	6	191	4.1%	
7	7	242	5.2%	
8	8	307	6.6%	
9	9	261	5.6%	
10	10 - Very high probability	620	13.4%	
-99	No answer	396		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
-97 Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid 0 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the nanulation of interest.				

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f14400e: Probability to vote - GPS/PES

 Information
 [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=4543 /-] [Invalid=490 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	0 - Very low probability	1574	34.6%	
1	1	394	8.7%	
2	2	388	8.5%	

#f14400e: Probability to vote - GPS/PES

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	3	292	6.4%	
4	4	241	5.3%	
5	5	421	9.3%	
6	6	206	4.5%	
7	7	222	4.9%	
8	8	294	6.5%	
9	9	151	3.3%	
10	10 - Very high probability	360	7.9%	
-99	No answer	489		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

$\mbox{\#}\,\mbox{f14400f:}$ Probability to vote - GLP/PVL

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4507 /-] [Invalid=526 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	1481	32.9%
1	1	371	8.2%
2	2	406	9.0%
3	3	334	7.4%
4	4	293	6.5%
5	5	496	11.0%
6	6	256	5.7%
7	7	271	6.0%
8	8	267	5.9%
9	9	136	3.0%
10	10 - Very high probability	196	4.3%
-99	No answer	525	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f14400h: Probability to vote - Lega

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=563 /-] [Invalid=4470 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	265	47.1%
1	1	35	6.2%
2	2	36	6.4%
3	3	20	3.6%
4	4	26	4.6%
5	5	51	9.1%
6	6	21	3.7%
7	7	27	4.8%
8	8	19	3.4%

f14400h: Probability to vote - Lega

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	9	22	3.9%
10	10 - Very high probability	41	7.3%
-99	No answer	108	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f14400i: Probability to vote - MCG

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=616 /-] [Invalid=4417 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - Very low probability	244	39.6%
1	1	42	6.8%
2	2	41	6.7%
3	3	24	3.9%
4	4	28	4.5%
5	5	75	12.2%
6	6	24	3.9%
7	7	24	3.9%
8	8	38	6.2%
9	9	20	3.2%
10	10 - Very high probability	56	9.1%
-99	No answer	55	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

$\mbox{\#}\,\mbox{f14400j:}$ Probability to vote - The Centre (former CVP/BDP)

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4559 /-] [Invalid=474 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0	0 - Very low probability	1062		23.3%		
1	1	218	4.8%			
2	2	314	6.9%			
3	3	349	7.7%			
4	4	258	5.7%			
5	5	691		15.2%		
6	6	334	7.3%			
7	7	371	8.1%			
8	8	420	9.2%			
9	9	216	4.7%			
10	10 - Very high probability	326	7.2%			
-99	No answer	473				
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1				
-97	-97 Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid 0					
Warning: these j	'arning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

#f12026: For two candidates with same qualifications, R chooses male or female candidate

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4964 /-] [Invalid=69 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
1	Rather male candidate	623	12.6%		
2	Rather female candidate	1519	30.6%		
98	Don't know	2822	56	.8%	
-99	No answer	68			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

f13322: How often did R discuss about elections with family in her/his childhood

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4991 /-] [Invalid=42 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	903	18.1%
2	Pretty rarely	2447	49.0%
3	Pretty often	1351	27.1%
4	Very often	290	5.8%
-99	No answer	42	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12900rec: Father's party choice when R was 14 years old

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]

 Statistics [NW/W]
 [Valid=2496 /-] [Invalid=2537 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	646	25.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	612	24.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	450	18.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	560	22.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	31	1.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	9	0.4%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	13	0.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	20	0.8%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	25	1.0%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	25	1.0%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	10	0.4%
130	PdA/PdT-Party of Labour / AL-Alternative List / Sol-Solidari	32	1.3%
150	LdU/Adl - Landesring der Unabhängigen	14	0.6%
300	Left party: other left party not listed above	0	
310	Right party: other right party not listed above	3	0.1%
320	Center party: other center party not listed above	0	
350	Other party	3	0.1%

#f12900rec: Father's party choice when R was 14 years old

Value	Label	Cases	I	Percenta
402	Voted for persons, not parties	12	0.5%	
404	Voted for several parties	26	1.0%	
405	All parties together, all	0		
406	Left parties (several)	0		
407	Right parties (several)	0		
-99	No answer	80		
501	Did not have the right to vote (in Switzerland)	562		
503	Did not vote	147		
504	Not applicable to family situation	207		
995	Other answer	1		
998	Don't know	1540		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12900main7_combined: Father's party choice when R was 14 years old, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2496 /-] [Invalid=2537 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	646	25.9%
21	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party / CVP/PDC - Christia	625	25.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	450	18.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	560	22.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	31	1.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	9	0.4%
350	Others	175	7.0%
-99	Did not (have the right to) vote, not applicable to family s	997	
998	Don't know	1540	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

$\mbox{\#}\,\mbox{f12905rec:}$ Mother's party choice when R was 14 years old

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-407] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2012 /-] [Invalid=3021 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	434	21.6%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	580	28.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	450	22.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	337	16.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	1.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	12	0.6%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	17	0.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	16	0.8%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	19	0.9%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	29	1.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	14	0.7%
130	PdA/PdT-Party of Labour / AL-Alternative List / Sol-Solidari	16	0.8%
150	LdU/Adl - Landesring der UnabhĤngigen	7	0.3%

# f12905rec:	Mother's	s party cl	hoice whe	en R was	14 years	old

Left party: other left party not listed above Right party: other right party not listed above Center party: other center party not listed above Other party Other party Voted for persons, not parties Voted for several parties All parties together, all Left parties (several) Right parties (several) No answer Did not have the right to vote (in Switzerland)
Center party: other center party not listed above Other party 3 0.1% Voted for persons, not parties 7 0.3% Voted for several parties 25 1.2% All parties together, all Left parties (several) Right parties (several) No answer 80
Other party Voted for persons, not parties 7 0.3% Voted for several parties 25 1.2% All parties together, all Left parties (several) Right parties (several) No answer 80
Voted for persons, not parties 7
Voted for several parties All parties together, all Left parties (several) Right parties (several) No answer 25 1.2% 1.2% 80
All parties together, all 0 Left parties (several) 0 Right parties (several) 0 No answer 80
Left parties (several) 0 Right parties (several) 0 No answer 80
Right parties (several) 0 No answer 80
No answer 80
Did not have the right to vote (in Switzerland) 621
Did not have the right to vote (in Switzerland)
Did not vote 366
Not applicable to family situation 159
Other answer 1
Don't know 1794

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f12905main7_combined: Mother's party choice when R was 14 years old, 7 main parties (BDP+CVP combined)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-350] [Missing=*/998/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2012 /-] [Invalid=3021 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	434	21.6%
21	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party / CVP/PDC - Christia	597	29.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	450	22.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	337	16.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	1.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	12	0.6%
350	Others	144	7.2%
-99	Did not (have the right to) vote, not applicable to family s	1227	
998	Don't know	1794	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15420: Opinion on social spending

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4950 /-] [Invalid=83 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	P	ercentage	
1	Strongly for a reduction	413	8.3%		
2	Rather for a reduction	947		19.1%	
3	Neither nor	1711			34.6%
4	Rather for an increase	1543			31.2%
5	Strongly for an increase	336	6.8%		
-99	No answer	83			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
Warning: these f	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary statistics of the	population of interest.		

#f15430: Opinion on EU membership

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4957 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU membership	192	3.9%
2	Rather for EU membership	766	15.5%
3	Neither nor	799	16.1%
4	Rather for staying outside (Alleingang)	1664	33.6%
5	Strongly for staying outside (Alleingang)	1536	31.0%
-99	No answer	74	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4944 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly for more state interventions in the economy	222	4.5%	
2	Rather for more state interventions in the economy	894	18.1%	
3	Neither nor	1752		35.4%
4	Rather for more free market competition	1673		33.8%
5	Strongly for more free market competition	403	8.2%	
-99	No answer	89		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15440: Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners

Information[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]Statistics [NW/ W][Valid=4970 /-] [Invalid=63 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for equal opportunities	871	17.5%
2	Rather for equal opportunities	1386	27.9%
3	Neither nor	721	14.5%
4	Rather for better opportunities for the Swiss	1406	28.3%
5	Strongly for better opportunities for the Swiss	586	11.8%
-99	No answer	62	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15470: Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4971 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Environmental protection much more important	1098	22.1%

# f15470: Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth					
Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
2	Environmental protection rather more important	1457			29.3%
3	Neither nor	1356			27.3%
4	Economic growth rather more important	817		16.4%	
5	Economic growth much more important	243	4.9%		
-99	No answer	59			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as su	mmary statistics of the pe	opulation of interest.		

f15480: Opinion on taxes on high incomes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4986 /-] [Invalid=47 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for an increase	1234	24.7%
2	Rather for an increase	1809	36.3%
3	Neither nor	1230	24.7%
4	Rather for a reduction	483	9.7%
5	Strongly for a reduction	230	4.6%
-99	No answer	46	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15485: Opinion on modern vs traditional Switzerland

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4978 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly for a modern Switzerland	560	11.2%	
2	Rather for a modern Switzerland	1556		31.3%
3	Neither nor	967	19.4%	
4	Rather for a Switzerland that defends traditions	1482		29.8%
5	Strongly for a Switzerland that defends traditions	413	8.3%	
-99	No answer	55		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f15811: Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=4976 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	1974	39.7%
2	Rather against	1644	33.0%
3	Rather in favour	1049	21.1%
4	Strongly in favour	309	6.2%
-99	No answer	57	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15815: Opinion on introducing a minimum wage of 4000 CHF

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4953 /-] [Invalid=80 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	430	8.7%
2	Rather against	781	15.8%

#f15815: Opinion on introducing a minimum wage of 4000 CHF

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Rather in favour	1930	39.0%
4	Strongly in favour	1812	36.6%
-99	No answer	78	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#f15816: Opinion on introducing voting rights for foreigners on municipal level

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4953 /-] [Invalid=80 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	844	17.0%
2	Rather against	1254	25.3%
3	Rather in favour	1921	38.8%
4	Strongly in favour	934	18.9%
-99	No answer	79	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figure	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.		

f15817: Opinion on equal rights for same-sex couples

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4930 /-] [Invalid=103 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly against	422	8.6%
2	Rather against	780	15.8%
3	Rather in favour	1728	35.1%
4	Strongly in favour	2000	40.6%
-99	No answer	101	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15900: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4967 /-] [Invalid=66 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	2 parties	18	0.4%
2	3 parties	336	6.8%
3	4 parties	2679	53.9%
4	5 parties	652	13.1%
5	6 parties	415	8.4%
98	Don't know	867	17.5%
-99	No answer	60	

#f15900: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f15900rec: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Federal Council, recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4967 /-] [Invalid=66 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	2288	46.1%
1	Correct answer	2679	53.9%
-99	No answer	60	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f16300: Knowledge: Party with most seats in National Council before elections

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4944 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	3255	65.8%
2	The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)	116	2.3%
3	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	259	5.2%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	216	4.4%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	46	0.9%
98	Don't know	1052	21.3%
-99	No answer	79	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f16300rec: Knowledge: Party with most seats in National Council before elections, recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4944 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1689	34.2%
1	Correct answer	3255	65.8%
-99	No answer	79	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss	is listed to supply of some found in the date GL. The sound to intermed a supply	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f16312: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

#f16312: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4945 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	about 1 out of 10	323	6.5%	
2	about 2 out of 10	553	11.2%	
3	about 4 out of 10	768	15.5%	
4	about 5 out of 10	652	13.2%	
5	about 7 out of 10	294	5.9%	
98	Don't know	2355		47.6%
-99	No answer	81		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Sysmiss		6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f16312rec: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4945 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	4622	93.5%
1	Correct answer	323	6.5%
-99	No answer	81	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f16313: Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Swiss citizens/the people	291	5.9%
2	National Council (200 MPs)	508	10.3%
3	Council of States (46 MPs)	204	4.1%
4	United Federal Assembly (National Council and Council of Sta	3370	68.2%
5	Party delegates	36	0.7%
98	Don't know	529	10.7%
-99	No answer	85	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		7	La constant

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f16313rec: Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1568	31.8%

f16313rec: Knowledge: Who elects members of Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded Label Value Cases Percentage 1 Correct answer 68.2% 3370 -99 No answer 85 -98 Multiple answers when single choice 3 -97 Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid 0 Sysmiss Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f16314: Visual Knowledge: Party of minister in the photo (Karin Keller-Sutter)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4438 /-] [Invalid=595 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	2694	60.7%
2	The Centre (former CVP/PDC + BDP/PBD)	179	4.0%
3	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	113	2.5%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	279	6.3%
5	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	17	0.4%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	15	0.3%
98	Don't know	1141	25.7%
-99	No answer	63	
Sysmiss		532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f16314rec: Visual Knowledge: Party of minister in the photo (Karin Keller-Sutter), recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4438 /-] [Invalid=595 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1744	39.3%
1	Correct answer	2694	60.7%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		595	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f20300: Year since R lives in current canton

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1928-2023] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4919 /-] [Invalid=114 /-] [Mean=1988.365 /-] [StdDev=20.934 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	98	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#E11: Current marital status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4990 /-] [Invalid=43 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or in registered partneship	2702	54.1%

#E11: Current marital status

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Widowed	219	4.4%
3	Divorced or separated	592	11.9%
4	Single	1477	29.6%
-99	No answer	33	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		10	

f20500: Household size

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4959 /-] [Invalid=74 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	867	17.5%
2	2	2065	41.6%
3	3	766	15.4%
4	4	876	17.7%
5	5	284	5.7%
6	6	75	1.5%
7	7+	26	0.5%
-99	No answer	63	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		11	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f20700: Does R live with a spouse or partner

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1667 /-] [Invalid=3366 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	974	58.4%
1	Yes	693	41.6%
-99	No answer	14	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f20710: Number of children under 18 living in household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2362 /-] [Invalid=2671 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1087	46.0%
1	1	543	23.0%
2	2	558	23.6%
3	3	136	5.8%

#f20710: Number of children under 18 living in household

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4	33	1.4%
5	5+	5	0.2%
-99	No answer	54	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		2617	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f20221: Main language spoken at home or in family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4971 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
1	German / Swiss German	2847			57.3%
2	French	1188		23.9%	
3	Italian	623	12.5%		
4	Romansh	27	0.5%		
5	Other language	286	5.8%		
-99	No answer	29			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	21			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
Sysmiss		12			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f20221_det: Main language spoken at home or in family (detailed)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4971 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Afar	0	
2	Abkhaz	0	
3	Avestan	0	
4	Afrikaans	0	
5	Akan	0	
6	Amharic	0	
7	Aragonese	0	
8	Arabic	7	0.1%
9	Assamese	0	
10	Avaric	0	
11	Aymara	0	
12	Azerbaijani	0	
13	Bashkir	0	
14	Belarusian	0	
15	Bulgarian	1	0.0%
16	Bihari	0	
17	Bislama	0	
18	Bambara	0	
19	Bengali	0	

# f20221_c	f20221_det: Main language spoken at home or in family (detailed)				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
20	Tibetan	1	0.0%		
21	Breton	0			
22	Bosnian	4	0.1%		
23	Catalan	0			
24	Chechen	0			
25	Chamorro	0			
26	Corsican	0			
27	Cree	0			
28	Czech	3	0.1%		
29	Old Church Slavonic	0			
30	Chuvash	0			
31	Welsh	0			
32	Danish	0			
33	German	2847	57.3%		
34	Divehi	0			
35	Dzongkha	0			
36	Ewe	0			
37	Modern Greek	3	0.1%		
38	English	77	1.5%		
39	Esperanto	0			
40	Spanish	39	0.8%		
41	Estonian	0			
42	Basque	0			
43	Persian	2	0.0%		
44	Fulah	0			
45	Finnish	0			
46	Fijian	0			
47	Faroese	0			
48	French	1188	23.9%		
49	Western Frisian	0			
50	Irish	0			
51	Scottish Gaelic	0			
52	Galician	0			
53	Guarani	0			
54	Gujarati	0			
55	Manx	0			
56	Hausa	0			
57	Hebrew	0			
58	Hindi	0			
59	Hiri Motu	0			
60	Croatian	6	0.1%		
61	Haitian Creole	0			
62	Hungarian	1	0.0%		
63	Armenian	0			
64	Herero	0			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65	Interlingua	0	
66	Indonesian	0	
67	Interlingue	0	
68	Igbo	0	
69	Yi	0	
70	Inupiaq	0	
71	Ido	0	
72	Icelandic	0	
73	Italian	623	12.5%
74	Inuktitut	0	
75	Japanese	1	0.0%
76	Javanese	0	
77	Georgian	0	
78	Kongo	0	
79	Kikuyu	0	
80	Kwanyama	0	
81	Kazakh	0	
82	Greenlandic	0	
83	Khmer	0	
84	Kannada	0	
85	Korean	0	
86	Kanuri	0	
87	Kashmiri	0	
88	Kurdish	3	0.1%
89	Komi	0	
90	Cornish	0	
91	Kyrgyz	0	
92	Latin	0	
93	Luxembourgish	0	
94	Ganda	0	
95	Limburgish	0	
96	Lingala	1	0.0%
97	Lao	0	
98	Lithuanian	0	
99	Luba-Katanga	0	
100	Latvian	0	
101	Malagasy	0	
102	Marshallese	0	
103	Maori	0	
104	Macedonian		0.0%
105	Malayalam		0.0%
106	Mongolian	0	
107	Moldovan	0	
108	Marathi	0	
109	Malay	0	

Value	det: Main language spoken at home or in	Cases	Percentage
110	Maltese	0	reremage
111	Burmese	0	
112	Nauruan	0	
113	Norwegian Bokmål	0	
114	Southern Ndebele	0	
115	Nepali	0	
116	Ndonga	0	
117	Dutch	3	0.1%
118	Norwegian Nynorsk	0	0.170
119	Norwegian	0	
120	Northern Ndebele	0	
121	Navajo	0	
122	Chichewa	0	
123	Occitan	0	
124	Ojibwe	0	
125	Oromo	0	
126	Oriya	0	
127	Ossetian	0	
127			
	Punjabi Pali	0	
129	Polish	0	0.00/
130		2	0.0%
131	Pashto	1	0.0%
132 133	Portuguese	21	0.4%
	Quechua		
134	Réunion Creole	0	0.50/
135	Romansh	27	0.5%
136	Kirundi	0	0.00
137	Romanian	2	0.0%
138	Russian	6	0.1%
139	Kinyarwanda	1	0.0%
140	Sanskrit	0	
141	Sardinian	0	
142	Sindhi	0	
143	Northern Sami	0	
144	Sango	0	
145	Serbo-Croatian	1	0.0%
146	Sinhala	0	
147	Slovak	0	
148	Slovenian	1	0.0%
149	Samoan	0	
150	Shona	0	
151	Somali	0	
152	Albanian	23	0.5%
153	Serbian	7	0.1%
154	Swati	0	

X7-1	T1		C	D 1
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
155	Southern So	tho	0	
156	Sundanese		0	
157	Swedish		0	0.00
158	Swahili		1	0.0%
159	Tamil		10	0.2%
160	Telugu		0	
161	Tajik		0	1000
162	Thai		2	0.0%
163	Tigrinya		1	0.0%
164	Turkmen		0	
165	Tagalog		1	0.0%
166	Tswana		0	
167	Tonga		0	
168	Turkish		13	0.3%
169	Tsonga		0	
170	Tatar		0	
171	Twi		0	
172	Tahitian		0	
173	Uighur		0	
174	Ukrainian		0	
175	Urdu		2	0.0%
176	Uzbek		0	
177	Venda		0	
178	Vietnamese		0	
179	VolapÃ1/4k		0	
180	Walloon		0	
181	Wolof		0	
182	Xhosa		0	
183	Yiddish		0	
184	Yoruba		0	
185	Zhuang		0	
186	Chinese		2	0.0%
187	Zulu		0	
999	Other langu	age	35	0.7%
-99	No answer		29	
-98	Multiple an	swers when single choice	21	
-97	Incomprehe	nsible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss			12	
		ber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summ	nary statistics of the	population of interest.
# f20210red	c1: R's nation	nality at birth - Country 1		
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-99	98] [Missing=	*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=4964 /-] [Invalid=69 /-]		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland		4009	80.8%

#f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8201	Albania	2	0.0%	
8202	Andorra	0		
8204	Belgium	6	0.1%	
8205	Bulgaria	2	0.0%	
8206	Denmark	2	0.0%	
8207	Germany	119	2.4%	
8208	German Democratic Republic	1	0.0%	
8209	West Berlin	0		
8210	Faroe Islands	0		
8211	Finland	4	0.1%	
8212	France	74	1.5%	
8213	Gibraltar	0		
8214	Greece	1	0.0%	
8215	United Kingdom	10	0.2%	
8216	Ireland	4	0.1%	
8217	Iceland	0		
8218	Italy	241	4.9%	
8220	Yugoslavia	13	0.3%	
8222	Liechtenstein	2	0.0%	
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.0%	
8224	Malta	0		
8225	Isle of Man	0		
8226	Monaco	0		
8227	Netherlands	10	0.2%	
8228	Norway	0		
8229	Austria	19	0.4%	
8230	Poland	5	0.1%	
8231	Portugal	52	1.0%	
8232	Romania	4	0.1%	
8233	San Marino	0		
8234	Sweden	5	0.1%	
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0		
8236	Spain	47	0.9%	
8237	Azores	0		
8238	Czechoslovakia	6	0.1%	
8239	Turkey	25	0.5%	
8240	Hungary	8	0.2%	
8241	Vatican City	0		
8242	Cyprus	0		
8243	Slovakia	1	0.0%	
8244	Czech Republic	2	0.0%	
8248	Serbia	25	0.5%	
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0		
8250	Croatia	12	0.2%	
8251	Slovenia	1	0.0%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	13	0.3%
53	Central Serbia	0	
54	Montenegro	0	
55	North Macedonia	18	0.4%
56	Kosovo	41	0.8%
57	Province of Vojvodina	0	
50	Estonia	0	
61	Latvia	1	0.0%
62	Lithuania	0	
63	Moldova	0	
54	Russia	6	0.1%
65	Ukraine	6	0.1%
66	Belarus	1	0.0%
70	Alderney	0	
271	Jersey	0	
.72	Guernsey	0	
73	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
74	Aland Islands	0	
75	Sercq	0	
80	Trieste	0	
)1	Equatorial Guinea	0	
02	Ethiopia	1	0.0%
)3	Djibouti	0	
)4	Algeria	2	0.0%
5	Angola	1	0.0%
)6	Biafra	0	
7	Botswana	0	
08	Burundi	0	
)9	Benin	0	
0	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
1	Gabon	1	0.0%
12	Gambia	0	
13	Ghana	0	
14	Guinea-Bissau	0	
15	Guinea	0	
17	Cameroon	2	0.0%
18	Canary Islands	0	
19	Cabo Verde	1	0.0%
20	Kenya	1	0.0%
21	Comoros	0	
22	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
23	Congo (Kinshasa)	3	0.1%
24	Lesotho	0	
25	Liberia	0	
6	Libya	0	
	•		

# f20210rec1:	#f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
8327	Madagascar	1	0.0%		
8328	Madeira	0			
8329	Malawi	0			
8330	Mali	0			
8331	Morocco	4	0.1%		
8332	Mauritania	0			
8333	Mauritius	2	0.0%		
8334	Mozambique	0			
8335	Niger	1	0.0%		
8336	Nigeria	1	0.0%		
8337	Burkina Faso	0			
8339	Meeting	0			
8340	Zimbabwe	0			
8341	Rwanda	1	0.0%		
8343	Zambia	0			
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0			
8345	Senegal	1	0.0%		
8346	Seychelles	0			
8347	Sierra Leone	0			
8348	Somalia	1	0.0%		
8349	South Africa	2	0.0%		
8350	Sudan	0			
8351	Namibia	0			
8352	Eswatini	0			
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%		
8354	Togo	0			
8356	Chad	0			
8357	Tunisia	2	0.0%		
8358	Uganda	0			
8359	Egypt	1	0.0%		
8360	Central African Republic	0			
8361	Mayotte	0			
8362	Eritrea	3	0.1%		
8363	South Sudan	0			
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0			
8372	Western Sahara	0			
8373	St. Helena	0			
8374	Ascension	0			
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0			
8381	Spanish North Africa	0			
8382	Tanganyika	0			
8383	Zanzibar	0			
8384	Azawad	0			
8401	Argentina	3	0.1%		
8402	Bahamas	0			

# f20210red	# f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
8403	Barbados	0			
8404	Bermuda	0			
8405	Bolivia	1 0	0.0%		
8406	Brazil	9 ().2%		
8407	Chile	1 0).0%		
8408	Costa Rica	1 0	0.0%		
8409	Dominican Republic	4).1%		
8410	Ecuador	2	0.0%		
8411	El Salvador	0			
8412	Falkland Islands	0			
8413	Greenland	0			
8414	Guadeloupe	0			
8415	Guatemala	0			
8416	French Guiana	0			
8417	Guyana	0			
8418	Haiti	2	0.0%		
8419	Belize	0			
8420	Honduras	0			
8421	Jamaica	1 0	0.0%		
8423	Canada	5 0	0.1%		
8424	Colombia	7	0.1%		
8425	Cuba	2	0.0%		
8426	Martinique	0			
8427	Mexico	3).1%		
8429	Nicaragua	0			
8430	Panama).0%		
8431	Paraguay	0			
8432	Peru).2%		
8433	Puerto Rico	0			
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0			
8435	Suriname	0			
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0			
8437	Uruguay		0.0%		
8438	Venezuela		0.0%		
8439	United States of America).2%		
8440	Dominica	0			
8441	Granada	0			
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0			
8443	Saint Lucia	0			
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.004		
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0%		
8446	Anguilla Hawaii				
8447 8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0			
8448	Saint-Martin (France) Saint-BarthA©lemy				
0449	Saint-DarthA Gleiny	0			

# f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.0%	
8473	Cayman Islands	0		
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0		
8475	Montserrat	0		
8476	British Virgin Islands	0		
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0		
8482	Aruba	0		
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0		
8484	Curaçao	0		
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0		
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0		
8501	Afghanistan	2	0.0%	
8502	Bahrain	0		
8503	Bhutan	0		
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0		
8505	Myanmar	0		
8506	Sri Lanka	11	0.2%	
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.0%	
8508	China	5	0.1%	
8509	Hong Kong	0		
8510	India	3	0.1%	
8511	Indonesia	1	0.0%	
8512	Iraq	2	0.0%	
8513	Iran	4	0.1%	
8514	Israel	1	0.0%	
8515	Japan	1	0.0%	
8516	Yemen	0		
8517	Jordan	0		
8518	Cambodia	1	0.0%	
8519	Qatar	0		
8521	Kuwait	0		
8522	Laos	1	0.0%	
8523	Lebanon	7	0.1%	
8524	Macao	0		
8525	Malaysia	0		
8526	Maldives	1	0.0%	
8527	Oman	0		
8528	Mongolia	0		
8529	Nepal	0		
8530	Korea (North)	0		
8531	North Vietnam	0		
8532	United Arab Emirates	0		
8533	Pakistan	3	0.1%	
8534	Philippines	4	0.1%	
8535	Saudi Arabia	0		

# f20210rec1:	f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
8536	Sikkim	0			
8537	Singapore	0			
8538	South Yemen	0			
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.0%		
8540	South Vietnam	0			
8541	Syria	1	0.0%		
8542	Thailand	9	0.2%		
8543	Tibet	0			
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0			
8545	Vietnam	3	0.1%		
8546	Bangladesh	0			
8547	Timor-Leste	0			
8548	French Indochina	0			
8549	North Yemen	0			
8550	Palestine	0			
8551	United Arab Republic	0			
8552	Sarawak	0			
8560	Armenia	0			
8561	Azerbaijan	1	0.0%		
8562	Georgia	0			
8563	Kazakhstan	1	0.0%		
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0			
8565	Tajikistan	0			
8566	Turkmenistan	0			
8567	Uzbekistan	0			
8571	Abkhazia	0			
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0			
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0			
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0			
8575	South Ossetia	0			
8576	Ingushetia	0			
8577	Chechnya	0			
8578	Dagestan	0			
8579	Adjarie	0			
8601	Australia	0			
8602	Fiji	0			
8604	Nauru	0			
8605	Vanuatu	0			
8606	New Caledonia	0			
8607	New Zealand	0			
8608	Papua New Guinea	0			
8610	Tonga	0			
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0			
8612	Samoa	0			
8614	Solomon Islands	0			

# f20210rec1: F	's nationality	⁷ at birth - Coun	try 1
-----------------	----------------	------------------------------	-------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	1	0.0%
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	58	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		11	

arning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=298 /-] [Invalid=4735 /-]

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
8100	Switzerland	8	2.7%	
8201	Albania	0		
8202	Andorra	0		
8204	Belgium	8	2.7%	
8205	Bulgaria	0		
8206	Denmark	1	0.3%	
8207	Germany	37		12.4%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0		

8209		Cases	Percentage
	West Berlin	0	J.
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	1	0.3%
8212	France	56	18.8%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	0	
8215	United Kingdom	12	4.0%
8216	Ireland	0	_
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	88	29.5%
8220	Yugoslavia	1	0.3%
8222	Liechtenstein	0	
8223	Luxembourg	0	
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	0	
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	6	2.0%
8230	Poland	0	2.070
8231	Portugal	10	3.4%
8232	Romania	0	3.476
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden Sweden	3	1.0%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0	1.070
8236	Spain	15	5.0%
8237	Azores	0	5.070
823 <i>1</i> 8238	Czechoslovakia	0	
8238 8239		4	1.3%
8239 8240	Turkey	1	0.3%
8240 8241	Hungary Voticen City	0	U.370
8241 8242	Vatican City Cyprus		
8242 8243	Cyprus Slovakia	0	
8243 8244		0	
	Czech Republic Serbia	0	1 004
8248 8249		3	1.0%
	Serbia and Montenegro	0	1 20/
8250	Croatia	4	1.3%
8251	Slovenia	0	0.70
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	2	0.7%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	0	1 0 To
8256	Kosovo	2	0.7%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	

f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2 Label Cases Value Percentage Latvia Lithuania Moldova Russia 0.3% Ukraine 0.3% Belarus Alderney Jersey Guernsey Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island Aland Islands Sercq Trieste Equatorial Guinea Ethiopia Djibouti Algeria Angola Biafra Botswana Burundi Benin Ivory Coast Gabon Gambia Ghana Guinea-Bissau Guinea Cameroon Canary Islands Cabo Verde Kenya Comoros Congo (Brazzaville) Congo (Kinshasa) Lesotho Liberia Libya Madagascar Madeira Malawi Mali Morocco Mauritania Mauritius

# f20210rec2:	R's nationality at birth - Country 2		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	2	0.7%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	-
8357	Tunisia	1	0.3%
8358	Uganda	0	-
8359	Egypt	1	0.3%
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	0	
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda Bolivia	0	0.204
8405		1	0.3%
8406	Brazil	3	1.0%
8407	Chile Costa Rica	0	0.20
8408		1	0.3%
8409	Dominican Republic	0	

Value	Label	Cases	Perce
3410	Ecuador	1	0.3%
411	El Salvador	0	
412	Falkland Islands	0	
3413	Greenland	0	
3414	Guadeloupe	0	
3415	Guatemala	0	
3416	French Guiana	0	
3417	Guyana	0	
3418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
3420	Honduras	0	
3421	Jamaica	0	
3423	Canada	1	0.3%
3424	Colombia	4	1.3%
3425	Cuba	0	
3426	Martinique	0	
3427	Mexico	0	
3429	Nicaragua	0	
430	Panama	0	
431	Paraguay	0	
432	Peru	1	0.3%
433	Puerto Rico	0	
434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
135	Suriname	0	
136	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
437	Uruguay	0	
138	Venezuela	0	
439	United States of America	7	2.3%
440	Dominica	0	
441	Granada	0	
442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
443	Saint Lucia	0	
144	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
446	Anguilla	0	
447	Hawaii	0	
448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
472	US Virgin Islands	0	
473	Cayman Islands	0	
474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
475	Montserrat	0	
476	British Virgin Islands	0	
3481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
482	Aruba	0	

# f20210rec2	: R's nationality at birth - Country 2		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	0	
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	0	
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.3%
8508	China	0	
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	0	
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	1	0.3%
8513	Iran	0	
8514	Israel	1	0.3%
8515	Japan	2	0.7%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	3	1.0%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal V (2) (1)	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532 8533	United Arab Emirates Pakistan	0	
8534	Philippines	0	
8534 8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	0	
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	1	0.3%
8542	Thailand	1	0.3%
0342	Halland	1	0.570

Value	Label	Cases
8543	Tibet	0
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0
8545	Vietnam	0
8546	Bangladesh	0
8547	Timor-Leste	0
8548	French Indochina	0
8549	North Yemen	0
8550	Palestine	0
8551	United Arab Republic	0
8552	Sarawak	0
8560	Armenia	0
8561	Azerbaijan	0
8562	Georgia	0
8563	Kazakhstan	0
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0
8565	Tajikistan	0
8566	Turkmenistan	0
8567	Uzbekistan	0
8571	Abkhazia	0
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0
8575	South Ossetia	0
8576	Ingushetia	0
8577	Chechnya	0
8578	Dagestan	0
8579	Adjarie	0
8601	Australia	0
8602	Fiji	0
8604	Nauru	0
8605	Vanuatu	0
8606	New Caledonia	0
8607	New Zealand	0
8608	Papua New Guinea	0
8610	Tonga	0
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0
8612	Samoa	0
8614	Solomon Islands	0
8615	Tuvalu	0
8616	Kiribati	0
8617	Marshall Islands	0
8618	Micronesia	0
8619	Palau	0
	American Samoa	
8621		0
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0

# f20210rec2:	R's nationality	y at birth - Country 2	,
---------------	-----------------	------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases
8632	Guam	0
8633	Johnston Island	0
8635	Midway Islands	0
8636	Wake Island	0
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	1
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0
8654	Norfolk Island	0
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0
8671	French Polynesia	0
8682	Cook Islands	0
8683	Niue	0
8684	Tokelau	0
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0
8701	Antarctica	0
8702	Bouvet Island	0
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0
9996	Other country	0
9997	Stateless	0
9998	Don't know	0
-99	No answer	3
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0
Sysmiss	zures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	4732

Information[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]Statistics [NW/W][Valid=14 /-] [Invalid=5019 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8100	Switzerland	1	7.1%	
8201	Albania	0		
8202	Andorra	0		
8204	Belgium	1	7.1%	
8205	Bulgaria	0		
8206	Denmark	0		
8207	Germany	1	7.1%	
8208	German Democratic Republic	0		
8209	West Berlin	0		
8210	Faroe Islands	0		
8211	Finland	0		
8212	France	3	21.4%	6
8213	Gibraltar	0		
8214	Greece	1	7.1%	
8215	United Kingdom	0		

# f20210re	ec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8216	Ireland	0	
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	0	
8220	Yugoslavia	1	7.1%
8222	Liechtenstein	0	
8223	Luxembourg	0	
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	1	7.1%
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	0	
8230	Poland	1	7.1%
8231	Portugal	0	
8232	Romania	0	
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	0	
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1	7.1%
8236	Spain	1	7.1%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	0	
8239	Turkey	0	
8240	Hungary	0	
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	0	
8244	Czech Republic	0	
8248	Serbia	0	
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	0	
8251	Slovenia	0	
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	1	7.1%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	0	
8256	Kosovo	0	
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	0	
8265	Ukraine	0	
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
0270	Additicy	U	

Value	Label	Cases
8271	Jersey	0
8272	Guernsey	0
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0
8274	Aland Islands	0
8275	Sercq	0
8280	Trieste	0
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0
8302	Ethiopia	0
8303	Djibouti	0
8304	Algeria	0
8305	Angola	0
8306	Biafra	0
8307	Botswana	0
8308	Burundi	0
8309	Benin	0
8310	Ivory Coast	0
8311	Gabon	0
8312	Gambia	0
8313	Ghana	0
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0
8315	Guinea	0
8317	Cameroon	0
8317	Canary Islands	0
8319	Cabo Verde	1
8320		
8320	Kenya Comoros	0
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	0
8324	Lesotho	0
8325	Liberia	0
8326	Libya	0
8327	Madagascar	0
8328	Madeira	0
8329	Malawi	0
8330	Mali	0
8331	Morocco	0
8332	Mauritania	0
8333	Mauritius	0
8334	Mozambique	0
8335	Niger	0
8336	Nigeria	0
8337	Burkina Faso	0
8339	Meeting	0
8340	Zimbabwe	0
8341	Rwanda	0

Value	Label	Cases
8343	Zambia	0
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0
8345	Senegal	0
8346	Seychelles	0
8347	Sierra Leone	0
8348	Somalia	0
8349	South Africa	0
8350	Sudan	0
8351	Namibia	0
8352	Eswatini	0
8353	Tanzania	0
8354	Togo	0
8356	Chad	0
8357	Tunisia	0
8358	Uganda	0
8359	Egypt	0
8360	Central African Republic	0
8361	Mayotte	0
8362	Eritrea	0
8363	South Sudan	0
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0
8372	Western Sahara	0
8373	St. Helena	0
8374	Ascension	0
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0
8381	Spanish North Africa	0
8382	Tanganyika	0
8383	Zanzibar	0
8384	Azawad	0
8401	Argentina	0
8402	Bahamas	0
8403	Barbados	0
8404	Bermuda	0
8405	Bolivia	0
8406	Brazil	0
8407	Chile	0
8408	Costa Rica	0
8409	Dominican Republic	0
8410	Ecuador	0
8411	El Salvador	0
8412	Falkland Islands	0
8413	Greenland	0
8414	Guadeloupe	0
8414	Guatemala	
		0
8416	French Guiana	0

# 630310 3	TO 1 11 1	1 4 0 4 2
# 120210rec3:	R's nationality at	birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases
8417	Guyana	0
8418	Haiti	0
8419	Belize	0
8420	Honduras	0
8421	Jamaica	0
8423	Canada	0
8424	Colombia	0
8425	Cuba	0
8426	Martinique	0
8427	Mexico	0
8429	Nicaragua	0
8430	Panama	0
8431	Paraguay	0
8432	Peru	0
8433	Puerto Rico	0
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0
8435	Suriname	0
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0
8437	Uruguay	0
8438	Venezuela	0
8439	United States of America	0
8440	Dominica	0
8441	Granada	0
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0
8443	Saint Lucia	0
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0
8446	Anguilla	0
8447	Hawaii	0
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0
8472	US Virgin Islands	0
8473	Cayman Islands	0
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0
8475	Montserrat	0
8476	British Virgin Islands	0
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0
8482	Aruba	0
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0
8484	Curaçao	0
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	
		0
8501 8502	Afghanistan	0
8502	Bahrain	0
8503	Bhutan	0

#f20210rec3:	R'c r	ationality	at hirth	- Country 3
" 1404101663.	17 2 1	ialiwiiaiilv	at mi ui	- Country 5

Value	Label	Cases
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0
8505	Myanmar	0
8506	Sri Lanka	0
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	0
8508	China	0
8509	Hong Kong	0
8510	India	0
8511	Indonesia	0
8512	Iraq	0
8513	Iran	0
8514	Israel	0
8515	Japan	0
8516	Yemen	0
8517	Jordan	0
8518	Cambodia	0
8519	Qatar	0
8521	Kuwait	0
8522	Laos	0
8523	Lebanon	0
8524	Macao	0
8525	Malaysia	0
8526	Maldives	0
8527	Oman	0
8528	Mongolia	0
8529	Nepal	0
8530	Korea (North)	0
8531	North Vietnam	0
8532	United Arab Emirates	0
8533	Pakistan	0
8534	Philippines	0
8535	Saudi Arabia	0
8536	Sikkim	0
8537	Singapore	0
8538	South Yemen	0
8539	Korea (South)	0
8540	South Vietnam	0
8541	Syria	0
8542	Thailand	0
8543	Tibet	0
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0
8545	Vietnam	0
8546	Bangladesh	0
8547	Timor-Leste	0
8548	French Indochina	0
8549		
549	North Yemen	0

Value	Label	Cases
8550	Palestine	0
8551	United Arab Republic	0
8552	Sarawak	0
8560	Armenia	0
8561	Azerbaijan	0
8562	Georgia	0
8563	Kazakhstan	0
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0
8565	Tajikistan	0
8566	Turkmenistan	0
8567	Uzbekistan	0
8571	Abkhazia	0
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0
8575	South Ossetia	0
8576	Ingushetia	0
8577	Chechnya	0
8578	Dagestan	0
8579	Adjarie	0
8601	Australia	0
8602	Fiji	0
8604	Nauru	0
8605	Vanuatu	0
8606	New Caledonia	0
8607	New Zealand	0
8608	Papua New Guinea	0
8610	Tonga	0
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0
8612	Samoa	0
8614	Solomon Islands	0
8615	Tuvalu	0
8616	Kiribati	0
8617	Marshall Islands	0
8618	Micronesia	0
8619	Palau	0
8621	American Samoa	0
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0
8632	Guam	0
8633	Johnston Island	0
8635	Midway Islands	0
8636	Wake Island	0
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0
0033	ricall and McDonaid Islands	U

Value	Label	Cases
8654	Norfolk Island	0
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0
8671	French Polynesia	0
8682	Cook Islands	0
8683	Niue	0
8684	Tokelau	0
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0
8701	Antarctica	0
8702	Bouvet Island	0
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0
9996	Other country	0
9997	Stateless	0
9998	Don't know	0
-99	No answer	0
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0
Sysmiss		5019

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4933 /-] [Invalid=100 /-]

Percentage

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	3524	71.4%
8201	Albania	2	0.0%
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	18	0.4%
8205	Bulgaria	2	0.0%
8206	Denmark	9	0.2%
8207	Germany	214	4.3%
8208	German Democratic Republic	1	0.0%
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	4	0.1%
8212	France	114	2.3%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	5	0.1%
8215	United Kingdom	20	0.4%
8216	Ireland	5	0.1%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	305	6.2%
8220	Yugoslavia	18	0.4%
8222	Liechtenstein	5	0.1%
8223	Luxembourg	2	0.0%
8224	Malta	0	

	Country R's mother was born in (recoded)		_
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	18	0.4%
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	68	1.4%
8230	Poland	13	0.3%
8231	Portugal	60	1.2%
8232	Romania	3	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	10	0.2%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	3	0.1%
8236	Spain	57	1.2%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	8	0.2%
8239	Turkey	29	0.6%
8240	Hungary	13	0.3%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	1	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	4	0.1%
8248	Serbia	28	0.6%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	17	0.3%
8251	Slovenia	2	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	18	0.4%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	1	0.0%
8255	North Macedonia	20	0.4%
8256	Kosovo	52	1.1%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	11	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	6	0.1%
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	

# f20212: 0	#f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
8302	Ethiopia	0			
8303	Djibouti	0			
8304	Algeria	2	0.0%		
8305	Angola	5	0.1%		
8306	Biafra	0			
8307	Botswana	0			
8308	Burundi	0			
8309	Benin	0			
8310	Ivory Coast	2	0.0%		
8311	Gabon	0			
8312	Gambia	0			
8313	Ghana	1	0.0%		
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0			
8315	Guinea	0			
8317	Cameroon	4	0.1%		
8318	Canary Islands	0			
8319	Cabo Verde	3	0.1%		
8320	Kenya	1	0.0%		
8321	Comoros	0			
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0			
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	7	0.1%		
8324	Lesotho	0			
8325	Liberia	0			
8326	Libya	0			
8327	Madagascar	0			
8328	Madeira	0			
8329	Malawi	0			
8330	Mali	0			
8331	Morocco	9	0.2%		
8332	Mauritania	0			
8333	Mauritius	3	0.1%		
8334	Mozambique	0			
8335	Niger	1	0.0%		
8336	Nigeria	1	0.0%		
8337	Burkina Faso	0			
8339	Meeting	0			
8340	Zimbabwe	0			
8341	Rwanda	2	0.0%		
8343	Zambia	0			
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0			
8345	Senegal	1	0.0%		
8346	Seychelles	0			
8347	Sierra Leone	0			
8348	Somalia	1	0.0%		
8349	South Africa	3	0.1%		

	Country R's mother was born in (recoded)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	2	0.0%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	8	0.2%
8360	Central African Republic	1	0.0%
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	3	0.1%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	5	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	17	0.3%
8407	Chile	2	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	2	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	5	0.1%
8410	Ecuador	4	0.1%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	3	0.1%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%
8423	Canada	5	0.1%
8424	Colombia	9	0.2%

Value	Label	Cases	Danaantaga
			Percentage
8425	Cuba	3	0.1%
8426	Martinique	0	10.00
8427	Mexico	4	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	9	0.2%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	3	0.1%
8438	Venezuela	3	0.1%
8439	United States of America	15	0.3%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.0%
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	2	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	12	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	2	0.0%
8508	China	5	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
		U	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3511	Indonesia	2	0.0%
512	Iraq	4	0.1%
513	Iran	8	0.2%
14	Israel	1	0.0%
15	Japan	3	0.1%
16	Yemen	0	
517	Jordan	0	
518	Cambodia	1	0.0%
19	Qatar	0	
521	Kuwait	0	
22	Laos	2	0.0%
523	Lebanon	8	0.2%
524	Macao	0	
525	Malaysia	1	0.0%
526	Maldives	1	0.0%
527	Oman	0	
528	Mongolia	0	
529	Nepal	0	
30	Korea (North)	0	
31	North Vietnam	0	
32	United Arab Emirates	0	
33	Pakistan	2	0.0%
34	Philippines	6	0.1%
35	Saudi Arabia	0	
36	Sikkim	0	
37	Singapore	0	
38	South Yemen	0	
39	Korea (South)	3	0.1%
40	South Vietnam	0	
41	Syria	2	0.0%
42	Thailand	13	0.3%
43	Tibet	0	
44	Timor (Portugal)	0	
545	Vietnam	5	0.1%
46	Bangladesh	0	
47	Timor-Leste	0	
48	French Indochina	0	
49	North Yemen	0	
550	Palestine	0	
551	United Arab Republic	0	
552	Sarawak	0	
560	Armenia	0	
561	Azerbaijan	0	
562	Georgia	0	
63	Kazakhstan	0	
J	Mazakiistaii	U	

#f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recode	‡ f20212:	12: Country	R's mother	was born in	(recoded
---	-----------	-------------	------------	-------------	----------

Value	Label	Cases
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0
8565	Tajikistan	0
8566	Turkmenistan	0
8567	Uzbekistan	0
8571	Abkhazia	0
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0
8575	South Ossetia	0
8576	Ingushetia	0
8577	Chechnya	0
8578	Dagestan	0
8579	Adjarie	0
8601	Australia	0
8602	Fiji	0
8604	Nauru	0
8605	Vanuatu	0
8606	New Caledonia	0
8607	New Zealand	0
8608	Papua New Guinea	0
8610	Tonga	0
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0
8612	Samoa	0
8614	Solomon Islands	0
8615	Tuvalu	0
8616	Kiribati	0
8617	Marshall Islands	0
8618	Micronesia	0
8619	Palau	0
8621	American Samoa	0
8630		
	Northern Mariana Islands	0
8632	Guam	0
8633	Johnston Island	0
8635	Midway Islands	0
8636	Wake Island	0
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0
8654	Norfolk Island	0
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0
8671	French Polynesia	0
8682	Cook Islands	0
8683	Niue	0
8684	Tokelau	0
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0

f20212: Country R's mother was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	63	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Not applicable to family situation	25	
Sysmiss		11	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4909 /-] [Invalid=124 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8100	Switzerland	3619		73.7%
8201	Albania	3	0.1%	
8202	Andorra	1	0.0%	
8204	Belgium	11	0.2%	
8205	Bulgaria	2	0.0%	
8206	Denmark	2	0.0%	
8207	Germany	142	2.9%	
8208	German Democratic Republic	1	0.0%	
8209	West Berlin	0		
8210	Faroe Islands	0		
8211	Finland	3	0.1%	
8212	France	114	2.3%	
8213	Gibraltar	0		
8214	Greece	5	0.1%	
8215	United Kingdom	21	0.4%	
8216	Ireland	4	0.1%	
8217	Iceland	0		
8218	Italy	353	7.2%	
8220	Yugoslavia	19	0.4%	
8222	Liechtenstein	1	0.0%	
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.0%	
8224	Malta	0		
8225	Isle of Man	0		
8226	Monaco	0		
8227	Netherlands	11	0.2%	
8228	Norway	0		
8229	Austria	26	0.5%	
8230	Poland	9	0.2%	

	Country R's father was born in (recoded)		
Value	Label	Cases Percentage	
8231	Portugal	65 1.3%	
8232	Romania	5 0.1%	
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	8 0.2%	
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	3 0.1%	
8236	Spain	55 1.1%	
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	9 0.2%	
8239	Turkey	32 0.7%	
8240	Hungary	13 0.3%	
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	1 0.0%	
8244	Czech Republic	4 0.1%	
8248	Serbia	24 0.5%	
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	16 0.3%	
8251	Slovenia	1 0.0%	
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	19 0.4%	
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	2 0.0%	
8255	North Macedonia	19 0.4%	
8256	Kosovo	54 1.1%	
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1 0.0%	
8262	Lithuania	1 0.0%	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	8 0.2%	
8265	Ukraine	3 0.1%	
8266	Belarus	1 0.0%	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	5 0.1%	
8305	Angola	0	
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
308	Burundi	0	
309	Benin	0	
10	Ivory Coast	1 0.0	0%
11	Gabon	1 0.0	0%
12	Gambia	1 0.0	0%
313	Ghana	0	
14	Guinea-Bissau	0	
15	Guinea	0	
17	Cameroon	3 0.1	1%
18	Canary Islands	0	
19	Cabo Verde	3 0.1	1%
20	Kenya	1 0.0	0%
21	Comoros	0	
22	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
323	Congo (Kinshasa)	5 0.1	1%
324	Lesotho	0	
25	Liberia	0	
26	Libya	0	
27	Madagascar	0	
28	Madeira	0	
29	Malawi	0	
30	Mali	0	
31	Morocco		1%
32	Mauritania	0	
33	Mauritius		0%
34	Mozambique		0%
35	Niger		0%
36	Nigeria		0%
37	Burkina Faso	0	
39	Meeting	0	
40	Zimbabwe	0	
41	Rwanda		0%
43	Zambia	0	
44	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
45	Senegal		0%
46	Seychelles	0	
47	Sierra Leone	0	
48	Somalia		0%
49	South Africa		0%
50	Sudan	0	
351	Namibia	0	
352	Eswatini	0	
353	Tanzania		0%
354	Togo		0%
56	Chad	0.0	070
50	Ciiau	U	

# f20213: 0	Country R's father was born in (recoded)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8357	Tunisia	8	0.2%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	7	0.1%
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	3	0.1%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	6	0.1%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	2	0.0%
8406	Brazil	12	0.2%
8407	Chile	3	0.1%
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.0%
8409	Dominican Republic	3	0.1%
8410	Ecuador	3	0.1%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	1	0.0%
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	2	0.0%
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%
8423	Canada	5	0.1%
8424	Colombia	6	0.1%
8425	Cuba	3	0.1%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	5	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	

8432 8433 8434 8435 8436 8437 8438 8439 8440 8441 8442	Peru Puerto Rico Saint Pierre and Miquelon Suriname Trinidad and Tobago Uruguay Venezuela United States of America Dominica Granada	10 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 11	0.2%
8434 8435 8436 8437 8438 8439 8440 8441 8442	Saint Pierre and Miquelon Suriname Trinidad and Tobago Uruguay Venezuela United States of America Dominica	0 0 0 2 3	
8435 8436 8437 8438 8439 8440 8441 8442	Suriname Trinidad and Tobago Uruguay Venezuela United States of America Dominica	0 0 2 3	
8436 8437 8438 8439 8440 8441 8442	Trinidad and Tobago Uruguay Venezuela United States of America Dominica	0 2 3	
8437 8438 8439 8440 8441 8442	Uruguay Venezuela United States of America Dominica	2 3	
8438 8439 8440 8441 8442 8443	Venezuela United States of America Dominica	3	
8439 8440 8441 8442 8443	United States of America Dominica		
8440 8441 8442 8443	Dominica	11	0.1%
8441 8442 8443			0.2%
8442 8443	Granada	0	
8443		0	
	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	1	0.0%
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	2	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	12	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.0%
8508	China	7	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	6	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	2	0.0%
8512	Iraq	4	0.1%
8513	Iran	6	0.1%
8514	Israel	1	0.0%
8515	Japan	1	0.0%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
517	Jordan	0	
518	Cambodia	2 0.0%	
19	Qatar	0	
21	Kuwait	0	
522	Laos	1 0.0%	
523	Lebanon	11 0.2%	
524	Macao	0	
525	Malaysia	0	
526	Maldives	1 0.0%	
527	Oman	0	
28	Mongolia	0	
529	Nepal	0	
530	Korea (North)	0	
531	North Vietnam	0	
532	United Arab Emirates	0	
533	Pakistan	3 0.1%	
534	Philippines	4 0.1%	
535	Saudi Arabia	0	
36	Sikkim	0	
37	Singapore	0	
38	South Yemen	0	
539	Korea (South)	1 0.0%	
540	South Vietnam	0	
41	Syria	2 0.0%	
42	Thailand	6 0.1%	
43	Tibet	0	
44	Timor (Portugal)	0	
545	Vietnam	7 0.1%	
46	Bangladesh	0	
47	Timor-Leste	0	
48	French Indochina	0	
549	North Yemen	0	
50	Palestine	0	
551	United Arab Republic	0	
52	Sarawak	0	
60	Armenia	0	
61	Azerbaijan	1 0.0%	
562	Georgia	0	
563	Kazakhstan	0	
564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
565	Tajikistan	0	
566	Turkmenistan	0	
567	Uzbekistan	0	
571	Abkhazia	0	
72	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	

#f20213.	Country	R's father	was horn	in	(recoded)
" 140413.	COUNTRY	IX 5 laulti	was built	ш	(I CCOUCU)

Value	Label	Cases
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0
8575	South Ossetia	0
8576	Ingushetia	0
8577	Chechnya	0
8578	Dagestan	0
8579	Adjarie	0
8601	Australia	0
8602	Fiji	0
8604	Nauru	0
8605	Vanuatu	0
8606	New Caledonia	0
8607	New Zealand	0
8608	Papua New Guinea	0
8610	Tonga	0
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0
8612	Samoa	0
8614	Solomon Islands	0
8615	Tuvalu	0
8616	Kiribati	0
8617	Marshall Islands	0
8618	Micronesia	0
8619	Palau	0
8621	American Samoa	0
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0
8632	Guam	0
8633	Johnston Island	0
8635	Midway Islands	0
8636	Wake Island	0
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0
8654	Norfolk Island	0
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0
8671	French Polynesia	0
8682	Cook Islands	0
8683	Niue Niue	0
8684	Tokelau	0
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0
8701	Antarctica	0
8702	Bouvet Island	0
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0
9996	Other country	0
9997	Stateless	0
9998	Don't know	0

f20213: Country R's father was born in (recoded)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	82	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Not applicable to family situation	31	
Sysmiss		11	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f20760: Which religion or denomination does R belong to - Recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4973 /-] [Invalid=60 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Protestant	1229	24.7%
2	Catholic	1974	39.7%
3	Jewish	9	0.2%
4	Muslim	126	2.5%
5	Free Protestant/Evangelical Church	57	1.1%
6	Other Christian religion	69	1.4%
7	Other religion	39	0.8%
8	None	1470	29.6%
-99	No answer	46	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f20900: How often R attends religious services or other events of a religious community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3446 /-] [Invalid=1587 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	447	13.0%
2	Only on special occasions (baptism, marriage, funeral)	1759	51.0%
3	Once a year	167	4.8%
4	Several times a year	648	18.8%
5	One or two times a month	167	4.8%
6	One time per week	200	5.8%
7	Several times per week	58	1.7%
-99	No answer	34	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		1552	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f21310: R's highest level of education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4860 /-] [Invalid=173 /-]

f21310: R's highest level of education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	16	0.3%
2	Primary school	76	1.6%
3	Secondary school	191	3.9%
4	Basic vocational training (EBA, AFP)	83	1.7%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	1533	31.5%
6	Upper secondary specialised school (Fachmittelschule, Ecole	112	2.3%
7	Trade school	258	5.3%
8	Vocational or specialised baccalaureate	170	3.5%
9	Baccalaureate	265	5.5%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	557	11.5%
11	College of higher education	276	5.7%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	473	9.7%
13	University, Federal Institute of Technology	837	17.2%
14	Other	8	0.2%
98	Don't know	5	0.1%
-99	No answer	76	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	83	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		14	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6b_lev: Highest diploma - R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1510 /-] [Invalid=3523 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bachelor or equivalent	689	45.6%
2	Master, licentiate or equivalent	670	44.4%
3	Doctorate	151	10.0%
-99	No answer	164	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3359	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f21400: Current employment situation - R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4964 /-] [Invalid=69 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full-time employment (at least 40 hours/week)	1718	34.6%
2	Part-time employment (15-39 hours/week)	1015	20.4%
3	Part-time employment (less than 15 hours/week)	162	3.3%
4	Employee of own family business	55	1.1%
5	In training (student, trainee)	257	5.2%
6	Housemaker	193	3.9%
7	Retired (AHV)	1316	26.5%

#f21400: Current employment situation - R

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Retired (IV or other)	118	2.4%
9	Unemployed	63	1.3%
10	Other (professional training, holidays, etc.)	67	1.3%
-99	No answer	33	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	21	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	1	
Sysmiss		14	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f26100: Past employment situation - R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1727 /-] [Invalid=3306 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full-time employment (at least 40 hours/week)	799	46.3%
2	Part-time employment (15-39 hours/week)	381	22.1%
3	Part-time employment (less than 15 hours/week)	85	4.9%
4	Employee of own family business	51	3.0%
5	In training (student, trainee)	29	1.7%
6	Housemaker	120	6.9%
7	Retired (AHV)	192	11.1%
8	Retired (IV or other)	37	2.1%
9	Unemployed	10	0.6%
10	Other (professional training, holidays, etc.)	23	1.3%
-99	No answer	22	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	8	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3276	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f21500_f24900: Position at work (current or past) - R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4100 /-] [Invalid=933 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	589	14.4%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	986	24.0%
3	Employee with operative function	2005	48.9%
4	Self-employed	520	12.7%
-99	No answer	145	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	16	
Sysmiss		767	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f21500: Position at current work - R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]

f21500: Position at current work - R

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2870 /-] [Invalid=2163 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	417	14.5%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	691	24.1%
3	Employee with operative function	1412	49.2%
4	Self-employed	350	12.2%
-99	No answer	69	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	6	
Sysmiss		2083	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f24900: Position at past work - R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1230 /-] [Invalid=3803 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	172	14.0%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	295	24.0%
3	Employee with operative function	593	48.2%
4	Self-employed	170	13.8%
-99	No answer	76	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	10	
Sysmiss		3717	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

$\mbox{\#}\,f21550_f24950\mbox{:}$ Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - R

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2600] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=464 /-] [Invalid=4569 /-] [Mean=19.043 /-] [StdDev=172.196 /-]

Value	Label	Cases
-99	No answer	52
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	4
Warning: these figures	indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the

f21550: Number of persons employed at current company - R

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2600] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=325 /-] [Invalid=4708 /-] [Mean=24.914 /-] [StdDev=205.514 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-99	No answer	22		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	3		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

f24950: Number of persons employed at past company - R Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=139 /-] [Invalid=4894 /-] [Mean=5.317 /-] [StdDev=7.02 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	30	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

ISCO08prof_r_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3976 /-] [Invalid=1057 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	3	0.1%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	3	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	0.1%
10	Managers	21	0.5%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	205	5.2%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	197	5.0%
13	Production and specialised services managers	218	5.5%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	68	1.7%
20	Professionals	26	0.7%
21	Science and engineering professionals	225	5.7%
22	Health professionals	248	6.2%
23	Teaching professionals	314	7.9%
24	Business and administration professionals	209	5.3%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	111	2.8%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	184	4.6%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	29	0.7%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	204	5.1%
32	Health associate professionals	131	3.3%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	275	6.9%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	103	2.6%
35	Information and communications technicians	16	0.4%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	239	6.0%
42	Customer services clerks	59	1.5%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	67	1.7%
44	Other clerical support workers	39	1.0%
50	Service and sales workers	1	0.0%
51	Personal service workers	141	3.5%
52	Sales workers	99	2.5%
53	Personal care workers	72	1.8%
54	Protective services workers	23	0.6%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	70	1.8%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	3	0.1%

#ISCO08prof_r_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers		
Subsistence farmers, fishers, functs and gatherers	0	
Craft and related trades workers	0	
Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	51	1.3%
Metal, machinery and related trades workers	72	1.8%
Handicraft and printing workers	32	0.8%
Electrical and electronic trades workers	40	1.0%
Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	40	1.0%
Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
Stationary plant and machine operators	25	0.6%
Assemblers	5	0.1%
Drivers and mobile plant operators	53	1.3%
Elementary occupations	5	0.1%
Cleaners and helpers	18	0.5%
Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	4	0.1%
Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	14	0.4%
Food preparation assistants	3	0.1%
Street and related sales and service workers	0	
Refuse workers and other elementary workers	9	0.2%
No answer	290	
	767	
	Craft and related trades workers Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians Metal, machinery and related trades workers Handicraft and printing workers Electrical and electronic trades workers Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r Plant and machine operators, and assemblers Stationary plant and machine operators Assemblers Drivers and mobile plant operators Elementary occupations Cleaners and helpers Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo Food preparation assistants Street and related sales and service workers Refuse workers and other elementary workers	Craft and related trades workers Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians Metal, machinery and related trades workers 72 Handicraft and printing workers Electrical and electronic trades workers 40 Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r Plant and machine operators, and assemblers O Stationary plant and machine operators Assemblers Drivers and mobile plant operators 53 Elementary occupations Cleaners and helpers Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo 14 Food preparation assistants Street and related sales and service workers Refuse workers and other elementary workers 9 No answer 20 0

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#ISCO08prof_r_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2812 /-] [Invalid=2221 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percent	tage	
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	3	0.1%		
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	2	0.1%		
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	0.0%		
10	Managers	12	0.4%		
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	134	4	1.8%	
12	Administrative and commercial managers	139		4.9%	
13	Production and specialised services managers	139		4.9%	
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	49	1.7%		
20	Professionals	19	0.7%		
21	Science and engineering professionals	163		5.8%	
22	Health professionals	185		6.6%	
23	Teaching professionals	220			7.8%
24	Business and administration professionals	160		5.7%	
25	Information and communications technology professionals	101	3.6%		
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	141		5.0%	
30	Technicians and associate professionals	25	0.9%		
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	149		5.3%	
32	Health associate professionals	99	3.5%		
33	Business and administration associate professionals	182		6.5%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	72	2.6%
35	Information and communications technicians	11	0.4%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	143	5.1%
42	Customer services clerks	35	1.2%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	44	1.6%
44	Other clerical support workers	22	0.8%
50	Service and sales workers	1	0.0%
51	Personal service workers	97	3.4%
52	Sales workers	63	2.2%
53	Personal care workers	59	2.1%
54	Protective services workers	18	0.6%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	48	1.7%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	2	0.1%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	36	1.3%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	54	1.9%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	21	0.7%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	31	1.1%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	26	0.9%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	22	0.8%
82	Assemblers	2	0.1%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	39	1.4%
90	Elementary occupations	4	0.1%
91	Cleaners and helpers	14	0.5%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	3	0.1%
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	11	0.4%
94	Food preparation assistants	3	0.1%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	8	0.3%
-99	No answer	138	
Sysmiss		2083	

ISCO08prof_r_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid=1164 /-] [Invalid=3869 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	0.1%
10	Managers	9	0.8%

ISCO08prof_r_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Respondent Value Label Cases Percentage 11 Chief executives, senior officials and legislators 71 6.1% Administrative and commercial managers 5.0% 12 58 13 Production and specialised services managers 79 6.8% 14 19 1.6% Hospitality, retail and other services managers 20 7 0.6% Professionals 21 Science and engineering professionals 62 5.3% 22 Health professionals 63 5.4% 23 Teaching professionals 94 8.1% 24 Business and administration professionals 49 4.2% 0.9% 25 Information and communications technology professionals 10 26 3.7% Legal, social and cultural professionals 43 0.3% 30 Technicians and associate professionals 4 31 Science and engineering associate professionals 55 4.7% 2.7% 32 Health associate professionals 32 33 Business and administration associate professionals 93 8.0% 31 34 Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals 2.7% 35 Information and communications technicians 5 0.4% 40 Clerical support workers 0 41 General and keyboard clerks 96 8.2% 42 Customer services clerks 24 2.1% 2.0% 43 Numerical and material recording clerks 23 44 17 1.5% Other clerical support workers 50 Service and sales workers 0 3.8% 51 Personal service workers 44 52 Sales workers 36 3.1% 53 Personal care workers 13 1.1% 0.4% 54 Protective services workers 5 60 Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers 0 61 Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers 22 1.9% 0.1% 62 Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker 0 63 Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers 70 Craft and related trades workers 0 Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians 15 1.3% 71 72 Metal, machinery and related trades workers 18 1.5% 73 Handicraft and printing workers 11 0.9% 74 Electrical and electronic trades workers 0.8% 14 75 Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r 1.2% 80 Plant and machine operators, and assemblers 0 81 Stationary plant and machine operators 3 0.3% 82 3 0.3% Assemblers 83 Drivers and mobile plant operators 14 1.2% 90 0.1% Elementary occupations 91 Cleaners and helpers 4 0.3% 92 Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers 0.1% 93 Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo 0.3%

#ISCO08prof_r_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
94	Food preparation assistants	0	
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	1	0.1%
-99	No answer	152	
Sysmiss		3717	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f21700_f25100: Does/did R work in the private or public sector

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3536 /-] [Invalid=1497 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	2082	58.9%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	1184	33.5%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	137	3.9%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	133	3.8%
-99	No answer	35	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	7	
Sysmiss		1453	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f21700: Does R work in the private or public sector

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2494 /-] [Invalid=2539 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	1463	58.7%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	831	33.3%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	99	4.0%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	101	4.0%
-99	No answer	23	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	3	
Sysmiss		2513	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f25100: Did R work in the private or public sector

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid		[Valid=1042 /-] [Invalid=3991 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	619	59.4%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	353	33.9%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	38	3.6%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	32	3.1%
-99	No answer	12	

#f25100: Did R work in the private or public sector

Value	Label	Cases
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0
98	Don't know	4
Sysmiss		3973
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.		

f21750_f25150: The main activity sector of R's company (current or past)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4121 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	590	14.3%
2	Retail and wholesale	315	7.6%
3	Healthcare and social sector	759	18.4%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	227	5.5%
5	Construction	398	9.7%
6	Teaching and education	437	10.6%
7	Tourism and business related services	110	2.7%
8	Financial services	302	7.3%
9	Hotel and restaurant	131	3.2%
10	Transportation	139	3.4%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	330	8.0%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	91	2.2%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	80	1.9%
14	Information and communication	181	4.4%
15	Other	31	0.8%
-99	No answer	130	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	8	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	7	
Sysmiss		767	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f21750: The main activity sector of R's current company

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2884 /-] [Invalid=2149 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	409	14.2%
2	Retail and wholesale	194	6.7%
3	Healthcare and social sector	557	19.3%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	178	6.2%
5	Construction	291	10.1%
6	Teaching and education	311	10.8%
7	Tourism and business related services	77	2.7%
8	Financial services	187	6.5%
9	Hotel and restaurant	84	2.9%
10	Transportation	94	3.3%

#f21750: The main activity sector of R's current company

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	219	7.6%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	62	2.1%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	64	2.2%
14	Information and communication	139	4.8%
15	Other	18	0.6%
-99	No answer	58	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	3	
Sysmiss		2083	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f25150: The main activity sector of R's past company

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1237 /-] [Invalid=3796 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	181	14.6%
2	Retail and wholesale	121	9.8%
3	Healthcare and social sector	202	16.3%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	49	4.0%
5	Construction	107	8.6%
6	Teaching and education	126	10.2%
7	Tourism and business related services	33	2.7%
8	Financial services	115	9.3%
9	Hotel and restaurant	47	3.8%
10	Transportation	45	3.6%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	111	9.0%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	29	2.3%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	16	1.3%
14	Information and communication	42	3.4%
15	Other	13	1.1%
-99	No answer	72	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		3717	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f21800: Who is the main earner in R's household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=3275 /-] [Invalid=1758 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respondent	1302	39.8%
2	Spouse or partner	1089	33.3%
3	Both earn equally	782	23.9%
4	Another person	44	1.3%

# f21800: Who is the main earner in R's household						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
98	Don't know	58	1.8%			
-99	No answer	37				
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	3				
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0				
Sysmiss 1718						
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						

#f21910: Highest level of education - Partner			
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1055 /-] [Invalid=3978 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	4	0.4%
2	Primary school	9	0.9%
3	Secondary school	24	2.3%
4	Basic vocational training (EBA, AFP)	17	1.6%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	314	29.8%
6	Upper secondary specialised school (Fachmittelschule, Ecole	18	1.7%
7	Trade school	34	3.2%
8	Vocational or specialised baccalaureate	32	3.0%
9	Baccalaureate	34	3.2%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	169	16.0%
11	College of higher education	92	8.7%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	95	9.0%
13	University, Federal Institute of Technology	201	19.1%
14	Other	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	8	0.8%
-99	No answer	23	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	11	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3944	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6b_lev_partner: Highest diploma - Partner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=380 /-] [Invalid=4653 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
1	Bachelor or equivalent	127	33.4%		
2	Master, licentiate or equivalent	190		50.0%	
3	Doctorate	34	8.9%		
98	Don't know	29	7.6%		
-99	No answer	8			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0			
Sysmiss		4645			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

$\mbox{\# f22000_f22400:}$ Employment situation (current or past) - Partner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1067 /-] [Invalid=3966 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full-time employment (at least 40 hours/week)	876	82.1%
2	Part-time employment (15-39 hours/week)	124	11.6%
3	Part-time employment (less than 15 hours/week)	15	1.4%
4	Employee of own family business	17	1.6%

# f22000	f22400:	Employment	situation	(current	or nast) .	Partner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	In training (student, trainee)	0	
6	Housemaker	4	0.4%
7	Retired (AHV)	26	2.4%
8	Retired (IV or other)	1	0.1%
9	Unemployed	2	0.2%
10	Other (professional training, holidays, etc.)	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
-99	No answer	15	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	5	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		3946	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f22100_f22500: Position at work (current or past) - Partner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1017 /-] [Invalid=4016 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	195	19.2%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	281	27.6%
3	Employee with operative function	366	36.0%
4	Self-employed	157	15.4%
98	Don't know	18	1.8%
-99	No answer	15	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4001	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f22150_f22550: Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - Partner

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-250] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=141 /-] [Invalid=4892 /-] [Mean=9.872 /-] [StdDev=25.809 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	12	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ISCO08prof_p_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=892 /-] [Invalid=4141 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.1%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	0.2%
10	Managers	5	0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	66	7.4%

ISCO08prof_p_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner Value Label Cases Percentage 12 Administrative and commercial managers 64 7.2% 13 Production and specialised services managers 108 12.1% 14 Hospitality, retail and other services managers 9 1.0% 4 0.4% 20 Professionals 21 74 8.3% Science and engineering professionals 22 Health professionals 29 3.3% 23 46 5.2% Teaching professionals 24 Business and administration professionals 59 6.6% 25 4.0% Information and communications technology professionals 36 26 36 4.0% Legal, social and cultural professionals 1.3% 30 Technicians and associate professionals 12 5.6% 31 Science and engineering associate professionals 50 0.6% 32 Health associate professionals 5 5.9% 33 Business and administration associate professionals 53 34 Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals 10 1.1% 0.7% 35 Information and communications technicians 6 40 Clerical support workers 0 2.5% 41 General and keyboard clerks 22 42 12 1.3% Customer services clerks 43 Numerical and material recording clerks 30 3.4% 0.7% 44 Other clerical support workers 6 0 50 Service and sales workers 51 Personal service workers 15 1.7% 5 0.6% 52 Sales workers 53 2 Personal care workers 0.2% 54 Protective services workers 9 60 Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers 0 61 Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers 22 2.5% 62 Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker 0.1% 63 Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers 0 70 0 Craft and related trades workers 71 Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians 19 2.1% 72 17 1.9% Metal, machinery and related trades workers 3 0.3% 73 Handicraft and printing workers 74 Electrical and electronic trades workers 10 1.1% 75 Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r 7 0.8% 0 80 Plant and machine operators, and assemblers 81 Stationary plant and machine operators 4 0.4% 82 Assemblers 2 0.2% 83 18 Drivers and mobile plant operators 2.0% 90 Elementary occupations 0.1% 91 3 0.3% Cleaners and helpers 92 Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers 0 93 Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo 5 0.6% 94 Food preparation assistants 2 0.2%

#ISCO08prof_p_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	1	0.1%
-99	No answer	140	
Sysmiss		4001	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ISCO08prof_p_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=706 /-] [Invalid=4327 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.1%
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	0.3%
10	Managers	4	0.6%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	45	6.4%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	52	7.4%
13	Production and specialised services managers	82	11.6%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	9	1.3%
20	Professionals	3	0.4%
21	Science and engineering professionals	58	8.2%
22	Health professionals	22	3.1%
23	Teaching professionals	33	4.7%
24	Business and administration professionals	43	6.1%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	33	4.7%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	35	5.0%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	11	1.6%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	39	5.5%
32	Health associate professionals	5	0.7%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	41	5.8%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	6	0.8%
35	Information and communications technicians	4	0.6%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	17	2.4%
42	Customer services clerks	10	1.4%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	22	3.1%
44	Other clerical support workers	6	0.8%
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	13	1.8%
52	Sales workers	4	0.6%
53	Personal care workers	2	0.3%
54	Protective services workers	8	1.1%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	22	3.1%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	1	0.1%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	

# ISCO08prof_p_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Partner			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	17	2.4%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	15	2.1%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	2	0.3%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	8	1.1%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	6	0.8%
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	3	0.4%
82	Assemblers	2	0.3%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	13	1.8%

0

2

0

0

127

0.3%

0.4%

0.1%

0.1%

Sysmiss 4200
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#ISCO08prof_p_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Partner

Elementary occupations

Food preparation assistants

Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers

Street and related sales and service workers

Refuse workers and other elementary workers

Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo

Cleaners and helpers

No answer

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

-99

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=185 /-] [Invalid=4848 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.5%
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
10	Managers	1	0.5%
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	21	11.4%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	12	6.5%
13	Production and specialised services managers	26	14.1%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	0	
20	Professionals	1	0.5%
21	Science and engineering professionals	16	8.6%
22	Health professionals	7	3.8%
23	Teaching professionals	13	7.0%
24	Business and administration professionals	16	8.6%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	3	1.6%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	1	0.5%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	1	0.5%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	11	5.9%
32	Health associate professionals	0	
33	Business and administration associate professionals	12	6.5%
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	4	2.2%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35	Information and communications technicians	2	1.1%
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	5	2.7%
42	Customer services clerks	2	1.1%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	8	4.3%
14	Other clerical support workers	0	
50	Service and sales workers	0	
1	Personal service workers	1	0.5%
52	Sales workers	1	0.5%
53	Personal care workers	0	
4	Protective services workers	1	0.5%
50	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
1	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	0	
2	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	0	
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
0	Craft and related trades workers	0	
1	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	2	1.1%
2	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	2	1.1%
3	Handicraft and printing workers	1	0.5%
4	Electrical and electronic trades workers	2	1.1%
' 5	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	1	0.5%
0	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
1	Stationary plant and machine operators	1	0.5%
2	Assemblers	0	
3	Drivers and mobile plant operators	5	2.7%
0	Elementary occupations	1	0.5%
1	Cleaners and helpers	1	0.5%
2	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
3	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	2	1.1%
4	Food preparation assistants	1	0.5%
5	Street and related sales and service workers	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

$\mbox{\tt\#}\, \mbox{\tt f22300_f22700:}$ Does/did partner work in the private or public sector

Refuse workers and other elementary workers

No answer

96

-99

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=835 /-] [Invalid=4198 /-]

13 4835

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	474	56.8%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	295	35.3%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	44	5.3%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	10	1.2%
98	Don't know	12	1.4%

#f22300_f22700: Does/did partner work in the private or public sector

Value	Label	Cases	
-99	No answer	7	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4191	
Warning: these figures	indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population o

f22350_f22750: The main activity sector of partner's company (current or past)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=986 /-] [Invalid=4047 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Perce	entage
1	Product fabrication	158		16.0%
2	Retail and wholesale	48	4.9%	
3	Healthcare and social sector	80	8.1	%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	70	7.1%	
5	Construction	143		14.5%
6	Teaching and education	81	8.2	2%
7	Tourism and business related services	29	2.9%	
8	Financial services	114		11.6%
9	Hotel and restaurant	22	2.2%	
10	Transportation	49	5.0%	
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	103		10.4%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	26	2.6%	
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	19	1.9%	
14	Information and communication	42	4.3%	
15	Other	2	0.2%	
-99	No answer	22		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
98	Don't know	24		
Sysmiss		4001		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f22910: Highest level of education - Main earner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=252 /-] [Invalid=4781 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	3	1.2%
2	Primary school	3	1.2%
3	Secondary school	9	3.6%
4	Basic vocational training (EBA, AFP)	3	1.2%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	52	20.6%
6	Upper secondary specialised school (Fachmittelschule, Ecole	2	0.8%
7	Trade school	10	4.0%
8	Vocational or specialised baccalaureate	5	2.0%
9	Baccalaureate	12	4.8%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	23	9.1%

f22910: Highest level of education - Main earner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
11	College of higher education	19	7.5%	
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	21	8.3%	
13	University, Federal Institute of Technology	70	27.8%	
14	Other	1	0.4%	
98	Don't know	19	7.5%	
-99	No answer	4		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Sysmiss		4776		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

E6b_lev_main_earner: Highest diploma - Main earner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=110 /-] [Invalid=4923 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bachelor or equivalent	24	21.8%
2	Master, licentiate or equivalent	51	46.4%
3	Doctorate	24	21.8%
98	Don't know	11	10.0%
-99	No answer	0	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4923	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

f23000_f23400: Employment situation (current or past) - Main earner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=244 /-] [Invalid=4789 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Full-time employment (at least 40 hours/week)	194		79.5%
2	Part-time employment (15-39 hours/week)	29	11.9%	
3	Part-time employment (less than 15 hours/week)	3	1.2%	
4	Employee of own family business	5	2.0%	
5	In training (student, trainee)	7	2.9%	
6	Housemaker	2	0.8%	
7	Retired (AHV)	0		
8	Retired (IV or other)	0		
9	Unemployed	1	0.4%	
10	Other (professional training, holidays, etc.)	0		
98	Don't know	3	1.2%	
-99	No answer	6		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Sysmiss		4782		

# f23100_f23500: Position at work (current or past) - Main earner				
Information	Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=231 /-] [Invalid=4802 /-]				

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Employee with executive function	54	23.4	%
2	Employee with supervisory/training function	35	15.2%	
3	Employee with operative function	73		31.6%
4	Self-employed	39	16.9%	
98	Don't know	30	13.0%	
-99	No answer	0		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Sysmiss		4802		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f23150_f23550: Number of persons employed at company (current or past) - Main earner

Information Statistics [NW/ W]		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-40] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
		[Valid=34 /-] [Invalid=4999 /-] [Mean=4.471 /-] [StdDev=6.938 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	5	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

ISCO08prof_me_2dig: ISCO 08 Past/Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=190 /-] [Invalid=4843 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
10	Managers	0	
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	19	10.0%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	14	7.4%
13	Production and specialised services managers	10	5.3%
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	2	1.1%
20	Professionals	1	0.5%
21	Science and engineering professionals	21	11.1%
22	Health professionals	15	7.9%
23	Teaching professionals	11	5.8%
24	Business and administration professionals	14	7.4%
25	Information and communications technology professionals	7	3.7%
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	9	4.7%
30	Technicians and associate professionals	1	0.5%
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	6	3.2%
32	Health associate professionals	3	1.6%
33	Business and administration associate professionals	12	6.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	2	1.1%
35	Information and communications technicians	0	
40	Clerical support workers	0	
41	General and keyboard clerks	4	2.1%
42	Customer services clerks	3	1.6%
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	2	1.1%
44	Other clerical support workers	0	
50	Service and sales workers	0	
51	Personal service workers	6	3.2%
52	Sales workers	1	0.5%
53	Personal care workers	2	1.1%
54	Protective services workers	3	1.6%
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0	
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	1	0.5%
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	1	0.5%
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	5	2.6%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	1	0.5%
73	Handicraft and printing workers	2	1.1%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	1	0.5%
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	0	
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	2	1.1%
82	Assemblers	1	0.5%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	3	1.6%
90	Elementary occupations	0	
91	Cleaners and helpers	3	1.6%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	1	0.5%
94	Food preparation assistants	1	0.5%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	0	
-99	No answer	41	
Sysmiss		4802	

ISCO08prof_me_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=163 /-] [Invalid=4870 /-]

Value	Label	Cases
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0
10	Managers	0

ISCO08prof_me_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner Value Label Cases Percentage 11 Chief executives, senior officials and legislators 13 8.0% Administrative and commercial managers 12 14 8.6% 13 Production and specialised services managers 9 5.5% 14 2 1.2% Hospitality, retail and other services managers 20 0.6% Professionals 21 Science and engineering professionals 19 11.7% 22 Health professionals 11 6.7% 4.9% 23 Teaching professionals 8 24 Business and administration professionals 13 8.0% 7 4.3% 25 Information and communications technology professionals 26 5.5% Legal, social and cultural professionals 9 0.6% 30 Technicians and associate professionals 31 Science and engineering associate professionals 6 3.7% 3 1.8% 32 Health associate professionals 33 Business and administration associate professionals 12 7.4% 0.6% 34 Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals 1 35 Information and communications technicians 0 40 Clerical support workers 0 41 General and keyboard clerks 3 1.8% 42 Customer services clerks 2 1.2% 2 43 Numerical and material recording clerks 1.2% 44 0 Other clerical support workers 50 Service and sales workers 0 2.5% 51 Personal service workers 4 52 Sales workers 0.6% 1.2% 53 Personal care workers 2 54 Protective services workers 3 1.8% 60 Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers 0 61 Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers 0.6% 62 Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker 0.6% 0 63 Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers 70 Craft and related trades workers 0 Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians 4 2.5% 71 72 0.6% Metal, machinery and related trades workers 73 Handicraft and printing workers 0.6% 74 Electrical and electronic trades workers 0.6% 0 75 Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r 80 Plant and machine operators, and assemblers 0 81 Stationary plant and machine operators 0.6% 82 0 Assemblers 83 Drivers and mobile plant operators 3 1.8% 90 0 Elementary occupations 91 Cleaners and helpers 2 1.2% 92 Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers 0 93 Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo 0.6%

ISCO08prof_me_pre_2dig: ISCO 08 Present Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
94	Food preparation assistants	1	0.6%
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	
96	Refuse workers and other elementary workers	0	
-99	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		4832	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ISCO08prof_me_pst_2dig: ISCO 08 Past Profession, 2 digits, Main Earner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=27 /-] [Invalid=5006 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Commissioned armed forces officers	0		
2	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0		
3	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0		
10	Managers	0		
11	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators	6		22.2%
12	Administrative and commercial managers	0		
13	Production and specialised services managers	1	3.7%	
14	Hospitality, retail and other services managers	0		
20	Professionals	0		
21	Science and engineering professionals	2	7.4%	
22	Health professionals	4	14.8%	
23	Teaching professionals	3	11.1%	
24	Business and administration professionals	1	3.7%	
25	Information and communications technology professionals	0		
26	Legal, social and cultural professionals	0		
30	Technicians and associate professionals	0		
31	Science and engineering associate professionals	0		
32	Health associate professionals	0		
33	Business and administration associate professionals	0		
34	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	1	3.7%	
35	Information and communications technicians	0		
40	Clerical support workers	0		
41	General and keyboard clerks	1	3.7%	
42	Customer services clerks	1	3.7%	
43	Numerical and material recording clerks	0		
44	Other clerical support workers	0		
50	Service and sales workers	0		
51	Personal service workers	2	7.4%	
52	Sales workers	0		
53	Personal care workers	0		
54	Protective services workers	0		
60	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	0		
61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers	0		
62	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worker	0		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers	0	
70	Craft and related trades workers	0	
71	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	1	3.7%
72	Metal, machinery and related trades workers	0	
73	Handicraft and printing workers	1	3.7%
74	Electrical and electronic trades workers	0	
75	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and r	0	
80	Plant and machine operators, and assemblers	0	
81	Stationary plant and machine operators	1	3.7%
82	Assemblers	1	3.7%
83	Drivers and mobile plant operators	0	
90	Elementary occupations	0	
91	Cleaners and helpers	1	3.7%
92	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers	0	
93	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transpo	0	
94	Food preparation assistants	0	
95	Street and related sales and service workers	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f23300_f23700: Does/did main earner work in the private or public sector

Refuse workers and other elementary workers

No answer

96

-99

Sysmiss

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=161 /-] [Invalid=4872 /-]

2

5004

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	86	53.4%
2	Public sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, SBB,	48	29.8%
3	Mixed economy company (e.g. Swisscom, SNB)	8	5.0%
4	Non-profit organization (e.g. WWF, Caritas)	5	3.1%
98	Don't know	14	8.7%
-99	No answer	1	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
Sysmiss		4871	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f23350_f23750: The main activity sector of main earner's company (current or past)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=204 /-] [Invalid=4829 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	41	20.1%
2	Retail and wholesale	5	2.5%
3	Healthcare and social sector	40	19.6%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	9	4.4%
5	Construction	26	12.7%

f23350_f23750: The main activity sector of main earner's company (current or past)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Teaching and education	14	6.9%
7	Tourism and business related services	11	5.4%
8	Financial services	24	11.8%
9	Hotel and restaurant	3	1.5%
10	Transportation	8	3.9%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	10	4.9%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	3	1.5%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	5	2.5%
14	Information and communication	5	2.5%
15	Other	0	
-99	No answer	1	
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	0	
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0	
98	Don't know	26	
Sysmiss		4802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f28910: Total gross monthly income of R's household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4744 /-] [Invalid=289 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Less than 2000 CHF	142	3.0%	
2	2001-3000 CHF	212	4.5%	
3	3001-4000 CHF	328	6.9%	
4	4001-5000 CHF	464	9.89	%
5	5001-6000 CHF	474	10.	.0%
6	6001-7000 CHF	431	9.1%	
7	7001-8000 CHF	420	8.9%	
8	8001-9000 CHF	390	8.2%	
9	9001-10000 CHF	344	7.3%	
10	10001-11000 CHF	297	6.3%	
11	11001-12000 CHF	196	4.1%	
12	12001-13000 CHF	184	3.9%	
13	13001-14000 CHF	123	2.6%	
14	14001-15000 CHF	144	3.0%	
15	15001-16000 CHF	115	2.4%	
16	16001-17000 CHF	79	1.7%	
17	17001-18000 CHF	55	1.2%	
18	18001-19000 CHF	59	1.2%	
19	19001-20000 CHF	54	1.1%	
20	More than 20000 CHF	233	4.9%	
-99	No answer	285		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	4		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Warning: these J	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	preted as summary statistics of the po	pulation of interest.	

f29100: Can R manage with this income (after fixed expenses)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=4925 /-] [Invalid=108 /-]

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
1	Yes	2594			52.7%
2	More or less	1772		36.0%	
3	No	494	10.0%		
98	Don't know	65	1.3%		
-99	No answer	105			
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	2			
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	1			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#f21200: Does R rent or own the house or apartment he/she lives in

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-97/-98/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4935 /-] [Invalid=98 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Tenant/Lease	2293	46.5%	
2	Owner	2545	51.6%	
3	Other (housing cooperative, free housing, housing service)	97	2.0%	
-99	No answer	97		
-98	Multiple answers when single choice	1		
-97	Incomprehensible/illegible/invalid	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

running, mese figures material in number of cases found in the adia fac. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population

class16_r: Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]

 Statistics [NW/W]
 [Valid=3973 /-] [Invalid=1060 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Large employers	49	1.2%	
2	Self-employed professionals	116	2.9%	
3	Small business owners with employees	276	6.9%	
4	Small business owners without employees	36	0.9%	
5	Technical experts	280	7.0%	
6	Technicians	256	6.4%	
7	Skilled manual	256	6.4%	
8	Low-skilled manual	54	1.4%	
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	797	20	0.1%
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	326	8.2%	
11	Skilled clerks	423	10.6%	
12	Unskilled clerks	13	0.3%	
13	Socio-cultural professionals	286	7.2%	
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	454	11.4%	
15	Skilled service	224	5.6%	
16	Low-skilled service	127	3.2%	
Sysmiss		1060		

class8_r: Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3973 /-] [Invalid=1060 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	165	4.2%
2	Small business owners	312	7.9%
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	536	13.5%
4	Production workers	310	7.8%
5	(Associate) managers	1123	28.3%
6	Clerks	436	11.0%
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	740	18.6%
8	Service workers	351	8.8%
Sysmiss		1060	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class5_r: Respondent's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3973 /-] [Invalid=1060 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher-grade service class	1528	38.5%
2	Lower-grade service class	1036	26.1%
3	Small business owners	312	7.9%
4	Skilled workers	903	22.7%
5	Unskilled workers	194	4.9%
Sysmiss		1060	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class16_p: Partner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes

 Information
 [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]

 Statistics [NW/W]
 [Valid=895 /-] [Invalid=4138 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Large employers	30	3.4%
2	Self-employed professionals	33	3.7%
3	Small business owners with employees	64	7.2%
4	Small business owners without employees	10	1.1%
5	Technical experts	93	10.4%
6	Technicians	56	6.3%
7	Skilled manual	61	6.8%
8	Low-skilled manual	11	1.2%
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	269	30.1%
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	65	7.3%
11	Skilled clerks	72	8.0%
12	Unskilled clerks	0	
13	Socio-cultural professionals	55	6.1%
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	37	4.1%
15	Skilled service	22	2.5%
16	Low-skilled service	17	1.9%

class16_p: Partner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		4138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class8_p: Partner's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=895 /-] [Invalid=4138 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	63	7.0%
2	Small business owners	74	8.3%
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	149	16.6%
4	Production workers	72	8.0%
5	(Associate) managers	334	37.3%
6	Clerks	72	8.0%
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	92	10.3%
8	Service workers	39	4.4%
Sysmiss		4138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class5_p: Partner's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=895 /-] [Invalid=4138 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher-grade service class	480	53.6%
2	Lower-grade service class	158	17.7%
3	Small business owners	74	8.3%
4	Skilled workers	155	17.3%
5	Unskilled workers	28	3.1%
Sysmiss		4138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class16_h: Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=191 /-] [Invalid=4842 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Large employers	3	1.6%	
2	Self-employed professionals	11	5.8%	
3	Small business owners with employees	14	7.3%	
4	Small business owners without employees	2	1.0%	
5	Technical experts	26	13.6%	
6	Technicians	7	3.7%	
7	Skilled manual	11	5.8%	
8	Low-skilled manual	4	2.1%	
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	47		24.6%
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	12	6.3%	
11	Skilled clerks	9	4.7%	
12	Unskilled clerks	0		

class16_h: Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 16 classes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Socio-cultural professionals	13	6.8%
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	16	8.4%
15	Skilled service	8	4.2%
16	Low-skilled service	8	4.2%
Sysmiss		4842	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class8_h: Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 8 classes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=191 /-] [Invalid=4842 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	14	7.3%	
2	Small business owners	16	8.4%	
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	33	17.3%	
4	Production workers	15	7.9%	
5	(Associate) managers	59	30.9	%
6	Clerks	9	4.7%	
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	29	15.2%	
8	Service workers	16	8.4%	
Sysmiss		4842		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class5_h: Main earner's class position (Oesch) - 5 classes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=191 /-] [Invalid=4842 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher-grade service class	100	52.4%
2	Lower-grade service class	35	18.3%
3	Small business owners	16	8.4%
4	Skilled workers	28	14.7%
5	Unskilled workers	12	6.3%
Sysmiss		4842	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class16: Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 16 classes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4249 /-] [Invalid=784 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Large employers	55	1.3%
2	Self-employed professionals	130	3.1%
3	Small business owners with employees	294	6.9%
4	Small business owners without employees	40	0.9%
5	Technical experts	314	7.4%
6	Technicians	269	6.3%
7	Skilled manual	272	6.4%
8	Low-skilled manual	59	1.4%

class16: Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 16 classes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Higher-grade managers and administrators	872	20.5%
10	Lower-grade managers and administrators	345	8.1%
11	Skilled clerks	438	10.3%
12	Unskilled clerks	13	0.3%
13	Socio-cultural professionals	304	7.2%
14	Socio-cultural semi-professionals	473	11.1%
15	Skilled service	234	5.5%
16	Low-skilled service	137	3.2%
Sysmiss		784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class8: Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 8 classes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	Walid-4249 / 1 [Invalid-784 / 1	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed professionals and large employers	185	4.4%
2	Small business owners	334	7.9%
3	Technical (semi-)professionals	583	13.7%
4	Production workers	331	7.8%
5	(Associate) managers	1217	28.6%
6	Clerks	451	10.6%
7	Socio-cultural (semi-)professionals	777	18.3%
8	Service workers	371	8.7%
Sysmiss		784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

class5: Class position (Oesch) based on R's, P's or M-E's class, 5 classes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=4249 /-] [Invalid=784 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher-grade service class	1675	39.4%
2	Lower-grade service class	1087	25.6%
3	Small business owners	334	7.9%
4	Skilled workers	944	22.2%
5	Unskilled workers	209	4.9%
Sysmiss		784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	4270	84.8%
8201	Albania	2	0.0%
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	9	0.2%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8205	Bulgaria	2	0.0%
8206	Denmark	2	0.0%
8207	Germany	97	1.9%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	3	0.1%
8212	France	77	1.5%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	1	0.0%
8215	United Kingdom	11	0.2%
8216	Ireland	2	0.0%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	101	2.0%
8220	Yugoslavia	8	0.2%
8222	Liechtenstein	1	0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.0%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	7	0.1%
8228	Norway	1	0.0%
8229	Austria	12	0.2%
8230	Poland	7	0.1%
8231	Portugal	30	0.6%
8232	Romania	6	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	4	0.1%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	2	0.0%
8236	Spain	27	0.5%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	2	0.0%
8239	Turkey	15	0.3%
8240	Hungary	7	0.1%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	2	0.0%
8244	Czech Republic	7	0.1%
8248	Serbia	18	0.4%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	13	0.3%
8250	Croatia	8	0.2%
8251	Slovenia	1	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	17	0.3%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	1	0.0%

	ntry_sample: R's country of birth from FSC		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8255	North Macedonia	15	0.3%
8256	Kosovo	16	0.3%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	8	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	6	0.1%
8266	Belarus	1	0.0%
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	4	0.1%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	6	0.1%
8305	Angola	2	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	1	0.0%
8311	Gabon	1	0.0%
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	3	0.1%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	1	0.0%
8320	Kenya	2	0.0%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	5	0.1%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	1	0.0%
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	2	0.0%
8328	Madeira	0	

	birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8330	Mali	0		
8331	Morocco	9	0.2%	
8332	Mauritania	0		
8333	Mauritius	1	0.0%	
8334	Mozambique	1	0.0%	
8335	Niger	1	0.0%	
8336	Nigeria	1	0.0%	
8337	Burkina Faso	0		
8339	Meeting	0		
8340	Zimbabwe	0		
8341	Rwanda	1	0.0%	
8343	Zambia	1	0.0%	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0		
8345	Senegal	1	0.0%	
8346	Seychelles	0		
8347	Sierra Leone	0		
8348	Somalia	0		
8349	South Africa	3	0.1%	
8350	Sudan	0		
8351	Namibia	0		
8352	Eswatini	0		
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%	
8354	Togo	0		
8356	Chad	0		
8357	Tunisia	3	0.1%	
8358	Uganda	0		
8359	Egypt	5	0.1%	
8360	Central African Republic	0		
8361	Mayotte	0		
8362	Eritrea	1	0.0%	
8363	South Sudan	0		
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0		
8372	Western Sahara	0		
8373	St. Helena	0		
8374	Ascension	0		
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0		
8381	Spanish North Africa	0		
8382	Tanganyika	0		
8383	Zanzibar	0		
8384	Azawad	0		
8401	Argentina	4	0.1%	
8402	Bahamas	0		
8403	Barbados	0		
8404	Bermuda	0		
8405	Bolivia	2	0.0%	
0403	DOMVIA	2	0.070	

# birthcou	# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
8406	Brazil	13	0.3%			
8407	Chile	1	0.0%			
8408	Costa Rica	1	0.0%			
8409	Dominican Republic	4	0.1%			
8410	Ecuador	4	0.1%			
8411	El Salvador	0				
8412	Falkland Islands	0				
8413	Greenland	0				
8414	Guadeloupe	0				
8415	Guatemala	0				
8416	French Guiana	0				
8417	Guyana	0				
8418	Haiti	2	0.0%			
8419	Belize	0				
8420	Honduras	0				
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%			
8423	Canada	6	0.1%			
8424	Colombia	12	0.2%			
8425	Cuba	2	0.0%			
8426	Martinique	0				
8427	Mexico	3	0.1%			
8429	Nicaragua	0				
8430	Panama	1	0.0%			
8431	Paraguay	0				
8432	Peru	9	0.2%			
8433	Puerto Rico	1	0.0%			
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0				
8435	Suriname	0				
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0				
8437	Uruguay	3	0.1%			
8438	Venezuela	2	0.0%			
8439	United States of America	21	0.4%			
8440	Dominica	0				
8441	Granada	0				
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0				
8443	Saint Lucia	0				
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0				
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0				
8446	Anguilla	0				
8447	Hawaii	0				
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0				
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0				
8472	US Virgin Islands	0				
8473	Cayman Islands	0				
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0				

# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8475	Montserrat	0		
8476	British Virgin Islands	0		
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0		
8482	Aruba	0		
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0		
8484	Curaçao	0		
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0		
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0		
8501	Afghanistan	2	0.0%	
8502	Bahrain	0		
8503	Bhutan	0		
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0		
8505	Myanmar	0		
8506	Sri Lanka	4	0.1%	
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.0%	
8508	China	4	0.1%	
8509	Hong Kong	0		
8510	India	4	0.1%	
8511	Indonesia	1	0.0%	
8512	Iraq	2	0.0%	
8513	Iran	5	0.1%	
8514	Israel	1	0.0%	
8515	Japan	1	0.0%	
8516	Yemen	0		
8517	Jordan	0		
8518	Cambodia	2	0.0%	
8519	Qatar	0		
8521	Kuwait	0		
8522	Laos	0		
8523	Lebanon	7	0.1%	
8524	Macao	0		
8525	Malaysia	0		
8526	Maldives	1	0.0%	
8527	Oman	0		
8528	Mongolia	0		
8529	Nepal	0		
8530	Korea (North)	0		
8531	North Vietnam	0		
8532	United Arab Emirates	0		
8533	Pakistan	3	0.1%	
8534	Philippines	4	0.1%	
8535	Saudi Arabia	0		
8536	Sikkim	0		
8537	Singapore	0		
8538	South Yemen	0		

# birthcou	# birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.0%	
8540	South Vietnam	0		
8541	Syria	2	0.0%	
8542	Thailand	9	0.2%	
8543	Tibet	1	0.0%	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0		
8545	Vietnam	2	0.0%	
8546	Bangladesh	0		
8547	Timor-Leste	0		
8548	French Indochina	0		
8549	North Yemen	0		
8550	Palestine	0		
8551	United Arab Republic	0		
8552	Sarawak	0		
8560	Armenia	0		
8561	Azerbaijan	1	0.0%	
8562	Georgia	0		
8563	Kazakhstan	1	0.0%	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0		
8565	Tajikistan	0		
8566	Turkmenistan	0		
8567	Uzbekistan	0		
8571	Abkhazia	0		
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0		
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0		
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0		
8575	South Ossetia	0		
8576	Ingushetia	0		
8577	Chechnya	0		
8578	Dagestan	0		
8579	Adjarie	0		
8601	Australia	4	0.1%	
8602	Fiji	0		
8604	Nauru	0		
8605	Vanuatu	0		
8606	New Caledonia	0		
8607	New Zealand	0		
8608	Papua New Guinea	0		
8610	Tonga	0		
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0		
8612	Samoa	0		
8614	Solomon Islands	0		
8615	Tuvalu	0		
8616	Kiribati	0		
8617	Marshall Islands	0		

# birthcoun	try_sampl	e: R's country of birth from FSO	sample		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
8618	Micrones	ia	0		
8619	Palau		0		
8621	American Samoa		0		
8630	Northern Mariana Islands		0		
8632	Guam		0		
8633	Johnston Island		0		
8635	Midway l	Islands	0		
8636	Wake Isla	and	0		
8637	United St	tates Minor Outlying Islands	0		
8652	Cocos Isl	ands (Keeling)	0		
8653	Heart and	l McDonald Islands	0		
8654	Norfolk I	sland	0		
8655	Christma	s Island (Australia)	0		
8671	French Po	olynesia	0		
8682	Cook Isla	ands	0		
8683	Niue		0		
8684	Tokelau		0		
8685	Pitcairn I	slands	0		
8701	Antarctic	a	0		
8702	Bouvet Is	sland	0		
8703	French So	outhern and Antarctic Lands	0		
9996	Other cou	ıntry	0		
9997	Stateless		0		
9998	Don't kno	ow .	0		
9999	No answe	er	0		
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	preted as summary statistics of the population of	of interest.	
# hhsize_sa	mple: Hou	sehold size from FSO sample			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [R	ange= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.6	77 /-] [StdDev=1.307 /-]		
# lingreg_sa	ample: Lin	guistic region from FSO sample			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Mis	ssing=*]		
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
de			3025		60.1%
fr			1307	26.0%	
it			682	13.6%	
rm			19 0.4%		
		umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statistics of the population of	of interest.	
	imple: Can	nton from FSO sample			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Mis	ssing=*]		
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
	2		225		

canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample

Value	Label	Cases	Percen	tage
AI		109	2.2%	
AR		102	2.0%	
BE		432		8.6%
BL		159	3.2%	
BS		78	1.5%	
FR		115	2.3%	
GE		672		13.4%
GL		85	1.7%	
GR		140	2.8%	
JU		73	1.5%	
LU		175	3.5%	
NE		108	2.1%	
NW		98	1.9%	
OW		109	2.2%	
SG		166	3.3%	
SH		92	1.8%	
SO		138	2.7%	
SZ		103	2.0%	
TG		98	1.9%	
TI		671		13.3%
UR		83	1.6%	
VD		219	4.4%	
VS		147	2.9%	
ZG		88	1.7%	
ZH		548		10.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bigregion_sample: Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lake Geneva (VD, VS, GE)	1038	20.6%
2	Middleland (BE, FR, SO, NE, JU)	866	17.2%
3	North-west Switzerland (BS, BL, AG)	462	9.2%
4	Zurich	548	10.9%
5	East Switzerland (GL, SH, AR, AI, SG, GR, TG)	792	15.7%
6	Central Switzerland (LU, UR, SZ, OW, NW, ZG)	656	13.0%
7	Ticino	671	13.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

smt3: Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	3194	63.5%
2	Intermediate (dense suburbs and rural centres)	1066	21.2%

smt3: Spatial municipality typology (3 categories, FSO 2020)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Rural	773	15.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

smt9: Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2020)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-33] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Urban municipality of a large agglomeration	1425	28.3%
12	Urban municipality of a medium-sized agglomeration	1171	23.3%
13	Urban municipality of a small agglomeration or outside	598	11.9%
21	High density periurban municipality	299	5.9%
22	Medium density periurban municipality	539	10.7%
23	Low density periurban municipality	239	4.7%
31	Rural centre municipality	228	4.5%
32	Rural centrally located municipality	368	7.3%
33	Rural peripheral municipality	166	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

msize: Municipality size (8 categories, FSO 2022)

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	> 100'000 Inhabitants	552	11.0%
2	50'000 - 99'999 Inhabitants	154	3.1%
3	20'000 - 49'999 Inhabitants	647	12.9%
4	10'000 - 19'999 Inhabitants	908	18.0%
5	5'000 - 9'999 Inhabitants	1062	21.1%
6	2'000 - 4'999 Inhabitants	1021	20.3%
7	1'000 - 1'999 Inhabitants	476	9.5%
8	< 1'000 Inhabitants	213	4.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

weight_d: Design weight: cantonal oversampling

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.101251965651625-1.9620866084225] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.611 /-]

#weight_d_t: Weight: Design * Turnout

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.0707699024266172-3.14810217052575] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.786 /-]

weight_d_p: Weight: Design * Party choice

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.0951184570084094-2.49586797085087] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.622 /-]

weight_tot: Total weight: design * turnout * party choice

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.0664828961900503-3.14810217052575] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.791 /-]

# weight_t_zh: Turnout weight for canton of Zurich		
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.669865552-1.773689321] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.166 /-]	
# weight_p_zh: Party w	# weight_p_zh: Party weight for canton of Zurich	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.775-1.85625] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.0755 /-]	
# weight_tot_zh: Total weight for canton of Zurich: turnout * party choice		
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.5191458028-1.773689321] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.174 /-]	

# weight_t_ti: Turnout weight for canton of Ticino		
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.750187087-1.442945585] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.12 /-]	
# weight_p_ti: Party we	eight for canton of Ticino	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.707698795-2.500714286] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.117 /-]	
# weight_tot_ti: Total w	# weight_tot_ti: Total weight for canton of Ticino: turnout * party choice	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.53090649749446-1.87600356563362] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.149 /-]	
# weight_t_ge: Turnout weight for canton of Geneva		
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.666195225-1.496942448] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.148 /-]	
# weight_p_ge: Party weight for canton of Geneva		
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.675-1.192153846] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.0474 /-]	
# weight_tot_ge: Total weight for canton of Geneva: turnout * party choice		
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.449681776875-1.496942448] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.151 /-]	